## World Trade Center Here Upheld by Appeals Court

Appellate Division Reversed in Voiding 470-Million Port Authority Plan-Case to Go to Supreme Court

## By PAUL CROWELL

Special to The New York Times

ALBANY, April 4—The Court to the United States Supreme of Appeals cleared the way to-The association Court. day for the Port of New York made up of businessmen on Authority to go ahead with its \$470,000,000 World Trade Center.1 ter on the lower West Side of

New York City. In a 6-1 decision, the state's the law was broad enough to highest court reversed a ruling permit the Port Authority to by the Appellate Division of acquire the private property on

ing the project was unconstitu- would be erected and also the tional. A majority opinion by properties of the Hudson and Associate Judge Adrian Burke held that the law, iden-

tical with one passed by New opinion were Jersey, violated no state or Fed- Charles S. Desmond and Assoeral constitutional provisions. [In New York City, Oscar

Nadel, chairman of the Downtown West Businessmen's Association, said the organization would appeal the decision the site of the proposed cen-The Appeals Court ruled that

the Supreme Court in Manhat- the 13-square-block area where tan that the state law authoriz- the world trade structures

> P. Manhattan Railroad. Concurring in the majority Chief Judge

ciate Judges Charles W. Froessel, Sydney F. Foster, Marvin R. Dye and Stanley H. Fuld. A dis-

senting opinion was filed by As-

Continued on Page 23, Column 5

## BIG TRADE CENTER Port Authority extensive and uncontrolled power to condemn UPHELD ON APPEAL and manage private real property for private purposes as a major object of the acquisition.

Continued From Page 1, Col. 3 could put the Port Authority sociate Judge John Van Voor- making it a potential landlord.

The effect of the majority rul-ing will be to give the Port argument that "centralizing"

Authority the go-ahead signal private activities in the

on its project. Title to the Hud-square-block area would transson and Manhattan Terminal form them into public purposes.

was vested in the bi-state agen- He declared that the bases of cy by the Supreme Court last private ownership and enter-July 26.

The entire project calls for the expenditure of about \$270, prise would be impaired if a project was to be held public 000,000 for the proposed huge merely because a public body World Trade Center for import declares it to be so to serve and export businesses and \$200, its own idea of the public good. 000,000 for the acquisition and

000,000 for the acquisition and rehabilitation of the Hudson and Manhattan properties, including complete reconstruction of the peals decision was made public, terminal at 30 and 50 Church the Port Authority announced Street. This will involve demolithat it would move next week

ter site. The terminal is now a part of the site. Lower Court Held to Err

a public purpose, but that three of the five justices had felt that the statute was unconstitution-

devoted to project purposes to be used to produce incidental revenue for the expenses of all or part of the port development project.

his dissenting opinion, In Judge Van Voorhis disputed the constitutionality of the state law.

The provisions declared unconstitutional by the Appellate Division, he said, did not appear to be inadvertent, but to have been inserted in the statute deliberately to give the

Soon after the Court of Aption of commercial structures on to revive the dormant modernithe 13-block World Trade Cenzation plans for the Hudson and Manhattan (Hudson Tubes) Sys-

Also up for action will be to

Port Authority to Act

This acquisition, he said,

in the real estate business by

Judge VanVoorhis saw no

13-

111

In the majority opinion Judge advance the architectural work Burke noted that the Appellate Division had agreed that the World Trade Center represented a nublic nursess but that the Commission which will be to advance the architectural work on the World Trade Center.

Both subjects will be on the agenda of the Port Authority Commission which will be to advance the architectural work on the World Trade Center.

Commission, which will hold a meeting next Thursday at the

power to condemn property to be used for no other purpose than the raising of revenue for the cost of the project.

The lower court majority was mistaken, Judge Burke held, in taking the view that the statute allowed unfettered construction of buildings that would be solely revenue-producing. The Court of Appeals held that the statute allowed only parts of structures that would be devoted to project.

Eighth Avenue.

Plans for the World Trade Center had been shelved, and operation of the tubes had been put on a caretaker basis after the Appellate Division ruling in February. The Port Authority had returned, unopened, bids on a contract to supply 250 air-conditioned cars when the lower court ruled against the New York-New Jersey law. agency's headquarters,

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.