Pali Text Society

JOURNAL

OF THE

PALI TEXT SOCIETY

VOLUME XVI

EDITED BY

K.R. NORMAN

Published by
THE PALI TEXT SOCIETY
OXFORD

© Pali Text Society 1992

ISBN 0-86013-304-4

First published in 1992
Distributed by Lavis Marketing
73 Lime Walk
Oxford OX3 7AD

CONTENTS

By R.H.B. Exell	1
Documents useful for the identification of Pāli manuscripts of Cambodia, Laos and Thailand By Jacqueline Filliozat	13
The arising of an offence: samuṭṭhāna By Oskar v. Hinüber	55
The case of the murdered monks By Laurence C.R. Mills	71
Pāli Lexicographical Studies IX By K.R. Norman	77
The ritual obligations and donor roles of monks in the Pāli Vinaya By Gregory Schopen	87
The Rakṣā Literature of the Śrāvakayāna By Peter Skilling	109
Contributors to this Volume	183
Notices	185

RÜPĀRŪPAVIBHĀGA1

By Buddhadatta²

THE CLASSIFICATION OF FORMS AND FORMLESS THINGS³

Homage to the Blessed One, the Arahant, the Fully Enlightened One.

Having paid respect to the Buddha, the seer of forms and formless things, the sage who has gone beyond them, I shall expound the classification of forms and formless things.

FORMS

There are twenty-eight kinds of form, in which the first four are the basic elements of form, and the rest are derived forms: (1) earth-element, (2) water-element, (3) fire-element, (4) wind-element, (5) eye-element, (6) ear-element, (7) nose-element, (8) tongue-element, (9) body-element, (10) visible form-element, (11) sound-element, (12) smell-element, (13) taste-element, touch-element, (14) female-faculty, (15) male-faculty, (16) faculty of life, (17) heart-base, (18) space-element, (19) bodily communication, (20) verbal communication, (21)

Journal of the Pali Text Society, XVI, 1992, 1-12

¹ The text from which the present translation is made is contained in the Pali Text Society's publication: *Buddhadatta's Manuals*, Part I, ed. A.P. Buddhadatta, London 1915, pp. 149-59. It is one of the nine so-called "Little-finger Manuals" (*Let-than*). For this type of literature, see K.R. Norman, *Pāli Literature*, Wiesbaden 1983, p. 153.

² Buddhadatta lived in the tenth century, Buddhist Era, and was a contemporary of Buddhaghosa, who held him in high esteem. The *Rūpārūpavibhāga* is a concise summary of the Theravāda Abhidhamma as it existed at that time.

³ This translation first appeared in *Visakha Puja*, BE 2507, Bangkok 1964, pp. 43–49. The Publications Committee of the Pali Text Society are grateful to the Buddhist Association of Thailand for giving permission to reprint it here, in a slightly amended form.

Rūpārūpavibhāga

lightness of form, (22) softness of form, (23) fitness of form, (24) growth of form, (25) continuity of form, (26) ageing of form, (27) impermanence of form, and (28) material food.

The five kinds of form beginning with the eye-element are internal; the rest are external. Colour, smell, taste, nutriment, and the four great elements are the eight kinds of form inseparable from each other; the rest are separable. The five kinds of form beginning with the eye-element, and the heart-base are bases; the others are not bases. The three faculties and the five beginning with the eye are the eight controlling faculties; the others are not controlling faculties. Setting aside the water-element, the twelve at the beginning are gross; the rest are subtle. Gross form is near, and subtle form is distant. Form produced by kamma is grasped; the rest is not grasped. The sphere of visible form is the only visible form; the others are invisible. Gross form is impinging; the others are not impinging.

The eight faculties and the heart-base are produced by kamma. Bodily communication and verbal communication are produced by mind. Sound is produced by season and mind. Lightness, softness, and fitness are produced by season, mind, and food. Birth, decay, and death do not originate from anything. Growth and continuity are said to arise from all four causes. The remaining nine forms are produced by season, mind, food, and kamma.

The first seventeen and food are the eighteen kinds of material form. The five kinds beginning with bodily communication are variations of form. The space-element is that which separates forms. Birth, ageing, and death are the three characteristics of form.

In the world of sense pleasures all these forms are obtained complete for moisture-born beings, and for spontaneously-born beings. But for male and female egg-born and womb-born beings at the time of rebirth three tenfold groups appear; the body, sex, and heart-base groups; while for the neuter beings and beings in the first age of the world there is no tenfold sex-group. But for these beings the tenfold groups of the eye, ear, nose, and tongue appear in the course of time.

Here the tenfold body-group should be understood as the inseparable forms, the body-faculty, and the faculty of life. The remaining tenfold groups are combined in a similar way.

In the world of pure form the nose, tongue, body, smells, tastes, and touch-objects, and the male and female faculties are not obtained; and for beings without perception the eyes, ears, heart-base, and sounds are not obtained. In the formless world there is no form.

FORMLESS THINGS

There are three kinds of formless things: states of mind, mental properties, and Nibbāna.

States of Mind

In brief the states of mind are of ten kinds: (1) rebirth, (2) passive state, (3) adverting, (4) seeing, etc., (5) receiving, (6) investigating, (7) determining, (8) impulsion, (9) retention, and (10) death. But in detail there are eighty-nine kinds.

The twenty-one good states of mind. There are eight good states of mind in the sphere of sense pleasures: (1) one spontaneous state accompanied by happiness and associated with knowledge, (2) one prompted state accompanied by happiness and associated with knowledge, (3) one spontaneous state accompanied by happiness and dissociated from knowledge, (4) one prompted state accompanied by happiness and dissociated from knowledge, (5) one spontaneous state accompanied by neutral feeling and associated with knowledge, (6) one prompted state accompanied by neutral feeling and associated with knowledge, (7) one spontaneous state accompanied by neutral feeling and dissociated from knowledge, and (8) one prompted state accompanied by neutral feeling and dissociated from knowledge.

They arise dependent on the heart, or independent of it, immediately after the adverting mind-consciousness-element. They take

Rūpārūpavibhāga

as object visible form, sound, smell, taste, touch, or thought, and are produced by giving, morality, or meditation, etc.

There are five good states of mind in the sphere of pure form: (1) the first stage of contemplation with initial thought, sustained thought, joy, ease, and one-pointedness, (2) the second stage of contemplation with sustained thought, joy, ease, and one-pointedness, (3) the third stage of contemplation with joy, ease, and one-pointedness, (4) the fourth stage of contemplation with ease and one-pointedness, and (5) the fifth stage of contemplation with neutral feeling and one-pointedness.

They arise always dependent on the heart, immediately after a suitable good state of mind associated with knowledge. They are produced by meditation, taking earth, etc., as meditation objects. The fifth stage of contemplation also occurs with the six special knowledges.

There are four good states of mind in the formless sphere: (1) the attainment of the sphere of unlimited space, (2) the attainment of the sphere of unlimited consciousness, (3) the attainment of the sphere of nothingness, and (4) the attainment of the sphere of neither perception nor non-perception.

They arise dependent on the heart, or independent of it, immediately after a good state of mind accompanied by neutral feeling and associated with knowledge. They are produced by meditation, and take as object respectively: (1) the space obtained by removing a meditation object, (2) consciousness of the first formless sphere, (3) the non-existence of anything, and (4) the sphere of nothingness.

There are four good transcendental states of mind: (1) the path of stream-attainment with views and doubt uprooted, (2) the path of once-return with sensual desire and ill will reduced, (3) the path of non-return with sensual desire and ill will uprooted, and (4) the path of Arahantship with the five higher fetters — desire for the sphere of pure form, desire for the formless sphere, conceit, restlessness, and ignorance — uprooted.

In these four good transcendental states of mind the first arises dependent on the heart, and the other three arise dependent on the heart or independent of it. They follow immediately after a suitable good state of mind associated with knowledge. They are produced by meditation, all four taking Nibbāna as object; and they are named 'the emptiness deliverance', 'the signless deliverance', or 'the desireless deliverance'.

The twelve bad states of mind. There are eight states of mind accompanied by greed: (1) the spontaneous state accompanied by happiness and associated with view, (2) the similar prompted state, (3) the spontaneous state accompanied by happiness and dissociated from view, (4) the similar prompted state, (5) the spontaneous state accompanied by neutral feeling and associated with view, (6) the similar prompted state, (7) the spontaneous state accompanied by neutral feeling and dissociated from view, and (8) the similar prompted state.

There are two states of mind associated with aversion: (9) the spontaneous state accompanied by unhappiness and associated with aversion, and (10) the similar prompted state.

There are two deluded states of mind: (11) the state accompanied by neutral feeling and associated with doubt, and (12) the state accompanied by neutral feeling and associated with restlessness.

In these twelve bad states of mind the two states associated with aversion arise always dependent on the heart, and the others arise dependent on the heart or independent of it. They take one or other of the six objects (beginning with visible form), and they follow immediately after the adverting mind-consciousness-element.

The thirty-six resultant states of mind. There are sixteen good resultant states of mind in the sphere of sense pleasures.

Eight of these do not contain root-causes: (1) Good resultant eye-consciousness accompanied by neutral feeling is dependent on the eye and takes a pleasing visible form as object. It arises by means of light immediately after the adverting mind-element. (2) Good resultant ear-consciousness accompanied by neutral feeling is dependent on the ear and takes a pleasing sound as object. It arises by means of space

immediately after the adverting mind-element. (3) Good resultant noseconsciousness accompanied by neutral feeling is dependent on the nose and takes a pleasing smell as object. It arises by means of the windelement immediately after the adverting mind-element. (4) Good resultant tongue-consciousness accompanied by neutral feeling is dependent on the tongue and takes a pleasing taste as object. It arises by means of the water-element immediately after the adverting mindelement. (5) Good resultant body-consciousness accompanied by ease is dependent on the body and takes a pleasing touch as object. It arises by means of the earth-element immediately after the adverting mindelement. (6) The receiving state of mind, which is the good resultant mind-element not containing root-causes, and which is accompanied by neutral feeling, arises dependent on the heart. It follows immediately after one of the above five good resultant types of consciousness and takes the same object. (7) The investigating state of mind, which is the good resultant mind-consciousness-element not containing root-causes, and which is accompanied by happiness, arises dependent on the heart and takes one or other of the six pleasant objects. It is named 'investigating' when it follows immediately after the good resultant receiving mind-element; or it is named 'retention' when it follows immediately after impulsion. (8) The investigating state of mind, which is the good resultant mind-consciousness-element not containing rootcauses, and which is accompanied by neutral feeling, arises dependent on the heart and takes one or other of the six pleasant objects. It is named 'investigating' when it follows immediatley after the good resultant receiving mind-element not containing root-causes; it is named 'retention' when it follows immediately after impulsion; it is named 'passive state' when it follows immediately after retention; it is named 'death' at the decay of the passive state; it is named 'rebirth' when it follows immediately after the moment of death; or it is named 'passive state' when it follows immediately after rebirth.

There are eight resultant states of mind containing root-causes in the sphere of sense pleasures: (1) the spontaneous state accompanied

by happiness and associated with knowledge, (2) the similar prompted state, (3) ... (8). They arise always dependent on the heart and take one or other of the six pleasant objects. They are named 'retention' when they follow immediately after impulsion; they are named 'passive state' when they follow immediately after retention; they are named 'death' at the decay of the passive state; they are named 'rebirth' when they follow immediately after the moment of death; or they are named 'passive state' when they follow immediately after rebirth.

There are five resultant states of mind in the sphere of pure form: (1) the first stage of contemplation with initial thought, sustained thought, joy, ease, and one-pointedness, (2) ... (5). They arise always dependent on the heart and take earth, etc., as meditation objects. They are named 'passive state' when they follow immediately after impulsion; they are named 'death' at the decay of the passive state; they are named 'rebirth' when they follow immediately after the moment of death; or they are named 'passive state' when they follow immediately after rebirth.

There are four resultant states of mind in the formless sphere: (1) the sphere of unlimited space, (2) ... (4). They arise independent of the heart, and take as object respectively: (1) the space obtained by removing a meditation object, (2) ... (4). They are named 'passive state' when they follow immediately after impulsion; they are named 'death' at the decay of the passive state; they are named 'rebirth' when they follow immediately after the moment of death; or they are named 'passive state' when they follow immediately after rebirth.

There are four states of mind which are transcendental fruits: (1) the fruit of stream-attainment, (2) ... (4). Of these the fruit of stream-attainment arising immediately after the path is always dependent on the heart. The other three are dependent on the heart or independent of it. All four take Nibbāna as object; and when they first appear immediately after the path they are named 'the emptiness deliverance', 'the signless deliverance', or 'the desireless deliverance'.

9

There are seven bad resultant states of mind: (1) Bad resultant eye-consciousness accompanied by neutral feeling is dependent on the eye and takes an unpleasant visible form as object. It arises by means of light immediately after the adverting mind-element. (2) ... (4). (5) Bad resultant body-consciousness accompanied by pain is dependent on the body and takes an unpleasant touch as object. It arises by means of the earth-element immediately after the adverting mind-element. (6) The receiving state of mind, which is the bad resultant mind-element not containing root-causes, and which is accompanied by neutral feeling, arises dependent on the heart. It follows immediately after one of the above five bad resultant types of consciousness and takes the same object. (7) The investigating state of mind, which is the bad resultant mind-consciousness-element not containing root-causes, and which is accompanied by neutral feeling, arises dependent on the heart and takes one or other of the six unpleasant objects. It is named 'investigating' when it follows immediately after the bad resultant receiving mindelement not containing root-causes; it is named 'retention' when it follows immediately after impulsion; it is named 'passive state' when it follows immediately after retention; it is named 'death' at the decay of the passive state; it is named 'rebirth' when it follows immediately after the moment of death; or it is named 'passive state' when it follows immediately after rebirth.

R.H.B. Exell

The twenty inoperative states of mind. There are eleven inoperative states of mind in the sphere of sense pleasures. Three of these do not contain root-causes: (1) The mind-element accompanied by neutral feeling which adverts to the doors of the five senses is dependent on the heart, and arises immediately after the passive state. It takes one or other of the five objects, and precedes the five types of senseconsciousness. (2) The mind-consciousness-element accompanied by happiness which produces the smile of the Arahant is always dependent on the heart. It takes one or other of the six objects at the mind-door, and follows immediately after the adverting mind-consciousness-element. (3) The adverting mind-consciousness-element accompanied by neutral

feeling arises dependent on the heart or independent of it. It is named 'adverting' when it follows immediately after the passive state; or it is named 'determining' when it follows immediately after investigation. It takes one or other of the six objects, and it precedes the good, bad, and inoperative impulsive states of mind in the sphere of sense pleasures.

There are eight inoperative states of mind accompanied by rootcauses in the sphere of sense pleasures: (1) the spontaneous state accompanied by happiness and associated with knowledge, (2) ... (8). They are dependent on the heart or independent of it, they take one or other of the six objects, and they arise immediately after the adverting mind-consciousness-element.

There are five inoperative states of mind in the sphere of pure form: (1) the first stage of contemplation with initial thought, sustained thought, joy, ease, and one-pointedness, (2) ... (5). They arise always dependent on the heart, immediately after a suitable inoperative state of mind associated with knowledge, and they take earth, etc., as meditation objects. The fifth stage of contemplation also occurs with the six special knowledges.

There are four inoperative states of mind in the formless sphere: (1) the attainment of the sphere of unlimited space, (2) ... (4). They arise dependent on the heart or independent of it, immediately after an inoperative state of mind accompanied by neutral feeling and associated with knowledge. They take as object respectively: (1) the space obtained by removing a meditation object, (2) ... (4).

Mental Properties

There are fifty-two mental properties.

The mental properties common to all states of mind. There are seven of these as follows: (1) contact, (2) feeling, (3) perception, (4) volition, (5) one-pointedness, (6) faculty of life, and (7) attention.

The good mental properties. The following twenty mental properties do not arise in thirty of the states of mind — the bad states,

Rūpārūpavibhāga

and the states not containing root-causes: (1) faculty of faith, (2) faculty of mindfulness, (3) faculty of wisdom, (4) power of moral shame, (5) power of fearing to do evil, (6) non-greed, (7) non-hate, (8) calmness of the body, (9) calmness of the state of mind, (10) lightness of the body, (11) lightness of the state of mind, (12) softness of the body, (13) softness of the state of mind, (14) fitness of the body, (15) fitness of the state of mind, (16) capability of the body, (17) capability of the state of mind, (18) uprightness of the body, (19) uprightness of the state of mind, and (20) neutrality. But wisdom is not obtained in the twelve states dissociated from knowledge, so it does not arise in forty-two of the states of mind.

(21) Compassion and (22) gladness arise at times and separately in twenty states of mind: in the states of mind in the sphere of pure form, with the exception of the fifth stage of contemplation; in the good states of mind accompanied by happiness in the sphere of sense pleasures; and in the inoperative states of mind containing root causes and accompanied by happiness. (23) Right speech, (24) right conduct, and (25) right livelihood arise in the transcendental states of mind. Among the worldly states of mind they also arise at times and variously in the good states in the sphere of sense pleasures.

There are six miscellaneous mental properties: (1) initial thought, (2) sustained thought, (3) joy, (4) the faculty of energy, (5) will, and (6) determination. Among these, initial thought and sustained thought do not arise in thirty-one states of mind: the types of sense-consciousness in two fivefold groups, the three highest states of mind in the sphere of pure form, and all the states of mind in the formless sphere. Joy does not arise in fifty-four states of mind: the states accompanied by unhappiness and those accompanied by neutral feeling, body-consciousness, the fourth stage of contemplation, and the state of mind adverting to the mind-door. Energy does not arise in sixteen states of mind: the resultant states not containing root-causes, and the adverting mind-element. Will does not arise in twenty states of mind: the eighteen states of mind not containing root-causes (comprising the types

of sense-consciousness in two fivefold groups, the three mind-elements, the investigating states, the smiling state, and the determining state), and also the two deluded states of mind. Determination does not arise in eleven states of mind: the types of sense-consciousness in two fivefold groups, and the state of mind with doubt.

The bad mental properties. The following four mental properties are common to all bad states of mind: (1) delusion, (2) shamelessness, (3) not fearing to do evil, and (4) restlessness. (5) Greed arises in the eight states of mind accompanied by greed. (6) View arises in the four states of mind associated with view. (7) Conceit sometimes arises in the four states of mind dissociated from view. (8) Sloth and (9) torpor arise in the five prompted states of mind. (10) Hatred arises in the two states of mind with aversion. (11) Envy, (12) stinginess, and (13) worry arise at times and separately in the two states of mind accompanied by unhappiness. (14) Doubt arises in the state of mind accompanied by doubt. These fourteen mental properties are invariably bad.

The combinations of mental properties. There are seven mental properties in the two fivefold groups of sense-consciousness; ten in the three mind-elements, and in the two types of rebirth not containing root-causes; eleven in the investigating state accompanied by happiness, and in the determining state; and twelve in the smiling state of mind.

Among the bad states of mind there are fifteen mental properties in the two deluded states; eighteen in the fifth, seventh, and ninth states; nineteen in the first and third; twenty in the sixth, eighth, and tenth; and twenty-one in the second and fourth.

There are thirty mental properties in the fifth stage of contemplation in the sphere of pure form, and in the states of the formless sphere; thirty-one in the resultant and inoperative states of mind accompanied by neutral feeling and dissociated from knowledge, and in the fourth stage of contemplation; thirty-two in the resultant and inoperative states accompanied by neutral feeling and associated with knowledge, in the good state accompanied by neutral feeling and

R.H.B. Exell

dissociated from knowledge, in the resultant state accompanied by happiness and dissociated from knowledge, and in the third stage of contemplation; thirty-three in the good and inoperative states accompanied by happiness and dissociated from knowledge, in the good state accompanied by neutral feeling and associated with knowledge, in the resultant state accompanied by happiness and associated with knowledge, and in the second stage of contemplation; and thirty-four in the good and inoperative states accompanied by happiness and associated with knowledge, and in the first stage of contemplation.

The abstinences and the boundless states also arise together. In the transcendental states of mind there are thirty-four mental properties.

Nibbāna

Everlasting, deathless, secure, constant, peaceful, and unformed — having surpassed words the Tathāgata proclaimed Nibbāna.

By this merit may Buddhadatta, released from the fear of dwelling in saṃsāra, quickly acquire the exalted, blissful dispelling of passion that is free from all intoxication.

Bangkok R.H.B. Exell

DOCUMENTS USEFUL FOR THE IDENTIFICATION OF PÅLI MANUSCRIPTS OF CAMBODIA, LAOS AND THAILAND

Having been confronted for about ten years by the problems of identification and cataloguing of more than a thousand manuscripts in Pāli in the major European collections, roughly a hundred of which have no apparent title or colophon, I was led to establish, for the lack of anything better, alphabetic cards, listing the beginnings and ends of the texts, the stanzas, when I recognised them, and the visually most obvious passages, for example, the litanies, repetitions, formulae, etc., in the hope of identifying them, or at least putting them in accordance with one another or finding possible concordances with the edited texts.

I thus, during the course of my transcriptions, put together a large collection of documents which could serve to identify the thousands of other Pāli manuscripts still awaiting classification in our libraries and archives of Europe.

In the absence of such elementary tools as alphabetical lists of the Pāli texts written or used in Cambodia, Laos or Thailand, or histories of this Pāli literature, I was led to collect together all the documentation scattered in the various works and articles of our teachers (Finot, Cœdès, Saddhatissa) which have never been indexed but are nevertheless rich in notes and information on those texts, which have until now remained unedited or unknown, in order to be able to make use of a single work of reference, when I had several hundred pages to search through.

"Documents I" below constitutes a first attempt at an alphabetical classification of the principal titles or colophons of the texts in the Pāli of Indochina indicated by our philologists from 1917 to 1989.

The editions of the "Institut bouddhique de Phnom Penh", so precious since they establish texts lost in their original manuscript form, occasionally contain, in the best instances, very long lists of contents that have to be studied from beginning to end to find the desired text.

Journal of the Pali Text Society, XVI, 1992, 13-54

In "Documents II", I give their classification in alphabetical order to facilitate access to them.

These collected materials now constitute a base of information easy to consult on computer, which will permit a new approach to the Pāli literature of Cambodia, Laos and Thailand.¹

I

Alphabetical table of titles² of Pāli works mentioned in:

DPLT H. Saddhatissa, The Dawn of Pali Literature in Thailand, in *Malalasekera Commemoration Volume*, Colombo 1976, chapter 3, pp. 315–24

NPT G. Cœdès, Note sur les ouvrages pâlis composés en pays thai, BEFEO XV n° 3, Hanoi 1915, pp. 39-46

PBST H. Saddhatissa, Pali Buddhist Studies in Thailand today, in *Nyānātiloka Centenary Volume*, Kandy 1978, pp. 31–46

PLC H. Saddhatissa, Pali Literature in Cambodia, JPTS IX, 1981, pp. 178-97

PLL H. Saddhatissa, Pali Literature from Laos, in Studies in Pali and Buddhism (A Memorial Volume in Honor of Bhikkhu Jagdish Kashyap), Delhi 1979, pp. 327-40

PLT H. Saddhatissa, Pali Literature of Thailand, in *Buddhist Studies* in honour of I.B. Horner, Dordrecht 1974, pp. 211–25

RLL L. Finot, Recherches sur la littérature laotienne, *BEFEO* XVII n° 5, Hanoi 1917, pp. 42–83

RME G. Cœdès, Rapport sur une mission d'études philologiques et archéologiques au Cambodge, *BEFEO* XII, Hanoi 1912, pp. 176–79

RPA G. Cœdès, Une recension pālie des annales d'Ayuthya, *BEFEO* XIV n° 3, Hanoi 1914, pp. 1–31

SPLT H. Saddhatissa, A Survey of the Pali Literature of Thailand, in Amalā Prajñā: Aspects of Buddhist Studies (P.V. Bapat Volume), Delhi 1989, pp. 41–46

Akkharagiṇṭhi PLL 337 Akkharasap RLL 63 Atthakathā-Caturāga DPLT 318

¹ English translation by Beatrice Chrystall.

² Pāli texts and titles are reproduced here as they are transcribed by the authors.

Atthakathā-tīkā-Abhidhammāvatāra DPLT 318

Atthakathā-tīkā- Vinaya DPLT 318

Atthamasangīti-akharasamsodhana SPLT 44

Atthasālinī Atthayojanā SPLT 41

Aḍḍhabhāgabuddharūpanidāna NPT 46, SPLT 44 Atthayojanā-Samantapāsādikā NPT 41, PLT 213-15

Atthayojanā-Abhidhamma NPT 41, PLT 213-15

Anāgatabuddhavamsa PLC 192

Anāgatavamsa DPLT 318, RLL 65

Anutīkā Hingadhamma DPLT 318

Anuruddhasutta PLL 334

Abhidhamma cet kambī PLL 329

Abhidhammatthavibhāvinī pañcika-Atthayojanā SPLT 41

Abhidhammatthasangaha RLL 52 Abhidhammasangaha DPLT 318

Amatarasadhārā PLC 181

Amarakatabuddharūpanidāna NPT 46, PLT 213, SPLT 44

Arindamajātaka PLL 33O

Arunavatī PLL 335

Aruṇavatīsutta RLL 42

Arunavatti DPLT 318

Alambusājātaka RLL 50

Asokadhammarājanibbāna PLL 337

Ākaravattasutta PLL 334, RLL 58

Ādikamma PLC 182

Änandanibbāna RLL 66

Ānisamsa PLC 185, RLL 73

Iti pi so ... RLL 58

Indasāva PLC 185

Unhassavijaya RLL 74-76

Unhassavijayajātaka PLL 335

Uppātasanti NPT 39

Uppātasantipakaraņa RLL 60

Uppālasanti SPLT 45

Ovādānusāsanā PLC 183

Kaccāyanarūpadīpanī RME 177, NPT 41, PLT 214

Kaccāyanasāra RLL 61

Kaccāyanasārasaṃvaṇṇanā RLL 61

Kathāvatthu Atthayojanā SPLT 41

Kathinānisamsakathā PLC 187

Kavikanthābhārana RLL 63

Kāyanagara PLC 186

Kārakanyāsa RLL 62

Kāvyasāravilāsinī RLL 63

Kusaladhammavinicchayakathā PLL 336

Kesadhātu RLL 69

Khuddhasikkhā yojanā RME 178

Ganthidīpanī (Pātimokkha) NPT 41, PLT 214

Ganthasāra PLT 215, SLPT 43

Ganthābharaṇa NPT 41, PLT 218, SPLT 43

Ganthabhāraṇaṭīkā SPLT 43

Gandhaghātakajātaka PLL 333

Gavampattisutta RLL 66

Gāthālokaneyya PLC 189

Govindasutta RLL 42

Cakkavāļadīpanī PLT 217, SPLT 45

Cakkānavuttijātaka PLL 333

Cakkhānavuttipāpasutta PLL 333

Catupārisuddhasīla PLC 182

Catubhāṇavāra PLC 184

Caturārakkhā PLC 184, PLL 335

Caturāsītidhammakkhandhasahassasamvannanā PLL 337

Catuvīsatisutta RLL 59

Candagādhajātaka PLL 329

Candapajjotajātaka RLL 49

Candabrāhmaṇajātaka RLL 49

Candasamuddajātaka RLL 49

Candasuriyakumārajātaka RLL 50

Cariyāpiţaka DPLT 318

Cāmadevīvamsa NPT 43, PLT 213, SPLT 43

Citraganthidīpanī RLL 71

Cundasūkarikasutta PLL 334, RLL 72

Cullațīkāvisuddhimagga PLC 187

Culladhammapālajātaka RLL 44

Cullapāramī RLL 72

Cūlayuddhakāravamsa PLT 220

Cūlarājaparitta PBST 39

Cūļasaddhammasangaha SPLT 44

Cetanabhedā PLL 334, RLL 72

Cetanabhedavannanā PLL 339

Chandavuttivilāsinī RLL 63

Jakkhaṇabhidhamma DPLT 318

Jambudīpasangītiniddesa RPA 4

Jambupatisutta PLC 189, PLT 222, RLL 66

Jātattaginidāna PLL 340

Jinakālamālinī NPT 45, PLL 336

Jinakālamālīpakaraņa DPLT 317, RME 178, RPA 4, PLT 215,

SPLT 44

Jinaguyhadhātu RLL 70

Jīnālankāra DPLT 318

Timsāpāramī PLL 336

Tinnakavatthu PLC 187

Tilakkhanasuttasangaha PLL 329

Tilokadīpanī PLL 336

Tilokavinicchaya PLC 187

Traiphum RLL 71

Trailokaviniścaya PLC 187

Trailokyavinicchayakathā RLL 71

Dantadhātu RLL 69

Dabbanibbāna RLL 66

Dasa-anāgatabuddhavamsa PLL 337 Dasapuññakiriyavatthu PLC 185

Dasabodhisatta-uddesa PLC 192

Dasavatthu PLC 185

Dasavarañāṇabuddhābhiseka PLL 334

Dibbamanta RLL 59 (= Khandhaparitta)³

Dibbamantasutta PLL 339

Dukammajātaka PLL 329

Devadūtasutta RLL 42

Devadhitapañhā PLL 335

Dvesīsajātaka PLL 330

Dhammakkhandha (84,000 Tipiṭaka units) RLL 76

Dhammajātaka DPLT 318

Dhammadāyāda RLL 42

Dhammadāsajātaka RLL 50

Dhammadesanāpāda RLL 72

Dhammapada DPLT 318

Dhammapadatthakathā NPT 40, RLL 71

Dhammapadasuttasangahatilakkhanavinicchaya PLL 329

Dhammanahākathā DPLT 318

Dhammasamvega RLL 72

Dhammasanganī-Mātikatthasarūpa SPLT 42

Dhammasanganimātikā RLL 57

Dhammasavane RLL 50

Dhammikapanditajātaka PLL 334

Dhammikarājājātaka RLL 50

Dhātukathā Atthayojanā SPLT 41

Dhātvāhāra RLL 62

Nandakumāra PLL 329

Nandasutta PLL 333

³ The 4th sutta of Sattaparitta does not correspond to P.S. Jaini's ed.

Niddesanyāsasangaha PLL 327

Nibbāna, fortress of crystal RLL 77

Nibbānasutta PLL 332

Nirutti RLL 77

Paṃsukūladānānisaṃsakathā PLC 192

Pañcagatidīpanī PLC 186-87

Pañcappakaraṇaṭṭhakathā PLT 214

Pañcabuddhabyākaraņa PLC 192

Paññāpāramī RLL 72

Paññāsajātaka PLC 188, RLL 44

Paṭipattisaṅgaha RME 178

Pathamamullamūlītīkā RLL 77 Patthana Atthayojanā SPLT 41

Pathamasambodhi PLC 180, NPT 41, RME 177, PLT 218, SPLT 44,

PLL 335-36

Padakkamayojanāsaddatthabhedacintā SPLT 42

Papañcasūdanī DPLT 318 Pabbajjānisamsa PLL 335

Paritta RLL 52-55

Parinibbānasutta PLL 329 Pavaranahamsajātaka PLL 332

Pādalakkhaṇagāthā = yassa pādesu jātāni dve cakkāni mahesino RLL 57

Pāramīdīpanī RLL 72 Pārileyyaka DPLT 323

Pālimuttakavinayavinicchayavinayasangahatīkā RLL 51

Pālileyyaka DPLT 323 Pāleyayaka DPLT 318

Puggalapaññatti Atthayojanā SPLT 41

Punnakasetthijātaka RLL 50

Pet mun RLL 76

Praya Tham jātaka RLL 50

Praya Sankasayajātaka RLL 50

Poranasangaha PLL 327

Phra Malai RLL 65

Balasamkyā RLL 72 Balasamkyājātaka RLL 49

Bārānasīrājajātaka PLL 338

Bālasankhyājātaka PLL 331

Bāhumtīkā PLC 190

Bāhumsa / Bāhumsa-cintāmaniratana PLC 190

Bāhuṃsahassa PLC 191 Bimbātherījātaka RLL 49⁴

Bimbādevī PLC 181

Bimbānibbāna RLL 66

Bimbābhilābhavannanā PLC 181 Bimbābhilāyasutta PLC 181

Buddhaguna RLL 72

Buddhaghosanidāna PLT 214 Buddhajayamangala PLC 191 Buddhalakkhana PLT 221

Buddhavamsa DPLT 318, RLL 42

Buddhānussatti RLL 72 Buddhāpadāna PLL 328 Buddhābhiseka PLL 334

Buddhābhisekagāthā RLL 57, 58 Bojjhaṅgapāṭhabhāvanā PLC 184 Bodhipakkhiyādhammā RLL 72 Brahmadattajātaka RLL 50

Bhayaratjātaka RLL 49

Bhikkhupātimokkhagaṇṭhidīpanī SPLT 41 Maṅgala-aṭṭhatthasāra-aṭṭhakathā PLC 186

Maṅgalagāthā RLL 58-59

Maṅgalatthadīpanī PLT 217, DPLT 317, SPLT 42

Maṅgaladīpanī NPT 39, RME 177, RLL 71

⁴ Colophon: yasondarāya bhikkhuṇīya parinibbānavaṇṇanā niṭṭhitā

Mangalavivāha PLC 180

Madhuratthapakāsinī PLC 190

Madhuratthapurāṇavilāsinī DPLT 318

Manorathapūranī DPLT 318

Malai Pothisat RLL 65

Malai Mun RLL 65

Malai Sen RLL 65

Mahākappalokasanthānapaññatti PLC 186

Mahākalpa DPLT 318

Mahākassapattheraparinibbānakathā PLL 336

Mahākassapanibbāna RLL 66

Mahākuṃsānphalaparitta PLC 190

Mahācakkavāļaparitta PLC 190

Mahājambupatisarājā PLC 189

Mahādibbamanta PLC 191

Mahānidāna DPLT 318, PLL 336

Mahābuddhaguna PLC 186

Mahāmoggallānaparinibbānavatthu PLL 337

Mahāyuddhakāravamsa PLT 220

Mahāratanabimbavamsa PLC 181

Mahārājapabbam PLC 189

Mahārājaparitta PBST 39

Mahāvipāka PLC 182, PLL 334

Mahāvessantara-aṭṭhakathā PLC 188-89

Mahāsampinditanidāna PLC 181

Mahāsaddanīta RLL 62

Mahāsāriputtanibbānasutta PLL 336

Mātikatthasarūpa-Dhammasanganī SPLT 42

Mālikā PLL 329

Mālikājātaka RLL 50

Māleyyatherasutta PLT 215

Milindațīkā PLC 190

Milindapañha DPLT 318

Milindapañhațīkā RME 178

Mukhamatthakathā PLT 220

Mülakaccāyanayojanā SPLT 43

Mūlakammaṭṭhāna PLL 328

Mūlakittijātaka PLL 333

Mūlasāsana PLT 216

Metteyyasutta PLL 328, RLL 65 Yamaka Atthayojanā SPLT 41

Yasasassatha PLC 187

Yokappakko Ācāriya PLL 335

Yogāvacara RLL 77

Yojanāsamāsa PLC 188

Ratanabimbavamsa NPT 46, PLT 213

Ratanabimbavamsapakaranavannanākathā SPLT 43

Ratanamālā = Lingatthavivaraņa RLL 62

Ratanamālābhidhāna RLL 62

Ratanasutta RLL 60

Rassisinga = Alambusājātaka RLL 50

Rāmaññasamaṇavaṃsa PLT 221

Rāhulaparittā RLL 59

Lankādīpacatutthavārasangahaniddesa RPA 4 Lingatthavivarana = Ratanamālābhidhāra RLL 62

Līnatthapakāsana RLL 62

Līnatthappakāsinī DPLT 323, RLL 62

Līnatthavisodhanī RLL 62

Lem luon RLL 76 Lokadīpanī PLT 217

Lokadvipa PLL 336

Lokapaññatti DPLT 318

Lokavidū PLL 334

Lokavinaya PLL 331

Lokasanthānajotaratanaganthi RLL 71

Lokipannāsajātaka PLT 221

Lokūpapatti DPLT 318

Lohagonajātaka PLL 333

Vajirabodhitīkā RLL 51

Vajirasāratthasangaha PLT 216

Vajirasāratthasangahatīkā SPLT 43

Varavamsajātaka PLL 338

Vākyasāravilāsinī RLL 62

Vāmadantadhātusutta PLL 328, RLL 69

Vijayajātaka = Si Vixai RLL 49

Vijādhara = Vijjñādhammajātaka PLC 188

Vijjñādhammajātaka = Vijādhara PLC 188

Vidagdhamukhamandanatikä RME 178

Vidadhimukhamandanatikā = Vidagdhamukhamandanatikā RME 178

Vinai rom RLL 52

Vinayakiccavicarana RLL 52

Vinayapadayojanā RLL 52

Vinayavinicchaya RLL 52

Vinayasangaha RLL 51

Vuttivyākhyā RLL 62

Vuttodaya atthakathā RLL 63

Vuttodayayojanā RLL 63

Vemativinodanī RLL 61

Velāmaiātaka PLC 192

Vessantarajātaka RLL 445

Vessantaradīpanī NPT 41, PLT 217, SPLT 42

Sampinditamahānidāna PLC 180, PLL 336

Samvegavatthu RLL 72

Sakkapabbam PLC 189

Sankhittovāda RLL 72

Sankhepa-atthajotani PLT 218

Sankhepatthajotanī Visuddhimaggacūļatīkā PLC 188

Sankhyatīkā SPLT 43

Sankhyāpakāsaka PLT 217, NPT 39

Sankhyāpakāsakatīkā SPLT 45

Sankhyāpakāsakadīpanī SPLT 42

Saṅgītikathā PLC 183

Sangītivamsa RPA 4, PLT 219, RME 178, SPLT 44

Sańsāravattajātaka RLL 49

Sattamangalagāthā RLL 59

Saddatthabhedacintā RLL 61

Saddatthabhedacintāpadakkamayojanā SPLT 42

Saddatthabhedāvātara RLL 62

Saddabindu PLT 215, RLL 61, 62

Saddabindu-abhinavatīkā SPLT 43

Saddabinduţīkā RLL 61

Saddabinduviṭṭhāraṭīkā RLL 62

Saddabheda RLL 61

Saddasangaha RLL 52

Saddasutta = Sut Satta = Kaccāyana RLL 57

Saddavācakalakkhaņa RLL 62

Saddavidhānalakkhaņa RLL 62

Saddavidhānalakkhanatīkā RLL 62

Saddavimala RLL 62

Saddavisesana RLL 62

Saddavuttipakāsatīkā RLL 62

Saddhammasangaha PLT 212, RPA 4, NPT 43, SPLT 44

Sandhikappa RLL 57

Sappurisasutta PLL 328

Sabbapāramī RLL 72

Sabbasutta RLL 50

Samantapāsādikā DPLT 318

Samantapāsādikā-atthayojanā SPLT 41

⁵ 6 chapters are found independently: Dasavara, Himavanta, Vanappavesana, Jūjaka, Mahāvana, Kumāra; the gāthā also can be independent: gāthā Jūjaka, Vanao and the abridged story Mahājāti rom

```
Samāsarūpadīpanī PLC 188
```

Sammohavinodanī Atthayojanā SPLT 41

Sambhamittajātaka PLL 330

Sarākarivijāsutta PLL 339

Sarātthasangaha NPT 40, SPLT 42

Salākarivijasutta PLL 335

Sāratthadīpanī DPLT 318

Sāratthapakāsinī DPLT 318

Sāratthasaṅgaha RLL 62, 72

Sārasangaha DPLT 318

Sāriputtanibbāna RLL 66

Sāririkavinicchaya DPLT 318

Sāsanasobhana PBST 39

Sāsanavamsa NPT 39

Sāsanāyuppakaraņa PLC 183

Singālasutta RLL 42

Siṅguttara RLL 69

Siñjayajātaka RLL 50

Sinnorathapakāsinī DPLT 318

Sivijayajātaka PLC 189

Sihinganidāna PLT 213, NPT 43, SPLT 43

Sīmāsankaravinicchaya SPLT 41

Sīlajātaka = Sīlavimamsaka° or Sīlavanāga° PLC 188

Sīhagati RLL 62

Suet mon (Paritta) RLL 54

Sut RLL 53

Sut iti pi so RLL 58

Sut catuvik RLL 59

Sut Tippamon = Dibbamanta RLL 59

Sut Pothisat RLL 576

Sut Banton RLL 58-59

Sut Sadda RLL 61

Sut Son = Sandhikappa RLL 57

Suttaniddesa RLL 61

Suttantimātikā = Dhammasanganimātikā RLL 57

Suttasangaha PLL 328, RLL 50

Sudhanajātaka PLL 329

Suddhakammajātaka PLC 188

Suddhantaparivāsa PLC 183

Sunandarājajātaka RLL 50

Sunandarājasutta PLL 333

Subodhālankara RLL 63

Subhamittajätaka PLL 330

Sumangalavilāsinī DPLT 318

Surindakumārajātaka RLL 50

Surindajambujātaka RLL 50

Suriyavamsasahamsajātaka PLL 332

Suvannacakkajātaka RLL 50

Suvannajātaka PLL 331

Suvannabrahmajātaka PLL 332

Suvannameghajātaka PLL 332, RLL 50

Suvannamukhajātaka RLL 49

Suvaņņasirasājātaka PLL 338

Suvannahamsa PLL 330

Suvannahamsajātaka PLL 332

Sotabbamālinī PLT 219

Sodattakimahānidāna PLL 335

Solasamangalaparitta PLC 190

⁶ bhagavato rūpakāyathomanā buddhābhisekagāthā

29

II

Index of Bhāṇavārapālī

(Institut bouddhique edition, Phnom Penh 1936)

Contents in alphabetical order: titles, beginnings and endings of texts, stanzas and significant passages (except the *Bhikkhupātimokkha*). References are to pages.

beg. = beginning; **end.** = ending; **mid.** = middle of texts.

aggato ve pasannānam aggam dhammam vijānatam agge buddhe pasannānam dakkhiņeyye anuttare 105, beg. Aggappasādasuttagāthā

aggato ve pasannānam | la | aggappatto pamodatī ti 117, only stanza

Aggappasādasuttagāthā

Aggappasādasutta 394

Aggappasādasuttagāthā 105, 117

Aggappasādasuttanidhikanda 117

Aggappasādasuttappakāsana 394

aggihuttamukhā yaññā sāvitti chandaso mukham rājā mukham manussānam nadīnam sāgaro mukham 111, beg.

Keniyānumodanāgāthā

aggeyeva pasādattham tam suttantam bhanāmase 394, end.

Aggappasādasuttappakāsana

Angulimālaparittapubbaka 20, 56

Angulimālaparittappakāsana 20

aññamaññassa ujuvipaccanikavādā bhagavantam piţṭhito piṭṭhito anubandhā honti bhikkhusaṅghañ ca 269, end. Sūtra

aññena pariyāyena veneyye sampabodhayam 375, beg. Guhaṭṭhaka-

suttappakāsana

Atthangikamaggagāthā 358

atītam nāndāgameyya nappatikankhe anāgatam yadatītampahīnantam

102, beg. Bhaddekarattagāthā

attam nirattam na hi tassa atthi addhosi so ditthim idh' eva sabban ti 378, end. Dutthatthakasutta

attamanā te bhikkhū bhagavato bhāsitam abhinandun ti 130, end. Dasadhammasuttapātha; 139, end. Mettānisamsasuttapātha; 195, end. Āṭānāṭiyasuttapātha (pacchimabhāga); 249, end. Mahāsatipaṭṭhānasuttapāṭha; 286, end.

Pahānabhāvanasutta; 290, end. Dhammaniyāmasutta; 294, end. Apaņņakasutta; 316, end. Balasutta; 331, end. Chanissāraņīyadhātusutta; 332, end. Sārāņīyadhammasutta; 341, end. Sāvatthīnidāna ādittapariyāyasutta; 345, end. Bhikkhu-aparihāniyadhammasutta; 357, end. Maggavibhangasutta; 372, end. Dasanāthakaraņadhammasutta; 383, end. Paṭhamamaraṇassatisutta; 387, end. Dutiyamaraṇassatisutta

attamanā te licchavī bhagavato bhāsitam abhinandun ti 343, end. Licchavi-aparihāniyadhammasutta

attamanā mahāpajāpati gotamī bhagavato bhāsitam abhinandī ti 366, end. Gotamīsutta

attamano āyasmā ānando bhagavato tāsitam abhinandī ti 284, end. Karanīyākaranīyasuttapātha

atha kho bhagavā tassā rattiyā accayena bhikkhū āmantesi 182, beg. Āṭānāṭiyasuttapāṭha (pacchimabhāga)

atthapparahitam jātam parittantambhanāmahe 58, end. Abhaya-parittappakāsana

atthi imasmim kāye kesā lomā nakhā dantā taco | mamsam nahārū 127, beg. Dvattimsākārapātha

atthi kho tena bhagavatā jānatā passatā arahatā sammāsambuddhena 286, beg. Byākatābyākatavatthuddaya; 296, beg. Satipaṭṭhānapāṭha; 308, beg. Caturappamaññāpāṭha; 311, beg. Abhinhappaccavekkhaṇapāṭha; 317, beg. Chalabhiññapāṭha; 367, beg. Navāghātavatthuparidīpakapāṭha; 368, beg. Navāghātappaṭivinayaparidīpakapāṭha; 388, beg. Khandhavibhajanapāṭha; 391, beg. Rūparūpakammaṭṭhānapāṭha

atthi paticcasamuppannā arūpino dhammā ime dhammā kusalā 269, end. Dhammasanganī (7 lines)

atthippaccayo natthipaccayo vigatappaccayo avigatappaccayo 101, 272 end. Paṭṭhānamātikāpāṭha, Mahāpaṭṭhāna (7 lines)

atthi loke sīlaguņo saccam soceyyanuddayā 45, beg. Chattham Vangakāparitta

adissamanā manujehi ñātā tasmā hi ne rakkhatha appamattā 114, end. Devatābhisammantanagāthā

Adhikaranasamathuddesa 499

Anattalakkhanasutta 85

anāgāmiphalasacchikiriyāya patipanno arahā arahattaphalasacchikiriyāya patipanno ti | (ayam khandhappaññattāti chavidhena vibhaggam puggalappaññattippakaraṇam nāma samattam) 437, end. Puggalappaññatti (3 pages)

aniccā vata sankhārā uppādavayadhammino uppajjitvā nirujjhanti tesam rūpasamo sukho | 396, beg. Samvejanīyagāthā

Aniyatuddesa 461

aniyato paṭipannako phale thito arahā arahattāya paṭipanno 270, end. Puggalapaññatti (7 lines)

anuttaram abhisambodhim sambujjhitvā tathāgato pathamam yam adesesi dhammacakkam anuttaram 67, beg. Dhammacakkappavattanasuttappakāsana

Anuttarasuttappakāsana 320

Anuttariyasutta 321

anuppannāsavānam ve anuppādāya ādito 303, beg. Catuppaccayappaccavekkhaṇapāṭhappakāsana

Anumodanāvidhipakabyānumodanā 109

anulomapañcaka 438

anekā antarāyāpi vinassantu asesato 2, end. Sattaparitta

apannakappakāsi yo lokatamonudo muni 291, beg. Apannakasuttappakāsana

Apannakasutta 291

Apannakasuttappakāsana 291

appaṭivānitā ca padhānasmim vijjā ca vimutti ca khaye ñāṇam anuppāde ñāṇan ti 428, end. Suttantamātikā

appamatto mahāvīro patto sambodhimuttamam 378, beg.

Pathamamaranassatisuttappakāsana

appamādarato nātho appamāde niyojako 383, beg. Dutiyamaraņassatisuttappakāsana

appasannehi nāthassa sāsane sādhu sammate amanussehi caṇḍehi sadā kibbisakāribhi 17, 50, beg. Āṭānātiyaparittappakāsana

appekacce nāmagottam sāvetvā tatthevantaradhāyimsu 182, end.

Āṭānāṭiyasuttapāṭha (pubbabhāga)

abbulhasallo caram appamatto nāsimsatī lokam imam parañ cā ti 376, end. Guhatthakasutta

Abhayaparitta 58

Abhiññāya kho so bhagavā dhammam deseti no anabhiññāya 278, beg.

Gotamacetiyadhammapariyaya

Abhinhappaccavekkhanapātha 311

Abhinhappaccavekkhanapāthappakāsana 311

Abhidhamma 269 (abridgement in 3 and a half pages)

Abhidhammatthasangahagāthā 400-16

Abhidhammapitaka Dhammasanganimātikā 419 (25 lines)

Abhidhammamātikā 419, 427

abhisaññū hitvā abhisankhipitvā ayam vuccati rūpakkhandho 270, end.

Vibhanga (5 lines)

araham sammāsambuddho uttamam dhammam ajjhagā mahāsangham pabodhesi icchetam ratanattayam 25, 61, beg. Ratanattayappakāvābhiyācanagāthā

Ariyadhanagāthā 96

Ariyavamsikasutta 300

Ariyavamsikasuttappakāsana 300

alam attamanatāya alam somanassāya sammāsambuddho bhagavā svākkhāto bhagavatā dhammo supatipanno sangho ti 278, end. Gotamacetiyadhammapariyāya

assu vasā kheļo singhānikā lasikā muttam | matthake matthalungan ti 127, end. Dvattimsākārapātha

Ahirājasuttapātha 136

amhe rakkhatu saddhammo sabbe pi dhammacārino vuddhim sampāpuņeyyāma dhammāriyappavedite 282, end. Pattidānagāthā

āghātarahito buddho āghātavinaye rato 367, beg. Navāghātavatthuparidīpakāpāṭhappakāsana

Āṭāṇāṭiyaparitta 18, 50

Ātānātiyaparittappakāsana 17

Āṭāṇātiyasuttapāṭha (pubbabhāga) 169

Āṭāṇātiyasuttapāṭha (pacchimabhāga) 182

āņakkhettamhi sabbattha sabbadā sabbapāņinam sabbaso pi nivāreti parittantam bhanāmahe 12, end. Khandhaparittappakāsana

Ādittapariyāyasutta 90

Ādittapariyāyasuttappakāsana 335

Ādiyasuttagāthā 120

āyudo balado dhīro vaṇṇado paṭibhāṇado sukhassa dātā medhāvī sukhaṃ so adhigacchati 120, beg. Bhojanadanumodanāgāthā

āsavā āsaravippayuttā kho pana dhammā sāsavā pi anāsavā pi 422, end. Āsavagocchaka

Āsavagocchaka 422

āsavā dhammā no āsavā dhammā sāsavā dhammā anāsavā dhammā 421, beg. Āsavagocchaka

icchānuruddharacite abhidhammatthasangahe navame paricchedasmim catasso samudāhatā 417, end. Abhidhammatthasangahagāthā

icchitam patthitam tuyham khippam eva samijjhatu sabbe pūrentu sankappā cando pannaraso yathā manijotiraso yathā 109, end. Anumodanāvidhi

iti pi so bhagavā araham sammāsambuddho vijjācaraņasampanno sugato lokavidū anuttaro purisadammasārathi satthā devamanussānam

buddho bhagavā ti 106, mid. Buddhajayamangala; 267, mid. Vinava

iti h' idam ayasmato kondaññassa aññākondañño tveva nāmam ahosī ti 73, end. Dhammacakkappavattanasutta

idam pi sanghe ratanam panītam etena saccena suvatthi hotu 117, end.

Parittakaranapätha idha vassam vasissāmi idha hemantagimhisu 278, beg. Ututtayādi-

samvegagāthā idh' eva nam pasamsanti pecca sagge pamodatī ti 120, end. Ādiya-

suttagāthā

32

indo somā varuņo ca bhāradvājo pajāpati candano kāmasettho ca 180, 193 stanzas middle Atānātiyasuttapātha (pubbabhāga, pacchimabhāga)

indriyayamakan ti dasavidhena vibhattam yamakapparanam nama

samattam 431, end. Yamaka (3 lines)

imam atthangasamannagatam buddhapaññattam uposatham imañ ca rattim imañ ca divasam sammadeva abhirakkhitum samādiyāmi 274, end. Uposathangasamādāna

imam so parittam katvā moro vāsamakappayī ti 13, 45, end. Mora-

paritta; 141, end. Moraparittapātha

imasmiñ ca pana veyyākaranasmim bhaññamāne tassa bhikkhusahassassa anupādāya āsavehi cittāni vimuccimsū ti 94, end. Adittapariyayasutta

imā kho tena bhagavatā jānatā passatā arahatā sammāsambuddhena catasso appamaññāyo sammadakkhātā ti 309, end. Catur-

appamaññāpātha; 320, end. Chalabhiññapātha

ime kho tena bhagavatā jānatā passatā arahatā sammāsambuddhena nava dhammā sammadakkhātā navāghātavatthūnī ti 368, end. Navāghātavatthuparidīpakapātha; 369, end. Navāghātappativinayaparidīpakapātha

Isigilisuttapātha 163

ukāsa yo pana bhikkhu dhammānudhammappatipanno viharati sāmīcippatipanno beg. Bhasitovāda

ukāsa sirīsakyamunisabbaññubuddhassa balavappaccūsasamaye kusinārāya 263, beg. Pakasakarāja

Ututtayādisamvegagāthā 278

udetayañ cakkhumā ekarāja harissavanno papavippakāsotam tam tam namassāmi 13, 44, beg. Moraparitta; 141, beg. Moraparittapāţha

upādānavippayuttā kho pana dhammā upādāniyā pi anupādāniyā pi 425,

end. Upādānagocchaka

upādānā dhammā no upādānā dhammā upādāniyā dhammā anupādāniyā dhammā 425, beg. Upādānagocchaka

Uposathangappaccavekkhana 274

Uposathangasamādāna 273

uposatho ca me upavuttho bhavissati 277, end. Uposathangappaccavekkhana

uppannānam veyyābādhikānam vedanānam patighātāya abyāpajjhaparamattā yāti 128, Tam khanikappaccavekkhanapātha ekan nāma kim i sabbe sattā āhāratthitikā i dve nāma kim 126, beg.

Sāmaņerappañhāpātha

etam saranamāgamma sabbadukkhā pamuccatī ti 103, end Khemākhemasaranagamanaparidīpikāgāthā

etādisāni katvāna sabbatthamaparājitā sabbattha sotthim gacchanti tantesam mangalam uttaman ti 6, 37, 132 end. Mangalasutta

etāpi buddhajayamangala atthagāthā yo vācano dinadine sarate matandī hitvāna nekavividhāni cupaddavānī mokkham sukham adhigameyya naro sapañño 107, mid. stanzas Buddhajayamangala

etena maggena tarimsu pubbe tarissare ceva taranti co ghanti 299, end.

Satipatthanapatha

etena saccavajjena sotthi te hotu sabbadā 95, end. Saccakiriyāgāthā etena saccavajjena sotthi te hotu sabbadā ekasmim samaye natho moggallanañ ca kassapam gilane dukkhite disva 21, mid. Angulimālaparitta

evam kho arūpakammatthānam sammadakkhātam bhagavatā ti 392, end. Rüparüpakammatthanapatha

evam mahatthikā esā yadidam puññasampadā tasmā dhīrā pasamsanti panditā katapuññatanti 119, end. Nidhikanda

evam sankārabhūtesu andhabhūte puthujjane atirocati paññāya sammāsambuddhasāvako ti 105, end. Dhammakaravādigāthā

evamādigunupetam 4, 41, end. Kāraņīyamettasutappakāsaņa

evamādigunupetam anekagunasangaham usathañ ca imam mantam bojjhangantam bhanamahe 57, end. Bojjhangaparittappakāsana

evam buddham sarantānam dhammam samghañ ca bhikkhavo bhayam vā chambhi tattam vā lomahamso na hessatī ti 17, 49, end. Dhajaggaparitta; 147, end. Dhajaggasuttapātha

evam me sutam ... antarā ca rājagaham antarā ca nālandam 268, beg. Sütra

evam me sutam ... kapilavatthusmim mahāvane ... dasahi ca lokadhātūhi devatā yebhuyyena 74, beg. Mahāsamayasutta

evam me sutam ... kurūsu ... kammāsadhammam 198, beg. Mahāsatipatthānasuttapātha

35

evam me sutam ... gayāyam ... gayāsīse ... cakkhuviññānam ādittam cakkhusamphasso āditto ... 91, beg. Ādittapariyāyasutta

Jacqueline Filliozat

- evam me sutam ... nādike viharati giñjakavasathe 378, beg. Pathamamaranassatisutta; 383, beg. Dutiyamaranassatisutta
- evam me sutam ... bārānasiyam ... isipatane ... dve me bhikkhave antāpabbajitena 67, beg. Dhammacakkappavattanasutta
- evam me sutam ... bārānasiyam ... isipatane ... migadāye ... rūpam bhikkhave anattā rūpañ ca hidam bhikkhave attā abhavissa 85, beg. Anattalakkhanasutta
- evam me sutam ... rājagahe ... isigilismim pabbate 163, beg. Isigilisuttapātha
- evam me sutam ... rājagahe ... gijjhakūte pabbate 169, beg. Ātānātiyasuttapātha; 344, Bhikkhu-aparihāniyadhammasutta
- evam me sutam ... rājagahe ... veļuvane kalandakanivāpe ... āyasmā mahākassapo 149, beg. Mahākassapabojjhangasuttapatha
- evam me sutam ... rājagahe ... veluvane kalandakanivāpe ... āyasmā mahācundo 153, beg. Mahācundabojjhangasuttapātha
- evam me sutam ... rājagahe ... veluvane kalandakanivāpe ... āyasmā mahāmoggallāno 151, beg. Mahāmoggallānabojihangasuttapātha
- evam me sutam ... vesāliyam ... mahāvane kūtāgārasālāyam 365, beg. Gotamīsutta
- evam me sutam ... vesāliyam ... sārandade cetiye 341, beg. Licchaviaparihāniyadhammasutta
- evam me sutam ... sāvatthiyam ... jetavane anāthapindikassa ... atha kho aññatarā devatā abhikkantāya rattiyā abhikkantavannā kevalakappam ... bahū devā manussā ca mangalāni acintayum akankhamānā sotthānam brūhi mangalam uttamam 4, 35, 130 beg. Mangalasutta
- evam me sutam ... sāvatthiyam ... jetavane anāthapindikassa ... atha kho āyasmā ānando 282, beg. Karanīyākaranīyasuttapātha
- evam me sutam ... sāvatthiyam ... jetavane anāthapindikassa ... atha kho jāņussoni brahmano 346, beg. Sattabbidhamethunasamyogasutta
- evam me sutam ... sāvatthiyam ... jetavane anāthapindikassa ... atha kho sambahulā bhikkhu ahinā dattho kālakato hoti 136, Ahirājasuttapātha
- evam me sutam ... sāvatthiyam ... jetavane anāthapindikassa ... tatra kho bhagavā ... akusalam bhikkhave 285, beg. Pahānabhāvanāsutta

- evam me sutam ... sāvatthiyam ... jetavane anāthapindikassa ... tatra kho bhagavā ... aggappasādā 394, beg. Aggappasādasutta
- evam me sutam ... sāvatthiyam ... jetavane anāthapindikassa ... tatra kho bhagavā ... atthangikam maggam 354, beg. Maggavibhangasutta
- evam me sutam ... sāvatthiyam ... jetavane anāthapindikassa ... tatra kho bhagavā ... apannakappatipadam 291, beg. Apannakasutta
- evam me sutam ... sāvatthiyam ... jetavane anāthapindikassa ... tatra kho bhagavā ... ariyavamsā 300, beg. Ariyavamsikāsutta
- evam me sutam ... sāvatthiyam ... jetavane anāthapindikassa ... tatra kho bhagavā ... ādittapariyāyam vo bhikkhave 335, beg. Sāvatthīnidānam ādittapariyāyasutta
- evam me sutam ... sāvatthiyam ... jetavane anāthapindikassa ... tatra kho bhagavā ... uppādā vā bhikkhave 290. beg. Dhammaniyāmasutta
- evam me sutam ... sāvatthiyam ... jetavane anāthapindikassa ... tatra kho bhagavā ... chayimāni bhikkhave 321, beg. Anuttariyasutta
- evam me sutam ... sāvatthiyam ... jetavane anāthapindikassa ... tatra kho bhagavā ... chayime bhikkhave 332, beg. Sārānīyadhammasutta
- evam me sutam ... sāvatthiyam ... jetavane anāthapindikassa ... tatra kho bhagavā ... dasa ime bhikkhave dhammā pabbajitena abhinham paccavekkhitabbā 128, beg. Dasadhammasuttapātha
- evam me sutam ... sāvatthiyam ... jetavane anāthapindikassa ... tatra kho bhagavā ... nissāranīyā dhātuyo 328, beg. Chanissāranīyadhātusutta
- oghagocchaka 423
- oghavippayuttā kho pana dhammā oghaniyā pi anoghaniyā pi 423, end. Oghagocchaka
- oghā dhammā no oghā dhammā oghaniyā dhammā anoghaniyā dhammā oghasampayuttā dhammā 423, beg. Oghagocchaka
- Kathāvatthu 271, 430, 437
- kappatthāyi mahātejam parittantam bhanāmahe 20, 56, end. Angulimālaparittappakāsana; 44, end. Vangakāparittappakāsana
- kammatthananuyogassa ruparupassa lakkhanam 391, beg. Rüparupakammatthanapathappakasana
- karanīyamatthakusalena yantam santam padam abhisamecca 10, 41, beg. Karanīyamettasutta; 135, beg. Karanīyamettasuttapätha
- Karaniyamettasutta 10, 40, 41

Karanīyamettasuttapātha 135

Karaniyamettasuttappakāsana 10

Karanīyasuttapātha 134

36

Karanīyākaranīyasuttapātha 282

Karanīyākaranīyasuttappakāsana 282

kalyāṇam vā pāpakam vā tassa dāyādā bhavissanti tam kut' ettha labbhā ti 314, end. Abhinhappaccavekkhanapātha

kāmam kāmayamānassa tassa cetam samijjhati 374, beg. Kāmasutta Kāmasutta 374

Kāmasuttappakāsana 374

Kāladānasuttagāthā 112

Kāladānasuttagāthāpakāsana 112

kāle dadanti sappaññā vadaññū vītamaccharā kālena dinnam ariyesu ujubhūtesu tādisu 112, beg. Kāladānasuttagāthā

kim eva disvā uruvelavāsi pahāsi aggim kisakovadāno pucchāmi tam kassapa etam attham 95, beg. Bimbisarasamagame pucchāpatiññāgāthā

kilesagocchaka 426

kilesavippayuttā kho pana dhammā sankilesikā pi 426, end. Kilesagocchaka

kilesā dhammā no kilesā dhammā sankilesikā dhammā asankilesikā dhammā sankilitthā dhammā 425, beg. Kilesagocchaka

kusalā dhammā akusalā dhammā abyākatā dhammā katame dhammā kusalā I yasmim samaye kāmāvacaram 269, beg. Dhammasanganī

kusalā dhammā akusalā dhammā abyākatā dhammā sukhāya vedanāya sampayuttā dhammā dukkhāya vedanāya sampayuttā dhammā 97, beg. Dhammasanganimātikāpātha; 419, beg. Dhammasanganimätikā

Kenivānumodanāgāthā 111

khandhappaññatti āyatanappaññatti dhātuppaññatti 430, beg. Puggalappaññatti (3 lines); 434, beg. Puggalapaññatti (3 pages)

Khandhaparittagāthā 12

Khandhaparitta-Chaddantaparittāpara 43

Khandhaparittappakāsanam 11

Khandhavibhanga 431

khandhavibhango āyatanavibhango dhātuvibhango saccavibhango indriyavibhango 428, beg. Vibhanga (6 lines)

khandhavibhango ti attharasavidhena vibhattam vibhangappakaranam nāma samattam 433, end. Khandavibhanga

Khandhavibhajanapātha 388

Khandhavibhajanapāthappakāsana 387

khandhavibhagañanattham pariyayam bhanamase 387, end. Khandhavibhajanapāthappakāsana

khīnāsavā jutimanto te loke parinibbutā ti 280, end. Tilakkhanādigāthā

Khemākhemasaranagamanaparidīpikāgāthā 102

gananāna ca muttānam parittantam bhanāmahe 14, 46, end. Dhajaggaparittappakāsana

Ganthagocchaka 422

ganthavippayuttā kho pana dhammā ganthaniyā pi aganthaniyā pi 422, end. Ganthagocchaka

ganthā dhammā no ganthā dhammā ganthaniyā dhammā aganthaniyā dhammā 422, beg. Ganthagocchaka

girimānandassa so ābādho ahosī ti 163, end. Girimānandasuttapātha

Girimānandasuttapātha 157

Guhatthakasutta 375

Guhatthakasuttappakāsana 375

Gotamacetiyadhammapariyaya 278

Gotamisutta 364

Gotamīsuttappakāsana 365

gotamo dhāretu ajjataggo pāņupetam saraņam gatan ti 353, end. Sattabbidhamethunasamyogasuttappakasana

Catujihānapātha 310

Catujihānapāthappakāsana 310

Catutthabhānavāra 169

catunnam rūpajhānānam bhavitattā samahito 316, beg. Chalabhiññapāthappakāsana

Catuppaccayappaccavekkhanapātha 304

Catuppaccayappaccavekkhanapāthappakāsana 303

Caturappamaññāpātha 308

Caturappamaññāpāthappakāsana 308

catūhi vippayogo sabhāgo visabhāgo sabbā pi dhammasangani dhātukathāya mātikā (nayamātikā ti pañcavidhena vibhattam dhātukathāpakaranam nāma samattam) 434, end. Dhātukathā (14 lines)

cattaro dhamma vaddhanti ayuvanno sukham balam 20, 55, end. Ātānātiyaparitta; 110, end Anumodanavidhi

cattaro 'me paccaya bhagavata anuññata civarañ ca pindapato ca senāsanañ ca 304, beg. Catuppaccayappaccavekkhanapātha Cattidānagāthā 281

Candaparittapātha 141

cārittasobhitavisālakulodayena saddhābhivuddhaparisuddhaguņodayena 417, beg. Pakaraṇāvasānagāthā

cittaklesavisuddhattham tam maggantam bhanamase 296, end.
Satipatthanapathappakasana

cittappasāduppādattham tam suttantam bhanāmase 320, end.
Anuttarasuttappakāsana

Cūlantaraduka 421

Chaddantaparitta 44

Chanissāraņīyadhātusutta 327

Chanissāranīyadhātusuttappakāsana 327

cha paññattiyo khandhappaññatti ayatanappaññatti dhātuppaññatti saccappaññatti 270, beg. Puggalapaññatti

chalangupekkhasaññato sabbaso samvutindriyo 335, beg.

Adittapariyayasuttappakasana

Chalabhiññāpātha 317

Chalabhiññāpāthappakāsana 316

cha ve duppaţivijjhāyā nissaranīya dhātuyo 327, beg. Chanissāranīyadhātusuttappakāsana

jayam devamanussānam jayo hotu parājito mārasenā abhikkantā samantā dvādasayojanā 59, beg. Jayaparittappakāsana

Jayaparitta 60

tam abyākatato dhāretha yam mayā byākatam tam byākatato dhārethā ti 289, end. Byākatābyākatavatthuddaya

tam khanikappaccarekkhanapātha 127

tam tadatthappasiddhattham tam suttantam bhanāmase 341, end. Licchavi-aparihāniyadhammasuttappakāsana

tam sampakāsakam dhamma pariyāyam bhanāmase 304, end. Catuppaccayappaccavekkhanapāthappakāsana

Tatiyabhānavāra 157

tato nam anukampanti mātā puttamva urasam devānukampito poso sadā bhadrāni passatī ti 114, end. Devatādissadakkhinānumodanāgāthā

tathāgatam devamanussa pūjitam sangham namassāma suvatthi hotu 40. end. Ratanasutta

tathāgato balappatto loke appaţipuggalo 314, beg. Balasuttappakāsana

tathā ñāṇānusārena sāsanam kātumicchatam sadhūnam atthasiddhattham tam suttantam bhaṇāmase 85, end. Anattalakkhaṇasuttappakāsana

tathā pahīno ca bhagavato so ābādho ahosī ti 155, end. Mahācundabojihangasuttapātha tatheva paṭipatyattham pariyāyantam bhanamase 335, end. ādittapariyāyasuttappakāsana

tasmā mahattam papponti pāsamsā ca bhavanti te ti 119, end. Sangahavatthugāthā

tasmā saddhañca sīlañcā pasādam dhammadassanam anuyuñjetha medhāvi saram buddhāna sāsanan ti 97 end. 1st § Ariyadhanagāthā

tā sampakāsakam dhammapariyāyam bhanāmase 308, end. Caturappamaññāpāṭhappakāsana; 316, end. Chalabhiññapāṭhappakāsana

tikattikañceva dukaddukañca chapaccanīyānulomamhi nayā sugambirāti (catuvīsatippaccayavasena ayam samantapaṭṭhānappakaraṇṇanā niṭṭhitā) 441, end. Paṭṭhāna (1 page)

Tirokuddakanda 121, 441

tirokuddesu titthanti sandhisinghātakesu ca dvārabahāsu titthanti āgantvā sakam gharam 121, 441, beg. Tirokuddakanda

Tilakkhanādigāthā 279

Tisaranagamanapātha 125

te atthaladdhā sukhitā viruļhabuddhasāsane arogā sukhitā hotha saha sabbehi ñatibhi 61, end. Jayaparitta, 296, end. Supubbanhasutta

tena saccena sotthi te hotu sotthi gabbhassa 56, end. Anguli-malaparitta

tena samayena buddho bhagavā verañjayam viharati ... verañjo brāhmano **267, mid. Vinaya**

te pahāya tare ugham nāvam sitvāva paragū ti 375, end. Kāmasutta te ve kālena paccanti yattha dukkham nirujjhatī ti 327, end. Anuttariyasutta

te sabbasanghadhigate pahesī parinibbute vandatha appameyye ti 167, Isigilisuttapātha

tesam tathā(!)tthasiddhattham tam suttantam bhanāmase 327, end. Chanissāranīyadhātusuttappakāsana

tesam pakāsakam dhammapariyāyam bhanāmase 311, end. Abhinhappaccavekkhanapāthappakāsana; 367, end. Navāghātavatthuparidīpakapāthappakāsana

Dasadhammasuttapātha 128

Dasanāthakaranadhammasutta 370

Dasanāthakaranadhammasuttappakāsana 369

Dasasikkhāpadapātha 126

dasahangehi samannāgato arahāti ruccatī ti 127, end. Sāmaņerappañhāpāṭha dassanena pahātabbā dhammā na dassanena pahātabbā dhammā bhāvanāya pahātabbā dhammā na bhāvanāya pahātabbā **426**, beg. Pitthiduka

dānañ ca peyyavajjañ ca atthacariyā ca yā idha samānattatā ca dhammesu tattha tattha yathāraham 119, beg. Sangahavatthugāthā

ditthiñ ca anupagamma sīlavā dassanena sampanno kāmesu vineyya gedham na hi jātu gabbhaseyyam punar etī ti 11, 42, end. Karanīyamettasutta; 136, end. Karanīyamettasuttapātha

ditthippahānasiddhattham tam suttantam bhanāmase 377, end.

Duțțhațțhakasuttappakāsana

ditthiyā duppahānaya pahānopāyadassinā 376, beg. Dutthatthakasuttappakasana

disvā padam santamanūpadhīkam akiñcanam kāmabhave asattam anaññathā bhāvimanaññaneyyam tasmā na yiṭṭhena hute arañjin ti 95, end. Bimbisārasamāgame pucchāpaṭiññāgāthā

dīghayu yasavā hoti yattha yatthūpapajjatī ti 120, end. Bhojanadānumodanāgāthā

devabhūto manusso vā aggappatto pamodatī ti 396, end. Aggappasādasutta

desitam tena buddhena tam suttantam bhanāmase 378, end. Paṭhama-maranassatisuttappakāsana

dukkhatālakkhaņopāyam tam suttantam bhanāmase 91, end. Ādittapariyāyasuttappakāsana

dukkharogabhayā verā sokā sattū cupaddavā anekā antarayāpi vinassantu ca tejasā 111, beg. stanzas Visesanumodanā-Mangala-cakkavāla

Dutthatthakasutta 377

Dutthatthakasuttappakāsana 376

Dutiyabhānavāra 149

Dutiyamaranassatisutta 383

Dutivamaranassatisuttappakāsana 383

dullabham dassanam yassa sambuddhassa abhinhaso 73, beg. Mahā-samayasuttappakāsana

devakāyappahāsattham tam suttantam bhanāmase 74, end. Mahā-samayasuttappakāsana

Devatādissadakkhinānumodanāgāthā 113

Devatābhisammantanagāthā 114

devā pi nam pasamsanti brahmunāpi pasamsito ti 303, end. Ariyavamsikasutta

devabhūto manusso vā aggappatto pamodatī ti 105, end. Aggappasādasuttagāthā

Dvattimsākārapātha 127

Dvācattāļīsaduka 428 Dvādasaparitta 31-74

Dhajaggaparitta 14, 46

Dhajaggaparittappakāsana 14

Dhajaggasutta 14, 46

Dhajaggasuttapātha 144

Dhammakaravādigāthā 103

Dhammacakkappavattanasutta 67

Dhammatāravādigāthā 103

Dhammaniyāmasutta 289

Dhammaniyāmasuttappakāsana 289

Dhammasanganipakaranam nāma samattam 420

Dhammasangani 269

Dhammasanganimātikā 419 (25 lines)

Dhammasanganimātikāpātha 97

dhammassavanakāle ayam bhadantā 1, end. Sattaparitta

dhammahadayavibhangoti atṭhārasavidhena vibhaggam vibhangappakaraṇam nāma samattam 429, end. Vibhanga (6 lines)

dhammā ajjhattabahiddhārammaņā dhammā sanidassanasappaṭighā dhammā anidassanasappaṭighā dhammā anidassanā(!)ppaṭighā dhammā 99, end. Dhammasaṅgaṇimātikāpāṭha; 420, end. Dhammasaṅganimātikā

dhammā lokuttarā dhammā kenaci viññeyyā dhammā kenaci na viññeyyā dhammā 421, end. Cūļantaraduka

dhammā sa-uttarā dhammā anuttarā dhammā saraṇā dhammā araṇā dhammā 427, end. Piṭṭhiduka

Dhātukathā 270, 429, 433

dhātukathāpakaraṇaṃ nāma samattaṃ 429, end. Dhātukathā (8 lines)

Nakkhattayakkhabhūtānam 65

natthi me saranam aññam buddho me saranam varam etena saccavajjena hotu te jayamangalam 24, mid. Sattaparitta, 54, mid. Aṭānāṭiyaparitta

natthi me saraṇam aññam buddho me saraṇam varam etena saccavajjena sotthi te hotu sabbadā 95, beg. Saccakiriyāgāthā

namo arahato sambuddhassa mahesino namo uttamadhammassa svākkhātasseva tenidha namo mahāsanghassāpi 3, 33, beg. Namokāra atthaka

Namokāra atthaka 3, 33

namokārassa tejena vidhimhi homi te jarā 3, end. Sattaparitta

namo tassa bhagavato arahato sammāsambuddhassa ... 105, beg. Buddhajayamangala; 125, Pathamabhanavara; 272, Buddhanamakārapātha

namo tassārahantassa bhagavantassa tādino sammā sāmam va buddhassa dhamme vibhajjavadino namo tass' eva dhammassa 400, beg.

Panämagäthä

nayamātikā ti pañcavidhena vibhattam dhātukathāpakaranam nāma samattam 434, end. Dhātukathā

Navāghātappativinayaparidīpakapātha 368

Navāghātavatthuparidīpakapātha 367

Navāghātavatthuparidīpakapāthappakāsana 367

nāmena vissutam suttam dhammacakkappavattanam veyyākaranapāthena sangītantam bhanāmase 67, end. Dhammacakkappavattanasuttappakāsana

Nidānuddesa 451

nidhim nidheti puriso gambhīre udakantike atthe kicce samuppanne atthāya me bhavissati 117, Nidhikhanda

Nidhikhanda 117

nibbanagamanam maggam khippam eva visodhaye 279, end.

Ututtayādisamvegagāthā

nibbanamagguttamo buddho sattanam sampabodhano 387, beg. Khandhavibhajanapāthappakāsana

Nissaggiyuddesa 462

Nivaranagocchaka 424

nīvaranavippayuttā kho pana dhammā nīvaraniyā pi anīvaraniyā pi 424, end. Nivaranagocchaka

nīvaraņā dhammā no nīvaraņā dhammā nīvaraniyā dhammā anīvamraņiyā dhammā nīvaranasampayuttā 423, beg. Nīvaranagocchaka

Pakatyānumodanā 109

Pakaranāvasānagāthā 417

Pakasakarāja 263

paccayavasena tā catuvīsatividhena vibhattam mahāpatthānappakaraṇam nāma samattam 431, end. Patthāna (8 lines)

pañcakkhandha rūpakkhandho vedanākhandho saññākhandho sankhārakkhandho viññaṇakkhandho 99, beg. Vipassanābhūmipāṭha; 269, beg. Vibhanga; 431, beg. Khandhavibhanga

Pañcasikkhāpadasamādāna 273

paññattikusalo nātho sātthesveva niyojano 294, beg. Supubbanhasuttappakāsana

paññāvadātaguņāsobhitalajjibhikkhū maññantu puññavibhavodayamangalāya 418, end. Pakaranāvasānagāthā

patipanno pamokkhan ti jhāyino mārabandhanā ti 358. end. Atthangikamaggagāthā

patisankhā yoniso cīvaram patisevāmi yāvadeva sītassa patighātāya 127. Tam khanikappaccavekkhanpātha

Pathamam Mangalasuttam 35

Pathamamaranassatisutta 378

Pathamamaranassatisuttappakāsana 378

Patthāna 431, 440

Patthānamātikāpātha 101

Panāmagāthā 400

panidhānato patthāya tathāgatassa dasapāramiyo dasa upapāramiyo dasaparamatthapāramiyo 6, 37, beg. Ratanasuttappakāsana

Pattidānagāthā 281

padañ ca ñatvā virajam alokam sammappajānātibhavassa pāragū ti 364. end. Lokadhammasutta

Paramattha 267

Parāmāsagocchaka 424

parāmāsavippayuttā kho pana dhammā parāmatthā pi aparāmatthā pi 424, end. Parāmāsagocchaka

parāmāsā dhammā no parāmāsā dhammā parāmatthā dhammā aparamatthā dhammā 424, beg. Parāmāsagocchaka

parittam vambhanantassa nisinnatthana dhovanam udakam pi vinaseti sabbameva parissayam 20, 56, beg. Angulimālaparittappakāsana

Parittakaranapātha 115

parittassānubhāvena hantvā tesam upaddave I nakkhattayakkhabhūtānam pāpaggahanivāranā parittasānubhāvena hantvā tesam upaddave 30, 65 end. Mangalacakkavāla

Pahānabhāvanāsutta 284

Pahānabhāvanāsuttappakāsana 284

Pācittiyuddesa 471

Pātidesanivuddesa 489

pānātipātā veramanīsikkhāpadam I adinnādānā veramanīsikkhāpadam 126, beg. Dasasikkhāpadapātha

pānātipātā veramanīsikkhāpadam samādiyāmi 273, beg. Paficasikkhāpadasamādāna, Uposathangasamadāna

Pārādhikuddesa 452

Pitthiduka 427

Puggalappaññatti 270, 430, 434

puggalapaññattīti chavidhena vibhattam puggalappaññattippakaraṇam nāma samattam 430, end. Puggalappaññatti (3 lines)

puggalo upalabbhati sacchikatthaparamatthenā ti 271, beg. Kathāvatthu (6 lines); 437, beg. Kathāvatthu (1 page)

puññam ākankhamānānam sangho ve yajatam mukham 112, end. Keniyānumodanāgāthā

puññalābham mahātejam vannakittimahāyasam sabbasattahitam jātam tam sunantu asesato 58, beg. Abhayaparittappakāsana

puññāni paralokasmim patitthā honti pāṇinan ti 112, end. Kāladānasuttagāthā; 397, end. Samvejanīyagāthā

Pubbakaranapubbakicca 447

Pubbatopamagāthā ariyadhanagāthā 96

pūretvā pāramī sabbā patto sambodhimuttamam etena saccavajjena mā hontu sabbupaddavā 97, end. 2nd § Ariyadhanagāthā

pūrentambodhisambhāre nibbattam vangajātiyam yassa tejena dāvaggi mahāsattam vivajjayi 45, beg. Vangakāparittappakāsana

pürentambodhisambhāre nibbattam morayoniyam yena sirihitārakkham mahāsattam vane carā 12, 44 beg. Moraparittappakāsana

balañ ca bhikkhunamanuppadinnam tumhehi puññam pasutam anappakan ti 122, 443, end. Tirokuddakanda

Balasutta 314

Balasuttappakāsana 314

bahum ve saranam yanti pabbatāni vanāni ca ārāmarukkhacetyāni manussā bhayatajjitā 102, beg. Khemākhemasaranagamanaparidīpikāgāthā

bahutabbhakkho bhavati vippavuttho sakam gharā bahūnam upaṭiranti yo mittānam na dubbhati 139, beg. Mettānisamsamsagāthāpāṭha

bāvisatitikamātikā 420

bāhum sahassamabhinimmita sāvudhantam grīmekhalam uditaghorasasenamāram dānādidhammavidhinā jitavā munindo tante jasā bhavatu te jayamangalāni 106, beg. stanzas Buddhajayamangala

Bimbisārasamāgame pucchāpaţiññāgāthā saccakiriyā 95

buddham saranam gacchāmi dhammam saranam gacchāmi sangham saranam gacchāmi (ter) 125, beg. Tisaranagamanapāṭha; 272, Saranagamanapāṭha

buddhakiccam visodhetvā parittantam bhanamahe 59, end. Jayaparittappakāsana

buddhagāthābhigīto 'mhi no ce muñceyya candiman ti 142, end. Candimaparittapātha

buddhagāthābhigīto 'mhi no ce muñceyya suriyan ti 144, end. Suriyaparittapātha

Buddhajayamangala 106

Buddhanamakārapātha 272

Buddhapādanamakāragāthā 265

buddhā paccekabuddhā athopi buddhasāvakā klesārīhi 300, beg. Ariyavamsikasuttappakāsana

buddhuppāde sārīputto ye caññe aggasāvakā pattapūrānubhāvena mātāpitā pamuñcare 444, end. stanzas without title

Bojjhangaparitta 20, 57

bojjhango satisankhāto dhammānam vicayo tathā viriyam pīti passaddhi bojjhangā ca tathāpare 57, beg. Bojjhangaparitta

Byākatābyākatavatthuddaya 286

Byākatābyākatavatthuddayappakāsana 286

brahmacārīnamaggo so asamo vekapuggalo 346, beg.

Sattabbidhamethunasamyogasuttappakasana

brahmamantan ti akkhātam parittantam bhanāmahe 13, 44, end.
Moraparittappakāsana

Bhaddekarattagāthā 102

bhaņissāma mayam gāthā kāladanappadīpikā etā suņantu sakkaccam dāyakā puññakāmino 112, only stanza Kāladānasuttagāthāpakāsana

bhavatu sabbamangalam nakkhattayakkhabhūtānam 29

bhavatu sabbamangalam rakkhantu sabbadevatā sabbabuddhānubhavena sadā sotthī bhavantu te 29, 64, mid. Mangalacakkavāļa

bhavatu sabbamangalam rakkhantu sabbadevatā sabbasanghānubhāvena sadā sotthī bhavantu te 109, end. Buddhajayamangala; 111,

end. Visesānumodanā-Mangalacakkavāļa

Bhāṇavāra 4 pathamabhāṇavāra 124

Bhārasuttagātha 280

bhārā have pañcakhandhā bhārahāro ca puggalo 280, beg. Bhārasuttagāthā

bhāsitam tena buddhena apannakam bhanāmase 291, end. Apannakāsuttappakāsana

Bhāsitovāda 259

Bhikkhu-aparihāniyadhammasutta 344

Bhikkhu-aparihāniyadhammasuttappakāsana 343

Bhikkhupātimokkha 451

bhuttā bhogā bhatā bhaccā vitinnā āpadāsu me uddhaggā dakkhinā dinnā atho pañcabalī katā 120, beg. Ādiyasuttagāthā

Bhojanadānānumodanāgāthā 120

Maggavibhangasutta 354

Maggavibhangasuttappakāsana 354

maggānatthangiko settho saccānam caturo padā 358, beg. Atthangikamaggagāthā

maggā hatakkilesā va pattānuppattidhammatam etena saccavajjena sotthi te hotu sabbadā 22, end. Angulimālaparitta; 58, end. Bojjhangaparitta

Mangalacakkavāļa 28, 63, 110

mangalatthāya sabbesam tam suttantam bhanāmase 314, end. Bala-suttappakāsana

Mangalasutta 4, 35

Mangalasuttappakāsana 3, 33

Mangalasuttapātha 130

Maranassatisutta 378, 383

Maranassatisuttappakāsana 378, 383

Mahantaraduka 425

Mahākassapabhojihangasuttapātha 149

mahākassapassa so abādho ahosī ti 151, end. Mahākassapabojjhangasuttapātha

mahākāruņiko nātho atthāya sabbapāņinam pūretvā pāramī sabbā patto sambodhim uttamam 97, beg. 2nd § Ariyadhanagāthā

mahākāruniko nātho sunakkhattam so atthaladdho 23

mahākāruniko nātho hitāya sabbapāninam 60, beg. Jayaparitta; 108, mid. stanzas Buddhajayamangala

mahākāruņiko buddho sāvakānam hitesako 343, beg. Bhikkhuapariyāniyadhammasuttappakāsana

Mahācundabojihangasuttapātha 153

Mahāpatthāna 271

Mahāmoggallānabojjhangasuttapātha 151

mahāmoggallānassa so ābādho ahosī ti 153, end. Mahāmoggallānabojjhangasuttapātha

Mahāsatipaṭṭhānasuttapāṭha 196

Mahāsamayasutta 74

mahāsamayo pavanasmim devakāyā samāgatā 75, beg. stanzas Mahāsamayasutta

mātā pitā ca atrajam niccam rakkhanti puttakam evam dhammena rājano pajam rakkhantu sabbadā | 10 gāthā | 28, 63, end. Sukhābhiyācanagāthā

mūlayamakam khandhayamakam ayatanayamakam dhātuyamakam 430, beg. Yamaka

mūsikā katā me rakkhā katā me parittā patikkamantu bhūtāni soham namo bhagavato namo sattannam sammasambuddhānan ti 12, 43, end. Khandhaparitta: 138, end. Ahirāiasuttapātha

mettānisamsagāthāpātha 139

mettānisamsasuttapātha 138

moraparitta 13, 44

moraparittapāṭha 140

moraparittappakāsana 12

yam kiñci ratanam loke vijjati vividham puthuratanam sanghasamam natthi tasmā sotthī bhavantu te 25, end. Sattaparitta

yam yam devamanussānam mangalatthāya bhāsitam tassa tassānubhāvena hotu rājakule sukham ye ye ārakkhakā devā tattha tatthādhivāsino 27, 62, beg. Sukhābhiyācanagāthā

yam ve nibbānañāṇassa ñāṇam pubbe pavattate 289, beg. Dhammaniyāmasuttappakāsana

yam so dhammami dhaññāya parinibbātyanāsavo ti 113, end. Vihāradānagāthā

yatoham bhagini ariyāya jātiyā jato nābhi jānāmi sañcicca 20, 56, beg. Angulimālaparitta

yathā pi selā vipulā nabham āhacca pabbatā samantā anupariyeyyum 96, beg. Pabbatopamagāthā

yathā vāri vahā pūrā paripūrenti sāgaram evam eva ito dinnam petānam upakappati 109, beg. Anumodanāvidhi

yadidam cattāri purisayugāni ajja purisapuggalā esa bhagavato sāvakasenghā āhuneyyo pāhuneyyo dakkhiņeyyo añjalikaraņīyo anuttaram puññakkhettam lokassā ti 106, mid. Buddhajayamangala

yadi saccā damā cāgā khantyā bhiyyodha vijjatī ti 123, end. Saccapānavidhyānurūpagāthā

yadi hīne gato thāne kāyaduccaritena iminā puññatejena tamhā thānā pamuñcatu 443, beg. stanzas without title

yantam sattehi dukkhena ñeyyam anattalakkhanam 85, beg. Anatta-lakkhanasuttappakāsana

yantena bhagavatā jānatā passatā arahatā sammāsambudhena paṭhamaṃ pārājikam 267, beg. Vinaya

yandunnimittam avamangalanca yo camanapo sakunassa saddo papaggaho dussupinam 22, 58, beg. Abhayaparitta

Yandunimittam dukkhappattā ca niddukkhā 22

yandesesi mahāvīro parittantam bhaṇāmahe 17, 50, end. Āṭānāṭiyaparittappakāsana

Yamaka 271, 430, 438

yasmim padese kappeti vāsam panditajātiyo sīlavant' ettha bhojetvā saññate brahmacārino 113, beg. Devatādissadakkhinānumodanāgāthā

yassa saddhā tathāgate acalā supatitthitā sīlanca yassa kalyānam 96, beg. 1st § Ariyadhanagāthā

yassānubhāvato yakkhā neva dassenti bhimsanam 10, 40, beg. Karanīyamettasuttappakāsana

yassānussaraņenā pi antalikkhe pi pāņino patitthamadhigacchanti bhūmiyam viya sabbadā 14, 46, beg. Dhajaggaparitta

yā devatā santi vihārarāsinī thūpe ghare bodhighare tahim tahim 281, beg. Pattidānagāthā

yāni yānyaggabhūtāni sammatāni tathā tathā 394, beg. Aggappasādasuttappakāsana

yāni ve pañca thānāni pañcanimmalalocano veneyyānam 311, beg. Abhinhappaccavekkhanapāthappakāsana

yānīdha bhūtāni samāgatāni bhummāni vā yāni va antalikkhe sabbe va bhūtā sumanā bhavantu 7, 38, 132, beg. Ratanasutta, Ratanasuttapāṭha; 114, beg. Devatābhisammantanagāthā

yānīdha bhūtāni samāgatāni bhummāni vā yāni va antalikkhe tathāgatam devamanussapūjitam sangham namassāma suvatthi hotu 10, 135 end. Ratanasutta, Ratanasuttapāṭha

yāvajīvam arahanto pānātipātam pahāya pānātipātā 274, beg. Uposathangappaccavekkhana

yāvatā vattate loko anekākāracittako 358, beg. Lokadhammasuttappakasana

yāvatā sattā apadā vā dvipadā vā catuppadā vā bahuppadā vā rūpino vā arūpino vā saññino 115, beg. Parittakaraņapāṭha

yā sā(!)ppamāṇakā sattā bhāvanānaṃ hi gocaro 308, beg. Caturappamaññāpāṭhappakāsana

ye keci kusalā dhammā sabbe te kusalamūlā | ye vā pana kusalamūlā 271, beg. Yamaka, 438, end. Yamaka (3 pages)

ye ca atītā sambuddhā ye ca buddhā anāgatā yo cetarahi sambuddho bahunnam sokanāsano 103, beg. Dhammakaravādigāthā

ye vā pana kusalamūlena ekamūlā sabbe te dhammā kusalā 271, end. Yamaka (4 lines)

ye santā santacittā tisaraņasaraņā ettha lokantare vā bhummā bhummā ca devāguņaganagahaņabyāvajā sabbakālam ete āyantu devā 3, 34, beg. Mangalasuttappakāsana

yogagocchaka 423

yogā dhammā no yogā dhammā yoganiyā dhammā ayoganiyā dhammā yogasampayuttā dhammā **423, beg. Yogagocchaka**

yogā yogavippayuttā kho pana dhammā yoganiyā pi ayoganiyā pi 423, end. Yogagocchaka

yo dhammacārikāyena vācāya uda cetasā idheva nam pasamsanti pecca sagge pamodati **96, end. Pabbatopamagāthā**

yoniso patipatyattham tam suttantam bhanāmase 289, end. Dhammaniyāmasuttappakāsana

yoniso paţisevitabbā anuppannānam āsavānam anuppādāya uppannānam āsavānam pahānāyā ti 308, end. Catuppaccayappaccavekkhanapāţha

Ratanattayappabhāvābhiyācanagāthā 25, 61

Ratanasutta 7, 38

Ratanasuttapāţha 132

Ratanasuttappakāsana 6, 37

Rūpakammatthānārūpakammatthānapātha 391

rūparūpakammatthattham taddīpakam bhanāmase 391, end. Rūparūpakammatthānapāthappakāsana

Rūparūpakammatthānapāthappakāsana 391

rogāmanussadubbhikkhasambhūtanti vidhambhayam khippam antaradhāpesi parittantam bhaṇāmahe 7, end. Ratanasutta-ppakāsana

Licchavi-aparihāniyadhammasutta 341

Licchavi-aparihāniyadhammasuttappakāsana 341

Lokadhammasutta 359

Lokadhammasuttappakāsana 358

lokiyalokuttarasampattisiddham kātabbam ukāsa ārādhanam karomi 263, end. Bhāsitovāda

lokekuttamabhūtassa anuttaratthadāyino 320, beg. Anuttarasuttappakāsana

lokekuttamasatthussa yassa vākyam anaññatham 286, beg. Byākatābyākatavatthuddayappakāsana

Vangakāparitta 45

vadanti ve duţihamanā pi eke aññe pi ve saccamanā vadanti 377, beg. Duţihaţihakasutta

vadhissamenanti parāmasanto bhāsāvamaddakkhi dhajam isīnam 44, beg. Chaddantaparitta

vandāmi buddham bhavaparatinnam tilokaketum tibhavekanātham 265, beg. Buddhapādanamakāragāthā

vijjābhāgino dhammā avijjābhāgino dhammā vijjūpamā dhammā vajirūpamā dhammā bālā dhammā paṇḍitā dhammā kaṇhā dhammā 427, beg. Suttantamātikā

viññūnam atthasiddhattham tam suttantam bhanāmase 374, end. Kāmasuttappakāsana; 383, end. Dutiyamaranassatisuttappakāsana

Vinaya Sūtra Paramattha 267

vinetā sukhamaggasmim veneyye vinayam muni 282, beg. Karanīyākaranīyasuttappakāsana

Vipassanābhūmipātha 99

vipassissa nam' atthu cakkhumantassa sirīmato sikhissa pi nam' atthu sabbabhūtānukampino 18, 50, beg. Āṭānāṭiyaparitta; 171, beg. stanzas Āṭānāṭiyasuttapāṭha (pubbabhāga); 184 beg. stanzas Āṭānāṭiyasuttapāṭha (pacchimabhāga)

Vibhanga 269, 428

viruļhamūlasantānam nigrodham iva māluto amittā nappasahanti yo mittānam na dubbhānam na dubbhatī ti 140, Mettānisamsagāthāpātha

virūpakkhehi me mettam mettam erā pathehi me chabyāputtehi me mettam mettam kanhāgotamakehi ca 12, beg. Khandhaparitta; 43, beg. Khandhaparitta-Chaddantaparittāpara; 137, beg. stanzas Ahirājasuttapātha

Visesānumodanā 110

Viharadānagāthā 113

vīsatisatasahassāni namāmi sirasā aham tesam dhamman ca samghan ca ... anekā antarāyā pi vinassantu asesato 33, end. Dvādasaparitta

veneyyadamanopāye sabbaso pāramim gato amoyavacano buddho abhiññāyānusāsako 90, beg. Ādittapariyāyasuttappakāsana

veneyye vinayantena sambuddhena mahesinā 374, beg. Kāmasuttappakāsana

Samvaragāthā 280

Samvejanīyagāthā 396

saṃsāre saṃsarantānaṃ sabbadukkhavināsane sattadhamme ca bojjhange mārasenappamaddino 56, beg. Bojjhangaparittappakāsana

sakavādipañcasuttasatāni paravādipañcasuttasatānīti suttasahassam samodhānetvāna vibhattam kathavatthuppakaranam nāma samattam 430, end. Kathāvatthu (2 lines)

sakkatvā buddharatanam osatham uttamam varam hitam devamanussānam budhatejena sotthinā 24, mid. Abhayaparitta

Sangahavatthugatha 119

sangahitam asangahitanti cuddasavidhena vibhattam dhātukathāpakaraṇam nāma samattam 429, end. Dhātukathā (8 lines)

sangaho asangaho l sangahitena asangahitam asangahitena 270, 429, 433. beg. Dhātukathā

sanghasambhūtarājūnam sāmaggiyānupālinam 341, beg. Licchaviaparihāniyadhammasuttappakāsana

Saṅghādisesuddesa 454

sace imam nāgavarena saccam mā mam vane bālamigā aganchun ti 44, end. Chaddantaparitta

saccam ve amatā vācā esa dhammo sanantano sacce atthe ca dhamme ca ahu santo patitthitā 123, beg. Saccapānavidhyānurūpagāthā

saccakiriyam karissāma ādissa ratanattayam 400, Paṇāmagāthā Saccapānavidhyānurūpagāthā 122

saccena me samo n' atthi esā me saccapāramī ti 46, end. Vangakāparitta

Saññojanagocchaka 422

saññojanavippayuttā kho pana dhammā saññojaniyā pi asaññojaniyā pi 422. end. Saññojanagocchaka

saññojanā dhammā no saññojanā dhammā saññojaniyā dhammā asaññojaniyā dhammā **422, beg. Saññojanagocchaka**

Satipatthānapātha 296

Satipatthānapāthappakāsana 296

Sattaparitta 1-29

Sattabbidhāmethunasamyogasutta 346

Sattabbidhāmethunasamyogasuttappakāsana 346

satto guhāyam bahunābhichanno tiṭṭham naro mohanasmim pagālho 375, beg. Guhaṭṭhakasutta

sadā sotthī bhavantu te nakkhattayakkhabhūtānam hantvā tesam upaddave 102, end. Bhaddekarattagāthā

sadevakassa lokassa näthabhūto narāsabho 369, beg. Dasanāthakāranadhammasuttappakasana

sadevako ayam loko samārako sabrahmako 353, beg. Maggavibhangasuttappakāsana

saddhapasādasiddhattham duvidhe te bhanāmase 286, end. Byākatābyākatavatthuddayappakāsana

sanāthattā(!)tthasiddhattham tam suttantam bhanāmase 369, end.

Dasanāthakāranadhammasuttappakāsana

sabbattha samvuto bhikkhu sabbadukkhā pamuccatī ti 281, end. Samvaragāthā

sabbabuddhānubhāvena sabbadhammānubhāvena sabbasanghānubhāvena buddharatanam dhammaratanam sangharatanam tinnam ratanānam ānubhāvena caturāsīti sahassa 110, beg. Visesānumodanā, Mangalacakkavāla

sabbapāpassa akaraṇam kusalassūpasampadā 259, beg. stanzas Bhāsitovada

sabbaso suddhasantāno sabbadhammāna pāragū 365, Gotamīsuttappakāsana

sabbā sivisajātīnam dibbamantāgadam viya yasmā seti visam ghoram sesancā pi parissayam 11, 42, beg. Khandhaparittappakāsana

sabbītiyo vivajjantu sabbarogo vinassantu mā te bhavatvantarāyo sukhī dīghayuko bhava 109, mid. Anumodanāvidhi

sabbe buddhā balappattā paccekānañca yambalam arahantānañca tejena rakkham bandhāmi sabbaso **59, end. Abhayaparitta**

sabbe vijitasamgāmā bhayātītā yasassino modanti saha bhūtehi sāvakā tejanesutā ti 85, end. Mahāsamayasutta

sabbe sankhārā aniccāti yadā paññāya passati atha nibbindati dukkhe esa maggo visuddhiyā 279, beg. Tilakkhanādigāthā

samaggakarano buddho sāmaggiyam niyojako samaggakarane dhamme 332, beg. Sārāniyadhammasuttappakāsana

samuṭṭhānāhārārammaṇā paccayo samudayena cāti (mūlayamakadi dasayamakappaṭimaṇḍitaṃ yamakappakaraṇaṃ nama samattaṃ) 440, end. Yamaka (2 pages)

samūlam tanham abbuļha nicchāto parinibbuto ti 280, end. Bhāra-suttagāthā

sampaccayā dhammā appaccayā dhammā sankhatā dhammā asankhatā dhammā 421, beg. Cūļantaraduka

sampayuttena vippayuttam vippayuttena sampayuttam asangahitam 270, end. Dhātukathā (4 lines)

sambuddhe aṭṭhavīsañca dvādasañca sahassake pañcasatasahassāni namāmi sirasā aham 2, 32, beg. Sattaparitta, 2nd § Dvādasaparitta

sambuddho dipadam settho mahākāruniko muni 284, beg. Pahānabhāvanāsuttappakāsana; 296, beg. Satipatthānapāthappakāsana

sammāsambuddhamatulam sasaddhammagaņuttamam abhivādiya bhāsissam abhidhammatthasangaham 400, beg. Abhidhammatthasangahagāthā

Samrāpaṃsūtrapaṃsukūla 396

sarajjam sasenam sabandhum narindam parittānubhāvo sadā rakkhatū ti

1, beg. Sattaparitta; 31, beg. Dvādasaparitta

Saraṇagamanapāṭha 272

sādhu kho pana tathārūpānam arahatam dassanam hotī ti 268, end. Vinaya

sādhūnam atthasiddhattham tam suttantam bhanāmase 294, end. Supubbanhasuttappakāsana; 300, end. Ariyavamsikasuttappakāsana; 332, end. Sārāniyadhammasuttappakāsana; 346, Sattabbidhamethunasamyogasuttappakāsana; 359, end. Lokadhammasuttappakasana; 375, end. Guhatthakasuttappakāsana

sādhūnam nettibhāvattham tam suttantam bhanāmase 365, end. Gotamīsuttappakāsana

Sāmaņerappañhāpāṭha 126

sārammaṇā dhammā anārammaṇā dhammā cittā dhammā no cittā dhammā 424, beg. Mahantaraduka

Sārāniyadhammasutta 332

Sārāniyadhammasuttappakāsana 332

Sāvatthīnidāna ādittapariyāyasutta 335

siddham atthu (ter) idam phalam ekasmim ratanattayasmim sampasādanacetaso 26, 62, end. Ratanattayappakāvābhiyācanagāthā

sirīdhitimatitejo jaya siddhimahiddhi mahāguņāparimitapuññādhikārassa sabbantarāyanivāraņasamatthassa bhagavato arahato sammāsambuddhassa dvattimsamahāpurisalakkhanānubhāvena 28, 63, beg. Maṅgalacakkavāla

sītam unham patihanti tato vāļamigāni ca sirīmsape ca mabhase sisire cāpi vuṭṭhiyo 113, beg. Vihāradānagāthā

sukham supatisutto ca pāpam kiñci na passati evam ādigunupetam parittantam bhanāmahe 10, end. Karanīyamettasuttappakāsana

Sukhābhiyācanagāthā 27, 62

Suttantamātikā 427

sunakkhattam sumangalam supabhāgam suhutthitam sukhano sumuhutto ca 295, beg. stanzas Supubbanhasutta

sutvā tathānukārāya tam suttantam bhanāmase 282, end. Karanīyākaranīyasuttappakāsana; 284, end. Pahānabhāvanāsuttappakāsana

sutvā nuppatipatyattham tam suttantam bhanāmase 344, end. Bhikkhu-aparihāniyadhammasuttappakāsana

Supubbanhasutta 294

Supubbanhasuttappakāsana 294

subhānu passim viharantam indriyesu asamvutam 280, beg. Samvaragāthā

surāmerayamajjappamādaṭṭhānā veramaṇīsikkhāpadaṃ samādiyāmi 273, end. Paficasikkhāpadasamādāna

Suriyaparittapātha 143

suvannamālike suvannapabbate sumanakūte yonakapure nammadāya nadiyā panca pādavaram hanam aham vandāmi dūrato 265, end. Buddhapādanamakāragāthā

suvatthisādhanattham pi tam suttantam bhanāmase 354, end. Maggavibhangasuttappakāsana

Sūtra 267

Sekhiyuddesa 491

so attā ti evam etam yathābhūtam sammappaññāya datthabban ti 391, end. Khandhavibhajanapātha

sokaparidevadukkhadomanassupāyāsā nirujjhanti evam etassa kevalassa dukkhakkhandassa nirodho hoti 101, end. Vipassanābhūmipāṭha

so puggalo upalabbhati sacchikathaparamathenā ti | micchā | 271, end. Kathāvatthu (6 lines); 438, end. Kathāvatthu (1 page)

Solasadhammappabhedasangaham pathamabhanavara 147

Hetugocchaka 421

hetuppaccayo ārammaṇappaccayo adhipatippaccayo anantarappaccayo 101, beg. Paṭṭhānamātikāpāṭha (6 lines); 271, Mahāpaṭṭhāna (7 lines); 431, beg. Paṭṭhāna (8 lines); 440, Paṭṭhāna (1 page)

hetū kho pana dhammā sahetukā pi ahetukā pi 421, end. Hetugocchaka

hetū dhammā na hetū dhammā sahetukā dhammā ahetukā dhammā hetu sampayuttā dhammā **420**, beg. Hetugocchaka

Paris

Jacqueline Filliozat

THE ARISING OF AN OFFENCE: apattisamutthāna

A note on the structure and history of the Theravada-Vinaya

The article on the Vinaya word āpatti-samuṭṭhāna in the CPD¹ provides little more than the most basic information. Besides the translation and the statement that there are six groups of āpatti-samuṭṭhāna, a very few references limited to the Vinaya-Piṭaka and the Samantapāsādikā are given. It is not said what these six groups are, nor is the second set of 13 names of origins mentioned, although two of them actually occur in CPD I, if only as subtitles of a chapter in the Parivāra: addhāna-samuṭṭhāna and ananuññāta-samuṭṭhāna. A third word belonging to this set almost inevitably escaped the attention of the authors of CPD I in 1931 and 1944 (addenda), as the PTS edition of the Kaṅkhāvitaraṇī containing adinnādāna-samuṭṭhāna (Kkh 23,17) appeared only in 1956, and the Sinhalese print of 1905 mentioned in the Epilegomena may not have been available.

Progressing in the alphabet, the CPD reached another word relevant in this connection: elakaloma-samuṭṭhāna "sheep's wool origin", which is translated in this way following I.B. Horner (BD VI, London 1966, p. 129 = Vin V 88,37). Again, extreme brevity and the lack of further explanation leaves the reader wondering what this word really means, especially as the preceding entry elakalomasadisa is said to signify "like sheep's wool", referring to samuṭṭhānādīni elakalomasadisāni (Kkh 102,3 [read 102,9] \neq 103,4). This results in a somewhat enigmatic translation of the relevant sentence: "origins like sheep's wool, etc."

¹ The system of abbreviations used follows: V. Trenckner: A Critical Pāli Dictionary, Vol. I, Copenhagen 1924–48: Epilegomena (1948) and H. Bechert: Abkürzungsverzeichnis zur buddhistischen Literatur in Indien und Südostasien. Sanskrit-Wörterbuch der buddhistischen Texte aus den Turfan-Funden. Beiheft 3. Göttingen 1990. Translations from the Vinaya follow: I.B. Horner: BD, I–VI, London 1938–66.

It may, therefore, not be altogether useless to explain the different references to samuṭṭhāna in some detail, although some, at least, of the most basic facts can be gathered, e.g. from C.S. Upasak's Dictionary of Early Buddhist Monastic Terms, (Benares 1975, p. 225, s.v. samuṭṭhāna), or from I.B. Horner's translation of the Parivāra (BD, VI). Furthermore, a full understanding of the samuṭṭhāna sheds some light on the development of Buddhist ecclesiastical law, on the methods of the Vinaya commentaries, and finally on the somewhat peculiar position of the Milindapañha regarding the interpretation of the Vinaya.

In the fourth chapter of the Cullavagga, the samatha-kkhandhaka, the earliest extant classification of six samuṭṭhāna can be found, when the question: āpattādhikaraṇassa kiṃ mūlaṃ (Vin II 90,29), "what is the root of a legal question concerning an offence (laid down in the Vinaya)?" is answered by: cha āpattisamuṭṭhānā āpattādhikaraṇassa mūlaṃ (Vin II 90,29 foll.), "six origins of offences are the root of a legal question". These six origins depend on whether an offence arises from:

- 1. kāyato na vācato na cittato
- 2. vācato na kāyato na cittato
- 3. kāyato ca vācato ca, na cittato
- 4. kāyato ca cittato ca, na vācato
- 5. vācato ca cittato ca, na kāyato
- 6. kāyato ca vācato ca cittato ca (Vin II 90,30-35).

This paragraph is not commented on in the Samantapāsādikā.

Consequently, no offence can arise in mind (citta) only: If a monk only thinks of an offence without actually committing it, this intention is not considered as an āpatti according to this classification.

Evidently, this text presupposes a common knowledge about this classification among Theravāda monks. For the attribution of these

origins to single rules of the pāṭimokkhasutta is not explained in the Mahāvagga or in the Cullavagga, which for the most part contain the "historical" information about the Vinaya rules, as they record the incidents which induced the Buddha to prescribe a certain rule or to allow a certain procedure, rather than give any systematic treatment of the rules, which is foreshadowed only in the opening chapters of the Cullavagga and fully developed in the Parivāra. Thus any monk who knew by heart the pāṭimokkhasutta as a matter of course, and in addition the Parivāra, which seems to have been composed with strong mnemotechnical purposes in mind, was well equipped to handle all Vinaya questions that might arise in daily monastic life.

At the very beginning of the Parivāra a number of questions is asked and answered about every single rule in the *pāṭimokkhasutta*. This demonstrates what was considered to be important and necessary knowledge about the Vinaya. Although the whole network of these questions and answers deserves a detailed study, only that section will be discussed here which is connected with the Cullavagga passage quoted above: *channam āpattisamuṭṭhānānam katīhi samuṭṭhānehi samuṭṭhāti* (Vin V 1,14), "from how many origins of the six origins of offences does [the *pārājika*-offence (Vin V 1,6)] arise?" This is answered by: *ekena samuṭṭhānena samuṭṭhāti kāyato ca cittato ca samuṭṭhāti na vācato* (Vin V 2,13 foll.), "[the first *pārājika*-offence] arises from one origin, from [the one involving] body and mind, [but] not speech (i.e. no. 4 in the list given above)".

This is different for the second pārājika-offence: siyā kāyato ca cittato ca samuṭṭhāti na vācato, siyā vācato ca cittato ca samuṭṭhāti na kāyato, siyā kāyato ca vācato ca cittato ca samuṭṭhāti (Vin V 3,37 foll.). Thus three different varieties of origin are mentioned for this particular

² In Theravāda law there are four such "legal questions" (adhikaraṇa, in contrast to aṭṭa "worldly legal question" [cf. IT 7, 1979, p. 278 note 12]) concerning 1. vivāda "dispute", 2. anuvāda "admonition", 3. āpatti "offence (against ecclesiastical law)", 4. kicca "legal procedure (of the Saṃgha such as kammavācā [cf. StII 13/14, 1987, p. 102])", Vin II 88,18-20.

³ The structure of the first two chapters of the Parivāra has not been understood properly in *BD* in this particular respect as the translation shows: "... by how many origins does (a monk) originate the offence". Leaving aside the difficulty of taking *sam-ut-sthā* as a transitive verb, the context in the Parivāra itself and later commentaries rule out any other subject in this sentence than *āpatti*.

offence and for the remaining two pārājika-offences. The same or sometimes different combinations apply for every single offence, and not rarely even all six combinations apply: chahi samuṭṭhānehi samuṭṭhāti (Vin V 6,6), concerning samghadisesa 6, or only three: tīhi samuṭṭhānehi samuṭṭhāti (Vin V 6,18), concerning saṃghādisesa 8. In this instance the Parivāra does not indicate which origins it is actually referring to.

A third way to indicate the origin of an offence is finally introduced on the occasion of nissaggiya 23: dvīhi samuṭṭhānehi samuṭṭhāti kaṭhinake (Vin V 12,3), "arises by two origins as in the kaṭhinagroup". This refers back to nissaggiya 1 (Vin V 8,23), where the respective origin is explained. Further references of this kind follow, e.g. eṭakalomake (Vin V 14,15), referring to pacittiya 6 or padasodhamme (Vin V 14,20), referring to pācittiya 7.

How this system of reference operates can be deduced from the third chapter in the Parivāra, which is called *samuṭṭhānass' uddāna* (Vin V 86,1–90,5). Altogether 13 different origins are enumerated there, and every rule of the *pāṭimokkhasutta* is assigned to its respective origin. Consequently this arrangement according to origins complements the first two chapters of the Parivāra, where this sequence of rules is kept as it is in the *pāṭimokkhasutta*.

At the end of each of these 13 groups the total of rules assembled is mentioned, e.g. chasattati ime sikkhā kāyamānasikā katā (Vin V 87,4*), "these trainings are seventy-six done by body and by thought" (I.B. Homer), or samapaññās' ime dhammā chahi thānehi jāyare (Vin V 87,36*), "exactly fifty are these items that are born from six occasions", where by a slip of the pen samapaññās(a) is mistranslated by I.B. Horner as "thou understandeth" (BD VI, p. 127).⁴

Comparing this set of 13 samuṭṭhāna with the corresponding passage quoted from the Cullavagga, there seems to be an obvious difference. For the Cullavagga enumerates only six combinations of the three concepts $k\bar{a}ya$, $v\bar{a}c\bar{a}$, and citta: atth' $\bar{a}patti$ $k\bar{a}yato$ samuṭṭhāti ...

(Vin II 90,30), "there is an offence that arises from the body ...", etc. This formulation seems to allow for only one origin for a particular offence, while the possibility of combining two or more is not even hinted at.

A further detail seems to differ in the system laid down in the Parivāra. For there is not a single offence arising from $k\bar{a}ya$ alone. This may be due to the fact that this particular samuṭṭhāna has been inserted in the Cullavagga because of theoretical thinking only. In the same way the combination of $k\bar{a}ya$ and $v\bar{a}c\bar{a}$ has been mentioned in the Cullavagga without it actually occurring, as observed in The entrance to the Vinaya.⁵ Evidently it was considered more important to think of all possibilities irrespective of their actual occurrence, rather than to leave a gap in the system.

Alternatively it could be suspected that the opinion in samuṭṭhāna had changed in this respect during the perhaps considerable time separating Cullavagga and Parivāra. Although we do not possess any sources from which information can be gathered about the development of Buddhist ecclesiastical law during this period, it is certain that there was a continuous development. In this connection it is therefore not surprising that little, if any, attention has been paid to the origin of offences in the oldest commentary on Vinaya material, namely the explanation of the pāṭimokkhasutta embedded in the Suttavibhanga, even

⁴ The actual number is 50, not 49 as suggested in BD VI, p. xix.

⁵ Vajirañāṇa: Vinayamukha: The entrance to the Vinaya, Vol. I ¹1916, Bangkok ²⁷1965, p. 13. Further I.B. Horner draws attention to: tattha katamam āpatti no adhikaraṇam: sotāpatti, samāpatti (Vin II 93,3), "what here is an offence (but) no legal question? Stream-attainment (and) attainment" (I.B. Horner). This, of course, is a play on words, for there is no āpatti in a legal context that is not an offence. In contrast to the Theravādins, the Mūlasarvāstivādins have found an offence which is purely kāyikī (see R. Gnoli (ed.): The Gilgit Manuscript of the Śayanāsanavastu and the Adhikaraṇavastu, Rome 1978, Serie Orientale Roma 50, p. 74, in the paragraph corresponding to Vin II 90,29-36).

⁶ O. v. Hinüber: Der Beginn der Schrift und frühe Schriftlichkeit in Indien. Abhandlungen der geistes- und sozialwissenschaftlichen Klasse. Akademie der Wissenschaften und der Literatur, Mainz. Jahrgang 1989, Nr. 11, Chapter IX.

if there was an opportunity of doing so, e.g. in pārājika 2. Here, different conditions are discussed in the commentary, which might result in committing an āpatti, and among them: theyyacittañ ca paccupaṭṭhitaṃ (Vin III 54,17 etc.), "and the intention to steal arises". No reference is made to the samuṭṭhāna-system expounded in the Cullavagga, and even the wording is markedly different, as paccupaṭṭhita is used instead of samutthita.

Although the outline of the samuṭṭhāna-system can be deduced from the Parivāra, it is much easier to turn to the pertinent explanation in the Kaṅkhāvitaraṇī. This commentary quotes three kārikās, which contain the different points that should be mentioned in the explanation of pāṭimokkha-rules, e.g. nidāna: the place such as Vesāli, puggala: the person concerned such as Sudinna in pārājika 1, vatthu: the offence, etc. In the list samuṭṭhāna is also found, to which some prominence is given by a further separate kārikā on this particular vidhi. The relevant passage begins: sabbāpattīnam kāyo vācā kāyavācā kāyacittam vācācittam kāyavācācittan ti imāni ekaṅgikadvaṅgikativaṅgikāni cha samuṭṭhānāni, yāni sikkhāpadasamuṭṭhānānī ti pi vuccanti (Kkh 22,30–33), "all offences have the [following] six origins:

- 1. body
- 2. speech
- 3. body-speech
- 4. body-mind
- 5. speech-mind
- 6. body-speech-mind,

which may have one (nos. 1,2), two (nos. 3,4,5), or three (no. 6) members, and which are called 'origins of the (pāṭimokkha-)rules'".

So this agrees with the corresponding passage in the Cullavagga. Then the Kaṅkhāvitaraṇī continues in a more specific way: "The first three without and the remaining three with mind: acittaka-sacittaka" (Kkh 22,33 foll.), and, more important still, the following combinations of these six groups of origins are enumerated:

A. one origin: nos. 4,5,6

B. two origins: nos. 1 + 4, 2 + 5, 3 + 6, 4 + 6, 5 + 6

C. three origins: nos. 1 + 2 + 3, 4 + 5 + 6

D. four origins: nos. 1 + 3 + 4 + 6, 2 + 3 + 5 + 6

E. five origins: this is explicitly ruled out (Kkh 22,35)

F. six origins: nos. 1-6

These 13 possible combinations are named after the respective first offence found in the *pāṭimokkhasutta* and considered to arise in that particular way:

I. pathamapārājika: no. 4; 1 origin: Sp 271,21: Kkh 25,37

II. adinnādāna, pārājika 2: nos. 4–6 (sacittaka); 3 origins: Sp 373,21–24: Kkh 30,26

III. sañcaritta, saṃghādisesa 5: nos. 1-6; 6 origins: Sp 560,7: Kkh 39,27

IV. samanubhāsana, saṃghādisesa 10: no. 6; 1 origin: Sp 611,5: Kkh 46,24

V. kathina, nissaggiya 1: nos. 3,6; 2 origins: Sp 650,25: Kkh 58,6

VI. elakaloma, nissaggiya 16: nos. 1,4; 2 origins: Sp 689,10: Kkh 71,15

VII. padasodhamma, pācittiya 4: nos. 2,5; 2 origins: Sp 744,9: Kkh 84,19

VIII. addhāna, pācittiya 27: nos. 1,3,4,6; 4 origins: Sp 807,30: Kkh 100,16

IX. theyyasattha, pācittiya 66: nos. 4,6; 2 origins: Sp 868,28: Kkh 126,17

X. dhammadesana, sekkhiya 57: no. 5; 1 origin: Sp 898,29: Kkh 153,21

XI. bhūtārocana, pācittiya 8: nos. 1,2,3; 3 origins: Sp 752,34: Kkh 86,23

XII corīvuṭṭhāpana, bhikkhunī-saṃghādisesa 2: nos. 5,6; 2 origins: Sp 910,22: Kkh 162,18

XIII ananuññāta, bhikkhunī-pācittiya 80: nos. 2,3,5,6; 4 origins: Sp 943,18: Kkh 101,6

This classification, explained very clearly and in great detail at Kkh 22,3*-23,30, is also dealt with, but very briefly, in the Samanta-pāsādikā (Sp 270,17-271,19). Here only half the origins are mentioned and the reader is simply referred to the Parivāra, where the origins "will be evident" (āvibhavissanti, Sp 270,20). Even the few names given in the

Samantapāsādikā point to a system slightly different from the one in the Kankhāvitaranī:

- a. six origins
- b. four origins
- c. three origins
- d. kathina
- e. eļakaloma
- f. dhuranikkhepa (Sp 270,21-24 [ending with °ādi "etc."]).

This paragraph in the Samantapāsādikā refers to $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}jika$ 1, about which it is said: "according to the origin it has one origin, according to members (anga) there is a double origin, [for it] arises from bodymind" (Sp 271,22 foll.). At the same time this gives at least a hint at the technical meaning of anga as used in references to samutthana, which, again, has been neatly explained in the Kankhāvitaraṇī (Kkh 22,32) as quoted above.

Following this system, the Samantapāsādikā regularly uses chasamuṭṭhāna, Sp 560,7 (= Kkh 39,29); Sp 574,19 (= Kkh 41,36); Sp 662,19 (against: sañcaritta, Kkh 63,35); Sp 664,28 (against: sañcaritta, Kkh 64,24, etc.);⁷ catusamuṭṭhāna, Sp 807,30 (against: addhāna, Kkh 100,16); Sp 842,7 (against addhāna, Kkh 112,9, etc.).

The last head-word refers to $p\bar{a}cittiya$ 27 only in the context of $samutth\bar{a}na$; otherwise it is called $samvidh\bar{a}na$ (Sp 869,6 = Kkh 126,23, cf. also Vin V 86,23*). Normally the name of the rule and the name of the $samutth\bar{a}na$ are identical.

These two groups, chasamuṭṭhāna-sañcaritta (or: sañcari [Vin V 87,26*] in the meta-language of the uddānas) and catusamuṭṭhāna-

addhāna, are well defined by giving only the number of origins, as these are not shared by any other group.

At first glance, things seem to be rather confusing in respect to tisamuṭṭhāna (Sp 549,30) against: adinnādāna (Kkh 37,34 etc.), although the Samantapāsādikā usually enumerates the three relevant origins to remove any possible doubt. Beginning with pācittiya 60, however, only tisamuṭṭhāna (Sp 864,16) against: adinnādāna (Kkh 123,34) is mentioned. There is, however, no want of clearness, as the second group, to which tisamuṭṭhāna would apply, comprises only a single rule: bhūtārocana (Sp 752,34 = Kkh 86,23). The same is valid for the last two items of the set of 13 groups, corīvuṭṭhāpana and ananuññāta. Therefore the Samantapāsādikā, being well aware of this, combines these three items as niyata "restricted (i.e. to one rule: sikkhāpada)": etān' eva tīṇi sikkhāpadāni niyatasamuṭṭhānāni, aññehi saddhim asambhinnasamuṭṭhānāni (Sp 1305,12-14), "for these three rules have a 'restricted' origin that is not an origin 'shared' with other (rules)".8

This special position of the groups nos. XI-XIII within the set accounts for the obvious break in the sequence of the head-words selected, which suddenly jumps backwards from no. X dhammadesana, sekkhiya 57 to no. XI bhūtārocana, pācittiya 8, which has been noted without further comment in BD VI, p. 130 note 19.

The next two groups mentioned in the Samantapāsādikā concur with nos. V and VI in the Kankhāvitaranī. Consequently, they do not create any problem, in strong contrast to the very last name. For dhuranikkhepa is not used at all in the Kankhāvitaranī, which has samanubhāsana instead. This, however, is not alien to the Samantapāsādikā either: samanubhāsana occurs at Sp 611,5 = Kkh 46,24, where it is introduced as the name of a particular group following the usage of the Parivāra. In pācittiya 64, however, the Samantapāsādikā suddenly

⁷ Further instances can easily be found by means of the very helpful notes in BD VI, pp. 124–31, where all references to the pāṭimokkha-rules have been traced. The commentaries do not always give the name of the pertinent samuṭṭhāna, but refer back to preceding rules of identical origin, etc.: kuṭī-kārasikkhāpade vuttanayen' eva veditabbaṃ saddhiṃ samuṭṭhānādīhi (Sp 575,17), and similarly: samuṭṭhānādīhi catutthasadissān' eva (Kkh 66,2), both commenting on nissaggiya 7.

⁸ This shows that *niyato* (Vin V 86,16*) does not mean "regularized" as translated at *BD* VI, p. 123, but "restricted (to only one rule)", though the verse as a whole remains difficult.

changes to dhuranikkhepa (Sp 866,32), perhaps because the expression dhuram nikkhittamatte (Sp 866,15) is quoted from Vin IV 128,5 and commented on in this paragraph. In contrast to normal usage it is not the name of this pāṭimokkha-rule, which is called duṭṭhulla (Sp 867,1). In this respect it is similar to saṃvidhāna: addhāna, discussed above.

From then on *dhuranikkhepa* is employed, though somewhat irregularly it seems, for no rule can be found in the erratic changes between the names of this *samuṭṭhāna*. The subcommentaries do not offer any help concerning the designation *dhuranikkhepa*. When commenting on *pakiṇṇaka* (Sp 270,16), they simply refer to the possible alternative use of *dhuranikkhepa* and *samanubhāsana* at Sp-ṭ (Be) II 96,11 in a long and detailed explanation of the *samuṭṭhāna*, which is substantially the same as in the Kaṅkhāvitaraṇī, and at Vmv (Be) II 149,9 very briefly and in passing, while the Vajirabuddhiṭīkā is altogether silent on this point. No subcommentary deemed it necessary to waste any words on the change from *samanubhāsana* to *dhuranikkhepa* in commenting on *pācittiya* 64.

A second difference from the Kankhavitarani can, on the other hand, easily be explained. Wherever the Samantapāsādikā chooses numbers such as chasamutthana as opposed to sancaritta, it simply follows a system also found in the Parivara, e.g.: chahi samuṭṭhānehi (Vin V 9,4). If this is abandoned, and names such as kathinaka or elakalomaka (nos. V, VI) are preferred to *dvisamutthāna, a name apparently never used in the commentaries in contrast to dvihi samutthānehi (Vin V 8,23 etc.), in the Parivara, the reason is obvious. Here only the names prevent confusion, as there are four groups with a double origin: kathina, elakaloma, padasodhamma, and theyyasattha (nos. V, VI, VII, IX). Correspondingly, pathamapārājika is preferred to ekasamutthāna (cf. Vin V 5,16 etc.), because of samanubhāsana / dhuranikkhepa and bhūtārocana (nos. I, IV, X) all being subject to arising from only one origin. Where there are three groups sharing an equal number of origins, even the distinction between sambhinna "shared" and nivata "restricted" would fail to provide the necessary clarity.

Comparing the three Vinaya texts, the Parivāra being by far the oldest, and the Kankhāvitaranī and the Samantapāsādikā being thought to be more or less contemporaneous, the following differences can be noted:

In the third chapter of the Parivara all 13 names of samutthana groups are given, but only kathinaka (Vin V 12,3 etc.), elakalomaka (Vin V 14,15 etc.), and padasodhamma (only Vin V 16,35) are actually used in the first chapter on the Mahā- (i.e. Bhikkhu-) Vibhanga, while dhuranikkhepa (Vin V 55,15, and frequently in the following paragraphs), pathamapārājika, (Vin V 56,14 etc.), kathinaka, (Vin V 57,33 etc.), elakalomaka, (Vin V 59,12 etc.), theyyasatthaka, (Vin V 60,27 etc.), and padasodhamma (only Vin V 70,16) all occur in the second chapter on the Bhikkhunīvibhanga. In both these chapters, however, the pertinent samuṭṭhāna is mostly referred to only by its number. Although the complete set of 13 names is available in the third chapter of the Parivara, only two names have been used frequently in the first chapter, and six in the second chapter. Only in the latter does dhuranikkhepa occur, whereas samanubhāsana is avoided. Therefore one might suspect that dhuranikkhepa as a name of a samutthana may originally have been a Vinaya word preferred by the nuns.

On the whole, the first two chapters of the Parivāra are much more circumstantial than later texts in the paragraphs concerning samuṭṭhāna. For phrases such as "arises from one origin such as body ...", etc., or "arises from two origins as in the kaṭhina-group" could have been, and actually are, simplified by merely referring to the respective names of origins as enumerated in the third chapter of the Parivāra.

A much better systematization has been achieved in this respect in the Samantapāsādikā, in spite of some shortcomings if two names for one group interchange. It should be noted that the Samantapāsādikā, while extending the use of *dhuranikhepa* to the Bhikkhupāṭimokha, has not been influenced by the Parivāra in selecting either name: the Parivāra, which counts the *pārājika*-rules of the *bhikkhunīs* as nos. 5–8, has *dhuranikhepa* (Vin V 55,15) in *pārājika* 5, in contrast to *samanubhāsana* (Sp 904,13).

Finally, in the Kankhāvitaraṇī, the designation dhuranikkhepa has been removed from the text. At the same time only the set of 13 names found in the Parivāra has been used consistently. Thus some kind of progress in handling and systematizing this difficult material can be observed. This is perhaps most evident at the end of the commentary on the rules of the pāṭimokkha, e.g. of nissaggiya 1, where the Kankhāvitaraṇī needs three lines (Kkh 58,6–8) in comparison to six needed by the Samantapāsādikā (Sp 650, 24–29) for the same matter.

Earlier Vinaya texts, however, are not distinguished in this respect alone from later ones, which occasionally also introduce new elements in the form of new concepts or terminology.

Thus it is said in the ekuttaraka-chapter of the Parivara in the ekaka-paragraph: sāvajjapaññatti āpatti jānitabbā anavajjapaññatti āpatti jānitabbā (Vin V 115,8), "an offence that has been prescribed as 'blamable' should be known, an offence that has been prescribed as 'nonblamable' should be known". As this classification is mentioned here for the first time and without any comment or example, it is impossible to control the explanation given in the Samantapāsādikā: sāvajjapaññattī ti lokavajjā, anavajjapaññattī ti pannattivajjā (Sp 1319,26), "blamable means blamable because of common opinion, non-blamable means blamable because of an instruction (by the Buddha)".9 The terms lokavajja and pannattivajja are used very frequently by both the Samantapāsādikā and the Kankhavitarani, and they replace the apparently older pair sāvajja-, anāvajjapañnatti, which are preserved only in the passage quoted above from the Parivara and echoed once in: anantarāyikā pannattivajjā anavajjapanņattī ti ca vuttam ... °āpatti antarāyikā lokavajjasāvajjapannattito (Vjb (Be) 553,7).

The more recent terms lokavajja and pannattivajja emerge for the first time in the Milindapañha: lokavajjam pannattivajjam ... udake hassa-

dhammam mahārāja lokassa anavajjam, tam jinasāsane vajjam (Mil 266,19-28), "blamable by the world, ... blamable by the regulation (of the Vinaya) ... playing in the water is, sire, blameless in the world, but is blamable in the Dispensation of the Conqueror" (I.B. Horner: Milinda's Questions II, 1969, pp. 83 foll.). Although quite a few new words and forms are introduced by the Milindapañha into the Pāli vocabulary such as iha for older idha (CPD), kaṭumika (CPD), jaṭhara or lipi, 10 lokavajja: pannattivajja may surface here perhaps by mere chance, because the idea as such is much older, as the Parivara shows. Two things, however, are striking. Instead of paññatti (Vin V 115,15), the form pannatti is used in the Milindapañha and consistently in the Vinaya commentaries in pannattivajja, which even intrudes into the quotation of anavajja-, pannattivajja in the Vajirabuddhitīkā. It seems impossible to decide whether an old eastern oral tradition is brought to the surface here. providing a further example of an old eastern Vinaya term, 11 or whether this rather mirrors the later Middle Indic development of $-\tilde{n}\tilde{n}->-nn-$ (Das ältere Mittelindisch im Überblick, § 250). 12

The second point is perhaps more interesting. In the Milinda-pañha hassadhamma comes under the category lokassa anavajja (Mil 266,28). This refers to udake hāsadhammam pācittiyam (Vin IV 112,22** [pācittiya 53]), which is said to be lokavajjam (Sp 861,21), which is confirmed by Kkh 119,34. There is, however, no contradiction between the Vinaya commentaries and the Milindapañha, when the behaviour described in other pāṭimokkha-rules is attributed to lokassa anavajja in the latter text: vikālabhojana and bhūtagāmavikopana refer to pācittiya 37 and

⁹ In spite of a correct explanation of this sentence in the footnote accompanying the translation, the text itself is mistranslated as "an offence the description (of which) is 'blamable' ... ", BD VI, p. 172 and note 9.

¹⁰ Cf. K.R. Norman: *Pāli Literature* (A History of Indian Literature, VII,2), Wiesbaden 1983, p. 111.

¹¹ Cf. sammannati, etc.: O. v. Hinüber: The Oldest Pāli Manuscript. Abhandlungen der geistes- und sozialwissenschaftlichen Klasse. Akademie der Wissenschaften und der Literatur, Mainz. Jahrgang 1991, Nr. 6, p. 13.

¹² The reading *paṇṇatti* with -ṇṇ-, not -ññ-, is confirmed throughout by the Milindapañha manuscript from Vat Lai Hin, North Thailand, dated AD 1495, cf. *JPTS* XI, 1987, pp. 111–19 and XII, 1988, pp. 173 foll.

11 respectively, which are classified as pannattivajja (Sp 838,7 and 769,12). Both offences are typical of the misbehaviour of monks, while there is nothing wrong if a layman eats at any time or digs the earth. Nor was it considered indecent or offensive for laymen to enjoy a bath, as is well known from innumerable references in classical Sanskrit literature. This may actually have induced the author of this passage in the Milindapañha to take hassadhamma as lokassa anavajja corresponding to pannattivajja, for which he gives a definition that differs from the one found in later legal literature: dasa akusalakammapathā idam vuccati lokavajjam (Mil 266,20 foll.), in contrast to: yassa sacittakapakkhe cittam akusalam eva hoti tam lokavajjam nāma, sesam pannattivajjam, (Sp 229,2 foll. ≠ Kkh 24,13-15). At the same time the Samantapāsādikā considers the 10 akusalakammapatha as enumerated at D III 269,1-4 or Vibh 391,25-27 as akusaladhamma, which are divided into kāya-, vācī-, and mano-duccarita (Sp 134,11-16). This does not have any connection with the classification as sa-citta: a-citta of the pāṭimokkha-rules, which can be seen quite clearly, e.g. at Sp 271,25-30: the akusalacitta conditioning pārājika 1 is lobhacitta, which does not figure among the akusalakammapatha.

Thus both texts, the Milindapañha on the one hand, and the Samantapāsādikā/Kaṅkhāvitaraṇī on the other, use different definitions of lokavajja, and within their respective definitions the classification seems to be consistent. Again, it is impossible to tell whether this remarkable difference should be interpreted in terms of chronology, i.e. understood as a development of Theravāda ecclesiastical law, or as sectarian.¹³ If the

latter were correct, this would point to the Milindapañha as being some sort of an intruder into the Theravāda tradition, importing a new idea which in this particular case has not been accepted by the Mahāvihāra orthodoxy.

For modern interpreters of the Vinaya, the divisions sacittaka: acittaka and lokavajja: paṇṇattivajja remain meaningful, and the latter is even developed in a rather bold way quite in contrast to the samuṭṭhāna, which are thought to be "superfluous and unclear" by Vajirañāṇa, the 10th Saṃgharāja of Thailand, in his Vinayamukha: The entrance to the Vinaya, I, pp. 12–16, especially p. 13.

Freiburg

Oskar v. Hinüber

contribution as a whole. It would be interesting to know if there are traces of a similar samuṭṭhāna-classification in Vinaya schools other than the Theravāda. If the Samantapāsādikā was translated into Chinese under Dharmaguptaka influence as stated by P.V. Bapat: Shan-Chien-P'i-P'o-Sha, Poona 1970, p. l, this school at least did not seem to have been very familiar with this concept as the gross mistranslation of Sp 62,17-21 (p. 422), the somewhat surprising translation of Sp 228,1 foll. (p. 169) and other instances show. The pair sāvadyam: anavadyam turns up in pātayamtika 75 (Sarvāstivāda) in: G. v. Simson: Prātimokṣasūtra der Sarvāstivādins. Teil I. Sanskrittexte aus den Turfanfunden XI. Abhandlungen der Akademie der Wissenschaften in Göttingen. Philologisch-historische Klasse. Dritte Folge Nr. 155. Göttingen 1986, p. 229 (SHT 538, Bl. 26R4). However, the sentence containing the relevant words occurs in only one manuscript, and is missing in others.

¹³ Attention is drawn to this important alternative in explaining differences among different Vinayas by G. Schopen, "On Avoiding Ghosts and Social Censure", Journal of Indian Philosophy 10, 1992, pp. 1–39, especially p. 4. In spite of Schopen's brilliant argument, I am convinced that the Pāli Vinaya is by far the oldest extant text of its type. This, of course, does not mean that other Vinayas do not very occasionally contain very old material, while the Mūlarsarvāstivāda-Vinaya seems especially to be penetrated by the spirit of innovation. This, however, needs much more research, and these remarks are not meant to diminish the highly interesting and important results of Schopen's

THE CASE OF THE MURDERED MONKS

This is possibly the first time that the Journal of the Pali Text Society has been offered material with a title so sensational as to suggest one of the more luridly covered paperbacks! Still, the subject-matter to be examined here, a really mysterious case, does occur in the pages of the Vinayapiṭaka, being found there as the principal story of the third pārājika (defeat). In this paper it is intended to summarize the story, to look into the Vinaya Commentary's elaborations, to compare these matters with the account in the Dharmagupta Vinaya and finally to comment on the issues raised.

The Mahāvibhanga (Vin III 68 foll.) tells a very strange story. At that time, it relates, the Buddha was instructing the monks in the practice of the unattractiveness of the body (asubhabhāvanā). When he had completed this he undertook a fortnight's retreat, seeing no-one except the monk who brought him almsfood. As the monks practised asubha it seems that self-hatred arose very strongly in them, for they came to loathe their bodies, rather than seeing them dispassionately as impure. Some committed suicide, while others took the lives of their fellow monks, apparently making pacts: "I'll kill you, you kill me". Some went to a hanger-on of the monastery, a man called Migalandika who had a yellow robe and pretended to be a monk, and asked him to take their lives. He did this 'service' in exchange for the dead monks' robes and bowls. Afterwards he took his blood-stained knife down to the river Vaggumuda to wash it there. Then he repented of his violence. thinking that he had deprived many virtuous monks of life. At this point he experienced some sort of vision and it is hard to decide whether this 'being' was something external to him, or an aspect of his own mind. In any case, the 'devata' told him that he had done good in bringing across, or as we should say 'saving', those who were not yet across, had not yet attained Nibbana. After this he was convinced that what he had done was good, and consequently he returned to the monastery, where he

Journal of the Dali Taxt Society, YVI 1002 71 75

72

went from one building to another crying out, "Who has not crossed? Whom do I bring across ?" (Vin III 69,10-11). We are told that in this way he 'brought across' as many as sixty monks in a day; that is, he murdered them.

At the end of his retreat the Buddha noticed that the number of monks had decreased and asked Ananda the reason for this. When he was informed of what had happened he called a sangha-meeting, at first saying nothing of the recent spate of killings but instructing the remaining monks in mindfulness of breathing (ānāpānasati). His disapproval is only expressed with a stock passage recurring frequently in the Vinaya, "It is not proper, it is not seemly ... this is not for the benefit of unbelievers"; after which he is shown as laying down the basic rule. We find this an incredible account of what actually took place, as the Buddha does not allude to the doings of Migalandika or even forbid suicide. Only at a later time is he depicted as doing so.

Turning now to the Commentary (Sp 393-479) for some light upon these strange events, we are treated to elaborations of the asubhapractice followed by some comments on the story. We are informed that, for reasons obvious below, no-one, apart from the monk appointed, could interrupt the Buddha's retreat.

At this point the Commentary tells a story of the past to try to account for the terrible Vinaya tale of wholesale suicide and murder. This woefully inadequate story concerns a group of 500 hunters who had killed deer in their past lives and later, in the one then current, had been ordained, still without having exhausted the fruits of their evil kamma. Due to this they killed themselves and other monks, but apparently only those of their own group. The Commentary points out that among them many were noble (ariya) while some were ordinary (puthujjana). It is implied that the latter would reach a path/fruit through meditation on asubha, while of course the future of the former was in no doubt. What an extraordinary story! Does this mean that those ennobled by the Dhamma went around killing other monks? It is rare in the Suttas to find even examples of monks who took their own lives in the last stages of terminal illness, when as Arahants they were not to be blamed; what is to be said of monks killing others! The Commentator then attributes some improbable thoughts to the Buddha: he says to himself, "I am not able to save them with my divine power. I am of no use to them". The Commentator grapples with the dilemma of proclaiming the Buddha omniscient on the one hand (as all Commentaries claim in opposition to the Buddha's own words [M I 482,14-18]), while showing him doing nothing to stop his monks committing suicide and murder on the other. The explanation offered is that the Buddha was in *jhāna* and that no-one could talk to him. This conflicts with the Vinaya text which mentions that one monk took him almsfood every day.

After commenting on Migalandika, of whom it is said that he went to the river not only to wash his knife but also to purify himself of evil kamma, the Commentator arrives at another difficult point: explaining why the Buddha asked Ananda where the monks had gone. If he was omniscient he knew already; if not, then he would be like ordinary people who need to ask. This issue is resolved by implying that the Buddha had been playing around: though he knew, still he asked as though he did not know. Such complications always follow from claims to omniscience when this is defined as knowing everything all at once. There is some gentle mockery of the Jain teacher's claims to omniscience at M II 214.

The Dharmagupta Vinaya story agrees essentially with the Pāli account. It makes much of the kammic retribution that had to fall on the 500 former hunters, by then monks, who would be forced to slaughter one another. Other Vinayas may throw more light upon this incident. As it stands, the Pāli account presents us with insoluble problems which are not in any way solved by the Commentary:

- 1. The Buddha knew others' minds, so why did he teach asubha meditation if this was likely to lead to suicide and murder?
- 2. Presumably he knew of the murderous potential of Migalandika, but is shown as going into retreat.
- 3. No monks, not even Ananda, did anything to prevent the massacre. Even his attendant monk apparently did not say to the Buddha that monks were being slain or killing themselves in large numbers.
- 4. Nothing was done to restrain Migalandika, though these events are said to have taken place not far from the city of Vesālī, from which help could easily have been obtained.
- 5. The Buddha, apparently not knowing of the carnage during his retreat, asks, "Where have the monks gone?" when he emerges from it.

When reviewed like this the whole story appears a piece of improbable fiction, possibly a very distorted account of something which actually did take place. It is strange that a story like this, which does no credit to the Buddha, but quite the opposite, was permitted to remain in the Vinaya. Maybe some exceedingly dark events really did take place and had to be explained away, though the existing account is not successful in doing so. If the story is partly true, it would hardly reflect well on the Buddha, while if the whole is true he appears in a worse light still. As a Buddhist I am naturally reluctant to accept this.

The Bhikshuni Precepts Manual of the Dharmagupta Vinaya recounts almost the same story. Here is its account of the monastery at that time: "Due to these circumstances, the grove became littered with corpses. It stank horribly and was in a state of utter chaos and resembled a graveyard. The laity were shocked. They said, 'If these bhiksus would

go so far as to kill one another, how much more would they take the lives of other people! We should not make offerings to them any more."

It is unlikely that the mystery underlying this Vinaya rule will ever be solved, though other accounts surviving in the various untranslated Vinayas may be of some help. Obviously, the curious account in Pāli does not present the whole picture. Besides this, one must allow for exaggeration, especially of the number of monks killed by Migalandika: if the Vinaya text is taken literally he killed 265 over several days. The Commentary, more expansive, tells us 500 monks died, but then this is a standard figure for a large number in Pāli.

In this initial exploration of the case we have not been able to offer a satisfactory solution. Perhaps later investigators with more information at their disposal may be able to 'solve' this mystery, or if they cannot do this, they may at least make more probable guesses at what happened to those monks outside Vesālī.

Wisemans Ferry

Laurence C.R. Mills (formerly Khantipalo)

75

Bibliography

Vinaya-pitaka, ed. H. Oldenberg, Vol. III, PTS, 1964 The Book of the Discipline, trans. I.B. Horner, Vol. I, PTS 1970 Samantapāsādikā, ed. J. Takakusu & M. Nagai, Vol. II, PTS 1969 The Bhikshuni Precepts Manual, (no translator's name), Vol. I, Dharma Realm Buddhist University, California, 1983

Shan-Chien-P'i-P'o-Sha, trans. P.V. Bapat & A. Hirakawa, Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Poona, 1970

PĀLI LEXICOGRAPHICAL STUDIES IX1

FOUR PĀLI ETYMOLOGIES

Here is another random group of words which are either omitted from PED,² or given an incorrect meaning or etymology there.

- 1. kinti "in order that"
- 2. kevala-kappa "(almost) entire"
- 3. sakāya niruttiyā "in/with own nirutti"
- 4. hevam "thus"

1. kinti "in order that"

PED gives the meaning "how then?" for kinti with only one reference for it (s.v. kin): kinti te sutam, D II 74,8. In Skt kim is used as a particle of interrogation, and very often it has no more meaning than a question mark. With the particle iti it means "why". In the sentence quoted above (= D II 75,10 = A IV 18,21 foll.) it is simply asking a question: "Have you heard?"

¹ See K.R. Norman, "Pāli Lexicographical Studies VIII", in *JPTS*, XV, pp. 145-54.

² Abbreviations of the titles of Pāli texts are as in the Epilegomena to V. Trenckner: A Critical Pāli Dictionary, Vol. I, Copenhagen 1924-48 (= CPD). In addition: BHS = Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit; CP I = K.R. Norman, Collected Papers, Vol. I, PTS 1990; MW = M. Monier-Williams, Sanskrit-English Dictionary, Oxford 1899; PTS = Pali Text Society; PED = PTS's Pali-English Dictionary; PTC = Pāļi Tipiṭakaṃ Concordance; Skt = Sanskrit; (M)RE = (Minor) Rock Edict; SepE = Separate Edict; PE = Pillar Edict; cty/cties = commentary/commentaries.

There is, however, another usage found in Pāli, which is not mentioned in PED, where it is constructed with an optative, to indicate a purpose:

Vajjīnam arahantesu dhammikārakkhāvaraṇa-gutti susaṃvihitā, kinti anāgatā ca arahanto vijitam āgaccheyyum, D II 75,11 = A IV 17,5 = 20,6,10,13: "... so that arahats may enter the territory". yāvakīvañ ca bhikkhave bhikkhū paccattam yeva satim upaṭṭhāpessanti, kinti anāgatā ca pesalā sabrahmacārī phāsum vihareyyun ti, D II 77,19 = A IV 22,3: "... so that good fellow-disciples may dwell at ease". kinti mahārāja idam dukkham nirujjheyya aññañ ca dukkham na uppajjeyya, Mil 31,29 = 65,30: "So that this dukkha may cease and another dukkha may not arise".

The construction with an optative (or occasionally an injunctive) is also found in the Asokan inscriptions¹:

ya ca kimci parākramāmi aham kimti bhūtānam ānamnam gacheyam, RE VI(L) at G: "... so that I may discharge my debt ... ".

ta etāya athāya ayam dhammalipī lekhāpitā kimti ciram tisteya, RE VI(M) at G: "... so that it may last a long time".

ya tu kici parikamate devānampiyo priyadasi rājā ta savam pāratrikāya kiṃti sakale apaparisrave asa, RE X(C) at G: "... so that there may be little danger".

na tu tathā dānam va pūjā va devānampiyo mamnate yathā kiti sāravadhi asa, RE XII(B) at G: "... so that there may be an increase in sāra".

tasa tu idam mūlam ya vacigutī kimti ātpapāsamdapūjā va parapāsamdagarahā va no bhave aprakaraņamhi, RE XII(D) at G: "... so

that there should not be praise of one's own sect or blame of another's sect ... ".

yo hi koci ātpapāsamdam pūjayati parapāsamdam va garahati savam ātpapāsamdahatiyā kimti ātpapāsamdam dīpayema iti, RE XII(H) at G: "... so that we may glorify our own sect".

ta samavāyo eva sādhu kiṃti aṃñamaṃñasa dhaṃmaṃ sruṇāru ca susuṃsera ca, RE XII(I) at G: "... so that they may hear each other's dhamma".

evam hi devānampiyasa ichā kimti savapāsamādā bahusrutā ca asu kalānāgamā ca, RE XII(J) at G: "... so that all sects may be learned ...".

devānampiyo no tathā dānam va pūjam va mamnate yathā kimti sāravadhī asa sarvapāsamdānam, RE XII(L) at G: "... so that there may be an increase in sāra".

anutape pi ca prabhave devanampriyasa vucati teṣa kiti avatrapeyu na ca haṃñeyasu, RE XIII(N) at Sh: " ... so that they may be ashamed and not be killed".

etaye $c\bar{a}$ aṭhaye ayi dhramadipi nipista kiti putra papotra me asu (? read anaṃ)¹ navaṃ vijayaṃ ma vijetavia mañiṣu, RE XIII(X) at Sh: "... so that they may not think of another new victory".

atha pajāye ichāmi hakam kimti savena hitasukhena hidalokikapālalokikāye yujevu ti, SepE II(E) at Dh: "... so that they be provided with complete welfare ...".

ichā hi me kiṃti saṃghe samage cilathitīke siyā ti, Schism Edict (E) at Sāñcī: "... so that the saṃgha may be united and last a long time".

etāni bhaṃte dhaṃmapaliyāyāni ichāmi kiṃti bahuke bhikhupāye cā bhikhuniye cā abhikhinaṃ suneyu cā upadhālayeyū cā, Bhabra (E): "... so that many groups of monks and nuns may listen repeatedly ...". etiya aṭhāye ca sāvane kaṭe ... iya paka(me) kiti ciraṭhitike siyā, MRE I(H) at Rūp: "... so that it may last a long time".

¹ Abbreviations of Aśokan site names: G = Girnār; Sh = Shāhbāzgaṛhī; Dh = Dhauli; Rūp = Rūpnāth. I follow the sentence divisions of E. Hultzsch, *The Inscriptions of Asoka*, Oxford 1925.

¹ See L. Alsdorf, "Der Schluss von Asokas dreizehntem Felsedikt", Mélanges d'Indianisme (à la mémoire de Louis Renou), Paris 1968, pp. 23–33 (p. 26).

tesaṃ ye abhihāle vā daṃde vā atapatiye me kaṭe kiṃti lajūkā asvathā abhītā kaṃmāni pavatayevū ti, PE IV(D): " ... so that the lajūkas may perform their duties confidently ... ".

dhammayutena ca viyovadisamti janam jānapadam kimti hidatam ca pālatam ca ālādhayevū ti, PE IV(E): "... so that they may attain this world and the next".

ichitaviye hi esā kimti viyohālasamatā ca siyā damdasamatā cā, PE IV(K): " ... so that there may be impartiality in proceedings and in punishments".

This is a development of the usual meaning "Why?" Someone has done, or will do, something. Why? That something else may or may not happen (expressed in the optative or injunctive). We may then interpret *kinti* as introducing the purpose clause. "(The king) has acted, so that something may happen".

2. kevala-kappa "(almost) entire"

PED quotes (s.v. kevala) this compound from Sn pp. 18, 45 (mistake for 46), 125, Pj I 115 and Vv-a 124 255 with the meaning "a whole kappa", and repeats this ("a whole, complete kappa") with the references Sn pp. 18, 46, 125 (s.v. kappa).

These references are all to a stock phrase referring to a divinity illuminating a grove:

aññatarā devatā ... kevalakappam Jetavanam obhāsetvā, Sn p. 18,10 = p. 46,14 = Khp p. 2,29 (glossed at Pj I 115,19 foll.) ≠ Sn p. 125,10 (Brahmā Sahampati).

kevalakappam Gijjhakūṭam cando viya suriyo viya ca obhāsentī, Vv-a 124,11.

devalokato āgantvā kevalakappam Veļuvanam obhāsento, Vv-a 255,6.

This stock phrase is widely found. Pj I 115,19 foll., Ps II 125,36 foll., Spk I 15,22 foll. and Mp II 377,2 foll. give the definition abhisaddahana-vohāra-kāla-paññatti-chedana-vikappa-lesa-samanta-bhāv'-ādi-anekattho for kappa. They quote: kevalakappaṃ Veļuvanaṃ obhāsetvā ti (Ñāṇamoli identifies this as S I 52,21; it would seem preferable to identify it as S I 1,10, since Buddhaghosa deals with the word at Spk I 15,22 foll. [ad S I 1,10]) evamādisu samantabhāvo. idha pan' assa samantabhāvo attho adhippeto. tasmā kevalakappam Andhavanan ti ettha anavasesaṃ samantato Andhavanan ti evam attho daṭṭhabbo. Mp III 353,8 (ad A III 309,4) does not give the full explanation but glosses: kevalakappam ti sakalakappaṃ. At Mp II 374,30 (ad A I 277,2) kevalakappaṃ is glossed: sakalaṃ kappaṃ, which is a wrong reading for sakala-kappaṃ (Be so). At Sp 972,2 (ad Vin I 26,3) kevalakappam is glossed sakalam kevalam.

It is clear that the meaning given by PED for these references is incorrect, although Childers² had long ago seen correctly that the meaning of kevalakappam Jetavanam was "the whole of Jetavana", and had defined kevalakappo³ as "all, whole, entire". Masefield points out⁴ that this sense is not listed by PED under either kevala or kappa, and very charitably he does not say that the meaning which is given under both headings is wrong.

This failure is all the more striking because PED does list the correct meaning for *kappa* at the end of compounds (s.v. *kappa*), i.e. "made as, like, resembling", e.g. *khagga-visāṇa-kappa* "like the rhinoceros horn". The difficulty in the Pāli usage lies, as often in Pāli, in a Skt usage, and the solution to the problem is found by consulting

¹ See PTC, s.vv. obhāseti and kevalakappa.

² R.C. Childers, *Dictionary of the Pāli language*, London 1875, s.v. kappo.

³ Childers, *ibid.*, s.v. *kevalakappo*.

⁴ P. Masefield, Vimāna-Stories, PTS 1989, p. 190 (note 6).

MW, where the meaning is given (inter alia): "having the manner, form of, similar to, like (but with a degree of inferiority), almost", e.g. abhedya-kalpa "almost impenetrable", prabhāta-kalpa "nearly become light, approaching dawn", mṛta-kalpa "almost dead, apparently dead".

The meaning of kevala-kappa is therefore, "(almost) entire", or "just about the whole of ...". This meaning is given in the cties quoted above for kevalakappā ca Anga-Magadhā pahūtam khādaniyam bhojaniyam ādāya upasamkamissanti (Vin I 27,28) "The whole of Anga and Magadha will come bringing quantities of food", for the sense is said to be yebhuyyatā "for the most part", i.e. "almost all". They also list kevalakappam used adverbially: ayam āyasmato Anuruddhassa Bāhiko nāma saddhivihāriko kevalakappam samghabhedāya thito (A II 239,21), where the sense is said to be daļhatthatā "firmness". Nāṇamoli translates "This co-resident of the venerable Anuruddha's named Bāhika has taken his stand entirely for the schism in the Community", and Woodward translates "stands in every way for dissension in the Order". Mp III 215,16 glosses: kevalakappan ti sakalam samantato.

The same meaning of kappa is found in the compound ahata-kappa which is used, in conjunction with ahata, of clothes: "unwashed or nearly unwashed, i.e. new or nearly new". Miss Horner misunderstands this, and translates ahatakappena (Vin I 255,8) and ahatakappānam (Vin I 290,11) "when what is allowable is unsoiled". Sp

1111,31 (ad Vin I 255,8) glosses: ahatakappenā ti ahatasadisena ekavāram vā dvikkhattum vā dhotena "like unwashed (i.e. new), washed (only) once or twice", and Sp 1128,18 (ad Vin I 290,11) glosses: ahatakappānan ti ekavāradhotānam "washed once". PED rightly lists the usage with ahata under the same meaning as for khagga-visāṇa-kappa, quoted above. CPD correctly translates ahata¹ as "nearly (practically) new".

3. sakāya niruttiyā "in/with own nirutti"

I am still not persuaded that in the well-known passage (Vin II 139,2–16) chandaso means "into the Vedic language", and consequently I do not agree with the statement, "It is hardly surprising that there was a certain pressure for using Vedic Sanskrit for the recitation of Buddhist texts from the very beginning. The Buddha objected to this, and the issue of language was felt to be important enough to require a rule in the Vinaya explicitly forbidding the use of this language for Buddhist texts in favour of the vernaculars". The belief that the Buddha ordered the use of vernacular languages depends upon the translation of the words sakāya niruttiyā. I wish to return to this problem.

I now think that I was wrong when I said in my earlier discussion of the phrase buddhavacanam chandaso āropema that the second time sakāya occurs in the story it must refer to the Buddha "since there is nothing else in the sentence to refer to". Sakāya can, and indeed must, I think, refer to Buddhavacanam. It is well-known that in the

¹ s.v. kalpa.

² Bhikkhu Ñānamoli, Minor Readings and Illustrator, PTS 1960, pp. 123-24.

³ F.L. Woodward, Gradual Sayings, Vol. II, PTS 1933, p. 244.

⁴ I.B. Horner, *Book of the Discipline*, Vol. IV, PTS 1951, pp. 357 and 413. Miss Horner seems not to have understood the meaning of *ahata* and its reference to the Indian way of washing clothes by banging them against a rock: "not struck (against a rock), i.e. never washed, i.e. new", and *ahata-kappa* "nearly new". She was perhaps misled by PED's definition of *ahata* (s.v. *hata*): "unsoiled, clean, new".

¹ CPD, Vol. I, s.v. ahata.

² O. von Hinüber, "Origin and varieties of Buddhist Sanskrit", in C. Caillat (ed.): Dialectes dans les littératures indo-aryennes, Paris 1989, pp. 341–67 (p. 351).

³ K.R. Norman, "Middle Indo-Aryan Studies VIII", *Journal of the Oriental Institute* (Baroda), Vol. XX, pp. 329–36 (p. 330) = CP Vol. I, pp. 122–29 (p. 122).

the common Vinaya phrase anujānāti means "to ordain or prescribe". I therefore take the Buddha's command to mean "I ordain that the Buddhavacana be mastered in [or "with" — see below] its own nirutti", i.e. the infinitive pariyāpunitum is used in a passive sense, and I think that those who say we must understand the word vo in the sentence are wrong. Since, as I said in the same article, it is inconceivable that sakāya should have two different referents, it must have the same meaning when it is used earlier.

It is clear that it cannot be the followers' own *nirutti*. If it were, then we should have to assume that the Buddha, when informed that they were ruining (dūsenti) his teaching in/with/by their own nirutti, insisted on their using their own nirutti, i.e. insisted that they continue to ruin his teaching. Since he insists upon the Buddhavacana being mastered sakāya niruttiyā, the nirutti must also be part of what they are ruining, i.e. it is either the Buddha's nirutti or the nirutti of the Buddhavacana. We can take sakāya niruttiyā either as locative: "The Buddhavacana in its own nirutti" or as instrumental: "The Buddhavacana with its own nirutti". I have said elsewhere⁴ that I prefer the idea of "gloss" for nirutti. By this I mean some sort of simple commentary, perhaps nothing more than a translation into the local dialect of a single word, or an etymology to make the meaning plain. On the other hand, it would appear that Buddhaghosa was taking nirutti as "language", and this also makes sense: "They are spoiling the Buddhavacana in its own language". Buddhaghosa identified that

language with Māgadhī because, as I said earlier, that was the tradition handed down in the Mahāvihāra.

4. hevam "thus"

PED s.v. hevam gives no text references, but refers to hi, where it is stated that hevam = hi evam. Without doubt this is so in certain contexts. Where hevam occurs as a second word in a clause, particularly after na, it may stand for h(i) evam. Where it occurs as first word in a clause, it must be hevam, since hi, being an enclitic, cannot stand as first word.

I have elsewhere pointed out that hevam occurs in the Kathāvatthu,² e.g. hevam eva tattha dakkha (Kv 3,11); hevam paṭijānantā, hevam niggahetabbā (Kv 3,15-16). It is interesting to note that another such occurrence is at D I 54,17, in the description of the views of Makkhali Gosāla: hevam [Ee prints h' evam] n' atthi doṇa-mite sukha-dukkhe pariyanta-kaṭe saṃsāre. Since forms with initial h- are frequent in the Eastern versions of the Aśokan inscriptions,³ we can assume that this is a genuine Eastern dialect form, appropriate to the speaker, and therefore retained in the account of his views.

Cambridge

K.R. Norman

¹ See CPD, Vol. I, s.v. anujānāti.

² e.g. W. Geiger, *Pāli Literature and Language*, (second edition), Calcutta 1956, p. 7 footnote 2.

³ Norman, op. cit. (in note 3 on p. 83), p. 330.

⁴ K.R. Norman, "The dialects in which the Buddha preached", in H. Bechert (ed.): *The Language of the earliest Buddhist tradition*, Göttingen, 1980, pp. 61–77 (pp. 61–63).

¹ Norman, op. cit. (in note 3 on p. 83), p. 331.

² See K.R. Norman, "Māgadhisms in the Kathāvatthu", in A.K. Narain (ed.): Studies in Pali and Buddhism (a Memorial Volume in Honor of Bhikkhu Jagdish Kashyap), Delhi 1979, pp. 279-87.

³ See Hultzsch, op. cit. (in note 1 on p. 78), Index, s.vv. hida, hedisa/hedisa, heta. hemeva. hevam. hesā.

THE RITUAL OBLIGATIONS AND DONOR ROLES OF MONKS IN THE PALI VINAYA

More than once recently it has again been suggested that Buddhist monks had little or no role in life-cycle ceremonies in early India. I do not know on what these suggestions are based, but it does not seem that it could be the Pāli texts. In fact, Buddhist *Vinaya* texts in Pāli, Sanskrit, and what G. Roth calls "Prākrit-cum-Sanskrit" seem to suggest quite otherwise. They seem to suggest and assume that monks regularly had a role in such ceremonies and that their ritual presence and performance at such ceremonies was of some importance. Most passages, indeed, employ a language which suggests "obligation" (*karanīya*). The same texts suggest and assume that Buddhist monks were active donors to their own monastic community.

Ironically, the one "life-cycle" ceremony in which a significant place for monks has been explicitly conceded — the funeral — is also the one which is not explicitly included in the list of such moments that occurs in the passage of the Pāli Vinaya which seems most concerned with such things. But though the funeral is not there explicitly mentioned, the text may allude at least to death rituals as Edgerton

¹ H. Bechert & R. Gombrich, eds., The World of Buddhism: Buddhist Monks and Nuns in Society and Culture, (London: 1984), p. 14; R. Gombrich, Theravada Buddhism. A Social History from Ancient Benares to Modern Colombo, (London: 1988), p. 124. That these sorts of remarks represent the received wisdom probably does not require documentation. Similar — if not stronger — suggestions have also been frequently made in regard even to monks' participation in more specifically "Buddhist" ritual and cult practice, but see now G. Schopen, "Monks and the Relic Cult in the Mahāparinibbānasutta: An Old Misunderstanding in Regard to Monastic Buddhism", in From Benares to Beijing: Essays on Buddhism and Chinese Religion in Honor of Jan Yün-hua, eds. G. Schopen & K. Shinohara, (Oakville: 1991), pp. 187–201.

sometime ago seemed to surmise: it speaks of "illness" (gilāna), and the illness in question seems to be — to judge by context — terminal.¹

The passage in the Pāli Vinaya occurs in the Vassupanāyika-khandhaka, the section dealing with the "beginning of the rains." In the Pali Text Society edition, the only one available to me, this passage is rather badly chopped up in an apparent attempt — on whose part I do not know, whether editor or scribe — to abbreviate repetitions. It deals in general with the occasions or situations in regard to which a monk can legitimately break the rain-retreat during which he was otherwise strictly forbidden to travel. One of these reasons — but only one — has been widely cited: a monk may be away for up to seven days if he goes to learn from a lay-brother (upāsaka) a "recognized sūtra" (abhiñātam ... suttantam) which would otherwise be in danger of being lost. There are, however, a number of other equally legitimate reasons.²

The enumeration of these reasons begins — in I. B. Horner's translation — as follows:

This is a case, monks, where a dwelling-place for an Order comes to have been built by a layfollower (*idha pana bhikkhave upāsakena saṃghaṃ uddissa vihāro kārāpito hoti*). If he should send a messenger to monks, saying: "Let the revered sirs come, I want to give a gift and to hear *dhamma* and to see the monks" (*āgacchantu bhaddantā, icchāmi dānañ ca dātuṃ dhammañ ca*

sotum bhikkhū ca passitun ti), you should go, monks, if you are sent for (pahita) and if the business (karaṇīya) can be done in seven days, but not if you are not sent for (I 139,27; IV 186,16).

This is followed by a long list of other kinds of buildings — including "bathrooms" — and other kinds of constructions ("a lotus pond") which a lay-brother has built for "an order," or "for several monks" or "for one monk," etc., in regard to which the same instructions are given. Since in these cases the order or the monks are the recipients of that which had been constructed it is perhaps not remarkable that their presence on these occasions was considered important enough to justify breaking the rain-retreat. The same, however, will not account for their presence on other occasions.

The passage continues:

This is a case, monks, where a dwelling comes to have been built by a lay follower for himself (idha pana bhikkhave upāsakena attano atthāya nivesanam kārāpitam hoti) ... a sleeping room (sayanighara) ... a stable (uddosita) ... a hall in the bathroom ... a lotus pond ... a shed ... a park ... (I 140,27; IV 187,22).

This list — an abbreviation of an already abbreviated text — is much longer and contains almost every conceivable kind of construction of a domestic sort. Here there is no question of these things being presented to the monks. They are explicitly said to have been made for the lay-brother himself. The monks in these cases cannot be there as recipients, and their presence must have been sought, and allowed, for other purposes. Since the text expresses the lay-brothers request using the formula "I want to give a gift and to hear *dhamma* and to see the

¹ F. Edgerton, "The Hour of Death. Its Importance for Man's Future Fate in Hindu and Western Religions", *Annals of the Bhandarkar Institute* 8.3, (1926–27), p. 234; for the participation of monks in monastic funerals in both the Pāli and, especially, the *Mūlasarvāstivāda Vinayas* see G. Schopen, "On Avoiding Ghosts and Social Censure: Monastic Funerals in the *Mūlasarvāstivāda-vinaya*", *Journal of Indian Philosophy* 20, (1992), pp. 1–39.

² All the Pāli citations below come from H. Oldenberg, *The Vinaya Pitakam*, Vol. I, (London: 1879), pp. 139–42; the translations are from I.B. Horner, *The Book of The Discipline*, Vol. IV, (London: 1951), pp. 185–89.

monks", it would seem reasonable to assume that not just here — but even in the prior cases where the monks were the intended recipients — the reason for the monks presence was essentially ritualistic. It would appear that the text is allowing as legitimate and requiring the presence of the monks at a ceremony of some sort that marked the completion — the verbal form is $k\bar{a}r\bar{a}pita$ — of the construction of all sorts of domestic structures owned by laymen at which they would receive gifts and recite religious texts. It is, in fact, hard to interpret the text otherwise. But two further points should be noted: it appears to have been assumed by the redactors of the text that monks would regularly receive such requests, and that their compliance with such requests was important enough to justify their temporary absence from the rain retreat.

If what we see here looks very much like sanctioned and assumed monastic participation in domestic "house-dedication" rituals of the kind frequently found in traditional cultures, then what follows in the passage can only further the impression. To the list of "house-dedications" the text then adds at least three other occasions of traditional domestic ritual:

This is the case, monks, where a dwelling comes to have been built by a layfollower for himself ... a sleeping room ... a park ..., or there comes to be his son's marriage (puttassa vā vāreyyaṃ hoti), or there comes to be his daughter's marriage (dhītuyā vā vāreyyaṃ hoti), or he becomes ill (gilāno vā hoti) ... (I 140,35; IV 188,3).

In each of these cases — as in those that precede — monks, if requested through the formulaic request, are to go. Since the reason or occasion that immediately follows concerns the preservation of "recognized $s\bar{u}tras$ " which are in danger of being lost, and since no distinction is made between it and the marriages of sons or daughters, for example, it

would seem that the redactors of the *Theravāda-vinaya* considered the latter to have the same importance as the former, or that the presence of monks at weddings was as important as the preservation of *sūtras*. It is, moreover, difficult to avoid the impression that this passage presupposes something like a "client" relationship between monks and lay-brothers. That there was some sense of obligation in this relationship seems virtually certain: the text does not say the monk *may* go, but that — if sent for and if it can be accomplished in seven days — he *must* go (*gantabba*).

The clarity of the text here renders elaborate discussion, I think, unnecessary. That the redactors of this *Vinaya* assumed and insisted on monastic presence at, and participation in, a whole series of purely domestic or life-cycle rituals seems all but self-evident. Our passage is not simply of interest for its clear articulation of a set of ritual obligations bearing on Buddhist monks, however, because it also assumes that requests for the ritual presence of monks will not be made only by laymen. It goes on to enumerate in very nearly the same language another series of individuals who have dwelling places and monasteries built for the order and themselves, and who also request the ritual presence of the monks on such occasions:

This is a case, monks, where a dwelling place ... a site for a monastery for an order ... for several monks ... for him-(her-) self is built by a monk ... a nun ... a probationer ... a novice ... (idha pana bhikkhave bhikkhunā saṃghaṃ uddissa, bhikkhuniyā saṃghaṃ uddissa ... attano atthāya vihāro kārāpito hoti). If he (she) should send a messenger to monks, saying: "Let the revered sirs (masters) come. I want to give a gift and to hear dhamma and to see the monks," you should go, monks, if you are sent for and if the business can be done in seven days ... (I 141,31; IV 189,11).

Here, too, I think, the text has an elegant clarity. The redactors of our passage could only have assumed and taken very much for granted that — exactly as laymen — monks, nuns, "probationers" (sikkhamāna), and novices (sāmanera), all had monasteries and monastic buildings regularly constructed both for the order and for themselves, and - again like laymen — had on such occasions need for the ritual presence of fellow monks. The text does not rule on, but assumes, that monks and nuns can and do act as major donors. We need not again belabour the fact that this kind of assumption on the part of the redactors of the Theravādavinaya fits awkwardly, if at all, in the picture of monastic Buddhism found in our handbooks, but very nicely with the actions of monks and nuns recorded in Indian inscriptions. The role of monks in domestic rituals also is not a common-place in modern presentations of monastic Buddhism. The apparent discordancy — since we prefer so often the pictures in our own books — might suggest some suspicion in regard to the present passage, or that it is just another aberration peculiar to the Pāli Vinaya.² That such suspicions are unfounded seems to follow from two further quite different texts.

The $M\bar{u}lasarv\bar{a}stiv\bar{a}da$ -vinaya found at Gilgit has a section — the $Vars\bar{a}vastu$ — that corresponds in the main to the Pāli

Vassupanāyika-khandhaka. There is as well in the Gilgit Varṣāvastu a long passage which corresponds to the Pāli passage cited above which enumerates the occasions on which the monks may legitimately be away during the rain-retreat. Both the enumeration and language here are similar to what occurs in the Pāli Vinaya, but by no means the same. The Varṣāvastu passage starts with a list of obligations (karaṇīya) owed to upāsakas or lay-brothers. Unfortunately the description of the very first of the occasions on which a monk must go when sent for by a layman involves a textual — and perhaps lexical — problem which I cannot solve. It is, however, virtually certain that it had something to do with the marriage of the lay-brother. I therefore cite what is in fact the last occasion enumerated to give an example of the formulaic character of the language used in this text:

There is moreover a further obligation to a lay-brother (*upāsakasya karanīyam*). It may occur that a lay-brother has a sickness, suffering, a serious illness. He will send a messenger

¹ See G. Schopen, "Filial Piety and the Monk in the Practice of Indian Buddhism", *T'oung Pao* 70, (1984), pp. 110–26; Schopen, "Two Problems in the History of Indian Buddhism: The Layman/Monk Distinction and Doctrines of the Transference of Merit", *Studien zur Indologie und Iranistik* 10, (1985), pp. 9–47; Schopen, "On Monks, Nuns and 'Vulgar' Practices: The Introduction of the Image Cult into Indian Buddhism", *Artibus Asiae* 49, 1–2, (1988–89), pp. 153–68; etc.

² The presence in the Pāli canonical *Vinaya* of rules governing the obligatory presence of monks at weddings, for example, is particularly intriguing in light of what has recently been said about the modern "change" and "transformation" of Buddhism in Sri Lanka; see R. Gombrich & G. Obeyesekere, *Buddhism Transformed. Religious Change in Sri Lanka*, (Princeton: 1988), pp. 265–73; H.L. Seneviratne, *Rituals of the Kandyan State*, (Cambridge: 1978), p. 129; etc.

¹ N. Dutt, Gilgit Manuscripts, Vol. III, pt. IV, (Calcutta: 1950), 138.9 prints the text as follows: kim upāsakasya karanīyena / yathāpi tad upāsakasya grhakalatram pratyupasthitam bhavati ātmano vestanam ... sa bhiksūnām dūtam anupresayati ... On at least two occasions immediately prior to this passage a householder is described in similar terms: tatra ... grhapatih prativasati / tasya grha-kalatram pratyupasthitam / ātmano vestanam ... (136.15; 137.13; see also 140.22). Unfortunately in all these cases the manuscript seems to read not grhakalatram, but grha-kanutram (R. Vira & L. Chandra, Gilgit Buddhist Manuscripts, Part 6, (New Delhi: 1974), 733.8; 734.3; 734.7; 736.1), and I do not know what -kanutram means. I suspect that Dutt also did not and — as he so often did — silently "corrected" the text on the basis of the Tibetan: dge bsnyen gyi bya ba gang zhe na / 'di ltar yang dge bsnyen gyis khyim du rang gi 'ching ba bag ma blangs te / (The Tog Palace Manuscript of the Tibetan Kanjur, Vol. I, (Leh: 1979), 692.2; cf. 689.2; 690.6; 696.1). Although, again, I do not fully understand the phrase khyim du rang gi 'ching ba, the Tibetan text has certainly understood its text to be referring to the lay-brothers' marriage.

to the monks (saying) "Will the Venerable Ones give a recitation" (āryā vācaṃ dāsyanti). A monk should go, having been authorized for seven days, through this obligation to a laybrother (gantavyaṃ bhikṣunā saptāham adhiṣṭhāya upāsakasya karanīyena).¹

The Mūlasarvāstivāda-vinaya, like the Vinaya of the Theravada, assumes, then, and requires the presence of monks at certain lay, domestic "life-cycle" ceremonies. It does not list all the same occasions, however, referring explicitly only to marriage and serious, if not terminal, illness. The Mūlasarvāstivāda-vinaya does not seem to refer to "house dedication" rituals; it certainly does not contain the long list of different kinds of structures found in the Pāli. But it does contain some of the same occasions found in the Pāli that are more specifically "Buddhist." It refers, for example, to a lay-brother having a vihāra constructed, although here too it uses a different language: "It may occur that a lay-brother wishes to have erected a monastery for the community of monks from the four directions" (yathāpi tad upāsakaś cāturdiśe bhikṣu-saṃghe vihāraṃ pratiṣṭhāpayitu-kāmo bhavati). It also lists a number of more specifically "Buddhist" occasions not found in the Pāli Vinaya: a lay-brother "desiring to donate bedding and seats to that monastery " (... asminn eva vihāre śayanāsanam anupradātukāmo bhavati), "wanting to designate a permanent alms giving" in it (... asminn eva vihāre dhruva-bhiksām prajñapayitukāmo bhavati), and, interestingly, "wanting to have erected a stūpa for the body of the Tathāgata in that monastery" (... tasminn eva vihāre tathāgatasya śarīrastūpam pratisthāpayitu-kāmo bhavati).² In all of these cases — as in the case of marriage and illness — if the monks are sent for, and if they can

return within seven days, they are of course required to go. One of such occasions, however, may be particularly important because we may be able to connect it with a record that can be much more securely placed in time and place.

The Gilgit text gives one of the more specifically Buddhist occasions in the following form:

There is moreover a further obligation to a lay-brother. It may occur that a lay-brother wants to donate the raising of a staff on that $st\bar{u}pa$, the raising of an umbrella, the raising of a flag, the raising of a banner ... he sends a messenger to the monks ... a monk should go ... (aparam apy upāsakasya karaṇīyam. yathāpi tad upāsakas tasminn eva stūpe yaṣṭy-āropaṇaṃ chatrāropaṇaṃ dhvajāropaṇaṃ patākāropaṇaṃ ... anupradātukāmo bhavati ... sa bhikṣūṇāṃ dūtam anupreṣayati ... gantavyaṃ bhikṣuṇā ...).

Admitting that the exact sense of yaṣṭi — though much discussed² — is uncertain, still it is difficult not to see in this passage a regulation which corresponds almost exactly to the record of an actual event which appears to have occurred at a stūpa near Bahāwalpur in the first century of the Common Era. This event was recorded in a Kharoṣṭhī inscription, the language of which is "a Sanskritized Prākrit."

¹ Dutt, Gilgit Manuscripts, III, 4, 140,17.

² Dutt, Gilgit Manuscripts, III, 4, 138.14–139.11.

¹ Dutt, Gilgit Manuscripts, III, 4, 139.11–17.

² F. Weller, "Divyāvadāna 244.7 ff.", Mitteilungen des Instituts für Orientforschung 1, (1953), pp. 268-76; L. Alsdorf, "Der Stūpa des Kṣemaṃkara", Studia Indologica (Festschrift für Willibald Kirfel), (Bonn: 1955), pp. 9-16; M. Bénisti, "Étude sur le stūpa dans l'Inde ancienne", Bulletin de l'École française d'Extrême-Orient 50, (1960), pp. 37-116, esp. pp. 76 foll.; F.B.J. Kuiper, "Yūpayaṣṭi- (Divy. 244,11)", Indo-Iranian Journal 3, (1959), pp. 204-05; G. Roth, "Bemerkungen zum Stūpa des Kṣemaṃkara", Studien zur Indologie und Iranistik 5/6, (1980), pp. 181-92; etc.

Although there have been some differences of opinion in regard to its interpretation, Konow's — as usual — appears to be basically correct:

The eleventh year — year 11 — of the Great King, the King Surpassing Kings, the Son of Devas, Kaniṣka, in the month of Daisios, on the eighteenth day — day 18 — when the monk (bhikṣu) Nāgadatta, a narrator of dharma (dha[rma]kathi), the student (śiṣya) of the teacher (acarya) Damatrāta, the student's student of the teacher Bhava, raised the staff (yathim aropayata) here in Damana, the mistress of the monastery (viharasvamiṇi), the lay-sister (upasika) Balānandī and the matron, her mother Balajayā, also gave, in addition to the setting up of the yaṣṭi (imaṃ yaṭhipratiṭhanaṃ), the enclosure (parivara). May this be for the benefit and ease of all living beings.¹

Here we seem to have the record of almost precisely the kind of occasion envisioned in the text. A lay-sister donates "the setting up of a yasti" at a $st\bar{u}pa$, but the presence of a monk — if not his actual direction of the event — is carefully recorded, using in at least one case exactly the same wording as the Vinaya passage. The importance of the epigraphical record lies, of course, in the fact that it allows us to say

that what was promulgated in at least this *Vinaya* appears to actually have been occurring by the first century.¹

Apart from these points, and apart from noting too that the *Mūlasarvāstivāda* passage also lists as one occasion the recitation of texts by a lay-brother, we need only note that this *Vinaya* not only confirms the kind of participation of monks in domestic rituals that was taken for granted in the Pāli *Vinaya*, it also assumes — again as in the Pāli — that monks will regularly act as donors. The first of a monk's "obligations" to fellow monks occurs in the following form:

What is the obligation to a monk (bhikṣoḥ karaṇṣam). It may occur that a monk wants to present a park to the community of monks from the four directions (yathāpi tad bhikṣuś cāturdiśe bhikṣusaṃghe ārāmaṃ niryātayitukāmo bhavati). By him there an abundance of material things and worldly things are brought together (tena tatra prabhūto vastulābha āmiṣalābhaś ca

¹ For Konow's edition and translation see S. Konow, *Kharoshṭhī Inscriptions* with the exception of those of Aśoka (Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum, Vol. II, Part I), (Calcutta: 1929), pp. 139–41 (no. LXXIV), pl. XXVI — my translation is heavily indebted to his. For some earlier interpretations of the record see A.F.R. Hoernle, "Readings from the Arian Pāli", *The Indian Antiquary* 10, (1881), pp. 324–31; B. Indraji, "A Baktro-Pāli Inscription of Sui Bāhāra", *The Indian Antiquary* 11, (1882), pp. 128–29; N.G. Majumdar, "The Suë Vihar Copper-plate of the Reign of Kaniṣka", *Sir Asutosh Mookerji Silver Jubilee Volumes*, III, 1, (Calcutta: 1922), pp. 459–74.

¹ If our Mūlasarvāstivāda-vinaya passage strongly argues for Konow's interpretation of the Kharosthī inscription, it is less helpful for understanding the references to yastis or lastis in a series of records from Western India — see B. Indraji, "The Western Kshatrapas", Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland, (1890), p. 652; R.D. Banerji, "The Andhau Inscriptions of the Time of Rudradaman", Epigraphia Indica 16, (1921–22), pp. 19-25 (two of these might be Buddhist); S. Gokhale, "Andhau Inscription of Castana, Śaka 11", Journal of Ancient Indian History 2, (1969), pp. 104-11; D.C. Sircar, "Andhau Fragmentary Inscription of Castana, Year 11", Journal of Indian History 48, (1970), pp. 253-57; S. Sankaranarayanan, "A New Early Kushana Brahmi Inscription", Śrīnidhih. Perspectives in Indian Archaeology, Art and Culture. Shri K.R. Srinivasan Festschrift, ed. K.V. Raman et al., (Madras: 1983), pp. 277-84; etc. — Although the references that I know are late, it is worth noting that — like our Mūlasarvāstivāda passage — Hindu inscriptions also refer to a ritual dhvajāroha or dhvajārohana, see R. Sharma, "Udayapur Inscription of Paramara Udayaditya, Vikrama 1137", Epigraphia Indica 38, (1970), pp. 281 foll.; S.L. Katare, "Kalanjara Inscription of V.S. 1147", Epigraphia Indica 31, (1955-56), pp. 163 foll.; etc.

samupānīto bhavati). He sends a messenger to the monks (saying) "Come! The Reverends will enjoy". A monk should go, having been authorized for seven days, through this obligation to a monk.¹

In referring to "bringing together material and worldly things" the text uses exactly the same formulaic wording it had used several times previously in regard to lay-brothers. Moreover, immediately after this passage the text also lists in abbreviated form virtually all the occasions it had enumerated in detail in regard to obligations to lay-brothers (yathāpi tad bhikṣur asminn evārāme vihāram śayanāsanam dhruvabhikṣām tathāgatasya śārīrastūpam, etc.).² As in the section

dealing with lay-brothers, so here the section ends with reference to a monk's obligation to attend to a sick or dying fellow monk by giving a recitation (yathāpi tad bhikṣur ābādhiko duḥkhito vāḍhaglāno bhavati. sa bhikṣūṇāṃ dūtam anupreṣayati. āgacchantv āyuṣmanto vācāṃ bhā[si]svanti, etc.).¹

We have, then, two apparently distinct *Vinaya* traditions—the Theravāda and Mūlasarvāstivāda— which both assume and enjoin monastic participation in at least some domestic, lay, life-cycle rituals and take as a given the fact that monks—exactly like laymen—make both major and minor religious donations, and that when they do, other monks are obliged to be present. There is, moreover, at least a third *Vinaya* tradition in which we find something very similar.

The Abhisamācārikā, the "Prākrit-cum-Sanskrit" text of which was discovered in Tibet by R. Sankrityayana, belongs to the Mahāsānghika-Lokottaravāda monastic tradition. In its formal structure it does not contain divisions corresponding to the Pāli Vassupanāyika-khandhaka nor to the Gilgit Varṣāvastu and, as a consequence, we do not find in it a passage that formally corresponds to those we have discussed. We do find, however, the expression of the same sorts of

¹ Dutt, Gilgit Manuscripts, III, 4, 141.1 foll.

² Dutt, Gilgit Manuscripts, III, 4, 141.6 foll. It will have been noticed that where the Mūlasarvāstivāda-vinaya makes full reference to stūpas the Theravāda-vinava has none. On this pattern see G. Schopen, "The Stūpa Cult and the Extant Pāli Vinaya", JPTS XIII, (1989), pp. 83-100 and the responses to it in O. von Hinüber, "Khandhakavatta. Loss of Text in the Pāli Vinaya", JPTS XV, (1990), pp. 127-38; C. Hallisey, "Apropos the Pāli Vinaya as a Historical Document. A Reply to Gregory Schopen", ibid., pp. 197-208; R. Gombrich, "Making Mountains Without Molehills: The Case of the Missing Stūpa", ibid., pp. 141–43. What has come out of this discussion — apart from some light entertainment provided by Professor Gombrich — seems to be: an increased awareness of the complexity and extent of Pāli Vinaya literature, and a promising suggestion that there is something like an "ideal" Vinaya (the canonical Vinaya) and an "actually used" Vinaya (the various summaries and "different monastic handbooks"), with the consequent confirmation of the suggestion "that the canonical Vinaya text is not as useful as once thought as a ready source for extracting usable historical data" (Hallisey, p. 207). It seems too that the suggestion of "the loss of text" is weaker even than I thought, but some problems remain. Though the Katikāvata passage might be neutralized by invoking the du or ca, this will not affect the Visuddhimagga passages. They, as Hallisey says, "are more difficult to explain." There is, moreover, what appears to be a much more likely case of "loss of text" — here again concerning "relics" — in the Sri Lankan mss. of the Samyutta (see G. Schopen, "An Old

Inscription from Amarāvatī and the Cult of the Local Monastic Dead in Indian Buddhist Monasteries", Journal of the International Association of Buddhist Studies 14.2, (1991), pp. 281–329 [p. 328 note 111]). Finally, it seems absolutely certain — given Professor Gombrich's agreement — that it can no longer be said that the Pāli Vinaya does not contain any references to stūpas. He seems to have been so convinced by my suggestion that the references to cetiyas in the Sutta-Vibhanga are to be understood as referring to stūpas that he wants to use them against me (p. 140). But the presence of such rules in one part of the Pāli Vinaya, but not in another, does not seem to puzzle.

¹ Dutt, Gilgit Manuscripts, III, 4, 142.5. Elsewhere in the Mūlasarvāstivādavinaya — in its Cīvara-vastu — there are even more specific rules governing the performance of a "worship of the Teacher (= Buddha)" (śāstuś ca pūjā) for a sick and dying monk and how that pūjā should be financed (N. Dutt, Gilgit Manuscripts, Vol. III, Part 2, (Srinagar: 1942), 124.11–125.9).

assumptions and ideas. In its first chapter, for example, which deals in large part with the duties of a senior monk (samgha-sthavira), it says that one of the duties of such a monk is to determine, when an invitation to a meal has been received by the monks, what the occasion for the meal is (jānitavyam. kim ālambanam bhaktam). He is to determine whether, significantly, the invitation is "connected with a birth, connected with a death, connected with a marriage, connected with a house warming" (jātakam mrtakam vā vevāhikam vā grha-praveśakam $v\bar{a}$).² These are the occasions, apparently, on which it was assumed monks would receive and accept invitations from the laity, and they as in the Pāli and Gilgit Vinayas — are all connected with domestic lifecycle rituals. The text goes on to say that in addition to the occasion, the senior monk must also determine the source of the invitation, he must determine whether it comes from "a visitor, a villager, a householder, or a renunciant" (āgantukasya gamikasya grhasthasya pravrajitasya). It is clear from the instructions given by the senior monk to the person sent to determine these things that when the inviter is a householder he is generally assumed to be a lay-brother or upāsaka (tena gacchiya prechitavyam, koci imam hi itthannāmo nāma upāsako). It is equally clear from similar instructions that the inviter could be a monk or nun (ko nimantreti, bhiksu bhiksunī upāsakopāsikā āgantuko gamiko vānijako sārthavāho).3

After indicating how all of this should be determined the text goes on to specify how on each occasion the "transfer of merit" apparently expected from the monks should be performed, citing — curiously — both an inappropriate and an appropriate verse to be recited that in every case is tailored to the specific occasion. Typical are the instructions concerning an invitation "connected with a death":

Now, then, when it is an occasion connected with a death, it is not permissible to direct the reward thus (nāyaṃ kṣamati evaṃ dakṣiṇā ādiśituṃ):

"Today for you is a very good day, very efficacious. At present has arrived an auspicious moment.

Today for you in the well-ordained, through the well-ordained, the reward in the most excellent vessel shines."

Not in this way is the reward to be directed, but rather the reward should be directed (atha khalu daksinā ādiśitavyā):

"All living beings will die. Indeed life ends in death. As was their action so they will go, going towards the result of good or bad.

There is hell for those of bad action; good being done, they go to heaven. Having developed the noble path they without further consequences enter *nirvāṇa*."

In this way the reward is to be directed.1

The monks on each occasion are required to recite an appropriate verse and "to direct the reward" that results from this. Though not frequent the expression used here to refer to the "transfer of

¹ The whole text was first edited in B. Jinananda, Abhisamācārikā [Bhikṣuprakīrṇaka] (Patna: 1969). The first chapter has been again edited and translated — though the latter at least is far from satisfactory — in S. Singh & K. Minowa, "A Critical Edition and Translation of Abhisamācārikā Nāma Bhikṣu-Prakīrṇakaḥ", Buddhist Studies. The Journal of the Department of Buddhist Studies, University of Delhi 12 (1988) pp. 81–146; see also M. Prasad, A Comparative Study of Abhisamācārikā (Patna: 1984).

² Singh & Minowa, 91.26; Jinananda, 17.8.

³ Singh & Minowa, 91.27; 89.32; 95.27; Jinananda, 17.9; 14.9; 25.1.

¹ Singh & Minowa, 92.15 foll.; Jinananda, 18.13 foll.

merit" — daksinā ādiś- — does occur in the Pāli canon, and there, as here, is also associated with the recitation of verses. It is far more frequent and firmly anchored in the Mūlasarvāstivāda-vinaya and related sources, where again it is frequently connected with the recitation of verses or Dharma. And it is referred to as well in other Mahāsānghika sources. The appropriate verse here — as in most other cases — occurs elsewhere in canonical literature.² But for our present purposes the most important point to be noted is, of course, that the Abhisamācārikā, though representing yet another distinct Vinaya tradition, assumes, and makes rules to govern, the participation of monks in domestic life-cycle rituals, and assumes as well that monks and nuns act as donors. Though minor details may vary, it has in common a set of basic assumptions and ideas with both the Theravada and Mulasarvastivada monastic traditions and codes. All share the assumption and acceptance of a monk's obligation to be present at, and to have an active role in, a variety of domestic, life-cycle rituals connected with birth, marriage, house construction, sickness, and death. All promulgate rules governing such obligations.³ All recognize as perfectly regular that monks and nuns will

act as donors. The texts, I think, are unambiguous on these points, although there is as well an important qualification in all of them.

The qualification or restriction which appears to apply to the obligations monks owe to others is highlighted in, for example, another discussion in the Pāli Vinaya. The case involves a monk whose mother falls ill and sends for him during the rain retreat. The monk is made to recall the Buddha's ruling on the matter, but it apparently does not cover this particular case because the monk says: ayañ ca me mātā gilānā sā ca anupāsikā. katham nu kho mayā paṭipajjitabban ti, ("This is my mother who is fallen ill, but she is not a lay-sister. How now should I proceed?"). The Buddha responds by adding one's mother and father to the previously established list of individuals — all otherwise formally connected with the Buddhist community — to whom a monk had a clear obligation in such circumstances: A monk, a nun, a probationer, a novice, a woman novice, and lay-brothers and sisters¹

This case confirms and makes explicit what all our texts, whether Theravāda, Mūlasarvāstivāda, or Mahāsāṅghika, seem to imply: the obligation of monks to attend and participate in lay life-cycle ceremonies is not owed to the total lay population, but only to individuals who are formally designated as lay-brothers (upāsakas) or lay-sisters (upāsakās). To which the Pāli tradition at least adds one's mother and father, even if the latter are not formerly connected with the Buddhist community. This restriction is significant for understanding the social dynamics of the Buddhist community as it was understood by vinaya masters. It is also significant because epigraphical material seems strongly to suggest that only a small part of those people who made

¹ For references in both primary and secondary sources, and some discussion, concerning the expression dakṣiṇā ādiś- see Schopen, Journal of Indian Philosophy 20, (1992), pp. 1-39 (p. 30 note 43). It has yet, however, to be fully studied.

² This verse or variants of it occur at Mahāvastu II 66; Saṃyutta I 97; etc.

³ The various *Vinayas* obviously do not list all the same ritual occasions. The *Abhisamācārikā* list is the most inclusive and the Pāli *Vinaya* puts considerable emphasis on "house dedication" rituals. The *Mūlasarvāstivāda-vinaya* is noticeably the most restrictive in terms of the kind of domestic rituals at which monks are obliged to be present. The explanation for these differences is, of course, not yet determined, but it may well be related not to chronology, but to the cultural and geographical milieu in which the various codes were redacted. We may see in the restrictive character of the *Mūlasarvāstivāda-vinaya*, for example, another indication that it was redacted by, and for, a Buddhist monastic community in close contact with brahmanical or significantly brahmanized

groups in which domestic ritual was already in the hands of other religious specialists. The needs or requirements of a monastic group in "tribal" or partially brahmanized areas could differ markedly. Cf. Schopen, *Journal of Indian Philosophy* 20, (1992), pp. 1–39 (esp. pp. 18–20).

¹ Pāli Vinaya I 147,20 foll.

strongly to suggest that only a small part of those people who made gifts at Buddhist sites identified themselves as *upāsakas* or *upāsikās*. The ritual clientele of Buddhist monks may necessarily have been limited in early India. The problem that remains, however, is determining what "early" can mean here.

The situation encountered here is nothing new. It recurs repeatedly in the study of "early" Buddhist canonical sources, especially when textual sources transmitted by more than one Buddhist monastic order are consulted. We have in our case texts redacted and transmitted by the Theravāda, Mūlasarvāstivāda, and Mahāsāṅghika which — although they differ in regard to detail — share or have in common a set of rules and a common assumption in regard to monastic participation in domestic ritual. To account for such shared or common elements two basic theories have been used. One says that common elements in discrete textual and monastic sources must go back to a period which predates the development of "schisms." The other says that such common elements are the result of "contamination," mutual borrowing and a process of levelling, and therefore are late.² The first theory depends on the assumption that Buddhist monastic groups can be meaningfully treated as "sects" — this has been repeatedly questioned.³

It depends on the assumption that once developed these "sects" existed in isolation, hermetically sealed, with no significant contact or interchange — this is contrary to all our evidence. 1 It depends on the assumption that we actually know when the splits or "schisms" occurred — but we do not. The textual sources — all very late — give a variety of discordant dates and epigraphical sources suggest that discrete monastic orders appeared centuries later than our textual sources say.2 Finally, this theory assumes that "orthodoxy" or uniformity among related religious groups is established first and then only over time do significant differences develop — this is contrary to almost everything "church historians" and sociologists have discovered: if uniformity is ever achieved it is achieved over more or less long periods of time through a complex process of mutual influence, borrowing, and sometimes violent levelling that works on originally discrete and competing groups and voices.3 The second theory seems to avoid these problems.

A similar — in fact related — set of questions concerns the date of the various *Vinayas*. But it too seems that the old observations and arguments of Wassilieff and Lévi remain unrefuted and best account for

 $^{^1}$ A thorough study of $up\bar{a}sakas$ and $up\bar{a}sik\bar{a}s$ in Indian Buddhist inscriptions has yet to be done. But at Sañci $st\bar{u}pa$ no. 1, for example, only 18 of the more than 325 lay donors call themselves $up\bar{a}sakas$ or $up\bar{a}sik\bar{a}s$; at Bharhut none do; at Nasik only 4 of 23; at Karle only 2 of 22; and I very much suspect a similar pattern will hold through out until at least the fifth/sixth century.

² Cf. L.O. Gómez, "Buddhism in India", in *Buddhism and Asian History*, ed. J.M. Kitagawa & M.D. Cummings, (New York: 1989), p. 64; L. Schmithausen, "Preface", *Earliest Buddhism and Madhyamaka* (Panels of the VIIth World Sanskrit Conference, Vol. II), (Leiden: 1990), pp. 1–2.

³ See H. Bechert, "Zur Geschichte der buddhistischen Sekten in Indien und Ceylon", *La nouvelle clio* 7–9, (1955–57), pp. 311–60; Bechert, "On the Identification of Buddhist Schools in Early Sri Lanka", *Indology and Law*.

¹ Ét. Lamotte, Histoire du bouddhisme indien des origines à l'ère śaka, (Louvain: 1958), p. 197.

² See Schopen, Studien zur Indologie und Iranistik 10, (1985), pp. 15-16.

³ See, for example, the now "classic" W. Bauer, Orthodoxy and Heresy in Earliest Christianity, (Philadelphia: 1971). Something similar has occasionally been argued in the development of Indian Buddhism — but only occasionally. J. Przyluski, for example, in discussing the pratītyasamutpāda formula said many years ago: "En somme, nous ne pouvons admettre qu'il y eût à l'origine du Bouddhisme une série de douze 'conditions' dont les autres listes ne seraient que des déformations récentes. Plus haut nous remontons dans le passé, plus grande est la diversité que nous constatons. C'est probablement à une époque assez tardive qu'on s'efforça de concilier les thèses divergentes et que finit par prévaloir la série: avidyā ... jarāmaraṇa" (J. Przyluski, "La roue de la vie à Ajaṇṭā", Journal Asiatique, (1920), pp. 327-28).

what seem to be the facts. The former said some years ago that it appears that "les Vinayas parvenus à nous ont été rédigés à une époque tardive," and the evidence seems to be mounting in his favour.¹

Fortunately, however, the dates of the *Vinayas* need not here be decided. It is probably true that in terms of absolute chronology *all* the *Vinayas* are late. But from the point-of-view of relative chronology they also represent the earliest codification of monastic rules that we have. For our specific purposes this means that monastic presence and participation in a range of domestic life-cycle rituals is assumed, judged important, and prescribed in the earliest *Vinaya* literature that we have, and that our earliest *Vinaya* sources assume that monks and nuns will regularly act as donors and rule on the obligations of fellow monks when they do.

We still, of course, do not know if monks actually participated in domestic rituals. We only know that the monk redactors of several *Vinayas* assumed they did and said they should. That monks and nuns acted as donors, however, is certain. Not only do those same monk redactors assume they did, and formulate rules for governing the behaviour of other monks when they would, but Indian inscriptions put

acted as donors, however, is certain. Not only do those same monk redactors assume they did, and formulate rules for governing the behaviour of other monks when they would, but Indian inscriptions put this beyond any doubt. Once again the isolated, socially disengaged "early" Buddhist monk of modern scholars and Mahāyāna polemics is difficult to find.¹

Austin

Gregory Schopen

¹ W. Wassilieff [V. Vasilyev], "Le bouddhisme dans son plein développement d'après les vinayas", Revue de l'histoire des religions 34, (1896), pp. 318–25, esp. pp. 321 foll.; S. Lévi, "Les éléments de formation du Divyāvadāna", T'oung Pao 8, (1907), pp. 116–17 and note 1; Lévi, "Les saintes écritures du bouddhisme", in Mémorial Sylvain Lévi, (Paris: 1937), pp. 82–84: "De plus, la vie du couvent, qui allait en se développant sans cesse, proposait ainsi sans cesse des problèmes pratiques qu'il fallait résoudre au nom du fondateur de l'ordre. Les couvents les plus riches, les mieux fréquentés, se créaient ainsi des collections qui se perpétuaient en s'accroissant. Les religieux errants, qui circulaient toujours nombreux de couvent en couvent, maintenaient dans ce vaste ensemble une communication constante qui tendait à niveler les divergences trop accusées. Réduits par élagage à leurs éléments communs, les Vinaya de toutes les écoles se ramènent sans effort à une sorte d'archétype unique, qui n'est pas le Vinaya primitif, mais la moyenne des Vinaya."

¹ The influence of the characterizations of "early" monks found in Mahāyāna sūtra literature on modern scholarly characterizations is a subject not yet studied, but one which may well be of particular significance. There are cases, for example, where what appears to be Mahāyāna polemical caricature has been used to account for historical development. Dayal has said that " ... it seems that the Buddhist monks ... in the second century B.C. ... emphasised a few duties to the exclusion of others. They became too self-centered and contemplative, and did not evince the old zeal for missionary activity among the people. They seem to have cared only for their own liberation from sin and sorrow. They were indifferent to the duty of teaching and helping all human beings The bodhisattva ideal can be understood only against this background of a saintly and serene, but inactive and indolent monastic order" (H. Dayal, The Bodhisattva Doctrine in Buddhist Sanskrit Literature, (London: 1932), pp. 2-3). This explanation of an historical occurrence has, in a variety of forms, often been repeated (see Lamotte, Histoire du bouddhisme indien, 73, 78, 699), but no evidence for it is ever cited, and it appears to be little more than a paraphrase of the polemical position taken in Mahāyāna sūtras. There is, moreover, little, if any, indication in Indian inscriptions that monks — either before or after the beginning of the Common Era — were "self-centered", "cared only for their own liberation" and were "indifferent to ... helping all human beings." In fact, the indications are quite otherwise. They suggest a monk very active in giving, concerned with benefiting parents, teachers, friends, and "all beings", and very much engaged in the social world (see the references in note 1 on p. 92 above). We see this monk in Indian inscriptions which date to almost exactly the period during which we think Mahāyāna sūtras were first composed. Obviously, much remains to be learned here.

THE RAKṢĀ LITERATURE OF THE ŚRĀVAKAYĀNA*

Contents

Introduction	110
1. The <i>paritta</i> of the Theravādins	116
2. The Mahāsūtras of the Mūlasarvāstivādins	125
3. The svastigāthā of various schools	129
4. The <i>Pañcarakṣā</i> collections	138
5. Rakṣā phraseology	144
6. Rakṣā and mantra	150
7. The <i>rakṣā</i> literature and cults	159
8. Rakṣā and the Mahāyāna	160
9. Śrāvakayāna rakṣā literature and the Tantra	161
10. Archaeological evidence for the <i>rakṣā</i> literature	162
11. Rite and ritual	165
Conclusions	168
Bibliography	170
Table 1: Early paritta lists	174
Table 2: Paritta, Sīrimangalaparitta, Dvādasaparitta,	
and Sattaparitta	178
Table 3: The seven Pañcarakṣā in relation to other rakṣā	
and paritta texts	180

^{*} This is a revised and expanded version of a paper delivered at the Tenth Conference of the International Association of Buddhist Studies, Paris, 20 July 1991.

The Raksā Literature of the Śrāvakayāna

Introduction

In the present paper, I will discuss what I term the "rakṣā literature of the Śrāvakayāna". I have chosen the term rakṣā — "protection" or more specifically "protective text" — because it occurs in both Sanskrit and Pali, the latter in the equivalent form $rakkh\bar{a}$, as in the Sanskrit and Pali versions of the $\bar{A}t\bar{a}n\bar{a}tika$ -s $\bar{u}tra$. In meaning it is no different from the well-known Pali term paritta, the use of which, however, seems restricted to Pali. (In Sanskrit $paritr\bar{a}na$ occurs frequently as a synonym of $rakṣ\bar{a}$, but in the sense of the protection sought or offered rather than protective text. Other synonyms of $rakṣ\bar{a}$ in the former sense include paritra in Pali. (A)

The rakṣā phenomenon was pan-Buddhist (and indeed pan-Indian), in that the invocation of protection against disease, calamity, and malignant spirits through the office of spiritual attainment, profession of truth, mantras, or deities was a practice widely resorted to by both the Śrāvaka- and Mahā-yānas.

The concept of $rak \bar{s}\bar{a}$ appears in various forms in Buddhist literature. The presence of the Buddha — who is described by such epithets as akutobhaya, "without fear from any quarter",5 or khemankara, "granter

of security"1 — itself bestowed protection. In the Soṇadaṇḍa-sutta of the Dīghanikāya, Soṇadaṇḍa says that "in whatever town or village the samaṇa Gotama stays, non-humans do not harm the people of that town or village" (DN I 116.14, samaṇo khalu bho gotamo yasmiṃ gāme vā nigame vā paṭivasati na tasmiṃ gāme vā nigame vā amanussā manusse viheṭhenti). A similar statement is made in the $Mah\bar{a}s\bar{a}hasrapramardan\bar{\imath}$, and a similar idea occurs in the Mūlasarvāstivādin Vinayavibhanga in Tibetan and Chinese translation, where the presence of the Buddha is one of the protections against "zombies" or vetādas.⁴

It is therefore no accident that in the earliest images of Mathurā, Gandhāra, Amarāvatī, and Nāgārjunakoṇḍa, the Buddha, whether seated or standing, is nearly always depicted in the *abhaya-mudrā*, the "gesture

 $^{^1}$ Sanskrit \bar{A} ţānāţika-sūtra, 37.3 āṭānāţikaṃ sūtraṃ vidyāṃ rakṣāṃ; Pali \bar{A} tānātiya-sutta, DN (32) III 203.1 ātānātiyā rakkhā.

² See *Jātaka* II 35.7, *imam parittam imam rakkham*. Cf. Lévi 1915, p. 20 and de Silva pp. 3–5.

³ MhMVR(T) 13.1, 15.2; Mahāśītavatīī 2.9; GM I 56.10, in the common phrase rakṣāvaraṇagupti.

⁴ Vin II 110.6; AN II 72.27.

 $^{^5}$ Theragāthā 510, Therīgāthā 333, etc.

¹ MNI 386.13.

² A close parallel occurs in the Chinese counterpart, no. 22 of the *Dīrghāgama* (95b12–14): "Moreover: whichever place the Śramaṇa Gautama reaches, the inhumans and demons would not dare to harass it". (Translation by K. Meisig, "Chung Têh King — The Chinese Parallel to the Soṇadaṇḍa-Sutta", in V.N. Jha (ed.), *Kalyāṇa-Mitta*: *Professor Hajime Nakamura Felicitation Volume*, Delhi, 1991, p. 54.)

³ Mahāsāhasrapramardanī 21.21 = D 558, rgyud, pha, 75a2.

⁴ Vinayavibhanga, Q 1032, 'dul ba, che, 128b5 foll; T 1442, Vol. 23. Vetāḍa is the preferred orthography of the Mūlasarvāstivādins: cf. Sanghabhedavastu I, 175.6,7,10; II 238.24; R. Gnoli, The Gilgit Manuscript of the Śayanāsanavastu and the Adhikaraṇavastu, Rome, 1978, p. 22.5,6,9. The same spelling occurs in non-Mūlasarvāstivādin texts: see references at BHSD 508a; MhMVR(T) 38.3, 42.10; Mahāsāhasrapramardanī 32.1,14; and the title Saptavetāḍaka-nāmadhāraṇī in both the Peking (Q 351, Vol. 7, rgyud, ba, 231a7) and Stog Palace (Skorupski no. 574) editions of the Kanjur. See also Suvarnaprabhāsa-sūtra 55.6, 57.6, where vetāḍa is given as a variant. The preferred Pali spelling, at least in the Burmese and Siamese editions, is vetāḍa, rather than the vetāla of the PTSD (647a): see K. Meisig, Das Śrāmanyaphala-sūtra, Wiesbaden, 1987, p. 216, note 13.

of dispelling fear".¹ (Indeed, the symbol of the open hand, which appears even earlier at Bhārhut along with the "aniconic" representation of the Buddha through his footprints, might also represent this $mudr\bar{a}$.²) In Mūlasarvāstivādin literature the hand of the bodhisattva or of the Buddha is called "bringing relief to the fearful" ($bh\bar{t}t\bar{a}n\bar{a}m\ \bar{a}\acute{s}v\bar{a}sanakara$);³ the $Mah\bar{a}praj\bar{n}\bar{a}p\bar{a}ramit\bar{a}-\acute{s}\bar{a}stra$, commenting on the walk (cankrama) of the Buddha, says "toujours il lève la main droite pour rassurer les êtres".⁴ While more complex $mudr\bar{a}s$ were evolved over the centuries, the $abhaya-mudr\bar{a}$ never lost its popularity. With the course of time, certain revered images of the Buddha (or of bodhisattvas) were themselves held to confer protection.

The very act that defines a Buddhist is the "taking of refuge" (śarana-gamana) in the Buddha, the Dharma, and the Samgha, and the formula of "triple refuge" opens virtually all Buddhist rites, including the recitation of paritta. A verse in the Mahāsamaya-sutta states that "they who go for refuge in the Buddha will not go to the lower realms: leaving behind their human form [at death], they swell the ranks of the gods" (DN II 255.3–5, ye keci buddham saranam gatāse, na te gamissanti apāyam; pahāya mānusam deham devakāyam paripūressanti).5

Another type of protection is the result of spiritual practice: the *Mettānisaṃsa-sutta*, a canonical *paritta*, for example, lists eleven benefits from the cultivation of friendliness. In this paper I will deal with a further type, the protection that results from the recitation of certain texts, that is, protection through speech, the spoken word.

A distinguishing mark of the $rak s\bar{a}$ literature is that it was actually used— that is, memorised and recited for specific purposes— by both monks and lay-followers, from a very early date. This is in contrast with the bulk of the canonical literature which would only have been studied by the assidious few, mainly monk-scholars. $Rak s\bar{a}$ texts would no doubt have been known by heart by the monks, and by some devout lay followers, as are the paritta of the Theravadins up to the present day. Thus the $rak s\bar{a}$ literature contains texts which, from great antiquity, were regularly employed rather than simply preserved or transmitted. The only comparable classes of texts are the Pratimok sa-sutras and Karmavak sa-sutras and Karmavak sa-sutras and, in a somewhat different sense, the tales of the Jatakas and Avadanas, told and retold in sermons up to the present day.

When I speak of the "rakṣā literature of the Śrāvakayāna", I refer here to four specific classes of texts:

- 1) the paritta of the Theravadins;
- 2) the Mahāsūtras of the Mūlasarvāstivādins;
- 3) the svasti-, svastyayana-, or mangala-gāthā of various schools; and
- 4) certain texts of the Pañcaraksā collections.³

¹ See D.L. Snellgrove (ed.), *The Image of the Buddha*, Paris, 1978, p. 56 and pls. 29–32 (Mathurā); p. 61 and pls. 33(c), 34, and 35 (Gandhāra), p. 81 and pl. 46 (Nāgārjunakoṇḍa, Amarāvatī).

² See *The Image of the Buddha*, pl. 8, to be compared perhaps with pl. 51 from Amarāvatī.

³ Sanghabhedavastu I 114 ult. Cf. also Mahābala-sūtra 22.9, 67.16.

⁴ Mppś V 2316; cf. also Mppś III 1345 and Encyclopaedia of Buddhism, Vol. I, fasc. 1, pp. 20–21, abhaya-dāna.

⁵ An equivalent verse occurs in the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin *Mahāsamāja-sūtra* in Sanskrit and Tibetan, and, with similar verses on the Dharma and the Samgha, in the *Sūkarikāvadāna* (*Divy* 195.26, 196.5).

¹ AN V 342.1–14. See also the eight benefits of *mettā* at AN IV 150–51.13, and cp. the similar passage incorporated into the *Megha-sūtra*, p. 294.

² I am grateful to Sally Mellick (Oxford) for pointing out the popular didactic use of the *Apadāna* literature.

³ The use of the plural "collections" will be made clear in the appropriate section.

But these classes are by no means watertight: the paritta, the Mahāsūtras, and the Pañcarakṣā contain svastigāthā, the Pañcarakṣā contain paritta, and so on. All four are traditional classifications of various schools, and I have adopted them as a convenient basis upon which to open my presentation of the rakṣā literaure: an upāya which I hope will prove kauśalva. There may well have been other classes or categories; some of the manuscripts retrieved from the sands of Central Asia, for example, seem to be raksā collections. We know next to nothing of the rakṣā literature of the Buddhist schools whose scriptures have not come down to us. The Mādhyamika scholar Bhavya (circa 500-70 A.C.?)² cites a passage from the Vidyādharapiṭaka of the Siddhārthas, whom he classifies in this case under the Mahāsāmghikas.³ According to Candrakīrti (circa 600-50 A.C.),4 one of the seven piţakas of the Pūrvaśailas and Aparaśailas — offshoots of the Mahāsāmghikas — was a Vidyādhara (rig 'dzin) Piṭaka;5 according to Chi-tsang (549– 623 A.C.) and Paramartha (mid 6th century), one of the five pitakas of the Dharmaguptakas was a "pitaka of magic formulas". 6 According to Hsüan-tsang (first half of the 7th century), one of the five pitakas of the

Mahāsāṃghikas was a Mantra-piṭaka.¹ I-ching (635–713 A.C.) mentions a Vidyādhara-piṭaka in 100,000 ślokas;² the Ādikarmapradīpa cites a verse from a work of the same title.³ Such collections may well have included rakṣās, such as that cited from a Vidyādhara-piṭaka in the Śikṣāsamuccaya of Śāntideva (first part of 8th century).⁴ From all this we may conclude that by the 6th century (at the very latest) Śrāvaka schools of the Mahāsāṃghika fold — the Pūrvaśailas, Aparaśailas, and Siddhārthas — as well as the Dharmaguptakas transmitted a separate piṭaka, most probably devoted to mantras and spells, known as the Vidyādhara-piṭaka.⁵

In a broader sense, the $rak s\bar{a}$ phenomenon permeates Buddhist literature in general, and cannot be restricted to certain classes of texts. In sections 5 to 7, I will discuss the characteristics of $rak s\bar{a}$ as a literary phenomenon: its phraseology, and its connection with mantra and cults. Although my main topic is the $rak s\bar{a}$ literature of the Śrāvakayāna, to

¹ See Ernst Waldschmidt, *Kleine Brāhmī-Schriftrolle*, Göttingen, 1959, for some possible examples.

² For Bhavya and his date, see Ruegg 1981 pp. 61-66.

³ Tarkajvālā, Q 5256, Vol. 96, dbu ma, dza, 190a6, D 3856, dbu ma, dza, 175b1, dge 'dun (Q slon) phal chen sde'i nan tshan don grub pa rnams rig pa 'dzin pa'i sde snod.

⁴ Ruegg 1981 p. 71.

⁵ Per K. Sorensen, Candrakīrti, Triśaraṇasaptati, the Septuagint on the Three Refuges, Vienna, 1986, pp. 51–53 (vv. 57–58).

⁶ Paul Demiéville, "L'origine des sectes bouddhiques d'après Paramārtha", in *Mélanges Chinois et Bouddhiques*, Vol. I, Brussels, 1932, p. 61. Demiéville translates "formules magiques", and gives *dhāraṇī* and *mantra* as Sanskrit equivalents. Matsunaga 1977, p. 169, refers to a *Vidyādhara-piṭaka* of the same school.

¹ Here I follow Lamotte, *Mppś* IV, 1862. Earlier works give the Sanskrit as *Dhāranī-pitaka*: see Samuel Beal, *Si-Yu-Ki*, *Buddhist Records of the Western World*, [London, 1884] Delhi, 1981, II 164–65, and Thomas Watters, *On Yuan Chwang's Travels in India*, [London, 1904–5] New Delhi, 1973, II 159–60.

² Latika Lahiri, *Chinese Monks in India*, Delhi, 1986, p. 65. I-ching also mentions a *Dhāraṇ-piṭaka*, pp. 64, 68. Cf. *Hōbōgirin* I 77, "Biniya".

³ Louis de La Vallée Poussin, "The Vidyādharapiṭaka", *JRAS* 1895, pp. 433–36.

⁴ Śikṣāsamuccaya 142.12 (date from Ruegg 1981 p. 82). A part of the mantra (in both the Sanskrit and Tibetan versions) is in a Prakrit close to Pali: namo sabba-sammasambuddhāṇam sijjhantu me mantapadāh svāhā. The Vidyādharapiṭaka is also referred to in a work of Buddhaguhya preserved in the Tanjur: see Jeffrey Hopkins, The Yoga of Tibet, London, 1981, pp. 50-51 (the Tibetan is given at p. 254 as rig 'dzin gyi sde snod). See also Encyclopaedia of Buddhism, Vol. IV, fascicle 4, p. 519.

⁵ It may be seen from the references given above that this term is attested in Sanskrit (Śikṣāsamuccaya, Ādikarmapradīpa) and Tibetan, wherein rig 'dzin = vidyādhara (Bhavya, Candrakīrti, Buddhaguhya) cannot possibly be confused with mantra (gsan snags) or dhāranī (gzuns). There is some disagreement among scholars about the Sanskrit equivalents of the Chinese terms.

study what I have called a pan-Buddhist phenomenon in isolation would be misleading. In sections 8 and 9, I will therefore touch briefly on $rak s\bar{a}$ and the Mahāyāna and Tantra. As an influential and popular movement, the $rak s\bar{a}$ phenomenon should have found expression in the plastic arts. Section 10 will examine the archaeological evidence. Finally, section 11 will deal with the rites associated with $rak s\bar{a}$.

1. The paritta of the Theravadins¹

The paritta collections of the Theravādins are distinguished by the fact that they are used in the day-to-day life of both monks and lay-followers. As noted by Malalasekera, "the Pirit Pota ... forms part of the meagre library of every Sinhalese household". Much the same is said for Burma by Mabel Bode: "to this day, [the paritta is] more widely known by the Burmese laity of all classes than any other Pali book". Wherever the

Theravāda holds sway, the average monk may not know a great deal about the *Tipiṭaka*, but will be able to recite numerous chants from memory.

Although there is evidence of the use of paritta from an early date in the Chronicles and Commentaries of Sri Lanka, references are rather scanty, perhaps because as a popular phenomenon the paritta was taken for granted. Table 1 shows the earliest known lists of paritta titles: those of the Milinda-pañha¹ and the Aṭṭhakathā of Buddhaghosa (5th century).² Some of these lists vary somewhat in the modern Burmese, Siamese, and Singhalese printed editions. The Visuddhimagga and Aṭṭhakathā lists are given in connection with the definition of the "range of the Buddha's authority" (āṇākkhetta): one hundred thousand million universes within which the parittas are efficacious. There are three basic lists, with some variants in the different editions:³

- 1) Table 1.2.1-4: the four parittas "etc." of the Dīgha-, Majjhima-, Anguttara- (Ekanipāta), and Vibhanga Aṭṭhakathās;
- 2) Table 1.3.1-2: the five parittas of the Visuddhimagga and Samantapāsādikā;
- 3) Table 1.4: the eight parittas of the Mahāniddesa- and Aṅguttara- (Tikanipāta) Aṭṭhakathās.

¹ The following is an assuredly incomplete bibliography on the paritta from the works available to me: E.W. Adikaram, Early History of Buddhism in Ceylon, Colombo, 1946, pp. 143-44; M.H. Bode, The Pali Literature of Burma, [London, 1909] Rangoon, 1965, pp. 3-4; W. Geiger, Pāli Literature and Language, [Calcutta, 1943] Delhi, 1968, § 17; Peter Harvey, An Introduction to Buddhism: Teachings, history, and practices, Cambridge, 1990, pp. 180-82; G.P. Malalasekera, The Pali Literature of Ceylon, Colombo, [1928] 1958, pp. 75-76; É. Lamotte, Mppś IV, 1860-61; K.R. Norman, Pāli Literature (Jan Gonda (ed.), A History of Indian Literature, Vol. VII, fasc. 2), Wiesbaden, 1983, pp. 173-74; Ven. Piyasilo, Buddhist Prayer, Petaling Jaya, 1990, esp. parts III and IV; Shway Yoe, The Burman: His Life and Notions, New York, 1963, pp. 397-98; Walpola Rahula, History of Buddhism in Ceylon, Colombo, 1956, pp. 276-80; Phya Anuman Rajadhon, Popular Buddhism in Siam and Other Essays on Thai Studies, Bangkok, 1986, pp. 57-67; L. Renou, J. Filliozat, et al., L'Inde Classique, tome II, Hanoi 1953, §§ 1982, 2039; S.D. Saparamadu (ed.), The Polonnaruva Period, Dehiwala, 1973, p. 139; M. Winternitz, A History of Indian Literature, Vol. II, New York, [1933] 1972, pp. 80, 380, note 1, 381. Further references are found in Lily de Silva, pp. xi-xii.

² Malalasekera, op. cit., p. 75.

³ *Op. cit.*, p. 3.

¹ The *Milinda-pañha* is a composite text, dating between the middle of the 2nd century B.C. and the 5th century A.C.: see K.R. Norman, *op. cit.*, pp. 110–13. The section in question comes from one of the later parts.

² I am grateful to Ven. Dhammānanda Mahāthera of Burma, now residing at Wat Tamao, Lampang, for many of these references and for information on the Burmese *paritta* tradition. Cf. his important article (in Thai) "On whether or not the chanting of *paritta* is *tiracchānavijjā*", in Dhammānanda 1992 pp. 191–98.

³ The titles given in the commentary on the $\bar{A}t\bar{a}n\bar{a}tiya$ -sutta (Table 1.5) occur in a different context, and are not discussed here.

Taken together, the Aṭṭhakathā lists give eight titles; when the Aṅgulimāla-paritta of the Milinda-pañha list is added, there are nine titles.

The paritta of the Theravādins exists today in a number of recensions. In Sri Lanka there is the Catubhāṇavāra or Four Recitations, current in a shorter recension of 22 texts and a longer recension of 29 texts. The shorter recension must be the older of the two: Sri Lankan commentaries of the 12th and the 18th centuries know only the 22 texts, and the extra seven of the longer version differ somewhat in order and contents in different editions. The Samantapāsādikā (5th century A.C.) mentions "four bhāṇavāra from the suttanta", but from the context probably does not refer to the paritta collection. The earliest definite reference to the four Bhāṇavāra that I am aware of is an inscription of Kassapa V, dated circa 929–39 A.C., from the Jetavana area in Anurādhapura. Another Sri Lankan collection contains nine texts, and is known in Sinhalese as Piritnava-sūtraya; the nine titles agree with those of the Siamese Parittasankhepa (see below).

In three of the 22 texts of the shorter Catubhāṇavāra — the Khandhaparitta, the Dhajaggaparitta, and the Āṭānāṭiyasutta — the Buddha himself recommends that they be used as rakkhā. Thus their use as such is very old. In another seven texts — the Moraparitta, the Candaparitta, the Suriyaparitta, the three Bojjhangaparittas, and the Girimānandaparitta — protection is granted through the recitation of verses or the teachings of the Buddha, while the Mangala- and Ratanasuttas deal with mangala and suvatthi, the "positive side" of rakṣā. The (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādins and other schools also used as rakṣā their own counterparts of the Khandha-, Dhajagga-, Āṭānāṭiya-, Mora-, and Canda-parittas, along with the Mangala- and Ratana-suttas. ¹ This further establishes the antiquity of the rakṣā status of these texts.

A Burmese manuscript dated 1842 A.C. contains the shorter Catubhāṇavāra with one extra sutta to total 23 texts; otherwise the contents, order, and division into bhāṇavāras are the same as in the Sri Lankan recension.² The Catubhāṇavāra is not, however, recited or even generally known in Burma today, and its exact status in the past remains to be determined. The recitation of paritta is referred to in Pagan inscriptions.³ The standard collection used in Burma today consists of 11 texts called simply Paritta (or sometimes Mahāparitta), for which see Table 2A. All but three of the texts of this collection (nos. 1, 6, 11) are named in the Milindapañha and Aṭṭhakathā lists. The contents and order of the Burmese Paritta are closely related to the paritta list of the

¹ See L. de Silva, pp. 5–8; Helmer Smith, A Critical Pāli Dictionary, Epilegomena to Vol. I, Copenhagen, 1948, pp. 93*–95*; Maria Bidoli and Heinz Bechert, Singhalesische Handschriften, Teil 1, Wiesbaden, 1969, § 128, pp. 82–83 (the last named gives an extensive bibliography of printed and manuscript paritta collections).

² Cf. Encyclopaedia of Buddhism Vol. III, fasc. 4, pp. 694–95, catubhāṇavāra.

³ Sp IV 788 ult. I am grateful to L.S. Cousins (Manchester) for this reference.

⁴ "Slab-inscription of Kassapa V", *Epigraphia Zeylanica* I, London, 1912, pp. 41–57. For further references from commentaries, chronicles, inscriptions, and Sinhala literature, see L. de Silva, pp. 16–22.

⁵ C.E. Godakumbura, *Catalogue of Ceylonese Manuscripts*, The Royal Library, Copenhagen, 1980, pp. 25–26. The collection is not otherwise mentioned in the literature I have consulted, and was not known to two senior Sinhalese monks whom I consulted in Penang. Its origins and current status remain to be determined.

¹ These will be discussed below under *Mahāsūtra*, svastigāthā, and *Pañcarakṣā*.

² Heinz Braun and Daw Tin Tin Myint, *Burmese Manuscripts*, part 2, Stuttgart, 1985, no. 352, pp. 173–75; the extra text, no. 20 of the manuscript, is entitled *Sammāsambuddhabojjhangam*; according to the editors it is equivalent to SN V 81 foll. Since this is the only description of a Burmese *Catubhāṇavāra* that I have come across, I cannot say whether or not it is typical.

³ G.H. Luce, "Economic Life of the Early Burman", in *Burma Research Society*, Fiftieth Anniversary Publications No. 2, Rangoon, 1960, p. 366 (originally published in the Journal of the Burma Research Society XXX.i, pp. 283–335.

121

Burmese printed edition of the Milinda-pañha; to what degree the one is derived from the other, or the two have mutually influenced each other, requires further research. The Sirimangala-paritta, a modern collection settled during the U Nu period, contains the 11 texts of the Paritta, to which it adds another 20 texts to make a total of 31, as shown in Table 2B. The last four are non-canonical, although three of them are styled -sutta in their titles and open with evam me sutam.²

Peter Skilling

The paritta tradition of Siam has parallels to both the Sri Lankan and Burmese traditions. As in Sri Lanka, in Siam there are two recensions of the Catubhānavāra. The longer Siamese Catubhānavāra, however, is equivalent to the older and shorter Sri Lankan recension of 22 texts; the shorter Siamese Catubhāṇavāra is an abridged version containing 17 texts. The division of the two Siamese recensions into recitations differs somewhat from that of the Sri Lankan version. Siam has two further recensions, the Dvādasa-paritta and the Satta-paritta. The former, also known as the Mahārāja-paritta or, in Thai, the Sipsong Tamnan, contains the first 11 texts of the Burmese Paritta plus the Jaya-paritta; the latter, also known as the Cularaja-paritta or Jet Tamnan, is an abridgement of the former and contains, according to the title, 7 texts.³ The contents of these collections are given in Tables 2C and 2D.

The Parittasankhepa, most probably composed at Ayutthaya in the 17th-18th centuries, lists and comments on nine parittas:1

- Mangala-sutta
- 2. Ratana-sutta
- 3. Metta-sutta
- 4. Khandha-paritta
- 5. Mora-paritta
- 6. Dhajagga-paritta
- 7. Āṭānāṭiya-paritta
- 8. Angulimālā-paritta
- 9. Bojjhanga-paritta.

The titles are the same as those of the Sri Lankan Piritnava-sūtraya.

For the study of the Siamese paritta tradition, the most important printed source is the Royal Chanting Book. This was compiled at the behest of King Rāma V (Chulalongkorn) by Phussadeva, later to be Supreme Patriarch, when he held the rank of Somdet Brah Buddhaghosācārya. It was first published in Ratanakosin Era 99 / B.E. 2423 [1880], in an edition of 10,000 copies, and thus preceded the first printed edition of the Tipitaka, published in 2436 [1893], by thirteen years. Otherwise, there are numerous chanting books, large and small, such as the popular Suat Mantabidhī, published in various editions. It is worth noting that the common element in the Thai titles of chanting books is manta, usually in the form suat manta. Suat manta is also the common verb for "to chant"; suat brah paritta refers to formal ceremonies with string and water, and is hence less common. In titles paritta is frequently "Sanskritised" as paritra, as in the Cula- and Mahārājaparitra of the Royal Chanting Book.

¹ The Jinapañjara-gāthā lists the seven titles of the Chatthasangīti Milindapañha, but in a different order.

² Sīrimangalaparitta nos. 28–31.

³ Cf. Finot 1917 pp. 53-60; Kenneth E. Wells, Thai Buddhism: Its Rites and Activities, Bangkok, 1975, pp. 276-82. A list of the contents of the Siamese Catubhāṇavāra is given in the Royal Chanting Book p. 112. Most editions of the Sattaparitta give more than 7 texts (although Finot lists 7), and I am not certain which are the 7 of the title.

¹ Supaphan Na Bangchang, Vivadhanākāra Varrņagatī sai Braḥ Suttantapitaka ti Daeng nai Pradeśa Thai, Bangkok, 2533 [1990], pp. 491-500.

I have been unable to find any evidence for the date or place of origin of the Burmese *Paritta* or the Siamese *Dvādasa*- and *Satta-paritta* collections.¹

In all of these collections the canonical paritta texts are set within ancillary opening and closing verses (paritta-parikamma, etc.). A synoptic edition of these verses is a desideratum.

In addition to the *paritta* properly speaking, there exist in Pali numerous non-canonical texts, both prose and verse, of a protective nature. To my knowledge, only one of these has been edited or studied: the *Mahādibbamanta*.² The others have not yet been properly catalogued or even listed. In classifiying this sort of extra-canonical literature, we might distinguish (A) apocryphal *sutta* texts, opening with the *evaṃ me sutaṃ* formula, and (B) *gāthā* or other texts recognised as having had an historical author, that make no claim to be *Buddhavacana* as such. Here I give a very preliminary list:

(A) Apocryphal suttas

1. Ākāravatta-sutta (or, more frequently, -sūtra);3

- 2. Dhārana-paritta;1
- 3. Chadisapāla-sutta;²
- 4. Cakkaparitta-sutta;3
- 5. Parimittajāla-sutta.4
- (B) Gāthā and other texts
- 1. Atthavīsati-paritta⁵
- 2. Jinapañjara-gāthā;6
- 3. Jayamangala-gāthā⁷
- 4. Atthamangala-gāthā;8
- 5. Uppātasanti;9
- 6. Jaya-paritta; 10

¹ Cf. L. de Silva pp. 7 and 14 for the term mahapirit or mahāparitta in Sri Lanka. According to H. Saddhatissa (*The Birth-Stories of the Ten Bodhisattas and the Dasabodhisattuppattikathā*, London, 1975, p. 37), the *Dvādasaparitta* was "presumably introduced to Ceylon by Siamese *theras* headed by Mahāthera Upāli who arrived in the island in 1753 A.C." This suggests that the origin of the *Dvādasaparitta* (and hence its abridgement, the *Satta-paritta*) is to be sought in Siam, or at least South-east Asia.

² Jaini 1965.

³ Mahābraḥbuddhamanta 21.2; O, von Hinüber, "The Pāli Manuscripts kept at The Siam Society, Bangkok, a Short Catalogue", *Journal of the Siam Society*, Vol. 75, 1987, no. 47, pp. 43–44. The text does not seem to be known in Burma.

¹ Mahābraḥbuddhamanta 20.8. Although the printed editions that I have seen do not open with evam me sutam, the latter half of the text is addressed to Ānanda. The text has apparently been recently introduced to Siam from Burma. Dhammānanda 1992 p. 441, Āveṇikaguṇa, gives the opening on the 18 āvenikaguṇa, with a note on their Pali sources.

² Sīrimangalaparitta no. 28. This and the next two texts are not known in Siam.

³ Sīrimangalaparitta no. 29.

⁴ Sīrimangalaparitta no. 30.

⁵ Royal Chanting Book pp. 39-40. On the evidence of an 11th century Thaton inscription, this is the earliest attested non-canonical paritta: see G.H. Luce, "The Advent of Buddhism to Burma", in L. Cousins, A. Kunst, and K.R. Norman (ed.), Buddhist Studies in Honour of I.B. Horner, Dordrecht, 1974, p. 133. I am grateful to Lance Cousins for this reference.

⁶ A number of recensions have been discussed and edited by the present Supreme Patriarch of Siam, *Praḥvati Gāthājinapañjara*, Bangkok, 2529 [1986]. See also Dhammānanda 1992 pp. 199–201.

⁷ Royal Chanting Book pp. 92-94 (bāhuṃ).

⁸ Dhammānanda 1992 pp. 438-40.

⁹ Sīrimangalaparitta no. 31; Dhammānanda 1992 pp. 385–435. The text, believed to have been composed in Chiengmai, was reintroduced to Siam from Burma by Ven. Dhammānanda.

¹⁰ Royal Chanting Book pp. 25–27 (mahākāruniko nātho).

- 7. Āṭānāṭiya-paritta;1
- 8. Bojjhanga-paritta;2
- 9. Mahādibbamanta;3
- 10. Yot brahkandatraipitaka.4

A number of these, along with the *Gini-paritta*, which is not known in South-east Asia, are briefly described by Lily de Silva.⁵ The *Jinapañjara-gāthā*, the *Ākāravatta-sūtra*, the *Yot braḥkaṇḍatraipiṭaka*, the *Dhāraṇa-paritta*, and the *Uppātasanti* are especially popular in Siam, where they are published in the numerous collections of chants that are widely available.

Some of these texts, such as the $\bar{A}k\bar{a}ravatta-s\bar{u}tra$ and the Yot braḥkaṇḍatraipiṭaka, are expansions of the iti pi so formula, a key element of the ancient Dhajagga-paritta. Others, such as the Mahādibbamanta, the Chadisapāla, and Uppātasanti, derive their efficacy from lists of saints and deities, and thus resemble the canonical Mahāsamaya- and Āṭānāṭiya- Suttas.

2. The Mahāsūtras of the Mūlasarvāstivādins

As far as I know, *Mahāsūtra* as a technical term was applied to two collections of *sūtras*:

- (1) a group of eighteen Mahāsūtras listed in the Vinaya of the Sarvāstivādins;
- (2) a group of six or eight *Mahāsūtras* listed in the *Vinaya* of the Mūlasarvāstivādins.

These lists, lost in the original Sanskrit, have been preserved in Chinese and Tibetan translation. Both groups consist of $s\bar{u}tras$ extracted from the $\bar{A}gamas$ of the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin tradition; most, but not all, are common to the $\bar{A}gamas$ of the other early Buddhist schools.

The Sarvāstivādin list of eighteen $Mah\bar{a}s\bar{u}tras$ ($Ta\ ching$) occurs in the fourth section, "On Keeping the Rains Retreat" ($An\ ch\ddot{u}\ fa = *Varṣ\bar{a}v\bar{a}sadharma$), of the ninth chapter, "Seven Dharmas" ($Ch'i\ fa = *Saptadharma$) of the Vinaya of that school as translated into Chinese by Puṇyatara and Kumārajīva between 399 and 413 A.C.¹ This is the only known occurrence of the Sarvāstivādin list of $Mah\bar{a}s\bar{u}tras$. I will not discuss them here since there is no evidence that they were used as $rakṣ\bar{a}s.^2$ I will only note that the term $Mah\bar{a}s\bar{u}tra$ must have been in vogue by the 4th century, and that two of the Sarvāstivādin $Mah\bar{a}s\bar{u}tras$ (nos. 6 and 7, the $\bar{A}t\bar{a}n\bar{a}tika$ and $Mah\bar{a}sam\bar{a}ja$) are classed as paritta by the Theravādins, and that five (no. 3, the $Pa\bar{n}catraya$; no. 4, the

¹ I refer here to the text included in the Burmese *Paritta* (no. 8) and the Siamese *Satta*- and *Dvādasa- Parittas*, which consists of the opening verses of homage to the seven Buddhas of the *sutta* proper, plus a series of non-canonical verses: see *Royal Chanting Book* pp. 20–22 and 38–43 (the latter incorporating the *Aṭṭhavīsati-paritta*).

² The reference is to the text found in the Burmese *Paritta* (no. 10) and the Siamese *Satta*- and *Dvādasa*- *Parittas*, which is a verse summary of the canonical *Bojjhanga-suttas*: see *Royal Chanting Book* p. 23.

³ Jaini 1965.

⁴ Mahābraḥbuddhamanta 21.1.

⁵ *Op. cit.*, pp. 8–11.

⁶ See also the short texts (some mixed with Thai) at *Mahābraḥbuddhamanta* 21.3; 22.2, 3, 5; 26.1–3), and Finot 1917 p. 58, *Sut Iti pi so*.

¹ T 1435, Vol. 23, 174b18; KBC 890.

² For the list, see A. Hirakawa, A Study of the Vinaya-Piṭaka (Ritsuzō no Kenkyū, in Japanese), Tokyo, 1960, pp. 779-80 and S. Sasaki, "The Mahāsūtra of the Mūlasarvāstivāda as listed in the lDan dkar ma Catalogue" (in Japanese), Buddhist Studies (Bukkyō Kenkyū), Hamamatsu, Vol. XV, Dec. 1985, p. 100.

Māvājāla; nos. 6 and 7; and no. 12, the Bimbisāra) are also classed as Mahāsūtras by the Mūlasarvāstivādins.

The Mūlasarvāstivādin list of Mahāsūtras is found in the Bhiksu-Vinayavibhanga in both Chinese and Tibetan translation and in the Bhiksuni-Vinayavibhanga in Chinese translation only, in connection with the third pārājikā. The two Chinese lists (translated at the beginning of the 8th century), which are identical, give the titles of six Mahāsūtras (Ta ching); the Tibetan list (translated c. 800 A.C.) gives the same six titles in the same order, plus two more to make a total of eight Mahāsūtras (mDo chen po che ba). I will give here the Tibetan list with equivalent Sanskrit titles:2

Cūdaśūnyatā 1. Chun nu ston pa ñid 2. Chen po ston pa ñid Mahāśūnyatā 3. l\ha gsum pa Pañcatraya 4. sGyu ma'i dra ba Māyājāla Bimbisārapratyudgamana 5. gZugs can sñin pos bsu ba Dhvajāgra

6. rGyal mtshan dam pa

7. Kun tu rgyu ba dan kun tu mi rgyu ba dan mthun pa'i mdo

8. Dus pa chen po'i mdo

Āṭānātīya-sūtra³

Mahāsamāja-sūtra

Nine Mahāsūtras — the eight listed above, but with two Dhvajāgrasūtras — were translated into Tibetan by Jinamitra, Prajñāvarman, and Ye ses sde in about 800 A.C. Although Sanskrit fragments of six of these sūtras (nos. 3-8) have been recovered from Central Asia, and

although parallel versions of seven of them (nos. 1, 2, 5, two Dhvajāgras, 7, 8) were translated into Chinese, only the Tibetan versions are specifically described as Mahāsūtras (mDo chen po) in their titles and colophons.

The Mulasarvastivadin affiliation of the nine Tibetan Mahasutras is established by the Vinayavibhanga lists, by the fact that the leading translator, Jinamitra, is described in Vinaya colophons as a vinayadhara of that school, and by the fact that a contemporary royal edict forbad the translation of any Śrāvakayāna texts apart from those of the Mūlasarvāstivādins.1

These nine Mahāsūtras were originally transmitted to Tibet as a group. In the "lDan (or lHan) dkar ma Palace Catalogue", the oldest extant list of works translated into Tibetan, which dates to the early 9th century, they make up the eighth division, mDo chen por gtogs pa, "Category of Great Sūtras". In his History of Buddhism (Chos 'byun'), completed in 1322 or 1323,3 Bu ston also lists the nine Tibetan titles together, but in a different order.4

¹ T 1442, Vol. 23, 662a28; T 1443, Vol. 23, 925c6.

² Vinayavibhanga, Q 1032, 'dul ba, che, 129a5.

³ The title of this text is variously spelt: Ātānātīya by the Mūlasarvāstivādins (in Tibetan transliteration), Ātānātika by the Sarvāstivādins (in Central Asian manuscripts), and Ātānāṭiya by the Theravādins.

¹ E. Obermiller, tr., History of Buddhism (Chos-hbyung) by Bu-ston, II. Part, Heidelberg, 1932, p. 197; Claus Vogel, "Bu-ston on the Schism of the Buddhist Church and on the Doctrinal Tendencies of Buddhist Scriptures", in Heinz Bechert (ed.), Zur Schulzugehörigkeit von Werken der Hinayana-Literatur, part I, Göttingen, 1985, pp. 109-10. The correct Sanskrit should be Vogel's Mūlasarvāstivādin (= Bu ston, Lhasa xylograph, ya, 130b1, gži thams cad yod smra) rather than Obermiller's Sarvāstivādin. Cf. János Szerb, Bu ston's History of Buddhism in Tibet, Vienna, 1990, p. 46.6 and note 8.

² Lalou 1953 pp. 324–25; S. Yoshimura, "The Denkar-Ma, an oldest Catalogue of the Tibetan Buddhist Canons", Kyoto, 1950 [rep. 1974], p. 23. For the history and date of the 1Dan dkar ma Catalogue, see Lalou, pp. 313-17; G. Tucci, Minor Buddhist Texts, part 2, [Rome, 1958] Delhi, 1986, pp. 46 foll.; D. Snellgrove, Indo-Tibetan Buddhism, London, 1987, pp. 440-41.

³ D.S. Ruegg, The Life of Bu Ston Rin Po Che, Rome, 1966, p. xvii.

⁴ Bu ston, Lhasa xylograph, ya, 144a4; S. Nishioka, 'Index to the Catalogue Section of Bu Ston's "History of Buddhism" (I)', Annual Report of the

The evidence for the $rak \ \bar{s} \ \bar{a}$ status of the Mūlasarvāstivādin $Mah \ \bar{a} \ \bar{s} \ \bar{u} \ ras$ is found in the Vinayavibhanga itself, where their recitation is recommended as a protection ($srun \ ba = rak \ \bar{s} \ \bar{a}$) against $vet \ \bar{a} \ das$ (rolans). The commentary by Vinītadeva, the $Vinayavibhangapadavy \ \bar{a} \ khy \ \bar{a} \ na$, also translated about 800 A.C., states:

"Mahāsūtra" means of great fruit (mahāphala), because it overcomes opponents (parapravādin) and because it overcomes dangerous yakṣas, etc.

Four of the $Mah\bar{a}s\bar{u}tras$ have counterparts among the paritta of the Theravādins: the $\bar{A}t\bar{a}n\bar{a}t\bar{i}ya$, the $Mah\bar{a}sam\bar{a}ja$, and (various elements of) the two $Dhvaj\bar{a}gras$. The principle of selection of the other five is not clear to me.

One other text preserved in Tibetan translation bears the title Mahāsūtra: the (Ārya) Vaiśālīpraveśa-mahāsūtra.² The translation, under the title ('Phags pa) Yans pa'i gron khyer du 'jug pa'i mdo chen po, was done by Surendrabodhi and Ye śes sde; since the latter collaborated with the translators of the nine Mahāsūtras, the translations were roughly contemporary. Its Mūlasarvāstivādin affiliation is shown by the fact that the entire sūtra is incorporated into the Bhaiṣajyavastu of the Vinaya of that school in both its Tibetan and Chinese versions.³

The Vaiśālīpraveśa consists of two parts. In the first, the Buddha and Ānanda travel to Vaiśālī; when they arrive, the Buddha tells Ānanda go to the city and recite certain mantras and verses. In the second part, Ānanda does the Buddha's bidding, repeating the mantras and verses in full. In the Bhaiṣajyavastu, the events occur during the Buddha's last journey, in a version of the Mahāparinirvāṇa-sūtra expanded by the inclusion of long jātakas and avadānas; the description of the visit to Vaiśālī, ending with the pacification of the epidemic, resembles the setting of the *Ratnasūtra in the texts of other schools.¹ The status of the Vaiśālīpraveśa-mahāsūtra as a rakṣā is clear from the fact that contains a long mantra and svastigāthā (see § 3), which cure the epidemic in that city, and from the fact that it is included under the title Mahāmantrānusāriṇī in the Sanskrit Pañcarakṣā collection (see below, § 4).

From the foregoing we may conclude that ten *Mahāsūtras* were popular with the Mūlasarvāstivādins by at least the 8th century, and that these *Mahāsūtras* had *rakṣā* status.

3. The svasti-gāthā of various schools

The next category of rakṣā texts consists of sets of verses variously known as svasti-, svastyayana-, or maṅgala-gāthā,² or occasionally as

Institute for the Study of Cultural Exchange, The University of Tokyo, No. 4, 1980, nos. 11–19.

¹ Q 5616, 'dul ba'i 'grel pa, vu, 74b2.

² Q 142, 714, 978, translated by Léon Feer in AMG V, pp. 423–29. There is possibly one more, the Mahāśītavana (Q 180) of the Tibetan Pañcarakṣā collection; there are, however, difficulties with the title which can only be resolved by further research.

³ Q 1030, Vinayavastu, sman gyi gźi, bampo 28, 'dul ba, ge, 42a1-45a4; T 1448, Vol. 24, 27b11-28b6.

 $^{^1}$ As far as I know, there is no extant version of a (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin *Ratna-sūtra. Whether or not the Vaiśālīpraveśa is in fact the *Ratna-sūtra of that tradition remains to be seen. While the Vaiśālīpraveśa has only one verse in common with the three extant *Ratna-sūtras, and that a verse also found in other texts, it is difficult to believe that the Mūlasarvāstivādins would have two different accounts of the "miracle of Vaiśālī".

² For a Jaina text related to this type of rakṣā see Gustav Roth, "Notes on the Pamca-namokkāra-parama-mangala in Jaina Literature", in Heinz Bechert and Petra Kieffer-Pülz (ed.), Indian Studies (Selected Papers) by Gustav Roth, Delhi, 1986, pp. 129-46. I expect the tradition of some sort of svasti-gāthā

praṇidhāna or satyavāk. For ease of reference, I will henceforth refer to them as svastigāthā. They may be described as "verses of welfare, benediction, or blessing"; in a sense they are the positive side of the rakṣā coin — the promotion of welfare in contrast with protection against calamity.

The term $svastyayana(-g\bar{a}th\bar{a})$ is vouchsafed by the $Mah\bar{a}vastu$, where it describes one of the most popular parittas, the Ratana-sutta. The same text uses the term sovatthika for the verses of benediction spoken by the Buddha to the merchants Trapusa and Bhallika. Svastyayana, "wellbeing", is one of the synonyms of $raks\bar{a}$ (in the sense of "protection" rather than "protective text") in the $Mah\bar{a}m\bar{a}y\bar{u}r\bar{i}$, and in the $Meghas\bar{u}tra$, and the $Ek\bar{a}dasamukha$; in the $S\bar{a}rd\bar{u}lakarn\bar{a}vad\bar{a}na$ it occurs in conjunction with $paritr\bar{a}na$. In the $J\bar{a}takam\bar{a}l\bar{a}$, svastyayana is used in the sense of "protective charm" or "talisman".

The only extant collections of $svasti-g\bar{a}th\bar{a}$ are found in Tibetan translation. The earliest list, of seven titles, occurs in the $lDan\ dkar\ ma$ Catalogue of the early 9th century, wherein they make up a separate class, section XVIII, under the title $bKra\ \acute{s}is\ kyi\ rnam\ grans =$ *Svastiparyāya.6 In the existing recensions of the Tibetan canon, verse

texts of this type are grouped together at the end of the main divisions of the Kanjur and at the end of the Tanjur. In the Peking edition, for example, they occur at the end of the Tantra division (rGyud, Q Vol. 9) properly speaking, at the end of the Dhāraṇī Collection (gZuns 'dus, Q Vol. 11), at the end of the Vinaya ('Dul ba, Q Vol. 45) — which in the Peking edition equals the end of the Kanjur — and at the end of the Tanjur (Q Vol. 150), preceding the Catalogue (dKar chag, Vol. 151). In all cases they perform their function as svastigāthā, benedictions or blessings at the conclusion of the meritorious work of compiling the Tripiṭaka. This is explained in the Catalogue (dKar chag) to the Golden Tanjur:²

"Now, in order to make fruitful the work that has [just been] completed [the copying of the Tanjur], the dedications ($bsno\ ba = pariṇaman\bar{a}$), aspirations ($smon\ lam = praṇidh\bar{a}na$), and blessings ($bkra\ sis = mangala$) [follow]...

Well-placed [here] are the forty-odd dedications, aspirations, and verses of blessing which when recited accomplish all aims and promote welfare at all times."

Out of the "forty-odd" texts, the parinamanā and pranidhāna (mostly extracted from Mahāyāna works) come first, followed by the svasti- and maṅgala-gāthā, which come at the end. I can give here only a few examples of the latter:³

must exist in the Brahmanical tradition, but have not seen any references. The concluding verse of *King Mahendra's Bhagavad-Ajjuka* (ed., tr. Michael Lockwood and Vishnu Bhat, Madras, 1978, p. 114) may be described as a *svasti-gāthā*.

¹ Mahāvastu I 236.2, svastyayanagāthām bhāṣati; 236.10, śrṇvantu svastyayanam jinena bhāṣitam.

² Mahāvastu III 404.1 (= Senart 305.10). Cf. BHSD 606b, where this is the sole reference.

³ MhMVR(T) 13.2, 15.3, etc; Megha-sūtra 298.14; Ekādaśamukha, GM I 36.2: in all three texts svastyayana is preceded by śānti, "peace".

⁴ Divy 614.6, paritrāṇaṃ svastyayayaṃ kuryāt.

⁵ Jātakamālā VIII, Maitrībala, vv. 7, 9, etc.; XIX, Bisa, v. 15.

⁶ Lalou 1953 p. 330.

¹ That is, not counting the three volumes of the "Old Tantras" (rñin rgyud dza, va, źa) or volume za.

² Golden Tanjur, Vol. 100, dkar chag, tso, 182b6–184a1. Similar passages are found in other editions of the Kanjur and Tanjur.

³ The following is based on the Peking edition of the Kanjur and Tanjur. For the Berlin manuscript Kanjur, see Hermann Beckh, Verzeichnis der Tibetischen Handschriften der Königlichen Bibliothek zu Berlin, Erste Abteilung: Kanjur

- 1. A complete extract of the verses of one of the $Mah\bar{a}s\bar{u}tras$, the $Vai\dot{s}\bar{a}l\bar{i}prave\dot{s}a$ (see above, § 2), which are described as $bde\ legs\ kyi$ $tshigs\ su\ bcad\ pa=svastig\bar{a}th\bar{a}.^1$
- 2. Verses extracted from the $Mah\bar{a}s\bar{a}hasrapramardan\bar{i}$, a $Pa\bar{n}carak\bar{s}\bar{a}$ text (see below, § 4), equivalent to the Ratana-sutta of the Theravādin $Suttanip\bar{a}ta$ and the parallel $svastyayana-g\bar{a}th\bar{a}$ in the Lokottaravādin Mahāsāṃghika $Mah\bar{a}vastu$, but differing in number of verses, order, and details. The title describes them as $smon\ lam = pranidh\bar{a}na$.
- 3. A set of two groups of verses extracted from another $Pa\tilde{n}carak_s\tilde{a}$ text, the $Mah\bar{a}m\bar{a}y\bar{u}ri.^3$ The first group deals with the Seven Buddhas and their bodhi-trees; the second consists of two verses common to the first $Dhvaj\bar{a}gra-mah\bar{a}s\bar{u}tra$ and to the $Vai\dot{s}\bar{a}l\bar{t}prave\dot{s}a$, plus a third

 $satyav\bar{a}k$ verse.¹ The title describes the verses as $pranidh\bar{a}na$ ($smon\ lam$ = first set) and $satyav\bar{a}k$ ($bden\ tshig$ = second set).

- 4. The *Devapariprechā-maṅgalagāthā*,² parallel to the Pali *Maṅgala-sutta*, another of the most popular *parittas*; since it differs in number and order of verses, it is the recension of another, as yet undetermined, school.
- 5. The Āśīrvāda-gāthā,³ according to the colophon an extract from the Trapuṣabhallikaparivarta of the Lalitavistara.⁴ Similar verses, described as sovatthika, are found in the Mahāvastu.⁵ In both cases they are spoken by the Buddha to the merchants Trapuṣa and Bhallika. The verses occur in the Vinaya of the Mahāsāṃghikas in Chinese translation, but in a different context.⁶ A fragmentary Sanskrit manuscript from Central Asia in the Pelliot collection also contains the verses, again addressed to the two merchants,³ and a parallel is found in Uighur.⁶ The stanzas invoke the blessings and protection of 28 nakṣatras, 32 devakumārīs,

⁽Bkah-hgyur), Berlin, 1914, p. 5 ('dul ba), pp. 132–33 (rgyud), p. 147 (gzuns 'dus); for the Derge Kanjur see Hakuju Ui et al., A Complete Catalogue of the Tibetan Canons, Sendai, 1934, pp. 135–37 (rgyud), 178–80 (gzuns 'dus); for the Lithang Kanjur, see Jampa Samten Shastri and Jeremy Russell, "Notes on the Lithang Edition of the Tibetan bKa'-'gyur", in The Tibet Journal, Vol. XII, no. 3, autumn, 1987, Appendix III ('dul ba). Because different texts bear similar or identical titles, because the same text sometimes bears different titles in the different divisions of one edition, and because the titles are sometimes given in the colophon rather than at the head of the text, I am unable to give a complete concordance in this paper. Note that the "Them spangs ma" Kanjurs have only a few such texts at the end of the Sūtra (mdo sde) and Tantra (rgyud) divisions: see for example the Stog Palace Kanjur, Skorupski nos. 321–32 and 759–63.

¹ Q 439, Vol. 9, end of *rgyud*; Q 1045, Vol. 45, end of 'dul ba; Q 5950, Vol. 150, end of *Tanjur*.

² Ston chen mo rab tu 'joms pa las gsuns pa'i smon lam, Q 436, Vol. 9, end of rgyud; Q 719, Vol. 11, end of gzuns 'dus; Q 1043, Vol. 45, end of 'dul ba; Q 5951, Vol. 150, end of Tanjur.

³ Rig sňags kyi rgyal mo rma bya chen mo las gsuňs pa'i smon lam daň bden tshig: Q 437, Vol. 9, end of rgyud; Q 720, Vol. 11, end of gzuňs 'dus; Q 1044, Vol. 45, end of 'dul ba; Q 5953, Vol. 150, end of Tanjur.

¹ MhMVR(T) 13.17-14.3 and 14.15-15.1, respectively.

² Lhas źus pa'i bkra śis kyi tshigs su bcad pa, Q 442, Vol. 9, end of rgyud; Q 721, Vol. 11, end of gzuńs 'dus; Q 1053, Vol. 45, end of 'dul ba; Q 5943, Vol. 150, end of Tanjur. Studied in French translation by Feer, compared with the Tibetan translation of a Theravādin version, in AMG V pp. 224–27.

³ Sis par brjod pa'i tshigs su bcad pa, Q 728, Vol. 11, end of gzuńs 'dus; Q 1048, Vol. 45, end of 'dul ba; Q 5949, no mtshar bstan bcos, mo, 336b2-39a4, end of Tanjur.

⁴ Colophon, mo, 339a3; the translated verses indeed agree with those of the Tibetan *Lalitavistara*, Q 763, mdo, ku, 209a7-11a4, translated *circa* 800 A.C. by Jinamitra, Dānaśīla, Munivarma, and Ye ses sde (for Sanskrit cf. *Lalitavistara* 282.3-85.8 = vy. 109-52).

⁵ Mahāvastu III 404.7–10.14 (vv. 7–51).

⁶ Bareau 1959 pp. 303–4. Bareau refers to T 1425, 500c–01b.

⁷ Pauly 1959 pp. 203–22.

⁸ Lore Sander, "Buddhist Literature in Central Asia", Encyclopaedia of Buddhism, Vol. IV, fasc. 1, 1979, p. 61.

Raksā literature

the Four Great Kings and their assemblies, and four *caityas*, in the sequence of the four quarters, and hence set up a protective circle.¹

Other texts bear similar titles:

- 6. Svasti-gāthā²
- 7. Svastyayana-gāthā³
- 8. Pañcatathāgatamangala-gāthā4
- 9. Ratnatrayamangala-gāthā⁵
- 10. Maṅgala-gāthā6
- 11. Ratnatrayasvastigāthā⁷
- 12. Rig gsum gyi bkra śis kyi tshigs su bcad pa⁸
- 13. Sans rgyas rabs bdun gyi bkra śis kyi tshigs su bcad pa.9

Only two svastigāthās may be assigned a school with any certainty: the Vaiśālīpraveśa-svastigāthā, which occurs in the Bhaiṣajyavastu of the

Mūlasarvāstivādins, and the $\bar{A}\bar{s}\bar{i}rv\bar{a}da$ - $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}$, which is Mahāsāmghika in two (most probably three) of its versions.¹

It is likely that at least some of the svastigāthā in the Tibetan Tripiṭaka belonged to the liturgy of the monks of the Mūlasarvāstivādin or other nikāyas in India. That is, they would have been recited in appropriate contexts — sickness or calamity, or anumodanā for dāna — just as their Pali counterparts are chanted by Theravādin monks up to the present day.² In the Mahāsāmghika Vinaya, the Āśīrvāda-gāthā are presented as a model of the benediction to be given by monks to merchants who have made offerings.³ Examples of verse abhyanumodanā are found in the Vinaya and Sūtra literature of the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādins, where the stock formula is atha bhagavān (name of donor, genitive) tad dānam anayā abhyanumodanayā abhyanumodate.⁴ Another formula is bhagavatā...daksinā ādisṭā.⁵ Some information about chanting in India in the late 7th century is supplied by I-ching; he does not, however,

¹ For a summary of the verses in the *Lalitavistara*, *Mahāvastu*, and *Vinaya* see Bareau 1959 pp. 304-9.

² Bde legs kyi tshigs su bcad pa, Q 440, Vol. 9, end of rgyud; Q 772, Vol. 11, end of gzuńs 'dus.

³ Bde legs su 'gyur ba'i tshigs su bcad pa, Q 441, Vol. 9, end of rgyud; Q 773, Vol. 11, end of gzuńs 'dus.

⁴ De bžin gšegs pa lna'i bkra šis kyi tshigs su bcad pa, Q 445, Vol. 9, end of rgyud; Q 726, Vol. 11, end of gzuns 'dus; translated by Feer, AMG V p. 470.

⁵ Dkon mchog gsum gyi bkra śis kyi tshigs su bcad pa, Q 447, Vol. 9, end of rgyud, Q 729, Vol. 11, end of gzuńs 'dus; Q 5958, Vol. 150, end of Tanjur.

⁶ Q 449, etc.: see references in note 3 on p. 137.

⁷ Dkon mchog gsum gyi bkra śis kyi tshigs su bcad pa, Q 450, Vol. 9, end of rgyud; Q 5955, Vol. 150, end of Tanjur.

⁸ Q 446, Vol. 9, end of rgyud; Q 727, Vol. 11, end of gzuns 'dus; Q 5961, Vol. 150, end of Tanjur; translated by Feer, AMG V pp. 474-75. The title means "Verses of Blessing of the Three Families" (*Trikula / Kulatraya-mangalagāthā). ⁹ Q 444, etc.: see references in note 4 on p. 137. The title means "Verses of Blessing (mangalagāthā) on the Lineage of the Seven Buddhas".

¹ The Mahāvastu, the Vinaya in Chinese, and the Lalitavistara. On the basis of style, phraseology, and doctrine, the origins of the last named seem to me to lie more probably with the Mahāsāmghikas than with the Sarvāstivādins. The common attribution of the text to the latter seems to rest on a sole Chinese reference to the titles of a number of biographies of the Buddha: see Samuel Beal, The Romantic Legend of Śākya Buddha, [London, 1875] Delhi, 1985, pp. v-vi, 386-87.

² In terms of purpose — celebration of the merits of an act of giving — anumodanā is not strictly speaking a protection, rakṣā. But since the verses employed overlap the rakṣā literature (the first Dhvajāgra-mahāsūtra contains abhyanumodanā verses, some of which are elsewhere described as svasti-gāthā) and the Pali anumodanā are printed along with paritta and assorted rakṣās (see Royal Chanting Book, anumodanā-vidhī), it seems more convenient to study abhyanumodanā and svastigāthā together.

³ Bareau 1959 pp. 303–4.

⁴ See Sanghabhedavastu I 124.11-20; Ernst Waldschmidt, Das Mahā-parinirvāṇasūtra, [Berlin, 1950-51] Kyoto, 1986, §§ 6.11-14, 12.6-9, 26.29-30.

⁵ Sanghabhedavastu I 199.25-27.

Rakṣā literature

mention any canonical texts by name. I-ching's translator, Takakusu (p. 48), gives two Sanskrit terms: dānagāthā and dakṣiṇāgāthā. 2

As far as I know, only two of the *svastigāthā* mentioned above are currently recited by members of the Tibetan *saṃgha* (who are by ordination Mūlasarvāstivādin): the *Maṅgalagāthā* on the twelve acts of the Buddha, attributed to Nāgārjuna,³ and the *Maṅgalagāthā* on the Lineage of the Seven Buddhas.⁴ The school of these two texts is uncertain; since neither is overtly Mahāyānistic, they may be described as mainstream *svastigāthā*.

Though not described as such, the last verse of Vasubandhu's Gāthāsamgraha is a typical svastigāthā:⁵

May the world be happy, may there be a good harvest; may grain be ample, may government be righteous; may all illness and harm disappear!

In his commentary Vasubandhu notes that the verse is a wish ($smon\ lam = pranidh\bar{a}na$) for the absence of fear of various kinds of harm, which he describes in some detail.

I have not come across any examples of an equivalent Pali term — sotthi-gāthā or sovatthi-gāthā. The numerous Pali chants — both canonical (such as the Ratana-sutta) and extra-canonical — that contain refrains like etena saccavajjena suvatthi hotu may, however, reasonably be classed as sotthi-gāthā. The title mangala-gāthā is common in Pali.²

¹ J. Takakusu, tr., A Record of the Buddhist Religion as Practised in India and the Malay Archipelago, [London, 1896] New Delhi, 1986, pp. 41–42, 46, 48–49, 152, 166. I-ching does say that he has translated such gāthās; Takakusu (p. 48 note 1) refers to the "Rules of Confession", Nanjio 1506 [= T 1903, KBC 1084]. Cf. Hōbōgirin I 93 foll. ("Bombai").

² See also Soothill and Hodous, A Dictionary of Chinese Buddhist Terms, [London, 1937] Delhi, 1977, pp. 285a, 330b.

³ Bkra sis kyi tshigs su bcad pa, Q 449, Vol. 9, rgyud, tsha, 321a8; Q 724, Vol. 11, rgyud, ya, 278b6; Q 5954, Vol. 150, no mtshar bstan bcos, mo, 343a; translated by Feer, AMG V pp. 471-74. The attribution of the text to Slob dpon 'phags pa klu sgrub is in Q 5954. The text is not mentioned in Chr. Lindtner, Nagarjuniana, Copenhagen, 1982, pp. 11-17.

⁴ Sans rgyas rabs bdun gyi bkra śis kyi tshigs su bcad pa, Q 444, Vol. 9, rgyud, tsha, 319b; Q 725, Vol. 11, rgyud, ya, 280a3. Both this and the preceding text are translated in *The Sublime Path of the Victorious Ones*, Dharamsala, 1981, pp. 83–87.

⁵ A. Schiefner, "Über Vasubandhu's Gāthāsamgraha", Mélanges Asiatiques, St.-Pétersbourg, 1878, p. 566; Q 5603, Vol. 119, mnon pa'i bstan bcos, nu, 241a4-5; commentary, Q 5604, Vol. 119, mnon pa'i bstan bcos, nu, 285b1-86a4.

¹ Table 1.1 shows that the Siamese edition of the *Milinda-pañha* includes a *Suvatthi-paritta*. Taking the other lists into account, this might be the *Ratana-sutta*, which has the refrain etena saccena suvatthi hotu. Cf. also PTSD 725b, sotthikamma, sotthikāra, sotthivācaka. In the Suppāraka-jātaka (Jātaka 463, Vol. IV 142) the bodhisatta performs an act of truth (for which see below, § 5) by reciting a verse over a bowl of water, after reflecting, "Apart from myself there is no one whatsoever able to save (sotthibhāvam kātum) these people: by means of an act of truth I will bring them to safety (saccakiriyāya tesam sotthim karissāmi).

² See the texts listed in § 1, pp. 122–23, and also Dhammananda 1992 p. 440, Sabbajayamangala-gāthā.

4. The Pañcarakṣā collections1

The $Pa\tilde{n}carak s\bar{a}$ or Five Protections were extremely popular in Northern India, Nepal, and Tibet, as may been seen from the numerous manuscripts kept in libraries around the world. Their study is complicated by the fact, belied by a general similarity of titles, that there exist (at least) two different collections, a Tibetan and a Sanskrit, which have only three texts in common: the study therefore involves seven rather than five texts. Since the Tibetan versions were translated in about 800 A.C., and since the lDan dkar ma Catalogue treats them as a separate category under the title gZuns chen po lna = <math>Panca

mahādhāraṇī,¹ the available evidence for the Tibetan collection is earlier than that for the North Indian-cum-Nepalese collection, which survives only in manuscripts from the 11th century on. Fragments of only two Pañcarakṣā texts, the Mahāmāyūrī and the Mahāsāhasrapramardanī, have been found in Central Asia; fragments of the Mahāpratisarā were found in Gilgit.² The Pañcarakṣā was not transmitted as a collection in the Chinese Tripiṭaka, although there are independent translations, all, except for several versions of the Mahāmāyūrī, quite late.³ Table 3 shows the relationship between the two collections and independent Tibetan or Chinese translations, and paritta and other rakṣā texts. In the following summary of the contents of the seven texts, I will present them in the order of the table: the first three are similar in their Tibetan and Sanskrit versions, while the last four are grouped by their (similar) titles, 4a and 5a referring to the Tibetan versions, 4b and 5b to the Sanskrit.⁴

1. Mahāpratisarāvidyārājñī

The $Mah\bar{a}pratisar\bar{a}vidy\bar{a}r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}i$, which is similar in its Sanskrit and Tibetan versions, may be classed under Mahāyāna: the assembly includes

¹ For the present study I have used Takubo's edition of the Mahāmāyūrī in Sanskrit (MhMVR(T)). For the remaining Sanskrit versions, I originally had access only to the summaries in Rajendralala Mitra's The Sanskrit Buddhist Literature of Nepal, [1882] Indian reprint, 1981, pp. 164-69 and in M. Winternitz and A. Keith, Catalogue of Sanskrit Manuscripts in the Bodleian Library, Vol. II, Oxford, 1905, pp. 257-59; I also made desultory attempts at the two late Nepalese manuscripts reproduced by Lokesh Chandra, Pañca-rakṣā, New Delhi, 1981 (Sata-Pitaka Series Vol. 267). Only when the paper was in its final draft did I receive (courtesy Dr. Paul Harrison) copies of Iwamoto's romanised editions of the Sanskrit versions of the Mahāpratisarā, Mahāsāhasrapramardanī, and Mahāśītavatī. For the Tibetan translations I have used the Derge (D) edition of the Kanjur. The present section summarises my "Note on the Pañcarakṣā", delivered at the 10th Conference of the International Association of Buddhist Studies, Paris, July, 1991; the revised version of that paper, which I am preparing for publication, will give fuller bibliographical details.

² On the whole the *Pañcarakṣā* seem to have been rather neglected in the West since Lévi's work on the *Mahāmāyūrī* (Lévi 1915). The best modern discussion (with a comprehensive bibliography) is Pentti Aalto's "Prolegomena to an Edition of the Pañcarakṣā" (*Studia Orientalia* XIX:12, Helsinki, 1954, pp. 5-48); see also the introduction to the same scholar's edition of the Mongolian versions, *Qutut-tu Pañcarakṣā Kemekü Tabun Sakiyan Neretü Yeke Kölgen Sudur*, Wiesbaden, 1961, pp. 1-5. It seems to have been Aalto who first recognised that the Sanskrit and Tibetan collections are discrepant, at least for the *Mahāmantrānudharaṇi*: see the latter work, p. 1, note 1.

¹ Lalou 1953 § XIII, p. 327.

² Oskar von Hinüber, *Die Erforschung der Gilgit-Handschriften*, Göttingen, 1979, Anhang I, nos. 6, 15 and 17.

³ Aalto's statement ("Prolegomena", p. 7) that there are no Chinese translations of the "Mahāsītavanī and Mahāmantra-anudhārī" (his spellings) needs clarification. The Mahāsītavatī and Mahāmantrānusārinī of the Sanskrit collection are both found in Chinese: out of the "Seven Rakṣā", only the Tibetan Mahāsītavana and Mahāmantrānudharani have no Chinese (or surviving Sanskrit) counterparts.

⁴ The titles themselves pose difficulties. For the Sanskrit versions I have followed Iwamoto (see also the "internal list" at *Mahāsāhasrapramardanī* 41.7); for the Tibetan versions I have provisionally chosen what seems to me the most probable of the variant transcriptions given at the head of the Tibetan translations.

⁵ A romanised edition of the Sanskrit was published by Yutaka Iwamoto in *Pañcaraksā* II, Kyoto, 1938.

a vast number of bodhisattvas, and there are references to bodhicitta and to the Mahāyāna itself. In addition to offering protection against a wide variety of ills, the mantra can confer enlightenment: in this it goes further than the other Pañcarakṣā texts, which only offer protection.

2. Mahāmāyūrī

The Mahāmāyūrī (also similar in Sanskrit and Tibetan) is the longest of the "seven Rakṣās"; it is a composite work, rather complex in stratigraphy. The oldest layer and raison d'être is the account of the monk Svāti with its mantra and jātaka, parallel to that of the Bhaiṣajyavastu of the Mūlasarvāstivādins, the jātaka parallel to the Pali Morajātaka. To this are added a verse found in the Morajātaka but not in the Bhaiṣajyavastu, verses on protection against snakes found in the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin Upasena-sūtra and the Pali Vinaya and Khandhaparitta, and verses common to the first Dhvajāgra-mahāsūtra, the Bhadrakarātrī-sūtra, and the Vaiśālīpraveśa. The list of yakṣas is close to that of the Āṭānāṭika-sūtra, and some of its phraseology must have been influenced by or drawn from a common source as that of that text.

Other elements include the cult of the Seven Buddhas and their trees; lists of *nāgas* and a variety of divine, daemonic, and supernatural beings; lists of rivers, mountains, *nakṣatras*, *grahas*, and "sages of the past". A characteristically thorough summary of the contents was made by Lévi in 1915 (pp. 19–22), so I need not go into more detail here.

3. Mahāsāhasrapramardanī

The Mahāsāhasrapramardanī,¹ in both its Sanskrit and Tibetan versions, enshrines a complete *Ratna-sūtra, concealed by a tangled overgrowth of mantras and long verses.² That this is its original kernel is clear from the narrative framework, which belongs to the "Ratna-sūtra-Vaiśālī miracle" tradition: the Buddha at Rājagṛha, the calamity at Vaiśālī, and the assembly of deities (pp. 1–2); the Buddha's departure for Vaiśālī, the offering of the divine umbrellas, the decoration of the route, and the indrakīla (pp. 21–23); the appeasement of the calamity (p. 29).

4. Mahāśītavana / Mahāśītavatī

4.a. The Tibetan $Mah\bar{a}\dot{s}itavana$ in some ways resembles the $\bar{A}t\bar{a}n\bar{a}tikas\bar{u}tra$. The title derives from the location, the Śitavana at Rājagṛha. The structure and purpose of the $nid\bar{a}na$ — though not the actual phrasing — parallel that of the $\bar{A}t\bar{a}n\bar{a}tika$: both texts feature the Four Great Kings, who express concern for monks, nuns, laymen, and laywomen dwelling in remote places, where they are threatened by spirits who have no faith in the Buddha. Only a few verses are common to the two texts. Like the Pali $\bar{A}t\bar{a}n\bar{a}tiya$ (but not the Sanskrit, Tibetan, or Chinese versions), the $Mah\bar{a}\dot{s}itavana$ gives at the opening a set of verses of homage to past Buddhas; the $Mah\bar{a}\dot{s}itavana$ list of 17 Buddhas is almost identical to those of the $Mah\bar{a}vastu$, the $Mah\bar{a}karun\bar{a}pundar\bar{i}kas\bar{u}tra$, and the Chinese $Abhiniskramanas\bar{u}tra$.

4.b. The Sanskrit *Mahāšītavatī* is quite different.³ The Buddha imparts a long *mantra* to Rāhula, who has been harrassed by a miscellany of

¹ In addition to Takubo's edition, there is that of S. Oldenburg, "Mahāmāyūrī vidyārājñī", "Otryvki Kašgarskich i sanskritskich rukopisej iz sobranija N.F. Petrovskago, II, Otryvki iz Pañcarakṣā", Zapiski vostočnago otdelenija imperatorskago russkago archeologičeskago obščestva 11 (1897–98), pp. 218–61. See also A.F. Rudolf Hoernle, The Bower Manuscript, Calcutta, 1893–1912, pp. xciv-xcv, 222–40e, and SHT (I) 63, 375, 524, (V) 1459.

¹ A romanised edition of the Sanskrit was published by Iwamoto in *Pañcarakṣā* I, Kyoto, 1937. Fragments were also published by Oldenburg, *op. cit.*, pp. 215–18, 261–64 (= Iwamoto pp. 35.2–37 penult.), and in *SHT* (III) 983, 1011.

² Iwamoto 24.24–26.22; in Lokesh Chandra, *Pañca-rakṣā*, the **Ratna-sūtra* occurs at *Manuscript A* 112.5 foll., *Manuscript B* 156.1 foll.

³ A romanised edition of the Sanskrit was published by Yutaka Iwamoto in *Kleinere Dhāraṇī Texte*, Kyoto, 1937.

malignant beings whilst dwelling in the Śītavana. The phraseology is typical of $rakṣ\bar{a}$ literature, but otherwise the text does not have much in common with the other six $rakṣ\bar{a}s$; furthermore, it is the only text of the seven that is entirely in prose. The Sanskrit title (and that of the Chinese translation¹) derives from the name of the $dh\bar{a}ran\bar{i}$ or $vidy\bar{a}$.² A Tibetan translation, not classed under $Pa\bar{n}carakṣ\bar{a}$, bears the title $Mah\bar{a}dandadh\bar{a}ran\bar{i}$, which in this version is the name of the $dh\bar{a}ran\bar{i}$; otherwise the Sanskrit, Tibetan, and Chinese versions are quite close.

5. Mahāmantrānudharani / Mahāmantrānusārinī

5.a. As far as I have been able to determine, the Mahāmantrānudharaṇi of the Tibetan collection is not extant in Sanskrit or Chinese. The first two thirds of this text are taken up by a brief preamble, the nidāna, assorted mantras, and lists of rākṣasīs. The last third is extremely interesting: it contains material drawn from about ten sources, including verses common to the Udānavarga and the Sarvāstivādin and Mūlasarvāstivādin Prātimokṣa-sūtras, 26 ines of verse corresponding to the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin Candra-sūtra (and thus parallel to the Pali Canda-paritta), and a series of satyavāks linked with a list of agraśrāvakas and of agraprajñaptis. The section may be described as a paritta collection or paritta extracts of an unknown school.

5.b. The *Mahāmantrānusāriņī* of the Sanskrit collection is completely different: it is none other than a recension of the *Vaiśālīpraveśa-mahāsūtra* discussed above (§ 2). The title derives from the name of the *mantra* as given in the Sanskrit *Mahāmantrānusārinī*³ but not in the Tibetan *Vaiśālīpraveśa*. Apart from this, and the fact that the Sanskrit omits verses 16 and 17 of the Tibetan, the two versions are very close.

Out of the seven $Pa\bar{n}carak \bar{s}\bar{a}$ texts, only one, the $Mah\bar{a}$ - $pratisar\bar{a}vidy\bar{a}r\bar{a}j\bar{n}\bar{i}$, belongs to the Mahāyāna; the remaining six may be classed under the Śrāvakayāna $rak \bar{s}\bar{a}$ literature.\(^1\) (My assertion that these texts belong to the Śrāvakayāna is based on a literal reading of their contents. There is no doubt that they were [and are] used by practitioners of the Mahāyāna and Vajrayāna. The difference is one of context [and this may apply to other $rak \bar{s}\bar{a}$ texts]: if combined with preliminary rites involving the generation of the bodhicitta, they become Mahāyānist in application; if conjoined with further rites of initiation, entry into a mandala, or the visualization of the $Pa\bar{n}carak \bar{s}\bar{a}$ deities, they become Vajrayānist in application. Numerous $s\bar{a}dhanas$ for the realization of these deities are found in Sanskrit and Tibetan, and the deities are depicted in illuminated manuscripts — North Indian, Nepalese, and Tibetan — of the $Pa\bar{n}carak \bar{s}\bar{a}$. They are not, however,

¹ T 1392, KBC 1104.

² Iwamoto, pp. 2.8, 4.14, 5.15.

³ Imāni mahāmamtrānusāriņīmantrapadāni, in Lokesh Chandra, Pañca-rakṣā, A 236.4, 241.3, B 363.1, 370.1.

¹ To determine whether a text belongs to the Śrāvakayāna or Mahāyāna, I follow five guidelines: teacher, place, audience, doctrine, and goal. A Śrāvakayāna sūtra is (1) taught by Śākyamuni (or by other "historical" Buddhas of past or future) or by one of his disciples, (2) at one of the North Indian sites which he frequented, (3) to an audience of disciples; (4) its doctrine agrees with that of the Āgama/Nikāya tradition, and (5) its highest goal is arhathood. A Mahāyāna sūtra is (1) taught by Śākyamuni, by a "non-historical" Buddha such as Vairocana, or by a bodhisattva, (2) at one of the historical sites or on another plane of existence such as a distant universe or Buddhafield, (3) to an audience that includes bodhisattvas; it (4) teaches voidness and non-origination as in the Prajñāpāramitā, and (5) recommends to all the bodhisattva path aiming at full enlightenment. The last item entails vows (pranidhāna), the aspiration to enlightenment (bodhicitta), the prediction (vyākaraņa), and the perfections (pāramitā) and levels (bhūmi) of a bodhisattva (see here R.E. Emmerick, The Book of Zambasta, London, 1968, p. 187, and Candrakirti as cited in Anthony K. Warder, "Original" Buddhism and Mahāyāna, Turin, 1983, p. 8). The Mahāsāhasrapramardanī (34.12-20) does mention Akşobhyarāja, Avalokiteśvara, and Amitäbha, but since they play no role whatsover in the sūtra they may be treated as one of its many elements drawn from popular lore. Since the same sūtra also lists all five Pañcarakṣā titles, it evidently continued to grow after the Pañcarakṣā group had come into being.

invoked or described in the $Pa\tilde{n}carak_s\tilde{a}$ texts properly speaking, except insofar as their names correspond to those of the mantras.¹)

Of the six Śrāvakayāna rakṣās, the Sanskrit Mahāśītavatī (= Tibetan Mahādanda-dhāranī) does not contain any elements (apart from phraseology) common to the others, or to the paritta, Mahāsūtras, or svastigāthā: it is simply a rakṣā mantra with minimal narrative framework. The remaining five may be described as Śrāvakayāna raksās par excellence. All have paritta at their heart, and are expanded by preambles, by verses of homage, by mantras and praises of mantras, by lists of deities, by descriptions of rites, and so on: they are composite compilations that must have evolved over several centuries. All contain common elements, such as the cults of past Buddhas, the Four Kings, and deities such as yaksas, etc., common verses, and common phraseology. The manner in which the parittas are buried in such long lists of deities and supernormal beings may be compared with the paritta ceremony of Sri Lanka, which contains a long admonition listing similar deities, and can go on all night or for seven days.² If a collection of Sri Lankan parittas were published along with all such preliminaries, admonitions, ceremonies, and rites, in both contents and length it would resemble one of the composite Pañcaraksā texts, minus, of course, the mantras.

5. Rakṣā phraseology

A certain phraseology characterises the *rakṣā* literature. One frequent element is the "profession of truth" (*satya-vāk*, *satyādhiṣṭhāna*). In Mūlasarvāstivādin literature we find *etena satyavākyena svasty ānandāya*

bhikṣave in the Śārdūlakarṇāvadāna, anena satyena satyavākyena in the Prātihārya-sūtra, and tena me satyavākyena in the Upasena-sūtra. In Theravādin literature we have the refrain of the Ratana-sutta, etena saccena suvatthi hotu, and similar phrases in numerous extra-canonical paritta. In Lokottaravādin literature there is the etena satyena susvasti bhotu of that school's version of the Ratana-sutta; the Mahāsāhasrapramardanī version of the same has etena satyena ihāstu svasti. The Prajñāpāramitā uses anena satyena satyavacanena.

In the Milindapañha, King Milinda states that "by truth (saccena) truth-speakers (saccavādino) perform an act of truth (saccakiriyam katvā), and cause rain to fall, put out fire, counteract poison, or perform various feats as required". At the conclusion of his discussion of saccakiriya, Nāgasena says, "there is no aim at all that those established in the truth do not accomplish". In the Prajñāpāramitā (loc. cit.) the success of an act of truth indicates that a bodhisattva has reached the irreversible stage. In the Bhadrakalpika-sūtra it is said that through satyavāk miracles (prātihārya) arise from relics. 8

¹ The $Mah\bar{a}m\bar{a}y\bar{u}r\bar{i}$ (Takubo, 37.17) does give the names of several $Pa\bar{n}carak_{\bar{s}}\bar{a}$ deities (without describing them as such) within a long list of deities: $mah\bar{a}$ pratisar $\bar{a}ya$ sv $\bar{a}h\bar{a}$, ś $\bar{i}tavan\bar{a}ya$ sv $\bar{a}h\bar{a}$, etc.

² L. de Silva, pp. 51–52.

¹ Divy 613.9 and 154.25, and Upasena-sūtra (1) 41.2, respectively.

 $^{^2}$ Sn vv. 224–35; further examples and references are given by Burlingame (see note 3 on p. 146) p. 434.

³ Mahāvastu I 236.16 etc.

⁴ Mahāsāhasrapramardanī 25.1 etc.

⁵ Astasāhasrikā-prajñāpāramitā (BST 4) 189.12-191.25; 247.10-16; Edward Conze, The Gilgit Manuscript of the Astādaśasāhasrikāprajñāpāramitā, Chapters 55 to 70, Rome, 1962, pp. 5.5-8.6; cf. also Ratnagunasamcaya-gāthā XX 23-24, XXI 1, in P.L. Vaidya (ed.), Mahāyāna-sūtra-saṃgraha Part I (BST 17), Darbhanga, 1961. See also SHT (VI) 1259.

⁶ Milindapañha, Chatthasangīti edition, 124.8. Milindapañha 123-26 (= PTS ed. I 119-23) has a long discussion of saccakiriya.

⁷ Milindapañha, Chatthasangīti edition, 126.19, sacce thitā na kiñci attham na vindanti.

⁸ The Fortunate Aeon, Vol. I, Dharma Publishing, Berkeley, 1986, p. 474.15, bden pa'i tshig gis rin bsrel las cho 'phrul 'byun ba.

Raksā literature

The "profession of truth" goes beyond the $rak s\bar{a}$ literature (though the boundary is not always clear) into the $j\bar{a}takas$, Buddhist drama, and Indian literature in general: the $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}rata$, the $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$, as well as vernacular folktales and Jaina literature. While in such cases the $satyav\bar{a}k$ is a narrative device — a specific act performed by a specific person with specific results — as a $rak s\bar{a}$ properly speaking it is anonymous and generalised.

The satyavāk is sometimes combined with versions of the agra-prajāapti formula: examples occur in the Prātihārya-sūtra,⁴ the Mahā-mantrānudharaṇi-sūtra,⁵ and the (Ārya) Sarvarogapraśamani-dhāraṇī.⁶ Similarly, a non-canonical Pali text entitled Parittakaraṇa-pāṭha

combines the prose of the Aggappasāda-sutta (AN II 34-35) with the verses of the Ratana-sutta. Satyavāk phrases are also incorporated into mantras, which sometimes invoke the power of "truth-speakers" (satyavādinām).²

Other elements occur in connection with supernormal or daemonic beings. Lists of such beings are often given first in male and then in female form:

yakkho vā yakkhiņī vā yakkha-potako vā °potikā vā °mahāmatto vā °pārisajjo vā °pacāro vā;³

gandharvo vā gandharvī vā gandharvamahallako vā °mahallikā vā °potalako vā °potalikā vā °pāriṣado vā °pāriṣadī vā °pracaro vā °pracarī vā;⁴

devo vā devā vā devaputro vā °duhitā vā °mahallako vā °mahallikā vā °pārṣado vā °pārṣadī vā ;⁵

Similar lists occur in the Lankāvatāra-6 and Mahābala- sūtras.7

A stock phrase (or variants thereof) is used for the action of a malignant spirit who seeks an opportunity or chance to do harm: avatāraprekṣy

¹ See Jātakamālā II, XIV, XV, XVI, and Sitaram Roy (ed.), Suvarņavarnāvadāna, Patna, 1971, §§ 159, 163-65, 201-02.

² Candragomin's Lokānandanātaka, tr. Michael Hahn, Joy for the World, Berkelev, 1987, V 40 p. 130.

³ Cf. E.W. Burlingame, "The Act of Truth (Saccakiriya): A Hindu Spell and its Employment as a Psychic Motif in Hindu Fiction", in JRAS, 1917, pp. 429-67; W. Norman Brown, "The Basis for the Hindu Act of Truth", in The Review of Religion, Vol. V, no. 1, Nov. 1940, pp. 36-45; (same author) "The Metaphysics of the Truth Act (*Satyakriyā)", in Mélanges d'Indianisme à la Mémoire de Louis Renou, Paris, 1968, pp. 171-77; (same author) "Duty as Truth in Ancient India", in Rosane Rocher (ed.), India and Indology: Selected Articles by W. Norman Brown, Delhi, 1978, pp. 102-19; Heinrich Lüders, "Die magische Kraft der Wahrheit im alten Indien", Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Band 98 (Neue Folge Band 23), Leipzig, 1944, pp. 1-14; Alex Wayman, "The Hindu-Buddhist Rite of Truth - an Interpretation", in Bhadriraju Krishnamurti (ed.), Studies in Indian Linguistics (Professor M.B. Emeneau Sastipūrti Volume), Annamalainagar, 1968, pp. 365-69 (rep. in George R. Elder (ed.), Buddhist Insight, Delhi, 1984, pp. 391-97); Peter Khoroche, Once the Buddha was a Monkey: Ārya Śūra's Jātakamālā, Chicago, 1989, p. 258 (note 6). (I am grateful to Prof. Oskar von Hinüber for promptly sending me copies of several of these articles.)

⁴ Divy 154.19 foll.

⁵ D 563, rgyud 'bum, pha, 155a4 foll.

⁶ O 207, Vol. 7, rgyud, pha, 276a2 (tr. by Feer, AMG V, 462).

¹ Royal Chanting Book pp. 101–03; Mahābraḥbuddhamanta 12.5.3.

² Dhvajāgrakeyūra-dhāraṇī; Sarvatathāgatādhiṣṭhāna-vyūha, GM I 67.5,6; 76.8.

³ Āṭānāṭiya Pali, DN III 203.7: and so for gandhabba, kumbhānḍa, nāga.

⁴ Āṭānāṭika Sanskrit, p. 59.7: and so for piśāca, p. 61, kumbhānda, p. 65, and so on.

⁵ MhMVR(T) 10.20 foll., in what is probably the longest such list, since it gives 20 different beings.

⁶ Saddharmalankāvatārasūtram 106.11 foll.

⁷ Mahābala-sūtra 27.1 foll.

avatāragavesī, and fails or will fail to do so, avatāram na lapsyate. There is a recurrent curse "may so-and-so's head split into seven pieces": saptadhāsya sphalen mūrdhā.2

Common also is the "escape clause" which, after lauding the multiple and powerful effects of a mantra or other rakṣā, notes that it might not succeed "due to the fruition of past karma" (varjayitvā paurānam karmavipākam, or variants thereof), found, for example, in the Śārdūlakarnāvadāna,³ the Lalitavistara,⁴ the Mahāsāhasrapramardanī,⁵ the Mahāmantrānudharani,6 the Pratyutpannabuddhasammukhāvasthita-samādhi-sūtra,7 the Astasāhasrikā-prajñāpāramitā,8 and the Ārya-avalokiteśvara-ekādaśamukha-nāma-dhāranī.9 Bhavya

comments on the phrase in his Tarkajvālā. The same idea — though not the exact phrase — is found in the Milindapañha: Nāgasena explains that paritta may not be take effect because of the obstruction (avarana) of kamma.² The extra-canonical Pali Unhissavijaya promises protection from death due to a variety of causes, "except for timely death" (kālamāritam), that is, "natural death" as determined by one's karmic life-span.3

Raksā literature

The escape clause is characteristic of only some (earlier? Śrāvakayāna?) raksā texts; others promise unqualified results. The Aparimitāyuh Sūtra states that for one who copies the sūtra or causes it to be copied, the obstructions (āvarana) of the five deeds of immediate retribution (ānantarya karma) and sins even as great as Mt. Meru will all be wiped clean.4

Other elements are long lists of diseases⁵ or calamities against which protection is offered.⁶ Another phrase refers to the marking of a (protective) boundary (sīmābandha).⁷

¹ Ātānātika 59.13 etc.; Saddharmapundarīkasūtra 233.31; Astasāhasrikāprajñāpāramitā 28.13; PraS (I) 118.3.

² Ātānātika 57.24; Saddharmapundarīka 235.10; Siksāsamuccaya 141.9; Mahāsāhasrapramardanī 37.7; SHT (III) 900, 903, 906, 984; SHT (VI) 1269, 1310. In Pali the phrase occurs in the Canda- and Suriya-parittas (SN I 50.33, 51.22), and at DN I 94.24, MN I 231.29, Jātaka V 92.8, Sn 983, 1026; see also DN I 143.13, III 13.28. Cf. A. Syrkin, "Notes on the Buddha's Threats in the Dīgha Nikāya", JIABS Vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 147-58. The curse also occurs in the Rāmāyana: see William L. Smith, "Explaining the Inexplicable: Uses of the Curse in Rāma Literature", in Kalyānamitrārāganam. Essays in Honour of Nils Simonsson, Oslo, 1986, p. 264. The phrase (in the first person) was also used in oaths.

³ Divv 614.14.

⁴ BST 1, p. 318.5.

⁵ Iwamoto 41.4.

⁶ D 563, 154a4. The fifth section (gnas skabs) of Karmavajra's (Las kyi Dorje's) commentary to this sūtra is devoted entirely to this phrase, and contains a long citation of a Karmavibhanga-sūtra: D 2692, rgyud, du, 269a5-72a2.

⁷ Sanskrit in *PraS* (II) 298.4; Tibetan in *PraS* (I) 14D, p. 118.13, 24; 14J, v. 14 (p. 124.3).

⁸ BST 4, pp. 28.14, 19, 24; 38.21; 44.23. Cf. commentary in Padmanabh S. Jaini (ed.), Sāratamā, A Panjikā on the Astasāhasrikā Prajnāpāramitā Sūtra. Patna, 1979, p. 37.10-13.

⁹ O 524, Vol. 11, 'a, 212b4 = GM I 36.4; translated by Feer, AMG V 434.

¹ D 3856, dbu ma, dza, 185b2, ci'i phyir snon gyi las kyi rnam par smin pa ni ma gtogs so žes bstan ce na?...

² Milindapañha (Chatthasangīti ed.) 152-55, (PTS ed.) I 150-54.

³ Mahābrahbuddhamanta p. 113.

⁴ Sten Konow, The Aparimitāyuh Sūtra, in A.F. Rudolf Hoernle, Manuscript Remains of Buddhist Literature, [Oxford, 1916] Amsterdam, 1970, pp. 310-12. Cf. also Sarvatathāgatādhisthāna-vyūha, GM I 54-55

⁵ MhMVR(T) 4.2, etc.; PraS 14D; Sīrimangalaparitta 29, Cakkaparitta, § 9.

⁶ AN V 342.1–14 (Metta-sutta); Sīrimangalaparitta, Parittaparikamma, v. 9; Megha-sūtra 294; Astasāhasrikā-prajñāpāramitā 38.7-15; PraS 14C, 14D; Ekādaśamukha, GM I 37.5-11; Sarvatathāgatādhisthāna-vyūha, GM I 57.8-13.

⁷ MhMVR(T) 3.14, etc.; Suvarnaprabhāsa-sūtra 56.14; Hayagrīva-vidyā, GM I 45.5.

6. Rakṣā and mantra

The sometimes confused relationship between mantra and dhāraṇī has been clarified by several scholars. While the two terms might at times be synonymous, the latter has a much broader meaning: a faculty or facility in retaining or remembering the teaching of the Buddha(s), hence "retention" or "memory" (Lamotte's souvenance). This is shown by the context in which it occurs in the Mahāyāna sūtras and the definitions given in the śāstras, which connect it with smrti. The Mahāprajñāpāramitāśāstra classifies dhāranī in abhidharmic terms under dharmadhātu, dharmāyatana, and samskāra-skandha: it is either "associated with mind" or "dissociated from mind" (cittasamprayukta, cittaviprayukta), impure or pure (sāsrava, anāsrava); it is formless or immaterial (ārūpya), invisible (anidarśana), non-resistant (apratigha), and knowable by mental-consciousness (manovijñāna).² Asanga gives a fourfold definition of the term; of these it is the third, mantra-dhāranī, with which I am concerned: "mantra-syllables for the appearement of the calamities of beings (mantrapadāni ītisamśamanāya sattvānām).³

As far as I have been able to determine, mantra (or mantrapada), along with $rakṣ\bar{a}$ and $vidy\bar{a}$, is the preferred term in $rakṣ\bar{a}$ literature, at least in the main texts studied here, none of which employ the word $dh\bar{a}ran\bar{a}$ (except in titles). Scholars often use the two words interchangeably; it would be more accurate, however, to use the word actually employed in the text under consideration. Since mantra is the general term of preference in the $raks\bar{a}$ literature dealt with here, I will use that term.

For present purposes, I would like to classify mantras into two types: protective mantras (the mantra-dhāranī of Asaṅga) and — for want of a better term — spiritual mantras. Protective or rakṣā mantras are recited for worldly or mundane ends: to ward off calamity, disease, or malignant beings, and to promote welfare. The mantras of the Śrāvakayāna and of the early Mahāyāna sūtras belong to this category. At an uncertain date, but, on the evidence of the Wu dynasty translation of the Anantamukhanirhāradhāranī,³ not later than the second century A.C., mantras were given a spiritual application: their recitation not only granted protection and welfare, but could lead to enlightenment (bodhi) itself. They became associated with symbolic hand-gestures (mudrā), complex rites (vidhi, kalpa), consecrations (abhiṣeka), manḍalas, and visualization. These are the mantras of some Mahāyāna sūtras and of the Vajrayāna. In the

¹ Especially valuable are Lamotte's translation and notes at *Mppś* I 317–21 and 328, his long note at *Mppś* IV 1854–64 and the following translation (1864–69), and Braarvig 1985. Cf. also Edward Conze, *The Large Sutra on Perfect Wisdom with the divisions of the Abhisamayālankāra*, Berkeley, 1975, p. 21; de Jong 1984 pp. 95–96, and Matsunaga 1977 pp. 169–71. For *mantra*, see Alex Wayman, "The Significance of Mantras, from the Veda down to Buddhist Tantric Practice", *The Adyar Library Bulletin*, Vol. XXXIX, 1975, pp. 65–89 (reprinted in *Buddhist Insight*, pp. 413–30); for *dhāraṇī*, see *BHSD* 284b, and *Encyclopaedia of Buddhism*, Vol. IV, fasc, 4, pp. 515–20.

² Mppś I 317. Cf. also the definition in Corrado Pensa, L'Abhisamayālamkāravrtti di Ārya-vimuktisena, Rome, 1967, pp. 101-02.

 $^{^3}$ Cf. $Mpp\dot{s}$ IV 1857–59 and Braarvig 1985 pp. 19–20. The latter's suggestion that $dh\bar{a}ran\bar{\iota}$ in the compound $mantra-dh\bar{a}ran\bar{\iota}$ does not itself mean a spell, but rather a facility in retaining or remembering spells, and his translation "retaining a formula in the mind" are quite apt.

¹ The term dhāraṇīmantrapada occurs in the Megha-sūtra, p. 298.11. Vijjā in the sense of spell or charm occurs in the Pali Canon, where several spells are mentioned by name: see Encyclopaedia of Buddhism Vol. IV, fasc. 1, "Charms", pp. 130–34. For this and other terms, see David L. Snellgrove, Indo-Tibetan Buddhism, Indian Buddhists and their Tibetan Successors, London, 1987, pp. 122, 141–44.

² Waldschmidt, for example, describes the mantras of the Tibetan Mahāsamāja as Dhāraṇīs, although the text describes them as mantrapada (gsan snags kyi tshig): E. Waldschmidt, Bruchstücke Buddhistischer Sūtras aus dem Zentralasiatischen Sanskritkanon (Kleinere Sanskrit-texte, Heft IV), Leipzig, 1932, p. 197.

³ See below, p. 164.

present paper, I am only concerned with the first type, protective or rakṣā mantras.

Mantras are most commonly introduced by tadyathā, but also by syādyathedam.¹ Of the Pañcarakṣā texts, the Mahāsāhasrapramardanī² and the Mahāsītavana use syādyathedam;³ the Mahāmantrānudharaṇi uses syādyathedan once, but otherwise tadyathā; the other texts use tadyathā. The Sarvatathāgatādhiṣṭhāna-vyūha uses saṃyyathīd[aṃ] (GM I 71.9; some Central Asian Sanskrit fragments have saryathidaṃ;⁴ the Tibetan translation of the Hastiratnadharmaṃyeti (?) has satya thedan (?).⁵ Khotanese versions of the Anantamukhanirhāra-dhāraṇī introduce the dhāraṇī-mantra with syādathidaṃ, syādathedaṃ, and syād yathyidaṃ.⁶ (Edgerton notes the forms sayyathīdaṃ and sadyathīdaṃ for the Mahāvastu only;⁴ the related sayyathāpi (and saṃyathāpi) nāma occurs in the Lokottaravādin Bhikṣuṇī Vinaya.⁶ In the Mahāmāyūrī, the form saṃyathedaṃ occurs.⁶ In none of these cases are the phrases connected with mantras.) The Pali Mahādibbamanta and Sut Catuvik introduce their mantras with seyyathīdam.¹0

Mantras conclude with $sv\bar{a}h\bar{a}$ in Sanskrit or $sv\bar{a}h\bar{a}ya$ (or $sv\bar{a}h\bar{a}yya$) in Pali.¹ In Tibetan translations text between $tadyath\bar{a}$ and $sv\bar{a}h\bar{a}$ is usually translated rather than translated.

Mantras include both unintelligible and intelligible elements. The former include phrases like hulu hulu,² hili hili,³ mili mili,⁴ or hili mili⁵ — hile mile⁶ — ili mili⊓ — iti miti,⁴ common to a number of texts. The ubiquitous hulu hulu is one of the earliest attested mantras, since it occurs in Lokakṣema's Chinese version of the Drumakinnārarāja-pariprcchā, translated between 168 and 172 A.C.;⁰ it is also one of the most widespread since it occurs in South-east Asian Pali texts. Though unintelligible, the phrases are not arbitrary (nor the "gibberish" nor the "mumbo jumbo" of earlier scholars), and they are explained in the commentaries. (According to Asaṅga, mantras are indeed "without meaning", but in the sense that all dharmas are without meaning.¹0) The

¹ Pauly 1959 pp. 216, 225.

² Iwamoto, 4.21, 5.8, etc.

³ D 562, 140b1, etc.; in Tibetan usually transliterated as *syādyathedan*. See also Dharmasāgara-nāma-dhāraṇī, Q 310, Vol. 7, *rgyud*, *ba*, 84a3 and passim = D 654, *rgyud*, *ba*, 146b7.

⁴ So transcribed at SHT (III) 842, R3; 900, V1.

⁵ Christopher Wilkinson, "The Tantric Ganesa Texts Preserved in the Tibetan Canon", in Robert L. Brown (ed.), *Ganesh: Studies of an Asian God*, State University of New York, 1991, p. 271. I have not been able to consult the original.

⁶ Inagaki 1987 p. 314.

⁷ BHSD 582b.

⁸ Gustav Roth, *Bhikṣuṇī-Vinaya*: *Manual of Discipline for Buddhist Nuns* (TSWS Vol. XII), Patna, 1970, index, p. 399.

⁹ MhMVR(T) 44.19.

¹⁰ Jaini 1965 p. 67.38; Finot 1917 p. 59.

¹ Jaini 1965 p. 67.39.

² Āṭānāṭika 74.22 (Tib.); MhMVR(T) 4.15, 17; 30 ult.; 31.12; Mahābalasūtra 24.7; Saptavetāḍaka-dhāraṇī (Feer, AMG V) 456; rGyal ba'i bla ma'i gzuńs, Q 488, Vol. 11, rgyud, ba, 85a8. For Pali occurrences, see below. See phuluphulu in BHSD 397a for the term in a non-mantric context, which possibly gives a clue to its meaning.

³ Āṭānāṭika 74.22 (Tib.); MhMVR(T) 4.18; Suvarṇaprabhāsa 56.16; 58.1,2,4; SHT (III) 90 V2; rGyal ba'i bla ma'i gzuṅs 85a8.

⁴ MhMVR(T) 4.18, 9.10; Suvarṇaprabhāsa, loc. cit.

⁵ Vidyādharapiṭaka (Śikṣāsamuccaya 142.15).

⁶ Āṭānāṭika 74.7 (Tib.).

⁷ Āṭānāṭika 54.22; MhMVR(T) 9.13; Ārya-avalokiteśvara-mātā-nāma-dhāraṇī, Q 534, rgyud, 'a, 239a2; Ekādaśamukha, GM I 39.12, 40.16.

⁸ Bodhisattvabhūmi, cit. at Mppś IV 1858.1.

⁹ T 624, *KBC* 129; I am grateful to Paul Harrison (letter of 22 January, 1992) for this information. The "hulu" mantra also occurs in the later Tibetan translation, Q 824, mdo, pu, 327b5 (section [15G] in Harrison's forthcoming edition). For Lokakṣema, see E. Zürcher, *The Buddhist Conquest of China*, Leiden, 1972, pp. 35–36.

¹⁰ Bodhisattvabhūmi in Mppś IV 1858–59; Braarvig 1985 p. 20.

(fragmentary) Uighur version of the Ātānātika-sūtra gives a Uighur "translation" of the mantras, accompanied by interlinear Sanskrit glosses. The interpretations are in terms of Sarvāstivādin abhidharma categories — the sixteen aspects $(\bar{a}k\bar{a}ra)$ of the Four Truths, the four immeasurables (apramāna), etc. — but this must be the work of later scholiasts. In his Tarkajvālā, Bhavya offers a spirited defence of the "dhāranīs, mantras, and vidyās" of the Mahāyāna. He denies that they are meaningless, noting that "vidyās for the most part teach the six perfections (pāramitā), the truths of the noble (ārya-satya), and the states that conduce to enlightenment (bodhipaksya-dharma)...". "The unintelligible syllables of spells (vidyā-pada) are taught in the supermundane (lokottara) language, or in the languages of gods, nāgas, or yaksas, etc." The purpose of some of the recurrent phrases may perhaps be determined from their context when a sufficient number of examples have been collected. Unfortunately, the dictionaries or indexes that I know of do not list mantra elements.³

Among the intelligible phrases are expressions of homage (namas) to Buddha(s) and other $\bar{a}ryas$ or to the Three Gems (triratna), which are treated as a part of the mantra: in Tibetan versions, for example, they are not translated.⁴ The $dh\bar{a}ran\bar{\iota}$ of the $Dhvaj\bar{a}grakey\bar{u}ra-dh\bar{a}ran\bar{\iota}$ ⁵

contains satya-vāk or paritta-like phrases: buddhasatyena, dharmasatyena, saṃghasatyena, satyavādināṃ-satyena; buddhasatye mātikrama, etc., as do mantras in the Suvarṇaprabhāsa and Meghasūtras, and the Sarvatathāgatādhiṣṭhāna-vyūha.¹ The (Ārya) Pratītya-samutpādahṛdaya consists simply of the ye dharmā verse in Tibetan and Sanskrit, followed by the statement "when this hṛdaya is recited once, all sins (pāpa) will be purified", and so on.² Other intelligible phrases in the Dhvajāgrakeyūra-dhāraṇī, the Mahābala-sūtra, the Hayagrīva-vidyā, and other texts are commands or admonishments: jambhaya, stambhaya, mohaya, hana, daha, paca, matha, pramatha.

It is noteworthy that certain common elements appear in the *mantras* of a wide variety of texts — of the Śrāvakayāna, Mahāyāna, and Vajrayāna — and that some of these, usually found in association, invoke the names of female deities. Examples include *gauri*, *gandhāri*, *caṇḍāli*, and *mātangi*, which occur in the Ātānāṭika-sūtra,³ the Bhadrakarātrī-sūtra,⁴ the Mahāmāyūrī,⁵ the Mahādanḍadhāranī,⁶ the Saddharmapunḍarīka,⁵ the Mahābala-sūtra,⁴ the Mahābala-sūtra,⁴ the Ārya-avalokiteśvara-mātā-nāma-dhāranī,ゥ the Cauravidhvansana-dhāranī,¹o the Central Asian Nagaropama-vyākaraṇa,¹¹ and an unidentified Central Asian Sanskrit fragment.¹² It is

¹ Dieter Maue, "Sanskrit-uigurische Fragmente des Āṭānāṭikasūtra und des Āṭānāṭihṛdaya", *Ural-Altaische Jahrbücher*, Neue Folge, Band 5, Wiesbaden, 1985, pp. 98–122. I am grateful to Dr. Lore Sander for this reference.

² D 183a6 foll., Q 199b2 foll.

³ See Edgerton's remarks at *BHSD* 284b. While the *Sanskrit-Wörterbuch der buddhistischen Texte aus den Turfan-Funden* (Göttingen) does not record *mantras*, a card index is kept (personal communication from Dr. Siglinde Dietz, 1991).

⁴ Cf. the Jaina Pamca-namokkāra-parama-mangala (Roth, p. 130), which pays homage to five kinds of saints (arhats, siddhas, ācāryas, upadhyāyas, and "all sādhus in the world") and is described as "the first mangala among all the mangalas".

⁵ Q 306, Vol. 7, rgyud, ba, 73b4 foll.

¹ Suvarṇaprabhāsa 58.3; Megha-sūtra 300.13 foll., 306.3 foll.; GM I 56.4–7.

² Q 222, Vol. 7, rgyud, pha, 301b7–02a2.

³ Āṭānāṭika 54.24 (Tibetan); 68.9 (Tibetan); 69.8 (Sanskrit).

⁴ Q 979 (Vol. 39), mdo, śu, 172a4.

⁵ *MhMVR*(T) 18.16.

⁶ Q 308, Vol. 7, rgyud, ba, 77a1, 7.

⁷ BST 6, ch. 21, p. 234.19.

⁸ Mahābala-sūtra 24.36, 39.

⁹ Q 534, Vol. 11, rgyud, 'a, 239a2.

¹⁰ Q 214, Vol. 7, rgyud, pha, 278b4; cf. also Q 454, Vol. 9, [rñin] rgyud, va, 101a6.

¹¹ SHT (II) 176, Bl. 21 R5.

¹² SHT (III) 846, V7.

Raksā literature

clear that for these and other recurrent phrases (hulu hulu, ili mili, and so on) the texts drew on a common pool of mantra elements.

To whom are the Buddhist mantras addressed? In some cases, such as that of the long mantra of the Vaiśālīpraveśa-mahāsūtra, they are spoken to malignant spirits, after invoking the power or grace of the Buddha, pratyekabuddhas, āryas, and various deities. In some cases, such as those mentioned in the preceding paragraph, they seem to invoke goddesses. In other cases, but probably not in the Śrāvakayāna rakṣās, they are addressed to a specific deity, such as Avalokiteśvara in the Hayagrīva-vidyā and Ekādaśamukha or the goddess Dhvajāgrakeyūra in the Dhvajāgrakeyūra-dhāraṇī. A complete answer can only be made after further research.

No inventory has yet been made of the *mantras* found in (Mūla) Sarvāstivādin texts.¹ Those that I know of are as follows:

- 1) the *mantra* of the *Vaiśālīpraveśa-sūtra*, which is essentially the same in the *Bhaiṣajyavastu* of the Mūlasarvāstivādin *Vinaya*, the independent Tibetan *Vaiśālīpraveśa-mahāsūtra*, and the Nepalese Sanskrit *Mahāmantrānusāriṇī*. It is probably the longest Mūlasarvāstivādin *mantra*:
- 2) the $Mah\bar{a}m\bar{a}y\bar{u}r\bar{i}$ -mantra of the Bhaisajyavastu of the Mūlasarvāstivādin Vinaya, as preserved both in Sanskrit and in Tibetan translation. In an expanded form, it also occurs in the $Mah\bar{a}m\bar{a}y\bar{u}r\bar{i}$ - $vidy\bar{a}r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}\bar{i}$, 2
- 3) the 9 mantras of the $\bar{A}t\bar{a}n\bar{a}t\bar{i}ya$ -mah $\bar{a}s\bar{u}tra$ as preserved in Tibetan and Chinese translation. The Central Asian Sanskrit recension, the

 $\bar{A}t\bar{a}n\bar{a}tika$ -s $\bar{u}tra$, probably contained the same mantras at the same places, and at least 3 additional mantras;

- 4) the 19 *mantras* given in a prose "appendix" to the *Mahāsamāja-mahāsūtra* as preserved in Tibetan translation only;
- 5) the sadakṣarī vidyā of the Śārdūlakarnāvadāna;1
- 6) the mantras of the Sanskrit Upasena-sūtra from Central Asia,² its Tibetan version as incorporated into the Mūlasarvāstivādin Vinayavibhanga,³ and its Chinese version in the Samyuktāgama;⁴
- 7) (probably) the *mantras* of the *Bhadrakarātrī-sūtra* as preserved in Tibetan.⁵

This incomplete list is sufficient to show that *mantras* were fully accepted by the Mūlasarvāstivādins.

I do not believe that any true *mantras* are found in the canon of the Theravādins, which seems to have been closed before the influence of the *mantra* movement could be felt. *Mantras* are found in later extracanonical *paritta* texts: the *Yot braḥkaṇḍatraipiṭaka* (*hulū* 3; *vitti* 3; *mitti* 2; *citti* 2; *vatti* 2), the *Mahādibbamanta* (*hulu* 3),⁶ the *Dhāraṇaparitta* (*illi milli tilli atilli*),⁷ the *Sut Catuvik* (*hulu* 2),⁸ and the *Giniparitta* (*citti*, *vitti*, etc.),⁹ ending in *svāhāy*(*y*)*a*. That such *mantras* belonged not only to popular literature but were also accepted by at least

¹ Cf. Mppś IV 1860 for a brief notice.

² N. Dutt, *Gilgit Manuscripts*, Vol. III part 1, [Srinagar, 1947] Delhi, 1984, p. 287.1–7; Tibetan translation in 'dul ba, ne, 46b7; MhMVR(T) 8.15–9.1.

¹ Divy 613.26; Q 313 (Vol. 7), (Ārya-)Ṣaḍakṣari-vidyā ('phags pa yi ge drug pa'i rig snags) is based on / extracted from the Śārdūlakarnāvadāna.

² Upasenasūtra (1); Upasenasūtra (2) pp. 239-44.

³ Q 1032, Vol. 42, 'dul ba, che, 113a7.

⁴ Tsa a-han-ching, Sūtra 252: see Upasenasūtra (2) pp. 239-44; Mppś IV 1860.

⁵ Q 599 (gzuńs 'dus); Q 979 (mdo). Cf. SHT (III) 816 for Sanskrit fragments of the sūtra.

⁶ Jaini 1965 p. 67.38.

⁷ Mahābraḥbuddhamanta 20.8.

⁸ Finot 1917 p. 59.

⁹ L. de Silva, p. 10.

some scholars is shown by the fact that the anonymous author of the (Ayutthaya-period) Buddhapādamangala introduces the mantra "hulū hulū svāhāya" into his commentary, and explains it in turn.\(^1\) The term dhāran\(^1\) is rare in Pali,\(^2\) where it only occurs in extra-canonical texts such as the Gini Paritta.\(^3\) The term dhāran\(^3\) occurs in the sense of dhāran\(^1\) in the title and text of the Dhāran\(^2\)-paritta.\(^4\) The author of the Mahāpraj\(^3\)āpāramit\(^3\)-śāstra, who is well versed in the tradition of at least the Sarv\(^3\)stiv\(^3\)dins, notes that dh\(^3\)ran\(^3\)s are not found in the system of the Śr\(^3\)rankas, but allows that "lesser dh\(^3\)ran\(^3\)rankas can be obtained by universal monarchs, rsis, and others.\(^5\)

I have not seen any *mantras* in available Lokottaravādin literature. I have shown above, however, that the Mahāsāṃghikas are reported to have had a *Mantra-piṭaka* and the Siddhārthas, Pūrvaśailas, and Aparaśailas a *Vidyādhara-piṭaka*, none of which are extant. The Dharmaguptakas are said to have had a similar *piṭaka*, of which the Sanskrit title is uncertain, and their *Vinaya* describes the joint recitation of the *Arapacana* syllabary by monks and laymen.⁶

7. The rakṣā literature and cults

The rakṣā literature was strongly influenced by popular cults, both Buddhist and pre- or non-Buddhist. The former include the cults of the Seven Buddhas¹ and their trees,² of past Buddhas,³ of pratyekabuddhas,⁴ and of śrāvakas.⁵ The latter include the cults of the Four Great Kings;⁶ of yakṣas² (including the 28 yakṣasenāpati, frequently mentioned), nāgas, and the whole inventory of divine or daemonic beings in the Mahāmāyūrī, and of female goddesses as shown in both verse lists⁸ and in the mantras that invoke the goddess under various epithets.

It is noteworthy that one of the longest and most influential of the Śrāvakayāna rakṣās, the $\bar{A}t\bar{a}n\bar{a}tika-s\bar{u}tra$, is introduced and spoken by the Great King Vaiśravaṇa: the next day the Buddha repeats it to the monks, and recommends that they master it. This seems to be a device to "convert" a non-Buddhist text by giving it the sanction of the Buddha. In the $J\bar{a}takam\bar{a}l\bar{a}$ (XXXIII, Mahisa), a yaksa gives a $raks\bar{a}$ to the bodhisattva in his birth as a buffalo.

¹ Supaphan Na Bangchang, *Vivaḍhanākāra Varrṇagatī sai Braḥ Suttantapiṭaka ti Daeng nai Pradeśa Thai*, Bangkok, 2533 [1990], pp. 296–97.

² It is not listed in the *Pali Text Society Dictionary* or the *Pāli Tipiṭakam Concordance*. Other forms derived from the same root are used in the sense of retention or memory of the teaching of the Buddha: see *Mppś* IV 1854 and Braarvig 1985 p. 21.

³ L. de Silva, p. 10.

⁴ Mahābraḥbuddhamanta 20.8, imam dhāraṇam amitam asamam. At Vinaya IV 305.27 the phrase dhāraṇam pariyāpuṇāti is immediately followed by guttatthāya parittam pariyāpuṇāti, but the meaning is obscure. I am grateful to Prof. Oskar von Hinüber for this reference.

⁵ Mppś I 328, IV 1876–77.

⁶ Sylvain Lévi, "Sur la Récitation Primitive des Textes Bouddhiques", JA, May-June 1915, pp. 439–40; Étienne Lamotte, *Histoire du Bouddhisme Indien*, Louvain-la-Neuve, 1976, p. 549; *Mppś* IV 1866–68; *Hōbōgirin* Vol. I 34 ("Arahashana"), Vol. VI 565 foll. ("Da"); E. Conze, The Large Sutra ..., p. 21, note 118.

¹ MhMVR(T) pp. 13, 43–45, 56–57; Ātānātiya Pali, DN III 195.27–96.10.

² *MhMVR*(T) p. 13.

³ Mahāśītavana, D 562, 138b7 foll.; Aṭṭhavīsati-paritta; Āṭānāṭiya-paritta, Royal Chanting Book pp. 20, 38–39.

⁴ Isigili-sutta, MN 116 (note the concluding admonition vandatha, following the list of paccekabuddhas), classed as a paritta in some Aṭṭhakathā lists (Table 1.4) and the Catubhāṇavāra.

⁵ Mahāmantrānudharani, D 563, 155a7 foll.; Jinapañjara-gāthā.

⁶ Āṭānāṭika, Mahāsamāja, MhMVR(T) pp. 15 foll., 46, Mahāśītavana, Saddharmapunḍarīka, chapter 21; Suvarṇaprabhāsa, chapter 7.

⁷ Āṭānāṭika, Mahāsamāja, MhMVR, Mahāśītavana.

⁸ Āṭānāṭika, Mahāsamāja, Mahāmāyūrī, Āśīrvāda-gāthā.

8. Rakṣā and the Mahāyāna

The rakṣā movement, with all its characteristic phraseology, mantras, and association with cults, influenced the composition of many Mahāyāna sūtras. A number of examples have already been cited. Chapter 21 of the Lotus Sūtra, the Dhāranīparivarta, contains raksā mantras spoken by Vaiśravana and Virūdhaka, by rāksasīs, and by bodhisattvas. Chapter 9 of the Lankāvatāra-sūtra, which bears the same title as the preceding, contains rakṣā mantras delivered by the Buddhas of the three times. 1 The Suvarnaprabhāsottama (which is classed under Tantra in some Kanjurs) contains several long chapters on protection. Chapter 3 of the Astasāhasrikā-prajñāpāramitā extols protections and other benefits derived from the Prajñāpāramitā, which it describes as a vidyā, though no mantra is given. Chapter 14 of the Pratyutpannabuddha-sammukhāvasthita-samādhi-sūtra and Chapter 27 (the last) of the Lalitavistara deal with the protection granted to those who preserve the sūtras. Shorter rakṣā passages occur in the Bhadrakalpika-sūtra² and the Sūramgamasamādhi-sūtra,3 and no doubt in many other sūtras of the Mahāyāna. Śāntideva's Śiksāsamuccaya devotes several pages to raksā mantras.4

At an uncertain date the great and voluminous Mahāyāna sūtras were themselves condensed into mantras or dhāraṇīs, often of only a few lines: various Prajñāpāramitā sūtras, from the recension in 100,000

⁴ Śiksāsamuccaya 138.14-42.15.

ślokas down, the Samādhirāja, and the Lalitavistara. The Avatamsaka, six volumes in Tibetan translation, was reduced to a dhāraṇī less than one line in length: "by retaining this, the Ārya Avatamsaka will be retained". Hsüan-tsang used the Prajñāpāramitā-hṛdaya as a rakṣā to ward off "all sorts of demon shapes and strange goblins" in the deserts of Central Asia; "whenever he was in danger, it was to this [text] alone that he trusted for his safety and deliverance".

9. Śrāvakayāna rakṣā literature and the Tantra

Śrāvakayāna *rakṣā* texts classed under *Tantra* (*rGyud*) in the *Kanjur* include the following:

- 1. Mahāsamāja-mahāsūtra
- 2. Āṭānāṭiya-mahāsūtra
- 3. Vaiśālīpraveša-mahāsūtra
- 4. Şadakşarī-vidyā
- 5. Bhadrakarātrī-sūtra
- 6. Mahāmāyūrī-vidyārājñī
- 7. Mahāsāhasrapramardanī-sūtra
- 8. Mahāśītavana-sūtra
- 9. Mahāmantrānudharani-sūtra.
- 10. Mahādandadhāranī.

Numbers 1 to 4, and most probably 5, belong to the Mūlasarvāstivādin tradition. The affiliation of the $Pa\tilde{n}caraks\bar{a}$ texts (numbers 6 to 10), all of which are highly composite, is not clear. All ten are classed under

¹ This chapter is not found in the Sung dynasty translation, done in 443 A.C., but is found in the Wei version of about 70 years later: see Jikido Takasaki, "Analysis of the Lankāvatāra. In Search of its Original Form", in *Indianisme et Bouddhisme: Mélanges offerts à Mgr Étienne Lamotte*, Louvain-la-neuve, 1980, p. 340.

² The Fortunate Aeon, Vol. I, Dharma Publishing, Berkeley, 1986, pp. 56-57.

³ É. Lamotte, La Concentration de la Marche Héroïque (Śūraṃgamasamādhisūtra), Brussels, 1975, p. 271.

¹ Cf. Q 271 to 284. For the *Prajňāpāramitā*, see Edward Conze, *The Prajňāpāramitā Literature*, 2nd ed., Tokyo, 1978, pp. 86–87.

² Q 279, Vol. 7, rgyud, pha, 310b8-11a2.

³ Samuel Beal, *The Life of Hiuen-tsiang by the Shaman Hwui Li*, [London, 1911] New Delhi, 1973, pp. 21–22.

Kriyā-tantra (Bya ba'i rgyud), the lowest of the four classes of Tantra. In addition, many of the short dhāraṇī texts — often connected with Indra, Brahma, yakṣas, and the Four Great Kings — included in Kriyā-tantra show no Mahāyāna or Vajrayāna influence, and may be described as Śrāvakayāna rakṣās. Among those translated by Feer, these include the Sapta-vetāḍaka-dhāraṇī, the Sarvarogapraśamani-dhāraṇī, the Jvarapraśamani-dhāraṇī, and the Akṣirogapraśamani-sūtra.¹

10. Archaeological evidence for the rakṣā literature

Apart from the famous list of *dhammapaliyāya* of the Aśokan inscription (which does not include any rakṣās), the only aspects of early Buddhism for which we have concrete evidence are the life of Śākyamuni Buddha along with the related jātakas, the cult of the Seven Buddhas and their trees, and the cults of the Four Great Kings, Indra, yakṣas, nāgas, and goddesses. These are represented in relief on those encyclopaedias in stone, the gateways and railings of Bhārhut, Bodh Gayā, Sāñchī, and other scattered sites. The cults of yakṣas and nāgas are also represented by the massive free-standing stone figures found in the regions of Patna, Mathurā, Bhubaneswar, and elsewhere; the cult of female deities is well-represented at numerous sites.

Since Bhārhut dates from about 100 B.C., and since the stone reliefs presuppose well-established (presumably oral) traditions as well as figurative prototypes, whether in wood or painted on cloth or other materials, we may say that the elements listed above go back to at least the second century B.C. It is noteworthy that some of them — for example the descent from Trayastriṃśa, depicted at both Bhārhut and Sāñchī — are paracanonical for at least the Theravādin tradition.

These early monuments can only be understood in the light of such texts as one of the greatest $rak \ \bar{s}as$, the $\bar{A}t \ \bar{a}n \ \bar{a}tika - s \ \bar{u}tra$. What did monks, nuns, and lay-followers do when they visited the early $st \ \bar{u}pas$? I do not think they wandered about aimlessly, silently staring, like the modern tourist. Rather, they would have performed deliberate circumambulations, and, when making offerings, would have recited verses of homage: to the Buddhas along with their trees, to the Four Great Kings, and other deities — if not the exact verses preserved in extant texts, then certainly their prototypes. The $st \ \bar{u}pas$ themselves imply the existence of a lore and liturgy which belongs in part to the $raks \ \bar{u}$ literature.

The railings with their gateways functioned as an outer protective mandala around the stūpa. At Bhārhut the Four Great Kings (the three surviving pillar reliefs identified by inscriptions) stood guard at the four cardinal points; similarly, the verses on the Kings and their retinues in texts such as the $\bar{A}t\bar{a}n\bar{a}tika$ -sūtra (in all versions), and the verses on the naksatras, devakumārīs, and Kings in the Āśīrvādagāthā follow the traditional clockwise pradaksinā, so that their recitation would invoke a "magic circle" of protection. I have noted above that the open palms that sometimes adom the early reliefs might signify the abhaya-mudrā. The concept of svasti or mangala is strongly represented in the various auspicious signs that adorn almost every relief: the svastika, the śrīvatsa, the conch, the sunshade, and so on. The cult of the Seven Buddhas was well established by the time of the Bharhut and Sanchi stūpas, where they are represented aniconically by their trees. Verses of homage to these, and perhaps other past Buddhas — the prototype of the verses of the Mahāmāyūrī, the Mahāśītavana, and the Pali Ātānātiya — must have been current by that time.

Literary evidence, such as a Chinese version of the Śārdūlakarṇāvādāna (for the Śrāvakayāna) and the *Drumakinnārarāja-paripṛcchā* (for the

¹ AMG V 453-66.

Raksā literature

Mahāyāna) shows that protective mantras were in vogue by the 2rd century A.C.¹ In the *Jātaka-sūtra (Sheng ching) translated by Dharmarakṣa in 285 A.C., "magic spells for averting the influence of thieves, evil spirits, and demons are explained by the Buddha".² Indeed, since the Wu dynasty Chinese translation of the Anantamukhanirhāra-dhāraṇī proves that mantras had already gained a spiritual application by the same period,³ it seems safe to conclude that rakṣā mantras were employed by the beginning of the Christian era, if not earlier. The available archaeological and literary evidence suggests that the heyday of the rakṣā movement was from the second century B.C. to the third century A.C. During this period the cults described above flourished in India (including here regions of present-day Pakistan and Afghanistan, as well as parts of Central Asia). By the third century the influence of the popular cults diminished (although they still persist in rural India), to be progressively eclipsed by the more sophisticated cults of bodhisattvas

for the Buddhists, and of deities such as Viṣṇu and Śiva for the Hindus. Both in India and abroad, certain cults, such as those of Indra, Brahma, and the Four Great Kings, gained a literary and iconographical longevity, which has allowed them to survive up to the present day in the Buddhist world.

11. Rite and ritual

I stated at the outset that a hallmark of the rakṣā literature in general is that the texts were actually employed in the day-to-day life of both monks and lay followers. For the paritta, there is no need to give any evidence: wherever Theravādin Buddhism is established, the recitation of paritta is a regular practice. A detailed description of the paritta rites of Sri Lanka has been provided by Lily de Silva in the study frequently referred to.

Several of the early $rak\bar{s}a$ texts contain internal information about their purpose and use. In the $Dhvaj\bar{a}gra-s\bar{u}tra$ the Buddha recommends the recollection of the Buddha, or the Dharma and the Saṃgha, to monks beset by fear when in the jungle or in lonely places. In the $\bar{A}t\bar{a}n\bar{a}tika-s\bar{u}tra$, Vaiśravaṇa delivers the protection to be learned by "the disciples of the Lord — monks, nuns, laymen, and laywomen — who dwell in lonely places" for their own security and protection against malignant non-humans; the next day the Buddha repeats the protection to the monks, and recommends that they learn it. In each case it is not the whole $s\bar{u}tra$ in its current form that was to be recited, but only certain parts; at a later date, however, the whole text would have undoubtedly been recited, as is the case with the corresponding Pali parittas.

I have not been able to uncover much information about how the Mūlasarvāstivādin *Mahāsūtras* were used. The *Vinayavibhanga* passage mentions their recitation as a protection against *vetāḍa*, without further

¹ Divy, Appendix A, p. 657. The reference is to the *Mātaṅgī-sūtra, translated into Chinese in 230 A.C.: see T 1300, KBC 766, and M. Winternitz, History of Indian Literature, Vol. II, [1933] New York, 1972, pp. 286–87. In the early third and the fourth centuries, a number of mantra texts were rendered into Chinese by various translators: see Chou Yi-liang, "Tantrism in China", Harvard Journal of Asiatic Studies, Vol. 8, 1944–45, pp. 242–43, Matsunaga 1977 pp. 169–70, and Upasena-sūtra (2) p. 238. For the interesting figure of the "dhāraṇī master" Śrīmitra, who translated three "collections of spells", moved in court circles in the early decade of the 4th century at Chienk'ang, and was the first known person to have had a caitya built for him at the order of the Emperor, see Zürcher, op. cit., 103–04.

² Matsunaga 1977 p. 169; the reference is to T 154, KBC 799.

³ Inagaki 1987: the Wu version was translated between 223 and 253 A.C. (p. 24); the *mantras* of that version are shown in the comparative table of the *mantra*, pp. 310–52. For this *sūtra*, see also *Encyclopaedia of Buddhism*, Vol. I, fasc. 4, pp. 548–50. For an early date for the origins of "Tantra", see Matsunaga 1977, de Jong 1984, and John C. Huntington, "Note on a Chinese Text Demonstrating the Earliness of Tantra", *JIABS* Vol. 10, no. 2, 1987, pp. 88–98. For a detailed bibliography of Japanese and other studies on *mantra* and Tantra, early and late, see Hajime Nakamura, *Indian Buddhism: A Survey with Bibliographical Notes*, Hirakata, 1980, Chapter VI, Esoteric Buddhism.

detail (although it does mention a number of alternate $rak \bar{s}a\bar{s}$). The commentary thereupon describes the function of the $Mah\bar{a}s\bar{u}tras$, but says nothing about how, on what occasions, or by whom, they were to be used. The only information about their ritual use is found in the "appendix" to the Tibetan version of the $Mah\bar{a}sam\bar{a}ja$, which is not found in the Pali, Sanskrit, or Chinese versions. There the Four Great Kings recommend the recitation of the $s\bar{u}tra$, along with their own mantras, over a thread ($s\bar{u}tra$) or over (a vessel containing) water, and then tying knots in the string or sprinkling the water. The most detailed rites are given by the Buddha himself, who delivers further mantras. Here there are references to fasting; to specific days of the lunar cycle; to the recitation of the mantra 100 or 108 times while holding and knotting a thread; and to the marking of a boundary ($s\bar{i}m\bar{a}$).

The Samantapāsādikā (5th century) refers to the use of thread and water in paritta ritual (parittodaka, parittasutta), 1 as does the Vinaya-vinicchaya, which de Silva dates to the 4th or 5th century. 2 The commentary on the Ratana-sutta (5th century) states that Ānanda sprinkled water from the Buddha's alms-bowl as he went through Vesālī reciting the sutta. 3 In the Suppāraka-jātaka the bodhisatta performs an act of truth (saccakiriya) holding a bowl full of water (puṇṇapāti). 4 A detailed description of a paritta rite is given in the commentary to the $\bar{A}t\bar{a}n\bar{a}tiya$ -sutta. 5 Interesting information about ritual practices connected with the upoṣadha ceremony in India and the "Islands of the Southern Sea" in the 7th century is supplied by I-ching. There is much

in common with the paritta rituals described by de Silva (including the overfeeding of the monks and the offering of betel-nut). 1

Brief rites are given at the end of the Sanskrit Mahāmāyūrī and the Tibetan Mahāśītavana. A number of rites are described in the Mahāsāhasrapramardanī, where they are spoken by the Four Great Kings, Brahma, and Vaiśramaṇa.² The "Chapter on Sarasvatī" in the Suvarṇaprabhāsa describes several rites.³ Other texts in the Tantra section of the Kanjur refer to recitation of mantras over thread and the tying of knots.⁴ The spiritually charged thread and water⁵ are common not only to the paritta but also to Mahāyāna and Vajrayāna rituals, and no doubt belong to early pan-Indian magical or protective rites. Matsunaga has given a chronological account of texts containing ritual elements translated into Chinese, starting with the first half of the third century.⁶ There is clearly a great deal to be learned here from Chinese sources.

The texts also recommend that $rak s\bar{a}s$ be written down, on paper or cloth, and tied as amulets to parts of the body or to standards (the latter in battle) or deposited in $st\bar{u}pas$. This aspect awaits further exploration.⁷

¹ Chatthasangīti ed. I 577 (ref. from Dhammānanda 1992 p. 193).

² L. de Silva, p. 16.

³ L. de Silva, p. 17.

⁴ Jātaka 463, Vol. IV, p. 142.

⁵ L. de Silva, pp. 17–18.

¹ J. Takakusu, *op. cit.*, chapter IX. For a note on "the habit of chewing betel" in the *Avadāna* literature see J.S. Speyer, *Avadānaśataka*, Vol. II, [1906–09] repr. Osnabrück, 1970, pp. xxxv–xxxvi.

² Iwamoto 30–31, 36–37, 38, respectively.

³ BST 8, chapter 8.

⁴ Cf. Feer, AMG V 455–57, 464, 466. See SHT (III) 842, R5–6; Divy 614.13 (Śārdūlakarṇāvadāna), sūtrena baddhena.

⁵ Cf. Śiksasamuccaya 140.18, abhimantritena jalena.

⁶ Matsunaga 1977 pp. 171–74.

⁷ See Encyclopaedia of Buddhism Vol. I, fasc. 3, pp. 493-502 ("Amulet"). For Khmer and Siamese practices, see Catherine Becchetti, Le Mystère dans les Lettres, Étude sur les yantra bouddhiques du Cambodge et de la Thaïlande, Bangkok, 1991.

Conclusions

Raksās, in one form or another, are an integral part of mainstream Buddhism. The present paper came into being as a result of my work on a critical edition of the Mulasarvastivadin Mahasutras as preserved in Tibetan translation. In the course of my research, I discovered that the Mahāsūtras were themselves employed as rakṣās, and uncovered the numerous cross-references that led me to conclude that the $raks\bar{a}$ phenomenon was extremely influential in early Buddhism. The paritta of the Theravadins, the Mahasutras, raksas, and mantras of the Mūlasarvāstivādins, and the svastigāthā, raksās, and mantras of these and other schools of both the Śrāvaka- and Mahā-yānas were not independent or isolated developments. The chanting of certain auspicious verses or texts for protection against disease and malignant spirits and for the promotion of welfare was no doubt a "pan-nikāya" practice, common to all branches of the sampha from an early date; indeed, on the internal evidence of texts like the Dhvajāgra and Āṭānāṭika Sūtras, the practice should predate the early schisms. The two sūtras just referred to are both parittas and Mahāsūtras; the Ratana-sutta is a paritta, a svastigāthā, and the key element of a Pañcaraksā text. In some schools or communities the practice of $raks\bar{a}$ developed further with the use of mantras or vidyās, by the beginning of the Common Era at the very latest.

The Aṭānāṭika-sūtra seems to have been the prototype of much of the phraseology, and some of the verses, of the Buddhist rakṣā literature (when one considers that the sūtra would have been memorised by members of the samgha from an early date, this is not surprising); but this very phraseology and some of the verses were clearly adopted and adapted from contemporary popular magical and cult traditions. The prototype for at least some of the svastigāthās may well have been the Ratana-sutta. The Buddhist mantras derived some of their efficacy from

intelligible elements such as expressions of homage (namas) invoking the power of the Buddha(s), other $\bar{a}ryas$ and deities, and the Triple Gem (triratna), and from the "profession of truth" $(satyav\bar{a}k)$. These were combined with unintelligible phrases; the origin and precise significance of these remain obscure, but it is clear that the texts drew on a common stock of elements, perhaps again from popular magical lore. In all cases the oral tradition, seamless in comparison with the written text, would have played a significant role in the permeation of Buddhist literature with such $rak s \bar{a}$ and mantra phrases.

By definition the *rakṣā* literature is devoted to worldly ends: protection against physical or material threats, and promotion of physical and material well-being. Many of the texts, however, presuppose a certain level of spiritual development for the recitation to be efficacious, in particular the practice of loving-kindness: *maitrī* or *mettā*. And for all Buddhists, of whatever *nikāya* or *yāna*, the ultimate *rakṣā* was always *nirvāna*, described in the early texts as a refuge (*tāna*, *leṇa*, and so on).

The rakṣā literature is a vast topic: in its broader sense, it involves the study of the entire corpus of Buddhist literature in all of its languages. In this paper I have only been able to give an outline, a rough sketch of the rakṣā elephant as glimpsed here and there in the profuse jungle of Buddhist literature. Many questions remain to be considered. Who or what offers protection, and through what mechanism? How can past Buddhas offer protection? To what degree does the protection depend on the supplicant, to what degree on the reciter, to what degree on the beings invoked? I hope other scholars will contribute to this somewhat neglected field of research.

Bangkok

Peter Skilling

Bibliographical Note

References to Pali texts are to the editions of the Pali Text Society (PTS), with standard abbreviations, unless otherwise noted. References to Tibetan texts are by catalogue numbers of the Peking (Q) (in most cases) and Derge (D) (for the $Pa\tilde{n}carak_s\tilde{a}$) editions; Peking volume numbers refer to the volumes of the reprint edition and not to the original potis. Chinese texts are cited by Taishō (T) and Korean Buddhist Canon (KBC) catalogue numbers; information about dates of translation is derived from the latter.

Abbreviations

AMG V	Léon Feer, Fragments extraits du Kandjour, Annales du
	Musée Guimet, Vol. V, Paris, 1883
BHSD	Franklin Edgerton, Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit Grammar and
	Dictionary, Vol. II, Dictionary, [New Haven, 1953] Delhi,
	1972
BST	Buddhist Sanskrit Text series, Darbhanga
D	Derge (sDe dge) edition of the Tibetan Canon
Divy	E.B. Cowell and R.A. Neil, The Divyāvadāna, rep. Delhi,
	1987
<i>GM</i> I	Nalinaksha Dutt (ed.), Gilgit Manuscripts, Vol. I, [Srinagar,
	1939] Delhi, 1984.
JA	Journal Asiatique
JIABS	Journal of the International Association of Buddhist
	Studies
JRAS	Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society
KBC	L.R. Lancaster, The Korean Buddhist Canon: A Descriptive
	Catalogue, Berkeley, 1979 (reference by catalogue number)
Q	Peking (Qianlong) edition of the Tibetan Canon
PraS(I)	Paul Harrison (ed.), The Tibetan Text of the Pratyutpanna-
	Buddha-Saṃmukhāvasthita-Samādhi-Sūtra, Tokyo, 1978

PraS (II)	Paul Harrison (tr.), The Samādhi of Direct Encounter with
	the Buddhas of the Present, Tokyo, 1990
MhMVR(T)	Shūyo Takubo (ed.), Ārya-Mahā-Māyūrī Vidyā-Rājñī,
	Tokyo, 1972
Mppś	Étienne Lamotte, Le Traité de la Grande Vertu de Sagesse
	de Nāgārjuna, Louvain, 1949-80
SHT	Ernst Waldschmidt et al. (eds.), Sanskrithandschriften aus
	den Turfan-Funden, Wiesbaden, 1965-
T	Taishō edition of the Chinese Tripitaka (reference by
	catalogue number)

Sanskrit and Pali titles

Anantamukhanirhāra-dhāraṇī, see Inagaki

Astasāhasrikā-prajñāpāramitā, ed. P.L. Vaidya, BST 4, Darbhanga, 1960

Āṭānāṭika-sūtra, ed. Helmut Hoffmann, Bruchstücke des Āṭānāṭikasūtra aus dem Zentralasiatischen Sanskritkanon der Buddhisten (Kleinere Sanskrit-Texte, Heft V), [Leipzig, 1939] Stuttgart, 1987

Upasena-sūtra (1), E. Waldschmidt, Das Upasenasūtra, Göttingen, 1957

Upasena-sūtra (2), E. Waldschmidt, "The Upasena-sūtra, A Charm against Snake-Bites from the Saṃyuktāgama", repr. in Heinz Bechert and Petra Kieffer-Pülz (ed.), Ernst Waldschmidt: Ausgewählte kleine Schriften, Stuttgart, 1989, pp. 180-99 (234-52)

Divyāvadāna, see Divy

Pratyutpannabuddhasammukhāvasthitasamādhi-sūtra, see PraS

Mahādibbamanta, see Jaini

Mahāpratisarā, ed. Yutaka Iwamoto, Pañcarakṣā II (Beiträge zur Indologie, Heft 3), Kyoto, 1938

Mahābala-sūtra, (Tib.) ed. F.A. Bischoff, Ārya Mahābala-nāma-mahāyānasūtra, Paris, 1956

- Mahābraḥbuddhamanta, Matcharoen Thiraphatsakun (ed.), Nangsu Suat Manta Mahābraḥbuddhamanta, Bangkok, 2532 [1989] (reference by section numbers)
- Mahāmāyūrī-vidyārājñī, see MhMVR(T)
- Mahāvastu I, Mahāvastu Avadāna, Vol. I, ed. S. Bagchi, BST 14, Darbhanga, 1970
- Mahāvastu III, Mahāvastu Avadāna, Vol. III, ed. R. Basak, Calcutta, 1968
- Mahāśītavatī, ed. Yutaka Iwamoto, Kleinere Dhāraṇī Texte (Beiträge zur Indologie, Heft 2) Kyoto, 1937, pp. 1-6
- Mahāsāhasrapramardanī, ed. Yutaka Iwamoto, Pañcarakṣā I (Beiträge zur Indologie, Heft I), Kyoto, 1937
- Megha-sūtra, Cecil Bendall, "The Megha-sūtra", JRAS, n. s. Vol. XII, pt. II, April, 1880, pp. 286–311
- Lalita-vistara, ed. P.L. Vaidya, BST 1, Darbhanga, 1958
- Sikṣāsamuccaya, ed. Cecil Bendall, St. Petersburg, 1902
- Sanghabhedavastu, ed. R. Gnoli, The Gilgit Manuscript of the Sanghabhedavastu, part I, Rome, 1977; part II, Rome, 1978
- Saddharmalankāvatārasūtram, ed. P.L. Vaidya, BST 3, Darbhanga, 1963

Sīrimangalaparitta, Rangoon, 1986

Suvarṇaprabhāsa-sūtra, ed. S. Bagchi, BST 8, Darbhanga, 1967

Other works

- Bareau, A., 1959, "Constellations et divinités protectrices des marchands dans le bouddhisme ancien", *JA* CCXLVII pp. 303–9
- Braarvig, Jens, 1985, "*Dhāraṇī and Pratibhāna*: Memory and Eloquence of the Bodhisattvas", *JIABS* Vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 17–29
- Dhammānanda, 2535 [1992], Aggamahāpaṇḍitānusaraṇa, Braḥdhammānandamahātheraḥ, Wat Tamao, Lampang
- Encyclopaedia of Buddhism, 1961-, Colombo
- Finot, Louis, 1917, "Recherches sur la littérature laotienne", in *Bulletin d'École*Française d'Extrême Orient XVII, no. 5

- Inagaki, H., 1987, The Anantamukhanirhāra-Dhāraṇī Sūtra and Jñānagarbha's Commentary: A Study and the Tibetan Text, Kyoto
- Jaini, P.S., 1965, "Mahādibbamanta: A Paritta Manuscript from Cambodia", in the Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies (BSOAS) 28, pp. 61-80
- de Jong, J.W., 1984, "A New History of Tantric Literature in India", in Studies of Mysticism in Honor of the 1150th Anniversary of Kobo-Daishi's Nirvāṇam (Acta Indologica VI), Narita, pp. 91-113
- Lalou, M., 1953, "Les textes bouddhiques au temps du roi Khri-sron-lde bcan", JA 241, pp. 313-353
- Lévi, Sylvain, 1915, "Le catalogue géographique des yakṣa dans la Mahāmāyūrī", JA janvier-février 1915, pp. 19-138
- Matsunaga, Yukei, 1977, "A History of Tantric Buddhism in India with Reference to Chinese Translations", in Leslie S. Kawamura and Keith Scott ed., Buddhist Thought and Asian Civilization: Essays in Honour of Herbert V. Guenther on His Sixtieth Birthday, Emeryville, pp. 167-81
- Pauly, B., 1959, "Fragments Sanskrits de Haute Asie (Mission Pelliot)", JA CCXLVII, pp. 203-49
- Royal Chanting Book, 2526 [1983], Suat Manta Chabap Luang, 13th ed., Bangkok,
- Ruegg, David Seyfort, 1981, The Literature of the Madhyamaka School of Philosophy in India (Jan Gonda, ed., A History of Indian Literature, Vol. VII, fasc. 1) Wiesbaden
- de Silva, Lily, 1981, "Paritta: A Historical and Religious Study of the Buddhist Ceremony for Peace and Prosperity in Sri Lanka", in *Spolia Zeylanica*, Vol. 36, part I, Colombo
- Skorupski, T., 1985, A Catalogue of the Stog Palace Kanjur, Tokyo

Rakṣā literature

Table 1: Early paritta lists¹

1. Milinda-pañha

PTS 150.27	ChS 152.20	Mm 206.14
1. Ratana-s	1. Ratana-s	1. Khandha-p
2. Khandha-p	2. Metta-s	2. Suvatthi-p
3. Mora-p	3. Khandha-p	3. Mora-p
4. Dhajagga-p	4. Mora-p	4. Dhajagga-p
5. Ātānātiya-p	5. Dhajagga-p	5. Ātānātiya-p
6. Aṅgulimālā-p	6. Āṭānāṭiya-p	
	7. Angulimālā-p	

1.2.1. Sumangala-vilāsinī (Dīghanikāya-atthakathā on Sampasādanīya-s)

ChS [III] 81.10; Mm III 109.5; PTS III 897.28

- 1. Āṭānāṭiya-p²
- 2. Mora-p
- 3. Dhajagga-p
- 4. Ratana-p
- --- ādi

1.2.2. Papañcasūdanī (Majjhimanikāya-aṭṭhakathā on Bahudhātuka-s)

 ChS [IV] 79.19; PTS IV 114.6
 Mm III 522.11

 1. Āṭānāṭiya 1. Āṭānāṭiya-p

 2. Mora-p
 2. Mora-p

 3. Dhajagga-p
 3. Dhajagga-p

 4. Ratana-p
 4. Ratana-p

 — ādi
 5. Metta-p

 — ādi

1.2.3. Manorathapūraņī (Anguttaranikāya-aṭṭhakathā, Ekanipāta, on aṭṭhāna)³

ChS [I] 358; PTS II 9.23

- 1. Āṭānāṭiya-p
- 2. Mora-p
- 3. Dhajagga-p
- 4. Ratana-p
- ādi

1.2.4. Sammohavinodanī (Vibhanga-aṭṭhakathā)

ChS 411.27; Nalanda ed. 434.14; PTS 430.33

- Āṭānāṭiya-
- 2. Mora-p
- 3. Dhajagga-p⁴
- 4. Ratana-p
- ādi

 $^{^{1}}$ In the table, -s = -sutta, -p = -paritta. PTS refers to the romanized editions of the Pali Text Society, London; HOS to the romanized ed. of the Visuddhimagga in the Harvard Oriental Series; ChS to the Burmese script Chaṭṭhasaṅgīti editions, Rangoon, Mm to the Thai script editions published by Mahāmakuṭa Press, Bangkok (consulted as accessible).

² ChS and PTS omit -paritta here only.

³ The same list occurs at Mp IV (PTS) 114.

⁴ Nalanda and PTS omit -paritta.

Raksā literature

1.3.1. Visuddhimagga

ChS II 44.15; HOS 349.21; PTS Mm II 258.20

414.24:

1. Ratana-s

1. Ratana-p

2. Khandha-p

2. Khandha-p 3. Dhajagga-p

3. Dhajagga-p 4. Ātānātiya-p

4. Ātānātiya-p

5. Mora-p

5. Mora-p

1.3.2. Samantapāsādikā I, Veranjakaņdavaņņanā⁵

ChS 129.10: PTS I 159.31

Mm I 178.7

1. Ratana-p

1. Ratana-p

2. Khandha-p

2. Metta-p

3. Dhajagga-p

3. Khandha-p

4. Ātānātiya-p

4. Dhajagga-p 5. Ātānātiya-p

5. Mora-p

6. Mora-p

1.4. Mahāniddesa-atthakathā (Tuvataka-s)

ChS 336.26; Mm II 92.6; PTS II 383.5

Manorathapūranī (Tikanipāta)

ChS II 210.27; PTS II 342.1

- 1. Ātānātiya-p
- 2. Isigili-p
- 3. Dhajagga-p
- 4. Bojihanga-p
- 5. Khandha-p
- 6. Mora-p
- 7. Metta-p
- 8. Ratana-p

Sumangalavilāsinī (Dīghanikāya-atthakathā 1.5. Ātānāţiya-sutta)

ChS [III] 150.23;Mm III 201.20; PTS III 969.15

- 1. Ātānātiya-s
- 2. Metta-s
- 3. Dhajagga-s
- 4. Ratana-s

⁵ The list of the Chinese version agrees with ChS, except that the *Ātānātiya* is called sutta rather than paritta (but it would be interesting to know the Chinese term rendered here as paritta): P. V. Bapat and A. Hirakawa, Shan-Chien-P'i-P'o-Sha, A Chinese Version by Sanghabhadra of Samantapāsādikā, Poona, 1970, p. 116. The same list occurs at Patis-a (PTS) 367.35.

	tta	a tra-sutta ritta ritta paritta iritta
Paritta, Sīrimangalaparitta, Dvādasaparitta, and Sattaparitta	D. Sattaparitta	1. Mangala-sutta 2. Ratana-sutta 3. Karanjyametta-sutta 4. Khandha-paritta 5. Mora-paritta 6. Dhajagga-paritta 7. Āṭānāṭiya-paritta 8. Aṅgulimāla-paritta 9. Bojjhaṅga-paritta ———————————————————————————————————
ıttap	Sa	Manig Ratar Kara Khar Khar Mora Mora Atān Anigu Bojjit
ss 1	D.	1. 4 W 4 W 0 P 80 Q
and	tta	utta * ta ta *
itta,	apari	utta ta ta aritta a aritta ta varitta varitta varitta ta ta
apari	C. Dvādasaparitta	Ratana-sutta Ratana-sutta Karanīyametta-sutta Khandha-paritta Mora-paritta Waṭṭa-paritta Aṭānāṭiya-paritta Aṭānāṭiya-paritta Aṭānāṭiya-paritta Angulimāla-paritta Angulimāla-paritta Angulimāla-paritta Angulimāla-paritta Angulimāla-paritta Angulimāla-paritta Angulimāla-paritta Angulimāla-paritta Angulimāla-paritta
idasa	Á.	Manig Ratar Kara Khan Mora Vatta Dhajë Ātāni Angu A. Bojj
Dvā	Ö	1. 9. 6. 4. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6.
itta,	E E	-sutta
apari	B. Sīrimaṅgalaparitta	1. Mangala-sutta 2. Ratana-sutta 3. Metta-sutta 4. Khandha-sutta 5. Mora-sutta 6. Vaṭṭa-sutta 7. Dhajagga-sutta 8. Āṭānāṭiya-sutta 10. Bojjhaṅga-sutta 11. Pubbaṇha-sutta 12. Mahāsamaya-sutta 13. Sammāparibbājanīya-sutta 14. Purābheda-sutta 16. Cūjabyūha-sutta 17. Mahābyūha-sutta 18. Tuvaṭaka-sutta 19. Cūjabyūha-sutta 19. Cūjabyūha-sutta
ngal	ńgala	1. Maigala-sutta 2. Ratana-sutta 3. Metta-sutta 4. Khandha-sutta 5. Mora-sutta 6. Vaṭṭa-sutta 7. Dhajagga-sutta 8. Āṭānāṭiya-sutta 10. Bojjhaṅga-sutta 11. Pubbaṇha-sutta 12. Mahāsamaya-sutta 13. Sammāparibbājani 14. Purābheda-sutta 15. Kalahavivāda-sutta 16. Cūļabyūha-sutta 17. Mahābyūha-sutta 18. Tuvaṭaka-sutta
ima	īrima	1. Mangala-sutta 2. Ratana-sutta 3. Metta-sutta 4. Khandha-sutta 5. Mora-sutta 6. Vaṭṭa-sutta 7. Dhajagga-sutta 8. Āṭānāṭiya-sutta 9. Aṅgulimāla-sut 10. Bojjhaṅga-sutt 11. Pubbaṇha-sutt 12. Mahāsamaya 13. Sammāparibbā 14. Purābheda-sut 15. Kalahavivāda- 16. Cūļabyūha-sut 17. Mahābyūha-sut 18. Tuvaṭaka-sutt
Sīr	 	Mani Meta Meta Meta Mor Mor
itta,	P4	1064601
Par		m *
2:		1. Mangala-sutta 2. Ratana-sutta 3. Metta-sutta 4. Khandha-sutta 5. Mora-sutta 6. Vaṭṭa-sutta 7. Dhajagga-sutta 8. Āṭānāṭiya-sutta 9. Aṅgulimāla-sutta 10. Bojjhaṅga-sutta 11. Pubbaṇha-sutta
Table 2:	ritta	;ala-sı ia-sutt 1-sutta dha-s -sutta igga-s itiya-: limālk hanga banha
Ta	A. Paritta	1. Mangala-sutta 2. Ratana-sutta 3. Metta-sutta 4. Khandha-sutta 5. Mora-sutta 6. Vaṭṭa-sutta 7. Dhajagga-sutta 8. Āṭānāṭiya-sutta 9. Aṅgulimāla-sut 10. Bojjhaṅga-sutt 11. Pubbaṇha-sutt
	Ą	1. 2. 4. 3. 4. 9. 9. 9. 9. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

⁼ same text under different titles

^{19.} Mahā-ātānātiya-sutta

^{20.} Abhinha-sutta

^{21.} Dhammacakkappavattana-sutta

^{22.} Anattalakkhaṇa-sutta

^{23.} Dhammapadapāļi

^{24.} Mahāsatipaṭṭhāna-sutta 25. Paṭṭhānapāṭi paccayuddesa 26. Paṭṭhānapāṭi paccayaniddesa

^{27.} Brahmajāla-sutta

^{28.} Chadisāpāla-sutta

^{29.} Cakkaparitta-sutta30. Parimittajāla-sutta31. Uppātasanti

The seven Pañcarakṣā in relation to other rakṣā and paritta texts

Table 3:

•			Parallels
;	Manayana Mahāpratiss Sanskrit: Tibetan: Chinese:	Mahayana = Rig pa 'i rgyal mo so sor 'bran ba chen mo = Rig pa 'i rgyal mo so sor 'bran ba chen mo Sanskrit: Iwamoto, Chandra Tibetan: D 561, Q 179 tr. Jinamitra, Dānašīla, Ye šes sde, ca. 800 A.C. Chinese: T 1154, KBC 454, tr. Ratnacinta, 693 A.C. T 1153, KBC 1349, tr. Amoghavajra, 8th cent.	None traced
. (3) 	Srāvakayāna Mahāmāyūri-vi Sanskrit: Ol Tibetan: D tr. Ye Chinese: 6 t	Śrāvakayāna Mahāmāyūrī-vidyārājīti = Rig snags kyi rgyal mo rma bya chen mo Sanskrit: Oldenburg, Takubo, Chandra D 559, Q 178 tr. Śīlendrabodhi, Jūānasiddhi, Śākyaprabha, Ye śes sde, ca. 800 A.C. Chinese: 6 translations between 317 and 907 (see Aalto 1954 p. 7)	*Māyūrī-jātaka / Mora-jātaka Ātānāṭika-sūtra / Āṭānāṭiya-sutta Upasena-sūtra / Khandha-paritta
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Mahāsāhas Sanskrit: Tibetan: Chinese:	Mahāsāhasrapramardanī-nāma-mahāyānasūtra = sTon chen po rab tu 'joms pa zes bya ba'i mdo Sanskrit: Iwamoto, Chandra Tibetan: D 558, Q 177 tr. Šīlendrabodhi, Jūānasiddhi, Šākyaprabha, Ye śes sde, ca. 800 A.C.; rev. gŽon nu dpal Chinese: T 999, KBC 1096, tr. Dānapāla, 983 A.C.	*Ratna-sūtra / Ratana-sutta
(4A)	Mahāšītava Sanskrit: Tibetan: Chinese: Mahāšītava Sanskrit: Tibetan: Chinese:	Mahāsitavana = bSil ba'i tshal chen mo Sanskrit: not extant Tibetan: D 562, Q 180 tr. Śilendrabodhi, Jñānasiddhi, Śākyaprabha, Ye śes sde, ca. 800 A.C.; rev. gZon nu dpal Chinese: none Mahāšitavatī-vidyārājñī Sanskrit: Iwamoto, Chandra Tibetan: Mahādaṇḍadhāraṇī, Be con chen po żes bya ba'i gzuńs D 606, Q 308, tr. Jinamitra, Dānašīla, Ye śes sde, ca. 800 A.C. Chinese: T 1392, KBC 1104, tr. Fa-t'ien, 984 A.C.	Cp. Āṭānāṭika-sūtra / Āṭānāṭiya-sutta None traced uṅs

Candra-sūtra / Canda-paritta i mdo Udānavarga, Prātimokṣa-sūtra	satyavāk, agraprajñapti	ıbha,			Vaisālīpraveša-mahāsūtra / Ratana-	9]1			٦
(5A) Mahāmantrānudharaņī = gSan snags chen mo rjes su 'dzin pa'i mdo	not extant	D 563, Q 181 tr. Silendrabodhi, Jñānasiddhi, Śākyaprabha,	Ye ses sde, ca. 800 A.C.	none	Mahāmantrānusāriņī	[gSan sñags kyi rjes su 'bran ba chen mo] ¹	Chandra	none	T 1048 KRC 1102 tr East'ien 984 A C
Mahāman	Sanskrit:	Tibetan:		Chinese:	Mahāman		Sanskrit:	Tibetan:	Chinece.
(5A)					(5B)				

¹ Tibetan title cited in D 558, rgyud 'bum, pha, 86a5.

CONTRIBUTORS TO THIS VOLUME

Professor R.H.B. Exell, Division of Energy Technology, Asian Institute of Technology, P.O. Box 2754, Bangkok 10501, Thailand.

Peter Skilling, 49/20 Soi Ruam Rudee 3, Ploenchit, Bangkok 10330, Thailand.

Jacqueline Filliozat, École française d'Extrême-Orient, 22, Avenue du Président Wilson, F-75116 Paris, France.

Professor O. von Hinüber, Orientalisches Seminar (Indologie),

Humboldstr. 5, D-7800 Freiburg, Germany.

Laurence C.R. Mills, Wat Buddha Dhamma, Wisemans Ferry, N.S.W., Australia.

K.R. Norman, 6, Huttles Green, Shepreth, Royston, Herts SG8 6PR.

Professor Gregory Schopen, SSB 4.126, Center for Asian Studies, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, U.S.A.

NOTICE FROM THE COUNCIL

RESEARCH FELLOWSHIPS IN PALI STUDIES

The Council of the Pali Text Society invite applications for Research Fellowships from suitably qualified persons, working in the field of Pali studies. Applicants will usually be in the fourth year of a course of graduate research, or its equivalent. The course of research will be expected to lead to publishable material, on the publication rights of which the Pali Text Society will have first option.

Fellowships will be tenable for one year in the first instance, with a possibility of renewal. When fixing the value, account will be taken of the appropriate level for a comparable research worker in the applicant's country of domicile. Letters of application and requests for information should be sent to:

Mr K.R. Norman, c/o Pali Text Society, 73 Lime Walk, Headington, Oxford OX3 7AD.

EDITORIAL NOTICE

The Council of the Pali Text Society plan to continue publication of the *Journal* on an *ad hoc* basis, as and when sufficient material of a publishable standard is received.

The Journal will publish short Pali texts, translations, and commentaries on texts, catalogues and handlists of Pali books and manuscripts, and similar material.

Papers should be sent to Mr K.R. Norman, at the above address.

To reduce printing costs, contributors are urged, whenever possible, to present their papers in a camera-ready copy form.