Proposal to encode the Dogra script in Unicode

Anshuman Pandey
Department of Linguistics
University of California, Berkeley
Berkeley, California, U.S.A.
anshuman.pandey@berkeley.edu

November 4, 2015

1 Introduction

This is a proposal to encode the Dogra script in Unicode. Dogra was briefly described in "Proposal to Encode the Takri Script in ISO/IEC 10646" (L2/09-424) as one of several scripts to be unified in the 'Takri' block. The block was intended for representing several related scripts of Himachal Pradesh, Jammu, Kashmir, and Panjab by means of a generic character repertoire until additional research on individual scripts could be conducted. As described in L2/09-424, the representative glyphs for characters in the 'Takri' block are based upon the standard, printed form of the Chamba or Chambeali form of Takri. The Chamba form was selected because materials in the script were readily available. Although Dogra has its own standardized form, it was stated in L2/09-424 that there were insufficient materials available for developing a formal encoding. Dogra was, therefore, grouped with 'Takri' on the basis of historical typology and as a matter of practicality. My recent research upon various 'Takri' scripts has yielded additional sources and information that that support of the encoding of Dogra as an independent script, especially because of its official status in the former State of Jammu and Kashmir and on account of the differences between it and the standard Chamba script (see tables 2 and 3). A step towards encoding Dogra was taken through the submission of a preliminary proposal (L2/15-213). The present document supersedes L2/15-213 and provides additional information and a number of new sources that support the encoding of Dogra in Unicode.

2 Background

The Dogra script is historically associated with the Dogri language (ISO 639-3: doi), but it is not actively used at present. In 1916, George A. Grierson wrote in the *Linguistic Survey of India* that "Dōgrā has an alphabet of its own, which is allied to the Ṭakrī alphabet current in the Punjab Himalayas" (1916: 638). Although it was used mostly for informal communication and commercial activities, Dogra was standardized in the 1860s. Grierson noted that "[s]ome thirty or forty years ago the then Mahārājā of Jammu and Kashmir caused to be invented a modified form of the current Ṭakrī so as to bring it more into line with Dēvanāgarī and Gurmukhī" and "[t]his improved Dōgrī is used for official documents, but it has not generally displaced the old Ṭakrī form of script" (*ibid*). This official form of Dogra is known as 'Name Dogra Akkhar' or

¹ The Jaunsari or Sirmauri script was also briefly described in L2/09-424 and unified with the Takri block. It is another potential candidate for separate encoding in Unicode.

the 'New Dogra Script'. It was the official script of the State of Jammu and Kashmir during the reign of Maharaja Ranbir Singh (r. 1857–1885), who is the ruler referred to by Grierson. The New Dogra script was used for administrative purposes and upon currency, judicial and non-judicial stamp papers, postcards, and postage stamps. It was also used for literary activities. The first book published in the standard Dogra was a translation of a Sanskrit mathematical treatise by Bhāskarācārya titled *Līlavatī*. The work was commissioned by Ranbir Singh and printed in 1872 at Vidya Vilas Press, the first printing press in Jammu.

The old and standard forms of Dogra differ primarily in the shapes of letterforms and the representation of consonant clusters. Specific differences are described throughout this proposal. As mentioned by Grierson, standard Dogra was influenced by Devanagari and Gurmukhi. The motivation behind such a move is not clear, but it could be related to the idea of providing the Dogra script with an air of 'authority' by giving it a form that resembled scripts which were already endowed with 'official' and 'literary' attributes. The standardization of Dogra in the 1860s established two distinctive forms of the script. Throughout this document, the common written form is called 'Old Dogra' and the standard form is referred to as 'New Dogra'.

The Dogra script is no longer used actively and the Dogri language is now generally written in Devanagari. Nonetheless, the script continues to attract the interest of philatelists who collect ephemera from Jammu and Kashmir. Although there is very little scholarship on the script, it was described briefly by the prominent Sanskrit scholar Fritz Staal in his *Stamps of Jammu & Kashmir* (New York: The Collectors Club, 1984). Information on Dogra is also curated on a philately website called "Collecting Kashmir", maintained by Carol yon der Lin.

3 Proposed Encoding

3.1 Approach

The justification for encoding Dogra as a separate script in Unicode is based upon the following criteria: 1) graphical differences between Dogra, Chamba, and other forms of Takri; 2) usage of the script in an official capacity; 3) cultural and political recognition of it as a distinctive script; 4) differentiation into 'old' and standard' forms. Of these, the fourth point requires a means for handling the internal distinctions of the script. A separate block for Dogra would provide a means to maintain the script's external identity from other related scripts and to also maintain the identity of styles internally.

3.2 Structure

The Dogra script is a Brahmi-based alphasyllabary that is written from left to right. Independent and initial vowels are written using letters, while dependent vowels are expressed using combining signs. Consonant letters possess the inherent vowel a, which is changed by the attachment of a vowel sign. Consonant clusters are written in various ways, such as with visible *halanta*, as an atomic ligature, and with half-forms; *repha* is attested, but rarely used. There are no formal conventions regarding representation of conjuncts.

3.3 Script name

The designation 'Dogra' has been assigned to the script block in Unicode. The script is referred to as both 'Dogra' and 'Dogri' in English secondary sources. The name 'Dogra' is preferred over 'Dogri' because it links the script with the Dogra dynasty of Jammu and Kashmir, under whose government it was established as the official script. Secondly, 'Dogri' suggests a connection with the language of the same name; however, the language is no longer written in the script. The script was officially referred to as *dvigarta akṣara* or "Dogra"

letters", where 'Dvigarta' is presented as the Sanskritic root of the name 'Dogra'. Another indigenous name for the script is *name dogra akkhar* or "New Dogra letters".

3.4 Representative glyphs

The representative glyphs for Dogra characters are based primarily upon forms used in New Dogra. Characters from Old Dogra have been used when necessary, sourced primarily from figures 1 and 2. The font has been designed by the proposal author.

3.5 Vowel letters

There are 10 vowel letters:

म्य	DOGRA LETTER A	\mathcal{S}	DOGRA LETTER UU
म्प्र	DOGRA LETTER AA	ป	DOGRA LETTER E
6	DOGRA LETTER I	ิป	DOGRA LETTER AI
ë	DOGRA LETTER II	$\hat{\mathcal{E}}$	DOGRA LETTER O
\mathcal{E}	DOGRA LETTER U	\mathfrak{F}	DOGRA LETTER AU

In Old Dogra the values of the vowel letters G, G, and G are variable. As shown in the accompanying sources, the letter G was used for both G and G; G for G and G for G, G, and G for G fo

	Old	New
DOGRA LETTER I	ë	6
DOGRA LETTER II		ë
DOGRA LETTER U	6	\mathcal{S}
DOGRA LETTER UU		\mathcal{S}
DOGRA LETTER O	ઉ	$\hat{\mathcal{E}}$
DOGRA LETTER AU	ઉ	$\boldsymbol{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}}$

The proposed vowels letters are based upon the repertoire of New Dogra. This approach completely accommodates vowels in the old and new forms of the script. Although the names of New Dogra vowel letters do

not strictly correlate with values of Old Dogra vowels, the proposed letters can be used for representing the old script. The 6 dogra letter I can be used for u; 6 dogra letter II can be used for i; and 3 dogra letter U can be used for o and au, the height and curvature of the left stroke may be considered a stylistic attribute.

3.6 Vowel Signs

There are 10 dependent vowel signs:

୍ଷ	DOGRA VOWEL SIGN AA	ૃ	DOGRA VOWEL SIGN VOCALIC R
ি	DOGRA VOWEL SIGN I	៑	DOGRA VOWEL SIGN E
ी	DOGRA VOWEL SIGN II	៊ី	DOGRA VOWEL SIGN AI
ુ	DOGRA VOWEL SIGN U	े	DOGRA VOWEL SIGN O
ू	DOGRA VOWEL SIGN UU	^	DOGRA VOWEL SIGN AU

The Q DOGRA VOWEL SIGN VOCALIC R appears to have been introduced as part of New Dogra. It is used for representing words of Sanskrit origin that contain the vocalic sound r. Its shape is derived from either U+11190 SHARADA VOWEL SIGN VOCALIC R or Q U+0943 DEVANAGARI VOWEL SIGN VOCALIC R. There is no corresponding independent vowel letter.

There is an alphabetic aspect to the representation of consonant-vowel syllables in written Dogra. The dependent vowel may be represented using the independent vowel letter as well as the vowel sign, eg. $\mathbf{a} \mathbf{b}$ may be used in place of $\mathbf{\bar{a}}$ for the syllable ke.

There are differences in the shape of certain vowel letters between the old and new script. The typical Takri forms of the vowel signs \circ -u and \circ -u, which also resemble corresponding forms in Gurmukhi, were replaced with forms resembling Devanagari signs \circ and \circ , respectively. The typical Takri style of the vowel sign \circ was applied for writing \circ au, while a simplified of o in the form \circ was used for o. The duplication of \circ o as \circ for writing \circ au was eliminated. A comparison of vowel letters in the old and new scripts as they align with the proposed characters is as follows:

	Old	New
DOGRA VOWEL SIGN I	ি	ি
DOGRA VOWEL SIGN II	া	ी
DOGRA VOWEL SIGN U	ુ	ુ
DOGRA VOWEL SIGN UU	្ញ	ૂ
DOGRA VOWEL SIGN O	~	े
DOGRA VOWEL SIGN AU	~	~

3.7 Consonants

There are 34 consonant letters:

ઢ	DOGRA LETTER KA	\mathfrak{x}	DOGRA LETTER DA
M	DOGRA LETTER KHA	৸	DOGRA LETTER DHA
n	DOGRA LETTER GA	₹	DOGRA LETTER NA
m	DOGRA LETTER GHA	N	DOGRA LETTER PA
Ċ	DOGRA LETTER NGA	ઠ	DOGRA LETTER PHA
IJ	DOGRA LETTER CA	ζ	DOGRA LETTER BA
B	DOGRA LETTER CHA	3	DOGRA LETTER BHA
গ	DOGRA LETTER JA	ŋ	DOGRA LETTER MA
π	DOGRA LETTER JHA	ਧ	DOGRA LETTER YA
ङा	DOGRA LETTER NYA	₹	DOGRA LETTER RA
5	DOGRA LETTER TTA	₹	DOGRA LETTER LA
0	DOGRA LETTER TTHA	ζ	DOGRA LETTER VA
ऋ	DOGRA LETTER DDA	श	DOGRA LETTER SHA
r	DOGRA LETTER DDHA	벼	DOGRA LETTER SSA
<u>~</u>	DOGRA LETTER NNA	স	DOGRA LETTER SA
3	DOGRA LETTER TA	3	DOGRA LETTER HA
ঙ্গ	DOGRA LETTER THA	3	DOGRA LETTER RRA

The \forall dogra letter ssa was introduced into New Dogra for representing the retroflex sibilant sa, which occurs in words of Sanskrit origin. It resembles \forall U+111B0 SHARADA LETTER SSA.

There are glyphic variants of several consonant letters:

	Regular	Variant
DOGRA LETTER GA	π	л
DOGRA LETTER GHA	M	ч
DOGRA LETTER CHA	3	ಹ
DOGRA LETTER JHA	π	10
DOGRA LETTER THA	চ্ব	घ

DOGRA LETTER DHA	দ	ਪ	
DOGRA LETTER RA	₹	а	


There is evidence of usage of different forms of the same letter in the available sources. For instance, both 3 and 35 are both used for CHA.

3.8 Anusvara

The ODGRA SIGN ANUSVARA is used for marking nasalization.

3.9 Visarga

The : DOGRA SIGN VISARGA is used for indicating post-vocalic aspiration in words of Sanskrit origin. It is shown below in the word **37**: namah "homage to":



3.10 Nukta

The ODOGRA SIGN NUKTA is used for representing sounds that are not native to Dogri and related languages.

3.11 Virama

The Q DOGRA SIGN VIRAMA has two functions, similar to the corresponding character of Devanagari. It is used as a *halanta* for marking the absence of the inherent vowel of a consonant letter. It is also a control character that is used for producing conjuncts.


3.12 Consonant Conjuncts

Consonant clusters are rendered in three ways: 1) with visible *halanta* beneath the full form of each bare consonant; 2) with a half-form of the initial consonant followed by the full form of the following letter; and 3) as ligatures. There are no formal conventions for the written expression of conjuncts. The Q DOGRA SIGN VIRAMA is used for the encoded representation of conjuncts.

3.12.1 Types of conjuncts

Some representations of Dogra conjuncts as found in the available sources are illustrated below:

• *Visible halanta* In both Old and New Dogra, the most common method of representing consonant clusters is by placing a *halanta* beneath each bare consonant:



• *Half-forms* Another method is to use half-forms of letters if the graphical structure provides such an opportunity:




The above shows the conjunct \Re \acute{sra} in the word \Re $\acute{sr\bar{\imath}}$ (a Sanskrit honorific). The half form \Re is produced by dropping the right vertical bar of \Re Sha. The conjunct \Re is used consistently in New Dogra for representing the cluster \acute{sra} ; the form \Re with visible *halanta* beneath a full form of \Re Sha does not occur in the available sources.


• Ligatures There are two types of ligature. In the first, the shapes of individual letters are visible. In the below the boxed text contains the conjunct ∇sta from the word $\nabla V stamp$, a transcription of the English "stamp".



The second type is an atomic (*akhaṇḍa*) ligature whose form may be considered a distinctive letter. Such a ligature occurs in the excerpt below:




• Forms of RA Special conjunct forms of RA do not generally occur, eg. repha or vattu. Cluster-initial RA is represented as a full form with halanta, as in rṇa in the word saṃpūrṇa below:



and non-initial RA is treated similarly, as in *pra* in the word *prabhu* below:

However, there is one instance in the available sources in which cluster-initial RA is represented as a *repha*. The occurrence is curious because the word *caturbhuja* "square", in which *repha* occurs, appears three times in proximity, but is spelled differently in all three instances.



In the boxed text at the top, the RA in *caturbhuja* is written with *halanta*: **贝**夏德斯 *catur.bhuja*. In the text at bottom left, RA occurs with its inherent vowel intact, without *halanta*: **贝**夏德斯 *caturabhuja*. At bottom right, ra is represented as repha: **贝**夏德斯 *caturbhuja*.

The usage of *repha* in Dogra is to be considered anomalous. There is no present need to support this form of RA in encoded representation.

3.12.2 Encoded representation of conjuncts

The Devanagari model for the representation of conjuncts is appropriate for Dogra. In encoded text, conjuncts are produced by placing the control character \bigcirc DOGRA SIGN VIRAMA after each non-initial consonant in a cluster, ie. $<(C, \bigcirc$ VIRAMA)*, C>.

Representations of conjuncts rendered as atomic ligatures are:

Examples of conjuncts rendered using half-forms are:

Conjuncts displayed using visible *halanta* are:


3.12.3 Modifying conjunct formation

As is the case in Devanagari and other Indic scripts, a ligature may be broken using the generic control character W U+200C ZERO WIDTH NON-JOINER


The rendering of a conjunct as a ligature or with visible VIRAMA is a matter of font design.

3.13 Punctuation


Abbreviation sign The \circ DOGRA ABBREVIATION SIGN is used for indicating truncation of text. In the excerpt below, the highlighted text \overrightarrow{n} \circ $\mathbf{Z} \circ \mathbf{S}' \circ (l\overline{\imath}. va. bh\overline{a}. 23)$ is is an abbreviation for $l\overline{\imath}la \ vat\overline{\imath} \ bh\overline{a}ga \ 23$.



Danda-s The $dand\bar{a}$ and double $dand\bar{a}$ are commonly used in Dogra documents for marking the ends of sentences and paragraphs. The below excerpt shows usage of the $dand\bar{a}$:



and the following shows use of the double dandā:



These $dand\bar{a}$ -s are not proposed for encoding as separate characters in the 'Dogra' block. They resemble I U+0964 DEVANAGARI DANDA and II U+0965 DEVANAGARI DOUBLE DANDA, and may be represented using these characters. The Devanagari $dand\bar{a}$ -s are to be specified as extensions for Dogra.

Other signs Other marks of punctuation, such as dashes, are also used:

A hyphen is used in some cases for indicating breaks in a word at end of line (see section 3.16).

3.14 Digits

Digits are commonly used in Dogra documents (see figure 11). The style of digits varies across written and printed sources. Some digits in Old Dogra sources resemble those of Takri (see figure 4), while those in new Dogra sources are similar to Devanagar. The excerpt below shows digits 1–9 and the number 10 as represented in the *Līlavatī* written in New Dogra:

The majority of the digits shown here resemble Devanagari forms; however, the exception is \(\mathbb{U}\) 'four'. The form of Dogra 'four' does not resemble \(\mathbb{U}\) U+116C4 TAKRI DIGIT FOUR, which is nearly identical to the Devanagari digit four. Information about its origins are not known at this time, but it may be related to \(\mathbb{U}\) U+111D4 SHARADA DIGIT FOUR. The forms of 'eight' and 'nine' are well-known variant forms of the regular Devanagari digits.

Additional research into Dogra digits is required before they may be considered for encoding. The available sources suggest that there may have been a cultural understanding that Dogra possessed unique digits. For instance, on the bank notes shown in figure 20 the digits written in Devanagari are rather similar, but are nonetheless displayed twice in order to align the display of the currency value with each script used for the inscriptions.


At present, script-specific digits are not proposed for inclusion in the Dogra repertoire. Devanagari digits should be used normatively and should be extended for usage with Dogra. If future research yields distinctive digits for Dogra, there is sufficient space in the block to accommodate their encoding.

3.15 Number forms

Fraction signs and currency marks are commonly used in Dogra sources (see figure 12). These may be represented using the fraction and currency signs already encoded in the 'Common Indic Number Forms' block (U+A830). These characters are specified as extensions for Dogra. The 'Common Indic Number Forms' are described briefly in *The Unicode Standard* (chapter 22.3 'Numerals', pp. 770–771) and in more detail in the original encoding proposal (L2/07-354).

3.16 Linebreaking

Linebreaking occurs after an orthographic syllable. Breaks are generally not indicated in informal sources, but are marked in literary materials using hyphens.



3.17 Collation

The primary collating order for Dogra is as follows:

```
TY A < TY AA < 6 I < 6 II < 3 U < 3 UU < V E < V AI < 3 O < 3 AU <
3 KA < M KHA < J GA < M GHA < M GHA < M GA < J CA < M CHA < M J A <
3 THA < M CHA < M CHA
```

The following characters have secondary weights: Sign anusvara, Sign visarga, Sign virama, Sign nukta.

4 Character data

4.1 Character properties

The properties for Dogra in the Unicode Character Database format are:

```
11800; DOGRA LETTER A; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
11801; DOGRA LETTER AA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
11802; DOGRA LETTER I; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
11804; DOGRA LETTER U; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
11806; DOGRA LETTER E; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
11807; DOGRA LETTER AI; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
11808; DOGRA LETTER O; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
1180A; DOGRA LETTER KA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
1180B; DOGRA LETTER KHA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
1180C; DOGRA LETTER GA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
1180D; DOGRA LETTER GHA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;;
1180E; DOGRA LETTER NGA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
1180F; DOGRA LETTER CA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
11810; DOGRA LETTER CHA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;;
11811; DOGRA LETTER JA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
11812; DOGRA LETTER JHA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
11813; DOGRA LETTER NYA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;;
```

```
11814; DOGRA LETTER TTA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;;
11815; DOGRA LETTER TTHA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
11816; DOGRA LETTER DDA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
11817; DOGRA LETTER DDHA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;;
11818; DOGRA LETTER NNA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
11819; DOGRA LETTER TA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
1181A; DOGRA LETTER THA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;;
1181B; DOGRA LETTER DA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
1181C; DOGRA LETTER DHA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;;
1181D; DOGRA LETTER NA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
1181E; DOGRA LETTER PA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
1181F; DOGRA LETTER PHA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
11820; DOGRA LETTER BA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
11821; DOGRA LETTER BHA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;;
11822; DOGRA LETTER MA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
11823; DOGRA LETTER YA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
11824; DOGRA LETTER RA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
11825; DOGRA LETTER LA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
11826; DOGRA LETTER VA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
11827; DOGRA LETTER SHA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
11828; DOGRA LETTER SSA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
11829; DOGRA LETTER SA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
1182A; DOGRA LETTER HA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
1182B; DOGRA LETTER RRA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;;
1182C; DOGRA VOWEL SIGN AA; Mc; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
1182D; DOGRA VOWEL SIGN I; Mc; 0; L; ;; ;; N; ;; ;;
1182E; DOGRA VOWEL SIGN II; Mc; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
1182F; DOGRA VOWEL SIGN U; Mn; 0; NSM; ;; ;; ;N; ;; ;;
11830; DOGRA VOWEL SIGN UU; Mn; 0; NSM; ;; ;; ;N; ;; ;;
11831; DOGRA VOWEL SIGN VOCALIC R; Mn; 0; NSM; ; ; ; ; N; ; ; ;
11832; DOGRA VOWEL SIGN E; Mn; 0; NSM; ;; ;; ;N; ;; ;;
11833; DOGRA VOWEL SIGN AI; Mn; 0; NSM; ; ; ; ; N; ; ; ;
11834; DOGRA VOWEL SIGN O; Mn; 0; NSM; ;;;; N;;;;
11835; DOGRA VOWEL SIGN AU; Mn; 0; NSM; ;;;; N;;;;
11836; DOGRA SIGN ANUSVARA; Mn; 0; NSM;;;;; N;;;;
11837; DOGRA SIGN VISARGA; Mc; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
11838; DOGRA SIGN VIRAMA; Mn; 9; NSM; ; ; ; ; N; ; ; ;
11839; DOGRA SIGN NUKTA; Mn; 7; NSM; ;; ;; N; ;; ;;
1183A; DOGRA ABBREVIATION SIGN; Po; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;;
```

4.2 Linebreaking

Linebreaking properties given in the data format of LineBreak.txt:

```
11800..1182B; AL
                   # Lo [44] DOGRA LETTER A .. DOGRA LETTER RRA
1182C..1182E; CM
                 # Mc [3] DOGRA VOWEL SIGN AA .. DOGRA VOWEL SIGN II
1182F..11835; CM
                 # Mn [7] DOGRA VOWEL SIGN U .. DOGRA VOWEL SIGN AU
                          DOGRA SIGN ANUSVARA
DOGRA SIGN VISARGA
11836; CM
                  # Mn
11837; CM
                  # Mc
11838..11839; CM
                # Mn
                        [2] DOGRA SIGN VIRAMA .. DOGRA SIGN NUKTA
1183A; AL
                   # Po
                             DOGRA ABBREVIATION SIGN
```

4.3 Syllabic categories

Syllabic categories given in the format of IndicSyllabicCategory.txt:

```
# Indic Syllabic Category=Visarga
11837
         ; Visarga
                                # Mc
                                        DOGRA SIGN VISARGA
# Indic Syllabic Category=Nukta
                                        DOGRA SIGN NUKTA
       ; Nukta
                                # Mn
# Indic Syllabic Category=Virama
11838 ; Virama
                                # Mn
                                        DOGRA SIGN VIRAMA
# Indic Syllabic Category=Vowel Independent
11800..11808 ; Vowel Independent # Lo
                                     [3] DOGRA LETTER A..DOGRA LETTER AU
# Indic Syllabic Category=Vowel Dependent
1182C..1182E ; Vowel Dependent # Mc [3] DOGRA VOWEL SIGN AA..DOGRA VOWEL SIGN I
1182F..11835 ; Vowel_Dependent
                               # Mn
                                     [7] DOGRA VOWEL SIGN U..DOGRA VOWEL SIGN AU
# Indic Syllabic Category=Consonant
1180A..1182B ; Consonant # Lo [34] DOGRA LETTER KA..DOGRA LETTER RRA
```

4.4 Positional categories

Positional data for characters in the format of IndicPositionalCategory.txt:

```
# Indic Positional Category=Right
             ; Right # Mc DOGRA VOWEL SIGN A
; Right # Mc DOGRA VOWEL SIGN II
; Right # Mc DOGRA SIGN VISARGA
1182C
1182E
11837
# Indic Positional Category=Left
1182D ; Left # Mc
                              DOGRA VOWEL SIGN I
# Indic_Positional_Category=Top
11836
         ; Top # Mn
                               DOGRA SIGN ANUSVARA
11832..11835 ; Top # Mn
                               [4] DOGRA VOWEL SIGN E..DOGRA VOWEL SIGN AU
# Indic Positional Category=Bottom
1182F..11831 ; Bottom # Mn [3] DOGRA VOWEL SIGN U..DOGRA VOWEL SIGN VOCALIC R
             ; Bottom # Mn DOGRA SIGN VIRAMA
; Bottom # Mn DOGRA SIGN NUKTA
11838
11839
```

4.5 Script extensions

The following characters should be extended for usage with the Dogra script in ScriptExtensions.txt:

```
0964
             ; # Po
                         DEVANAGART DANDA
0965
             ; # Po
                         DEVANAGARI DOUBLE DANDA
0966..096F
             ; # Nd [10] DEVANAGARI DIGIT ZERO..DEVANAGARI DIGIT NINE
                     [6] NORTH INDIC FRACTION ONE QUARTER..
A830..A835 ; # No
                        NORTH INDIC FRACTION THREE SIXTEENTHS
A836..A837 ; # So
                     [2] NORTH INDIC QUARTER MARK..NORTH INDIC PLACEHOLDER MARK
                     NORTH INDIC RUPEE MARK
A838
            ; # Sc
A839
             ; # So
                       NORTH INDIC QUANTITY MARK
```

4.6 'Confusable' characters

Some Dogra characters that resemble characters encoded in other script blocks are:

```
DOGRA LETTER U ; 11187 SHARADA LETTER U
DOGRA LETTER UU ; 11188 SHARADA LETTER UU
DOGRA LETTER O ; 11684 TAKRI LETTER U
DOGRA LETTER KA ; 0A15 GURMUKHI LETTER KA
DOGRA LETTER CA ; 11196 SHARADA LETTER CA
DOGRA LETTER THA ; 1119C SHARADA LETTER THA
DOGRA LETTER TA ; 11699 TAKRI LETTER TA
DOGRA LETTER DHA ; 11680 TAKRI LETTER A
DOGRA LETTER PHA ; 1169F TAKRI LETTER BHA
DOGRA LETTER BHA ; 116A1 TAKRI LETTER BHA
DOGRA LETTER SHA ; 0936 DEVANAGARI LETTER SHA
DOGRA LETTER SSA ; 11180 SHARADA LETTER SHA
DOGRA LETTER SSA ; 11180 SHARADA LETTER SHA
DOGRA VOWEL SIGN U ; 0941 DEVANAGARI VOWEL SIGN U
DOGRA VOWEL SIGN U ; 0942 DEVANAGARI VOWEL SIGN UU
DOGRA VOWEL SIGN E ; 116B2 TAKRI VOWEL SIGN E
DOGRA VOWEL SIGN AI ; 116B3 TAKRI VOWEL SIGN AI
DOGRA VOWEL SIGN AU ; 116B5 TAKRI VOWEL SIGN AU
DOGRA SIGN ANUSVARA
DOGRA SIGN NUSVARA
DOGRA SIGN VIRAMA ; 094D DEVANAGARI SIGN VIRAMA
     DOGRA SIGN VIRAMA ; 094D DEVANAGARI SIGN VIRAMA DOGRA SIGN NUKTA ; 093C DEVANAGARI SIGN NUKTA
      DOGRA ABBREVIATION SIGN; 0970 DEVANAGARI ABBREVIATION SIGN
```

References

Bhāskarācārya. 1872. Līlavatī. Translation into Dogri. Jammu: Vidya Vilas Press.

Grierson, George A. 1916. The Linguistic Survey of India. Vol. IX. Indo-Aryan Family. Central Group. Part I. Specimens of Western Hindī and Pañjābī. Calcutta: Office of the Superintendent of Government Printing, India.

Leitner, Gottlieb William. 1882. "A Collection of Specimens of Commercial and Other Alphabets and Handwritings as also of Multiplication Tables Current in Various Parts of the Panjab, Sind and the North West Provinces". Published as Appendix V of History of Indigenous Education in the Punjab. Lahore: Anjuman-i-Punjab Press.

Pandey, Anshuman. 2007. "Proposal to Encode North Indic Number Forms in ISO/IEC 10646" (L2/07-354). http://www.unicode.org/L2/L2007/07354-north-indic.pdf

```
-. 2009. "Proposal to Encode the Takri Script in ISO/IEC 10646" (L2/09-424).
http://www.unicode.org/L2/L2009/09424-takri.pdf
```

 2015. "Preliminary Proposal to Encode the Dogra Script in Unicode" (L2/15-213). http://www.unicode.org/L2/L2015/15213-dogra.pdf

Staal, Fritz. 1984. Stamps of Jammu & Kashmir. New York: The Collectors Club.

von der Lin, Carol A. "Collection Kashmir", "Philatelic Glossary: Dogrī-Takrī Scripts".

http://www.kashmirstamps.com/DogriGloss.html

6 Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Christopher Shackle (School of Oriental and African Studies, London) for reviewing this proposal.

This project was made possible in part through a Google Research Award, granted to Deborah Anderson for the Script Encoding Initiative, and a grant from the United States National Endowment for the Humanities (PR-50205-15), which funds the Universal Scripts Project (part of the Script Encoding Initiative at the University of California, Berkeley). Any views, findings, conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect those of Google or the National Endowment for the Humanities.

	1180	1181	1182	1183	1184
0	M	す	∖द	ૂ	
	11800	11810	11820	11830	
	11000	11010	11020	11000	
	VA7	T		,e-s.	
1	\mathcal{M}_{λ}	ক	ड	ु	
	11801	11811	11821	11831	
	_			_	
2	6	π	n	ੋ	
_	_		`		
	11802	11812	11822	11832	
		—	_	៑	
3	ë	ङा	य		
	11803	11813	11823	11833	
4	\mathcal{S}	5	₹	े	
4	_		`		
	11804	11814	11824	11834	
				•	
5	B	0	<u></u>	^	
	11805	11815	11825	11835	
	11003	11013	11023	11033	
	J	_	7	·	
6	U	\mathcal{F}	く	\circ	
	11806	11816	11826	11836	
7	J	$\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{I}}$	श	ः	
′	_				
	11807	11817	11827	11837	
	^				
8	ŝ	<u>~</u>	ㅂ	्	
	11808	11818	11828	11838	
		11010	11020	11030	1111
	Ë	7	<u> </u>	,m.	
9	B	3	N	়	
	11809	11819	11829	11839	
Α	ゑ	ष्ट्र	3	0	
^					
	1180A	1181A	1182A	1183A	HH
		_	_		
В	B	\mathfrak{T}	ਡ		
	1180B	1181B	1182B		
				////	
	Π	N	୍ୟ		
С	11	V	\·		
	1180C	1181C	1182C	////	
					MM
D	W	3	ि	/////	
_	11000				
	1180D	1181D	1182D	////	HH
	. •.	3.0	→		
Е	C	N	ी		M/M
	1180E	1181E	1182E		
				////	
_	Ŋ	S			(///)
F			ુ		
	1180F	1181F	1182F	////	////

Punctuation

1183A • DOGRA ABBREVIATION SIGN

Independent vowels

11800 म्न DOGRA LETTER A 11801 IT DOGRA LETTER AA

11802 6 DOGRA LETTER I

11803 6 DOGRA LETTER II

11804 3 DOGRA LETTER U

11805 3 11806 ປັ DOGRA LETTER UU

DOGRA LETTER E

11807 ₹ DOGRA LETTER AI

11808 🕄 DOGRA LETTER O

11809 🕄 DOGRA LETTER AU

Consonants

1180A a DOGRA LETTER KA 1180B 😘 DOGRA LETTER KHA 1180C π DOGRA LETTER GA

1180D M DOGRA LETTER GHA

1180E ∻ DOGRA LETTER NGA

1180F J DOGRA LETTER CA

11810 🦻 DOGRA LETTER CHA

11811 ฐ DOGRA LETTER JA

11812 π DOGRA LETTER JHA

11813 37 DOGRA LETTER NYA

ح 11814 DOGRA LETTER TTA 11815 o

DOGRA LETTER TTHA 11816 க DOGRA LETTER DDA

11817 க DOGRA LETTER DDHA

11818 🕶 DOGRA LETTER NNA

11819 з DOGRA LETTER TA

1181A 🥱 DOGRA LETTER THA

1181B & DOGRA LETTER DA

1181C 🗷 DOGRA LETTER DHA

1181D ₹ DOGRA LETTER NA

1181E **n** DOGRA LETTER PA

ے 1181F DOGRA LETTER PHA

11820 ਫ DOGRA LETTER BA 11821 з

DOGRA LETTER BHA 11822 DOGRA LETTER MA

11823 य DOGRA LETTER YA

11824 ₹ DOGRA LETTER RA

11825 🥱 DOGRA LETTER LA

11826 ਟ DOGRA LETTER VA 11827 श

DOGRA LETTER SHA 11828 ㅂ DOGRA LETTER SSA

11829 🤊 DOGRA LETTER SA

1182A з DOGRA LETTER HA

1182B 3 DOGRA LETTER RRA

Dependent vowel signs

1182C of DOGRA VOWEL SIGN AA 1182D O DOGRA VOWEL SIGN I

1182F DOGRA VOWEL SIGN U

11830 DOGRA VOWEL SIGN UU

11831 DOGRA VOWEL SIGN VOCALIC R

11832 DOGRA VOWEL SIGN E

11833 DOGRA VOWEL SIGN AI

11834 DOGRA VOWEL SIGN O

11835 DOGRA VOWEL SIGN AU

Various signs

11837 : DOGRA SIGN VISARGA

11838 DOGRA SIGN VIRAMA ्

11839 ਼ DOGRA SIGN NUKTA




Figure 1: Comparison of Old Dogra with Takri and other scripts (from Leitner 1882: Set 2).




Figure 2: Comparison of Old Dogra with Takri and other scripts (from Leitner 1882: Set 2).

PAÑJĀBĪ.

Pogra Dialect.

(JAMMU STATE.)

SPECIMEN I.

DÖGRA CHARACTER.




Figure 3: Specimen of the Dogri language written in Old Dogra (from Grierson 1916: 760).

PAÑJÁBÍ.

DOGRA DIALECT.

(JAMMU STATE.)

SPECIMEN II.

DOGRA CHARACTER.




Figure 4: Another specimen of the Dogri language written in Old Dogra (from Grierson 1916: 772).

The following are the Dogra characters as used in the specimens:-

Vowels.

INITIAL FORMS.

NON-INITIAL FORMS.

$$\mathcal{Z}$$
 ka, \mathcal{Z} kā, \mathcal{Z} ki or kì, \mathcal{Q} or \mathcal{Z} ku, \mathcal{Z} kū, \mathcal{Z} ke or kē, \mathcal{Z} kai, \mathcal{Z} kō, \mathcal{Z} kau, \mathcal{Z} kam or kã.

Note.—Great carelessness is allowed in writing the vowels and the nasal sign. They are often omitted altogether. Long and short vowels are frequently interchanged. Initial vowels are often written in the place of non-initial long ones. Thus—

Consonants.

NOTE.—That the same sign is employed for ja and ya, and for ba and va (or wa), respectively. There is really only one sibilant,—the letter sa. When it is necessary to represent the sound of the Persian sh, the character for chia is employed.

Figure 5: Chart of the Old Dogra script (from Grierson 1916: 641).

In order to facilitate comparison, I next give the current written forms of the letters of the Gurmukhī, Kāngrā, and Pōgrā alphabets.

Garmukhi.	Kängrä.	Pogra.		Garmakhī.	Kängrä.	Dögrā.	
ઋ	म	म्	'āiŗā'	3	3	30	<i>đa</i>
प्ट	6	6.5	'iri'	અ	य	<i>გ</i> ე	ḍha
8	6	6	'ūŗā'	3	7	22	ņa
B	ट्य	6	ō	3	3	3	ta
ਸ	n	n	80	ਬ	ਧ	घम	tha
フ	5	3	ha	ਦ	٦Ł	x	da
ब	36	व	ka	प	な	पज	dha
4	ਖ	41	kha	ਨ	7	7	na
זז	ग	ग्र	ga	ય	٩	7	pa
Cel	up	les	gha	2	6	ひ	pha
ফ	3	かぐ	na	ਬ	ч	4	ba
ਚ	Þ	म	cha	3	3	<i>હ</i>	bha
ক	22	n	chha	મ	η	1	ma
5	ሰ	31	ja	ন	•••	7	ya
ح	ومع	巧为	jha	ਰ	3	9	ra
ह		37	ña	ਲ	3	3	la
ट	5	2	ţa	ट्	21	4	104
ठ	0	Q	fka	E	35	33	re .

Figure 6: Comparison of Gurmukhi, Kangra, and Old Dogra scripts (from Grierson 1916: 642).

	Nagari	Dogri		Nagari	Dogri		Nagari	Dogri
a	31 %	₹	ka	क	ब	ta	त	7
ā	3打 別	₩,	kha	छ	29	tha	थ	ध
i	ない	6	ga	ग	π	da	Σ	Æ
ī	3	6.	gha	घ	ય	dha	ध	य
u	3	3	nga	3.	X -	na	न	5
ū	五	<i>3</i> 5						
e	प	~	ca	ঘ	abla	pa	प	ท
ai	मे	$ar{v}$	cha	ध	ब	pha	फ	ट
0	311	अंधि धः दा	ja	ज	五	ba	ब	てす
au	374	3	jha	研	N	bha	भ	ਭ
am , ,	37	芍	กีล	भ	দ	ma	म	ŋ
(naslaized vowel)				7	7		<u>य</u>	य
s a	<u> </u>	- ই।	ta	र व	70	ya	₹	्र र
		H	tha	3	क	ra		
şa	ঘ		da	1	<i>∞</i> <i>‰</i>	la	त	ਲ +
sa	स इ	7 3	dha	ढ ण	~ ~	va	ब	द
ha	C	9	ņa	01				

Figure 7: Comparison of New Dogra ('Dogri') and Devanagari vowel and consonant letters (from Staal 1984: 33).

	Naga	ri Dogri		Nagari	Dogri
kā	का	ਕਾ	gā	म	11 ~
ki	由品	ब्रि	gī	A	ति
ki	की	दी	gi	जी	Fit.
ku	ኝ	ब्र	gu	गु	ŋ
kū	野頭新	叉	gū	刃双	工
ke	के	ই	ge	जे	न
kai	#	n x	gai	Æ	Ē
ko	南	दे	go	म	जे
kau	क्री	*	gau	क्री	沂
kaṃ	南	ಷ	gaṃ	π	ਸੰ
k	क्		g	ग्	丌

Figure 8: Comparison of New Dogra ('Dogri') and Devanagari vowel signs (from Staal 1984: 34).




Figure 9: The first page of a Dogri translation of the *Līlavatī* by Bhāskarācārya, a Sanskrit treastise on mathematics, printed in the New Dogra script in 1872 (p. 1). Figure 10 contains the second page of this book.

नी-र-डा

गिडि हेथ्र = नेर्ज वे हुड द्यद् हेरे दिय दुई थरंड़ गि=ड के डिक इंड्रक्ड न'दरी दिग दहुउ है थर इंड्रहर इन दीरिषंड्र ती वी कक्ट है हदक्रेशीय थ'० श'र्ने द्वा नीनद्वी है नेत्रद्व है सदिर च वि कि वे मि= उ न्रीथ= वे यं क वर्र - ६ इड इ

Figure 10: Another page from the *Līlavatī* in New Dogra (p. 2).

	da no managar de servicio de se	n de la compansa de	, N	j.z.	3 ′∶	\ 20.					
	秋 堂										
	2	3	ध	4	5	S	E	h	10		
ર	ध	.	(. 5)	. (* .)	१२	१स	१इ	र्ष	20		
3	ξ,	ħ	१२	१५	१ट	28	२ध	2/3	३०		
H .	=	१२	रद	२०	२ध	३ट	32	द्रद	४०		
4	10	१५	२०	24	३०	34	Ao	धप	40		
Ę	१२	१ट	२४	३०	३६	धर	¥ E	48	50		
V	१स	38	२⊑	34	क्षर	स्रभ	4६	६३	90		
E	१इ	२ध	३२	स०	स्ट	45	दध	७२	Eo		
ų	(5	२७	34	ध्य	पक्ष	६ ३	७३	52	Pro		
20	20	30	ध०	40	£0	७०	Eo	40	१००		
	विववी करी										
28	१३	£ '	<i>2</i>	1 4	F .	£ .	१ट	684	20		
7 3	२ध	२६	२ट	30	32	३५	₹	३८	Ho		
33			1	l	f	[ų's	_ [

Figure 11: Page from the *Līlavatī* showing the use of printed New Dogra digits (p. 3).

3~21	श्रद्र	3~71	श्यर्ण
७ ०८मा ५३०)ı	इंग ४ र	=)
योऽतिऽयोऽरेऽ)II	शद ्रमा ५३	≡)
%ं~∨म्र∨र)III	श ण्डे इंगा र	=)11
म्पर्दर	-)	%= युष्यम	v₹ =)III
शद॰मा॰रू॰	–)i	ग्र॰रगा॰र	1)
न्द्र उठमा ५३ ४	–)II	প্রত্যুত্য	173 131

Figure 12: Usage of fractions for denoting currency in New Dogra (from Staal 1984: 75). The text appears to be typeset using metal fonts. The fraction signs are currency marks may be represented using characters from the 'Common Indic Number Forms' block (U+A830) in Unicode.




Figure 13: Two specimens of a trilingual stamp for expedited mail from Jammu State in Old Dogra, Persian, and Devanagari scripts. The Dogra text reads ইন্থ কিন্তু কিন্তু কিন্তু কিন্তু হিলাল reads কিন্তু হল কিন্তু হল হৈছে। The Persian reads কিন্তু হল হৈছে। কিন্তু হল হৈছে কিন্তু হল হৈছে। কিন্তু হল হৈছে কিন্তু হল হৈছে। কিন্তু হল হৈছে।



Figure 14: Four bilingual regular postage stamps from Jammu State with text in the Old Dogra and Persian scripts. Stamps A, B, and D are half 'anna' stamps and C is a one 'anna' stamp. In all stamps, the Dogra text at the top reads እንህ jamu 'Jammu' and スラグは kachamīr (= kaśmīr) 'Kashmir'. In stamps A, B, and D, the first line of the center is Persian نم المراقبة المراق





Detail of top, left (Devanagari)



Detail of top, right (New Dogra)



Detail of center (New Dogra, Devanagari, and Urdu)

Figure 15: The mast of a two rupee non-judicial stamp paper from Kashmir State.



Figure 16: The mast of a five rupee non-judicial stamp paper from Kashmir state containing text in New Dogra, Devanagari, and Arabic (Persian) scripts



Figure 17: A one anna stamp from Jammu and Kashmir state with text in New Dogra, Devanagari, and Arabic (Urdu).



Figure 18: Telegraph stamps from Jammu and Kashmir state with text in Dogra, Devanagari, Urdu, and English. The Dogra denominations show usage of Common Indic fractions and the rupee mark.





postakarda kalamrau jammu kasmir va tibbataha "Postcard of the realm of Jammu, Kashmir, and Tibet"

isa tarapha siva pate ke kucha na likho "Do no write anything on this side except for the address"



Figure 19: A state postcard from Jammu and Kashmir with post mark of 19 April 1891. The inscription at top is in New Dogra and the content is in Persian. The postmarks are in English and Dogra.



One rupee banknote



Twenty rupee banknote

Figure 20: Bank notes from Jammu and Kashmir State containing text in New Dogra, Devanagari, and Arabic (Persian) scripts.

	Chamba	Dogra		Chamba	Dogra
A	$\overline{\Omega}$	म्ब	VOWEL SIGN A	no depend	lent form
AA	र्ष	\overline{M}_{λ}	VOWEL SIGN AA	<i>්</i>	୍ୟ
I	G	6	VOWEL SIGN I	િ	ি
II	G	_	VOWEL SIGN II	ി	ी
U	ઉ	\mathcal{E}	VOWEL SIGN U	ੁ	ુ
UU	E	_	VOWEL SIGN UU	្ធ	ૂ
Е	S	V	VOWEL SIGN E	ਂ	៑
AI	$\bar{\mathbf{s}}$	ิป	VOWEL SIGN AI	៊ី	៊ី
О	$\tilde{\nabla}$	$\hat{\mathcal{E}}$	VOWEL SIGN O	៊ី	े
AU	$\hat{\tilde{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}}$	_	VOWEL SIGN AU	~	~

Table 2: Comparison of Chamba and Dogra vowel letters and signs.

	Chamba	Dogra		Chamba	Dogra
KA	જ	a	DA	ນ	\mathfrak{T}
КНА	ਖ	В	DHA	ט	N
GA	ฮ	n	NA	J	₹
	w	w	PA	u	N
GHA	33(РНА	ઢ	ઢ
NGA	3.	Ċ	BA	પ	ζ
CA	ມ	IJ	вна	3	ਭ
СНА	*8	す	MA	ท	Ŋ
JA	31	ক	YA	य	ਧ
ЈНА	ን	π	RA	9	₹
NYA	দা	ङा	LA	ल	$\overline{\omega}$
TTA	5	5	VA	ય	Z
ТТНА	δ	0	SHA	হা	গ
11111		_	SSA	_	벼
DDA	3	क	SA	স	স
DDHA	ઢ	r	НА	3	3
NNA	ສ	<u>~</u>	RRA	ą.	3
TA	3	3		,-	•
ТНА	ষ	চ্ব			

Table 3: Comparison of Chamba and Dogra consonant letters.

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 2

PROPOSAL SUMMARY FORM TO ACCOMPANY SUBMISSIONS FOR ADDITIONS TO THE REPERTOIRE OF ISO/IEC 10646¹

Please fill all the sections A, B and C below.

Please read Principles and Procedures Document (P & P) from http://std.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/principles.html for guidelines and details before filling this form.

Please ensure you are using the latest Form from http://std.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/summaryform.html. See also http://std.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/summaryform.html. See also http://std.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/summaryform.html. See also http://std.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/summaryform.html. See also http://std.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/roadmaps.html for latest https://std.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/roadmaps.html for latest <a href="https://std.dkuug.dk/J

A. Administrative

3. Requester type (Member body/Liaison/Individual contribution): 4. Submission date: 5. Requester's reference (if applicable): 6. Choose one of the following: This is a complete proposal: (or) More information will be provided later: 8. Technical – General 1. Choose one of the following: a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters): Proposed name of script: b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block: Name of the existing block: 2. Number of characters in proposal: 9. Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P&P document): A-Contemporary B-1-Specialized (small collection) C-Major extinct F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic G-Obscure or questionable usage symbols 4. Is a repertoire including character names provided? a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines" in Annex L of P&P document? b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review? 5. Fonts related: a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard? Anshuman Pandey b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes	1. Title: Proposal to encode the Dogra script in Unicode	9			
4. Submission date: 5. Requester's reference (if applicable): 6. Choose one of the following: This is a complete proposal: (or) More information will be provided later: 8. Technical – General 1. Choose one of the following: a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters): Proposed name of script: b. The proposal is for a ddition of character(s) to an existing block: Name of the existing block: 2. Number of characters in proposal: 3. Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P&P document): A-Contemporary B.1-Specialized (small collection) C-Major extinct F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic G-Obscure or questionable usage symbols 4. Is a repertoire including character names provided? a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines" in Annex L of P&P document? b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review? 5. Fonts related: a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard? Anshuman Pandey b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? Yes b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes	2. Requester's name: Anshuman Pandey / Script Encoding Initiative	-,,,			
5. Requester's reference (if applicable): 6. Choose one of the following: This is a complete proposal: (or) More information will be provided later: 8. Technical – General 1. Choose one of the following: a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters): Proposed name of script: b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block: Name of the existing block: 2. Number of characters in proposal: 3. Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P&P document): A-Contemporary B.1-Specialized (small collection) B.2-Specialized (large collection) C-Major extinct D-Attested extinct E-Minor extinct E-Minor extinct E-Minor extinct F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic G-Obscure or questionable usage symbols 4. Is a repertoire including character names provided? a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines" in Annex L of P&P document? b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review? 5. Fonts related: a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard? Anshuman Pandey b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? Yes b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes					
6. Choose one of the following: This is a complete proposal: (or) More information will be provided later: 8. Technical – General 1. Choose one of the following: a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters): Proposed name of script: b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block: Name of the existing block: Name of the existing block: 3. Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P&P document): A-Contemporary B.1-Specialized (small collection) C-Major extinct D-Attested extinct E-Ainor extinct E-Ainor extinct E-Ainor extinct E-Airotaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic G-Obscure or questionable usage symbols 4. Is a repertoire including character names provided? a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines" in Annex L of P&P document? b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review? 5. Fonts related: a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard? Anshuman Pandey b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? Yes b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes		r 2015			
This is a complete proposal: (or) More information will be provided later: B. Technical – General 1. Choose one of the following: a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters): Proposed name of script: Dogra b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block: Name of the existing block: 2. Number of characters in proposal: 3. Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P&P document): A-Contemporary B.1-Specialized (small collection) C-Major extinct F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic 4. Is a repertoire including character names provided? a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines" in Annex L of P&P document? b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review? 5. Fonts related: a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard? Anshuman Pandey b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? Yes b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes					
(or) More information will be provided later: B. Technical – General 1. Choose one of the following: a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters): Proposed name of script: b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block: Name of the existing block: 2. Number of characters in proposal: 3. Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P&P document): A-Contemporary B. 1-Specialized (small collection) C-Major extinct D-Attested extinct E-Minor extinct E-Mino		Ves			
B. Technical – General 1. Choose one of the following: a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters): Proposed name of script: Dogra b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block: Name of the existing block: 2. Number of characters in proposal: 3. Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P&P document): A-Contemporary B.1-Specialized (small collection) C-Major extinct F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic A. Is a repertoire including character names provided? a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines" in Annex L of P&P document? b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review? 5. Fonts related: a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard? Anshuman Pandey b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes		700			
1. Choose one of the following: a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters): Proposed name of script: b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block: Name of the existing block: 2. Number of characters in proposal: 3. Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P&P document): A-Contemporary B.1-Specialized (small collection) B.2-Specialized (large collection) C-Major extinct D-Attested extinct E-Minor extinct F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic G-Obscure or questionable usage symbols 4. Is a repertoire including character names provided? a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines" in Annex L of P&P document? b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review? 5. Fonts related: a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard? Anshuman Pandey b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes					
a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters): Proposed name of script: Dogra b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block: Name of the existing block: 2. Number of characters in proposal: 3. Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P&P document): A-Contemporary B.1-Specialized (small collection) B.2-Specialized (large collection) C-Major extinct D-Attested extinct E-Minor extinct F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic G-Obscure or questionable usage symbols 4. Is a repertoire including character names provided? a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines" in Annex L of P&P document? b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review? 5. Fonts related: a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard? Anshuman Pandey b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes					
Proposed name of script: b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block: Name of the existing block: 2. Number of characters in proposal: 3. Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P&P document): A-Contemporary B.1-Specialized (small collection) C-Major extinct F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic G-Obscure or questionable usage symbols 4. Is a repertoire including character names provided? a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines" in Annex L of P&P document? b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review? 5. Fonts related: a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard? Anshuman Pandey b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? Yes b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes		Yes			
b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block: Name of the existing block: 2. Number of characters in proposal: 3. Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P&P document): A-Contemporary B.1-Specialized (small collection) C-Major extinct D-Attested extinct E-Minor extinct F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic 4. Is a repertoire including character names provided? a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines" in Annex L of P&P document? b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review? 5. Fonts related: a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard? Anshuman Pandey b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? Yes b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes					
2. Number of characters in proposal: 3. Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P&P document): A-Contemporary B.1-Specialized (small collection) B.2-Specialized (large collection) C-Major extinct D-Attested extinct E-Minor extinct X F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic G-Obscure or questionable usage symbols 4. Is a repertoire including character names provided? a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines" in Annex L of P&P document? b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review? 5. Fonts related: a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard? Anshuman Pandey b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? Yes b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes					
3. Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P&P document): A-Contemporary B.1-Specialized (small collection) B.2-Specialized (large collection) C-Major extinct D-Attested extinct E-Minor extinct X F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic G-Obscure or questionable usage symbols 4. Is a repertoire including character names provided? a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines" in Annex L of P&P document? b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review? 5. Fonts related: a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard? Anshuman Pandey b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? Yes b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes	Name of the existing block:				
A-Contemporary C-Major extinct D-Attested extinct F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic G-Obscure or questionable usage symbols 4. Is a repertoire including character names provided? a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines" in Annex L of P&P document? b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review? 5. Fonts related: a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard? Anshuman Pandey b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)?	2. Number of characters in proposal:	59			
A-Contemporary C-Major extinct D-Attested extinct F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic G-Obscure or questionable usage symbols 4. Is a repertoire including character names provided? a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines" in Annex L of P&P document? b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review? 5. Fonts related: a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard? Anshuman Pandey b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)?	3. Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P&P document):				
F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic G-Obscure or questionable usage symbols 4. Is a repertoire including character names provided? a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines" in Annex L of P&P document? b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review? 5. Fonts related: a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard? Anshuman Pandey b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)?		collection)			
4. Is a repertoire including character names provided? a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines" in Annex L of P&P document? b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review? 5. Fonts related: a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard? Anshuman Pandey b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes		X			
a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines" in Annex L of P&P document? b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review? 5. Fonts related: a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard? Anshuman Pandey b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? Yes b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes		age symbols			
in Annex L of P&P document? b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review? 5. Fonts related: a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard? Anshuman Pandey b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes		Yes			
b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review? 5. Fonts related: a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard? Anshuman Pandey b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? yes b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes					
5. Fonts related: a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard? Anshuman Pandey b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes					
a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard? Anshuman Pandey b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes	·				
b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes		uhlishina the			
Anshuman Pandey b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes		ublishing the			
b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Anshuman Pandey 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes					
6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes		e-mail, ftp-site, etc.):			
a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes	Anshuman Pandey				
 b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? Yes 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Ye	6. References:				
of proposed characters attached? 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes Yes					
7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes		er sources)			
Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes	• •				
presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes		L			
8 Additional Information:	presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transitteration etc. (ii yes please enclose inform	iauon)! 165			
	8. Additional Information:				
Submitters are invited to provide any additional information about Properties of the proposed Character(s) or Script		haracter(s) or Script			

Submitters are invited to provide any additional information about Properties of the proposed Character(s) or Script that will assist in correct understanding of and correct linguistic processing of the proposed character(s) or script. Examples of such properties are: Casing information, Numeric information, Currency information, Display behaviour information such as line breaks, widths etc., Combining behaviour, Spacing behaviour, Directional behaviour, Default Collation behaviour, relevance in Mark Up contexts, Compatibility equivalence and other Unicode normalization related information. See the Unicode standard at http://www.unicode.org for such information on other scripts. Also see Unicode Character Database (http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr44/) and associated Unicode Technical Reports for information needed for consideration by the Unicode Technical Committee for inclusion in the Unicode Standard.

¹ Form number: N4502-F (Original 1994-10-14; Revised 1995-01, 1995-04, 1996-04, 1996-08, 1999-03, 2001-05, 2001-09, 2003-11, 2005-01, 2005-09, 2005-10, 2007-03, 2008-05, 2009-11, 2011-03, 2012-01)

C. Technical - Justification

Has this proposal for addition of character(s) been submitted before? If YES explain	No
Has contact been made to members of the user community (for example: National Body,	
user groups of the script or characters, other experts, etc.)?	Yes
If YES, with whom? Christopher Shackle (SOAS, London)	700
If YES, available relevant documents:	
	-
3. Information on the user community for the proposed characters (for example:	Mo
size, demographics, information technology use, or publishing use) is included?	No
Reference: Historical script, currently not used	
The context of use for the proposed characters (type of use; common or rare) Reference:	Common
5. Are the proposed characters in current use by the user community?	No
If YES, where? Reference:	
6. After giving due considerations to the principles in the P&P document must the proposed character	ers he entirely
in the BMP?	N/A
If YES, is a rationale provided?	
If VES reference:	
	vod\2
7. Should the proposed characters be kept together in a contiguous range (rather than being scatter	eu)?
8. Can any of the proposed characters be considered a presentation form of an existing	No
character or character sequence?	NO
If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?	
If YES, reference:	
9. Can any of the proposed characters be encoded using a composed character sequence of either	
existing characters or other proposed characters?	No
If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?	
If YES, reference:	
10. Can any of the proposed character(s) be considered to be similar (in appearance or function)	
to, or could be confused with, an existing character?	No
If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?	
If YES, reference:	
11. Does the proposal include use of combining characters and/or use of composite sequences?	Yes
If YES, is a rationale for such use provided?	Yes
If YES, reference: See text of proposal	
Is a list of composite sequences and their corresponding glyph images (graphic symbols) prov	rided?
If YES, reference:	
12. Does the proposal contain characters with any special properties such as	
control function or similar semantics?	Yes
If YES, describe in detail (include attachment if necessary)	Virama;
see text of proposal	
13. Does the proposal contain any Ideographic compatibility characters?	No
If YES, are the equivalent corresponding unified ideographic characters identified?	
If YES, reference:	
*	