

# ISLAM

## *and the* DIVINE

# DECEPTION

*An Empiricist Analysis of Imperialist Doctrine*

by VIJAY KUMAR

MOST IQ TESTS HAVE QUESTIONS ALONG THE LINES OF, “WHICH ONE OF THESE DOESN’T BELONG?” In a list of the world’s prominent religions, one doesn’t belong. See if you can find it:

	BUDDHISM	CHRISTIANITY	HINDUISM	JUDAISM	ISLAM
<b>Mandate for world political domination</b>	No	No	No	No	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Church and state must be one</b>	No	No	No	No	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Religious text as political constitution</b>	No	No	No	No	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Full body of political, not just moral, law</b>	No	No	No	No	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Death penalty for leaving religion</b>	No	No	No	No	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Death penalty for criticizing religion or founder</b>	No	No	No	No	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Women treated as inferiors</b>	No	No	No	No	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Dual standards for believers and nonbelievers</b>	No	No	No	No	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Mandated tax on nonbelievers</b>	No	No	No	No	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Mandate for terror as tactic for expansion</b>	No	No	No	No	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Lying sanctioned as “moral”</b>	No	No	No	No	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Maiming, torture, beheading, and stoning part of practice</b>	No	No	No	No	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Invalidation of other religions</b>	No	No	No	No	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Destroys other religions’ houses of worship</b>	No	No	No	No	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Force and violence against nonbelievers</b>	No	No	No	No	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Churches promote political agendas</b>	No	No	No	No	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Religious leaders can order adherents to war</b>	No	No	No	No	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Adherents are part of a de facto political nation regardless of geographical location or political boundaries</b>	No	No	No	No	<b>Yes</b>

ON DECEMBER 11, 2009, RESIDENTS OF BURTON UPON TRENT IN STAFFORDSHIRE, England, woke to find the words “Islam will dominate the world” spray painted in big letters across the War Memorial honoring Britain’s veterans who had given their lives in defense of their country. One liberal apologist for Islam, Dennis Fletcher, chairman of the East Staffordshire Racial Equality Council, rushed to tell the local newspaper that the desecration must have been done by someone on “the far right,” to “stir things up,” to frame and defame Muslims. Police, though, found DNA on the discarded can of spray paint. To Mr. Fletcher’s eternal shame, a Muslim named Tohseef Shah had defiled the memorial, and had no remorse whatsoever about it.

When Shah was brought before magistrates in April of 2010 to face charges, even the unflappable Brits were in for something of a shock. The British prosecutors told the court they had concluded that Tohseef Shah’s vandalism had no religious motivation at all. It “was politically motivated,” they said, and so could not be charged as a hate crime. Shah walked out of court with nothing more than two years of probation and a fine of 500 British pounds.

Ironically, the British prosecutors got it exactly right. It was not religiously motivated because Islam is not a religion. It was politically motivated because Islam is an Arab

nationalist and imperialist political movement, dressed up in occult robes and rituals, that is bent on establishing a worldwide Muslim political state to dominate the world.

## World Domination

*"To Allah doth belong the dominion of the heavens and the earth, and all that is therein, and it is He Who hath power over all things."—Quran 5:120*

*"We shall put yokes on the necks of the Unbelievers."—Quran 34:33*

For 1,400 years, Islam has been trying to take over the world. In that time it has managed to conquer approximately 20 percent of the world's population. Although much of Islam's domain reigns over impoverished and uneducated people, many of whom cannot read or write, in its 14-century campaign for world domination Islam has invaded and destroyed what were once proud and thriving civilizations and important centers of world religions.

Persia—now called Iran and ruled under the iron fist of an Islamic theocracy—once was Zoroastrian and the seat of a large empire that contributed a wealth of cultural treasures to the storehouse of Man's arts, sciences, and letters before being overrun by Islam.

In Egypt, the Christian Patriarchate of Alexandria had been established by Mark the Evangelist as early as A.D. 33, and by the beginning of the third century, Alexandria was a major center of Christianity. In the middle of the fifth century, the Egyptian Coptic Church was established, and Egypt was primarily Coptic Christian when Muslims invaded and conquered the nation in 639. Christians were subjected to the discriminatory Islamic *jizya* tax and other forms of oppression as Cairo became the seat of the Islamic Caliphate. Today Egypt is over 94 percent Muslim, controlled by a military junta.

The Islamic Republic of Pakistan is a training ground and hideout for Islamic terrorists that are sent throughout the world, and is one of the primary centers for the teaching and export of Islamic Sharia law. Pakistan once was Hindu, home to the Indus Valley Civilization, and to the Vedic Civilization that gave so much to science, math, art, and man's knowledge, including the number system we use today.

Afghanistan once was Hindu and Buddhist, its civilization reaching back perhaps as far as 3,000 years before Christ. It was the home of Panini, who has been called the father of linguistics. But after Islam had conquered Persia, it turned toward Afghanistan as a target for expansion of its Caliphate and world domination, and, by 870, Muslims had decimated and subjugated the Hindus and Buddhists. Under Islamic oppression and force, the population gradually gave in and converted. Today Afghanistan's chief exports are heroin and terrorism.

Turkey, which was Christian and Hellenic, and the nations of north of Africa, and more, have fallen to Islamic aggression and expansionism.

Wherever it takes control, Islam suppresses all other religions, overthrows the governments, and installs a theocracy or quasi-theocracy with the Quran and Islamic doctrine as an integral part of the political constitution and laws of the nation.

Islam's goal has been, and is, a Caliphate that encircles the globe and commands the world. For centuries, Muslims have built successively larger Caliphates, checked only by intermittent organized opposition to Islam's relentless imperialism. The last Caliphate that Islam had established, the Ottoman Caliphate, was abolished after World War I, in 1924, and the Islamic empire was broken up. But at this moment Iran is leading a campaign to re-establish the Caliphate through insurrection and revolution throughout the Middle East.

Much has been made in media of the differences between Sunni and Shi'ia Muslims, but Islam in any guise has a seminal immutable political and metaphysical mandate for conquering and controlling the world. It seeks to make the entire world in its own image, and only in its image. It seeks to destroy all religions, all other forms of governments, and to impose Sharia law on all the world under a Caliphate.

No religion has such imperialistic goals of conquest and political dominance. Islam has them for one reason: Islam is a materialistic pursuit of political power, domination, and worldly conquest on the sole basis that its metaphysics is superior to any other metaphysics—measured on a self-authorizing metaphysical scale defined solely by Islam itself.

## Church and State Must Be One; Religious Text as Constitution

*"There is only one place on earth which can be called the home of Islam (Dar-ul-Islam), and it is that place where the Islamic state is established and the Sharia is the authority . . . The rest of the world is the home of hostility (Dar-ul-Harb)."—Syed Qutb*

*"Islam cannot be separated from the state because it guides us through every detail of running the state and our lives. Muslims have no choice but to reject secularism for it excludes the law of Allah . . . Separation of religion and state is not an option for Muslims."—Dr. Ja'far Sheikh Idris*

Islamic nations have, as an integral part of their political constitutions, a mandate that all laws will conform to Islamic doctrine. Ironically, many of these Islamic totalitarian

states refer to themselves as a "republic." Here are some examples:

**Constitution of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia:** "The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia is a sovereign Arab Islamic state with Islam as its religion; God's Book [*the Quran*] and the Sunnah of His Prophet [*habits and practices of Muhammad*] . . . are its constitution, Arabic is its language."

**Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Iran:** "The Islamic Republic is a system based on . . . the Quran and the Sunnah . . . All civil, penal, financial, economic, administrative, cultural, military, political, and other laws and regulations must be based on Islamic criteria. This principle applies absolutely and generally to all articles of the Constitution as well as to all other laws and regulations . . . In accordance with the sacred verse of the Quran ('This, your community, is a single community, and I am your Lord, so worship Me' [21:92]), all Muslims form a single nation . . . The official religion of Iran is Islam."

**Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan:** "Islam shall be the State religion of Pakistan. Principles of Policy: to make the teaching of the Holy Quran and Islamiat compulsory . . . and to secure the proper organization of . . . mosques."

**Constitution of the Republic of Iraq (post-U.S. occupation and "reform"):** "Islam is the official religion of the State and it is a fundamental source of legislation: No law that contradicts the established provisions of Islam may be established."

**Constitution of Afghanistan:** "Afghanistan is an Islamic Republic . . . The religion of the state of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan is the sacred religion of Islam. Followers of other religions are free to exercise their faith and perform their religious rites within the limits of the provisions of law . . . No law can be contrary to the beliefs and provisions of the sacred religion of Islam."

**Constitution of the Arab Republic of Egypt:** "Islam is the religion of the state and Arabic its official language. Islamic jurisprudence [*Sharia*] is the principal source of legislation."

**Constitution of Syria:** "The religion of the President of the Republic has to be Islam. Islamic jurisprudence [*Sharia*] is a main source of legislation."

**Constitution of the Libyan Arab Republic:** "Islam is the religion of the State and Arabic is its official Language."

**Constitution of the State of Palestine:** "Arabic and Islam are the official Palestinian language and religion . . . The principles of Islamic Sharia are a major source for legislation."

**Constitution of Yemen:** "The Republic of Yemen is an Arab, Islamic and independent sovereign state . . . The people of Yemen are part of the Arab and Islamic nation. Islam is the religion of the state, and Arabic is its official language. Islamic Sharia is the source of all legislation."

**Constitution of Algeria:** "Islam is the religion of the State. Arabic is the national and official language . . . The institutions are not allowed . . . practices that are contrary to the Islamic ethics . . . Any constitutional revision cannot infringe on . . . Islam as the religion of the State [*or*] Arabic as the national and official language."



*The Islamic Caliphate, circa A.D. 622-720*

**Constitution of Bahrain:** "The Kingdom of Bahrain is a fully sovereign, independent Islamic Arab State . . . The religion of the State is Islam. The Islamic Sharia is a principal source for legislation. The official language is Arabic . . . The State safeguards the Arab and Islamic heritage . . . Everyone has the right to express his opinion and publish it . . . provided that the fundamental beliefs of Islamic doctrine are not infringed."

**Constitution of Morocco:** "An Islamic and fully sovereign state whose official language is Arabic . . . Islam shall be the state religion . . . No member of Parliament shall be prosecuted, arrested, put into custody or brought to trial as a result of expressing opinions or casting a vote while exercising office functions, except when the opinions expressed may be injurious to the monarchical system and the religion of Islam . . . Neither the State system of monarchy nor the prescriptions related to the religion of Islam may be subject to a constitutional revision."

**Constitution of the Somali Republic:** "Islam shall be the religion of the State . . . It shall not be permissible to spread or propagandize any religion other than the religion of Islam . . . Teaching of Islam shall be compulsory for pupils of Islamic faith in primary and secondary State schools and in schools having a parity of status. Teaching of Holy Quran shall be a fundamental element in primary and secondary State schools for Muslims . . . The doctrine of Islam shall be the main source of the laws of the State . . . Laws and provisions having the force of law shall conform to the Constitution and to the general principles of Islam."

**Constitution of Malaysia:** "Islam is the religion of the Federation . . . State law and . . . federal law may control or restrict the propagation of any religious doctrine or belief among persons professing the religion of Islam . . . It shall be lawful for the Federation or a State to establish or maintain or assist in establishing or maintaining Islamic insti-

tutions or provide or assist in providing instruction in the religion of Islam and incur such expenditure as may be necessary for the purpose . . . ‘Malay’ means a person who professes the religion of Islam.”

There is no such thing in Islam as separation of church and state. The Quran is a political document. It, and the reported practices of Muhammad, *are* the constitution, and the only constitution, of Saudi Arabia, where Islam was created.

To make sure no one loses sight of the fact that Islam is the supreme law, Saudi Arabia has a small army of “religious police,” the *mutaween*, with totalitarian power to enforce everything from Islamic modes of dress to restaurant menus. They arrest unmarried men and women for merely socializing, flog people on the street, and confiscate anything found not conforming to Islamic dogma, including pork, alcohol, and Western movies or music. In 2002, the *mutaween* blocked the only escape route of a group of school girls from a burning building, beating them with sticks as they tried to escape, because they weren’t properly attired according to Islamic standards and didn’t have a male guardian with them. The *mutaween* actively prohibited men at the scene from helping the girls, saying it was “sinful” to go near them. Fifteen of the girls died. More than 50 were injured.

The Age of Enlightenment was the watershed in Man’s evolution between slavish devotion to ritualistic metaphysics in the governance of the social order, and respect for the rights of every individual to think freely, to speak freely, to worship freely, and to act freely, by the dictates of his own reason and conscience within the social contract. Reign by “divine” authority is a rotting relic of superstition and mysticism, a mummified museum piece to remind us of the inhumanity and injustices always visited on mankind by the arrogance of elitist theocracies.

No religion today mandates that church and state be one, or installs its texts as political constitution and “divine” law. Islam does. Islam is an Arab nationalist and imperialist political movement masquerading as religion. Wherever Islam prevails, its dogma is made the law, fusing church and state into one, permanently and officially relegating all other philosophies and faiths to eternal persecution as inferior and subordinate to Islam.

### Sharia: Political, Not Just Moral, Law

*“One should accept the Sharia without any question and reject all other laws in any shape or form. This is Islam. There is no other meaning of Islam.”—Syed Qutb*

*“There is only one law which ought to be followed, and that is the Sharia.”—Syed Qutb*

Islam’s Sharia law is not clearly codified anywhere. It is patchwork “law,” stitched together and interpreted as deemed necessary—or convenient—by Islamic judges and jurists and scholars and dictators and kings and ayatollahs and terrorists and secret police and imams and ruling councils and rank-and-file Muslims from one end of Islam to the other, with almost no one anywhere, Muslim or non-Muslim, being able to agree entirely on exactly what it is, where it begins, where it ends, where it is used, where it is not used, what it means, or how to apply it.

Certainly, there are a number of core principles of Sharia law almost universally accepted and practiced and enforced by most, if not all, the factions and clans and degrees of Muslims—even if they don’t call it Sharia, or even recognize it as such. Outside of that, it is such a confused and conflicting and amorphous shape-changing “thing” that attempting to discuss it with anyone, Muslim or not, is like trying to pin down a blob of mercury with your finger.

Because Sharia law is pieced together from the same texts that define the metaphysics and the rituals and morals of Islam, it is infinitely elusive and undefined. That’s why Muslims can deny that there is any effort to get Sharia law established in any nation as political law, claiming stridently and incessantly that it’s all only “the free exercise of religion”—right up to the moment when Sharia is suddenly being enforced in non-Muslim, secular courts, as has happened in England and is already in progress in the United States, or until the Islamic *coup de grace* is delivered: Islam is written into the constitution as the source of all law.

Despite such mass turmoil, Sharia is yet used in various places where Islam has taken hold for everything from running entire nations, to hanging homosexuals, to beating women with sticks, to praying, to telling people how to clean themselves after bodily eliminations.

Out of this hodgepodge of “law,” several universal and irrefutable maxims of Sharia can be drawn:

- **Sharia forbids freedom of speech and of the press.** Only certain speech is allowed in Islam, and none can be critical of Islam itself, or of the founder of Islam, Muhammad, or of any Islamic leader. Any such criticism demands a death sentence. The constitution of Iran demonstrates the Islamic idea of “freedom” of the press under Sharia (emphasis added): “Publications and the press have freedom of expression *except when it is detrimental to the fundamental principles of Islam.*” Sharia stands diametrically opposed to the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

- **Sharia forbids freedom of religion.** Although Sharia gives lip service to tolerance for non-Islamic religions, the record proves conclusively that in Islamic nations, through the discriminatory *jizya* tax, constitutional favoritism for Islam, and other oppressions, non-Islamic religions are relegated to inferior status, and uniformly end up eradicated or nearly so. In this way, too, Sharia stands diametrically opposed to the First Amendment.

- **Sharia forbids trial by jury and due process.** An accused will be tried by one or more Islamic jurists, who will decide the fate of the accused based solely on their own

interpretations of elusive Islamic “law.” There is no jury of peers, there is no guarantee of being able to face one’s accusers, and there is no protection against self-incrimination. Sharia stands diametrically opposed to the Fifth Amendment, the Seventh Amendment, and the Fourteenth Amendment.

- **Sharia dispenses cruel and unusual punishments.** The texts that Sharia is derived from unequivocally call for such barbaric punishments as cutting off the hand of a thief, stoning someone to death for sexual or marital transgressions, and beating or flogging someone for minor infractions. Homosexuality earns a death penalty, usually by public hanging. Sharia stands diametrically opposed to the Eighth Amendment.

*“Wherever Islam prevails, its dogma is made the law, fusing church and state into one.”*

- **Sharia forbids states’ rights.** Sharia does not allow for the existence or rights of individual states in a nation, as we have in the United States. Sharia demands a strong central government over all people of an Islamic nation. Sharia stands diametrically opposed to the Tenth Amendment.

- **Sharia sanctions slavery.** The very word Islam means “submission.” The Quran and Hadith, central texts of Islam from which Sharia is derived, describe Muslims as slaves of Allah, and command Muslims to obey the orders of their Islamic leaders. The Quran also gives instruction on the correct way to deal with worldly slaves, including the distribution and use of captured women as slaves for male sexual gratification. Sharia stands diametrically opposed to the Ninth and Thirteenth Amendments.

Sharia is political, criminal, and civil law. That it is hopelessly entangled with mysticism and the paranormal, and with imperatives on morality, and on hygiene, and on how to eat—like the helter-skelter closet of some hoarder—is beside the point. It doesn’t alter the fact that it is political law, and that it has been applied as the primary political law to govern and lord over entire nations everywhere that Islam has risen to power.

The Quran, and the “law” derived from it, are diametrically opposed to the United States Constitution and all of the laws and policies of the United States.

Islam explicitly ridicules and forbids manmade law of any description, which of course includes the United States Constitution. The first three words of our Constitution are, “We, the People.” In Islam, “the People” have no right to create their own law; they have the right to be good and obedient slaves:

*“Allah’s right on His slaves is that they should worship Him (Alone) and should not worship any besides Him.” —Muhammad; Hadith, Bukhari 52:108; Narrated Mu’adh*

*“Peace be on us and on the true pious slaves of Allah.” —Muhammad; Hadith, Bukhari 12:794; Narrated Mu’adh*

*“There are some of Allah’s slaves who, if they take an oath by Allah, are responded to by Allah.” —Muhammad; Hadith, Bukhari 49:866; Narrated Anas*

*“They are Your slaves And if You forgive them, verily you, only You are the All-Mighty, the All-Wise.” —Muhammad; Hadith, Bukhari 55:568; Narrated Ibn Abbas*

*“Repent to Allah as Allah accepts the repentance from his slaves.” —Muhammad; Hadith, Bukhari 60:281; Narrated Aisha*

*“Shouldn’t I love to be a thankful slave (of Allah)?” —Muhammad; Hadith, Bukhari 60:361; Narrated Aisha*

In Islam, all men, women, and children are slaves to Islam. Slaves have no right to make their own laws. They have one and only one right: to bow down, to submit, to obey.

The very purpose of Islam is to overthrow every manmade liberal democracy or republic on Earth, and to replace it fully with the totalitarian, imperialistic, and Arab supremacist confusion that is Sharia “law.” That’s why Islam is far more dangerous to human rights and freedom, to the United States and its Constitution, to the American way of life, and to all of Western civilization than Communism and Nazism combined.

Neither Communism or Nazism had a self-sanctifying supremacist eschatology and metaphysics promising a libertine, self-indulgent afterlife to attract recruits who are happy, as slaves, to die in the amoral act of mass murder just to reap the carnal rewards. The mere racial supremacist dogma of the Nazis was paltry by comparison.

There are post-Communist free democratic nations. There are post-Nazi free democratic nations. There are no post-Islamic free democratic nations. The so-called “democratic elections” in Islamic countries like Iraq are a travesty, a purple-fingered sham, a dog-and-pony show, because political conformance and obedience to Islam is already permanently welded into the constitutions of every one of those countries, no matter who gets “elected.”

No religion has such totalitarian and oppressive political and criminal law. Islam does.

## Death Penalty for Leaving Islam

*"If anyone desires a religion other than Islam (submission to Allah), never will it be accepted of him."—Quran 3:85*

*"Whoever changed his Islamic religion, then kill him."—Muhammad; Hadith, Bukhari 84:57; Narrated 'Ikrima*

There is no exit from Islam. Once a person has spoken the 13 words of the *Shahada*—the “first pillar” of Islam—there is no way out. Leaving Islam is a capital crime under Sharia law. No freedom of religion. No freedom of thought. No freedom. Period.

No religion kills those who decide to leave it. Islam does.

## Death Penalty for Criticizing Islam or its Founder

*"Lo! those who malign Allah and His messenger . . . they will be seized wherever found and slain with a (fierce) slaughter."—Quran 33:57-61*

Islam calls for the slaughter of anyone, Muslim or not, who criticizes Islam or Muhammad. In fact, the very word *assassin* is a legacy of Islamic elimination of enemies. It comes from the Arabic *Hashishin*, a Muslim sect that terrorized the Middle East for a century and a half with politically motivated murders.

On Valentine's Day, February 14, 1989, a *fatwa*—an Islamic decree—was issued by Ayatollah Ruhollah Khomeini from Iran, requiring the execution of British-Indian writer Salman Rushdie and offering a bounty for his death. What was Rushdie's “crime” that would earn him an Islamic summary death sentence, with no trial, no representation, and no jury? He wrote a fiction novel, “The Satanic Verses,” that was not “respectful” of Muhammad. The *fatwa* set off Muslim riots where bookstores were fire-bombed,

*“The idea that any of this is ‘radical’ or ‘extreme’ Islam is radically and extremely naive.”*

copies of the book were burned, and people were killed. Several people connected with the book were attacked individually, some severely injured, some of them killed. Rushdie went into hiding for years. Every Valentine's Day, Rushdie still receives a reminder from Iran that it has vowed to kill him, but so far no one has succeeded.

Theodore Van Gogh, the great-grandson of the impressionist painter Vincent Van Gogh, was not so fortunate. In August of 2004, Van Gogh, a Dutch movie producer and director, released through Dutch television a 10-minute movie he had made called “Submission,” which is the translation of the word *Islam*. It was critical of Islam's treatment of women. The local Islamic “religious leader,” Imam Fawaz, called Van Gogh “a criminal bastard” in the Islamic mosque. Within only a few months, on November 2, 2004, a Muslim named Mohammed Bouyeri shot Van Gogh eight times while Van Gogh was riding his bicycle to work. Bouyeri then slit Van Gogh's throat with large knife, attempting to behead him, and used a smaller knife to pin a note to Van Gogh, stabbing it deep into his chest. It was just what the Quran orders: “a fierce slaughter.”

On September 30, 2005, the third page of the morning Danish newspaper *Jyllands-Posten* featured 12 cartoons, one of them, by Kurt Westergaard, being a cartoon of Muhammad with a lit bomb in his turban. It was followed by death threats against Westergaard, and within a few years the Danish Security and Intelligence Service arrested three Muslims who had been plotting to murder the cartoonist. The Danish secret service was tasked, then, with providing around-the-clock security for Westergaard, including the installation of a “panic room” in his home. On January 1, 2010, Westergaard came upon an axe-wielding intruder standing in the hallway of his house. Westergaard fled into the panic room. The intruder, a Muslim from Somalia, swung his axe repeatedly at the security door, screaming, “Blood!” and “Revenge!” until the police arrived, shot the man, wounding him, and arrested him.

The idea that any of this is “radical” or “extreme” Islam is radically and extremely naive. The *fatwa* on Salman Rushdie, for example, was issued by one of the most senior, influential Muslims in the world, and it is still being held in effect today, long after the issuer's death. This is what Islam breeds. This is the spawn of Islam.

No religion today assassinates those who criticize it. Muslims, though, have no compunctions about the “fierce slaughter” of anyone who criticizes Islam or its founder. The Quran orders it. Muslims believe the Quran is divine and perfect, and must be obeyed. As incomprehensible as it may be to rational human beings, the book clearly and unequivocally orders the slaughter of other human beings for no other crime than thinking freely and speaking freely, and without any benefit of trial or access to justice whatsoever. This *is* Islam. There is only *one* Islam.

## Women Treated as Inferiors

*"Your wives are as a tilth [field] to you; so approach your tilth when or how ye will."—Quran 2:223*

*"As to those women on whose part ye fear disloyalty and ill-conduct, admonish them (first), (Next), refuse to share their beds, (And last) beat them (lightly)."—Quran 4:34*

*"The women of Khaybar were distributed among the Muslims."—Tabari VIII:117*

*"Men are a degree above them."—Quran 2:228*

*"Do you make us (women) equal to dogs and donkeys?"—Hadith, Bukhari 9:486; Narrated 'Aisha*

*"Call to witness, from among your men, two witnesses. And if two men be not found, then a man and two women."—Quran 2:282*

Some observers have interpreted Quran 2:282 to mean that women are worth half a man, but, really, it's worse than that, because there can't be four women as witnesses; there has to be at least one man. The field of mathematics has not evolved a formula yet that can properly reflect that Quranic decree about the worth of a woman, but it has to be *less than* half that of a man.

Saudi Arabia, the birthplace of Islamic supremacy and the model of Islam in action, has put actual dollar amounts on human lives in “blood money,” and women certainly are a bargain there. While the life of a Muslim man in Saudi Arabia is worth a bit over \$26,000, a Muslim woman only fetches a little under \$13,000 for her relatives—less than half that of a man. A Hindu woman, though, is only worth \$888 in the Saudi meat market of human valuation.

Given that Muhammad is held up in the Quran as “a beautiful pattern of conduct” for Muslims to follow, Islam has continued these traditions in relation to women, relegating them to being less than second-class citizens, taking them as slaves, marrying multiple wives, beating them, raping them, forbidding their education, and hiding them under *burkas* as something that should not be seen—only used by the owner. It is ritual misogyny sanctified by Arab male supremacy wrapped in metaphysics, just as it wraps its women in *burkas*.

No religion demeans and debases and abuses women as something less than human. Islam does.

## Dual Standards for Believers and Nonbelievers

*"Dhimmitude is the status that Islamic law, the Sharia, mandates for non-Muslims, primarily Jews and Christians. Dhimmi, 'protected' or 'guilty' people, are free to practice their religion in a Sharia regime, but are made subject to a number of humiliating regulations designed to enforce the Quran's command that they 'feel themselves subdued' (Sura 9:29). This denial of equality of rights and dignity remains part of the Sharia, and, as such, [is] part of the legal superstructure that global jihadists are laboring, through violence, to restore everywhere in the Islamic world, and wish ultimately to impose on the entire human race."—Robert Spencer, Director of Jihad Watch*

*"Allah said, (until they pay the Jizyah), if they do not choose to embrace Islam, (with willing submission), in defeat and subservience (and feel themselves subdued), disgraced, humiliated and belittled, therefore, Muslims are not allowed to honor the people of Dhimmah or elevate them above Muslims, for they are miserable, disgraced and humiliated. Muslim recorded from Abu Hurayrah that the Prophet said, 'Do not initiate the Salaam [greetings meaning "peace"] to the Jews and Christians, and if you meet any of them in a road, force them to its narrowest alley.' This is why the Leader of the faithful 'Umar bin Al-Khattab . . . demanded his well-known conditions be met by the Christians, these conditions that ensured their continued humiliation, degradation and disgrace."—Tafsir Ibn Kathir, Surah 9 (Al-Tauba)*

It is difficult for civilized people to come to terms with a purported religion that calls for, as one of its principal practices, bringing about humiliation, degradation, disgrace, belittlement, and subservience in fellow human beings. The human mind recoils from such harmful intentions toward others. It's easier to simply dismiss or avoid or ignore the idea of Islam's imposition of *dhimmitude* on non-Muslims.

It becomes easier to grasp and to understand, though, through the recognition of what Islam actually is. It is Arabic supremacy, codified and justified under the metaphysical claim that humiliation, degradation, disgrace, belittlement, and subservience of others at the hands of elitists is the will of a supreme being. It simply is common totalitarianism and supremacist discrimination with a veneer of metaphysics and poetic language to justify it.

No religion today degrades and humiliates and disgraces other religions. Islam does.

## Mandated Tax on Nonbelievers

*"Fight those who believe not in Allah . . . until they pay the Jizya [tax] with willing submission, and feel themselves subdued."—Quran 9:29*

Wherever Islam prevails, it arrogates to itself the right to levy a burdensome tax on non-Muslims—a type of duress that is simply another form of force to bring about submission to the laws of Islam and conversion.

In history, the *jizya* tax has been levied against Jews, Christians, Hindus, Sikhs, Buddhists, Zoroastrians, polytheists, atheists, and agnostics, in Persia, Egypt, India, Hungary, Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia, Kurdistan—almost everywhere that Islam has gained power. External political pressure has stopped the practice of levying the *jizya* against non-believers in many places, but that hasn't removed the mandate for this official form of discrimination from Islamic law. It remains as a permanent mandate that can be exercised by any Islamic leader against perceived “inferiors” at any time, another manifestation of the supremacist ideology that is the bedrock foundation of Islam.

## Mandate for Terror as Tactic for Expansion

*"Soon shall We cast terror into the hearts of the Unbelievers."—Quran 3:151*

*"The (Martyrs) glory in the fact that on them is no fear, nor have they (cause to) grieve."—Quran 3:170*

*"I would love to be martyred in Allah's Cause and then get resurrected and then get mar-*

tyred, and then get resurrected again and then get martyred and then get resurrected again and then get martyred.”—**Muhammad; Hadith, Bukhari 52:54; Narrated Abu Huraira**

“Against them make ready your strength to the utmost of your power, including steeds of war, to strike terror into (the hearts of) the enemies, of Allah and your enemies.”—**Quran 8:60**

Terrorism is not “radical Islam;” it is literal Islam.

Islamic terrorism is not new. Muhammad personally beheaded hundreds of men to instill terror into anyone who would resist him and Islam. According to Islam, whatever Muhammad did or said was divinely inspired, and is the highest model of conduct. Therefore, in the “logic” of Islam, the beheading of Daniel Pearl was a moral and holy act. Although Muhammad never martyred himself, he certainly advocated it as a quick ticket to Islamic paradise.

Islamic terrorism has nothing to do with the United States or Israel. Islamic terrorism has been plaguing the world for 1,400 years. There is no Islam without Jihad against non-Muslims. Jihad is eternal war against the infidel, and terrorism is a primary tactic in Islam’s 1,400-year Universal Jihad against the rest of mankind.

No religion uses terrorism to terrorize others into submission. But Islam is perpetual war for Arabic supremacy. It was conceived as a war of imperialism against the Jews, Christians, and polytheists that once populated the Arabian continent. It eradicated them. It must maintain a constant state of war in foment in order to expand and fulfill its imperialistic goals through fighting, and terrorism is one of its main weapons.

Terrorism is an integral part of Islam, and Islam’s preferential treatment as a religion in non-Islamic countries is precisely what gives it the opportunities to terrorize.

### Lying Sanctioned as “Moral”

“Muhammad said: ‘Lying is wrong, except in three things: the lie of a man to his wife to make her content with him; a lie to an enemy, for war is deception; or a lie to settle trouble between people.’”—**Ahmad, 6.459. H**

The Islamic doctrines of *taqiyya*—willful lying to infidels—and *kitman*—concealment of malevolent intentions—has been being used by Muslims for 1,400 years to deceive nonbelievers and gain advantage for Islam.

The 9/11 terrorists, the Times Square bomber, Major Malik Nadal Hasan, who murdered 13 people in cold blood and wounded 29 others at Fort Hood—these and many more all were masters of *taqiyya* and *kitman*.

Islam condones “a lie to an enemy”—and every non-Muslim is an enemy of Islam, according to Islam itself: “The Unbelievers are unto you open enemies.”—**Quran 4:101**

No religion condones and supports and encourages lying, treachery, and deceit as “moral.” Islam does.

### Maiming, Torture, Beheading and Stoning

“Jabir b.‘Abdullah reported that Allah’s Apostle [Muhammad] stoned (to death) a person from Banu Aslam, and a Jew and his wife.”—**Hadith, Sahih Muslim, 17:4216**

“As to the thief, Male or female, cut off his or her hands.”—**Quran 5.38**

“Then the apostle [Muhammad] went out to the market of Medina and dug trenches in it. Then he sent for them [the Jewish men of the Qurayza tribe] and struck off their heads in those trenches as they were brought out to him in batches . . . There were 600 or 700 in all, though some put the figure as high as 800 or 900.”—**Ishaq, The Life of Muhammad**

Islam mandates barbaric seventh-century forms of execution, torture, and maiming as punishment.

This can never be changed, because Muslims consider every word of the texts and lore of Islam to be divine, and therefore perfect, and therefore not subject to reform. It cannot be questioned. To question it is to invite onto oneself the very atrocities being questioned. That provides a permanent justification for unspeakable cruelty against human beings, carried out by those who want to inflict such inhumanity under the cover of “holy” action that really is only sadism.

No religion today practices or allows such brutality. Islam does.

### Invalidation of Other Religions

“O ye who believe! take not the Jews and the Christians for your friends and protectors: They are but friends and protectors to each other. And he amongst you that turns to them (for friendship) is of them.”—**Quran 5:51**

“The Unbelievers are unto you open enemies.”—**Quran 4:101**

“We are clear of you and of whatever ye worship besides Allah. We have rejected you, and there has arisen, between us and you, enmity and hatred forever, unless ye believe in Allah and Him alone”—**Quran 60:4**

According to Islamic doctrine—which is Arabic supremacist doctrine—Judaism and Christianity are imperfect, failed, and corrupt religions, and Hinduism and Buddhism are not religions at all. In the insular universe of Islam, all non-Islamic ideologies, all non-Islamic religions, all non-Islamic philosophies are merely corrupt products of Man that must be destroyed so that Sharia can be imposed on the Earth and all of its inhabitants. This is the vision of Islam, and it has not changed in all the time since Muhammad reigned.

There is a particular irony in Islam’s haughty, semi-indulgent disdain for the Abrahamic religions, Judaism and Christianity, given that Islam’s self-validating texts never give any

authority for their existence except the Abrahamic monotheistic god Yahweh—which became “Allah” in the Arabic tongue—and the Abrahamic angel Gabriel, who Muhammad said he was in regular touch with.

Unlike the Abrahamic religions, though, Islam doesn’t teach love toward nonbelievers; it overtly teaches literal hatred and enmity, the very words of Islam itself. Islam brands every person in the world who does not believe in Islam as an “open enemy.”

There are no benign interpretations of these teachings of hatred and animosity against Man’s faiths, and against those who choose no religion at all. The Muslims who claim there are such benign or peaceful interpretations are practicing *taqiyya* to deceive declared “open enemies.” The *kafir*—infidels, nonbelievers—who then accept those lies at face value and pass them on are merely water-carriers for Islamic supremacist hatred, enmity, and imperialism.

No valid religion preaches and practices such bigotry and hatred as Islam teaches at its very core. Islam is, and only ever has been, an Arabic nationalist and imperialist movement wrapped in the cloak of religion—a cloak it stole from religions before it. Islam does not fit within the community of faiths. It is a violent peg pounded into a peaceful hole.

### Destroys Other Religions’ Houses of Worship

“In the Sacred Law, it [Jihad] is the slaying of the unbelievers, and related connotations such as beating them, plundering their wealth, destroying their shrines, and smashing their idols.”—**Hasan al-Banna, founder of Muslim Brotherhood (citing Majma’ al-Anhur fi Sharh Multaqa al-Abhur)**

“One of the last things that [Muhammad] said was, ‘May Allah fight the Jews and the Christians . . . Two deens [religions] shall not co-exist in the land of the Arabs.’”—**Hadith, Muwatta 45:5:17**

On Tuesday, February 8, 2011, a mob of thousands of Muslims stormed into the Church of St. Peter and Paul, and viciously beat Father Saldanha, the parish priest, as he tried, alone, to defend the tabernacle and the Eucharist. When they were finished with the priest, they set the church and another Christian church ablaze, then destroyed a Pentecostal church. The mob’s frenzy still unsated, they turned on a Catholic orphanage and destroyed it, then destroyed a health care center run by the Sisters of Providence. This was not part of the riots in Egypt; this was at Temanggung, in Central Java, Indonesia.

In Egypt, a similar mob of over 5,000 Muslims destroyed the Assemblies of God Church for Evangelical Copts in Moharam Bek, and before their anti-Christian rampage was over, the mob had vandalized and defaced seven churches, vowing death to Christians, leaving four people dead and over 80 injured. But that wasn’t in the recent riots against Mubarek in Egypt; that was in October 2005.

None of this is new. None of this is “radical” Islam; it is literal Islam in action. The riots, murders, assaults, arson, and wholesale destruction of the property of non-Islamic religions don’t happen because thousands of “extremist” Muslims somehow spontaneously, magically appear all together in one location. These are the purported “moderate” Muslims, unleashed by their Islamic leaders. There’s no other way to create such a mob in towns like Moharam Bek, Egypt and Temanggung, Indonesia.



Sharia law condemns a woman to being stoned to death.

Islam has been destroying the churches and the people of non-Islamic religions for 1,400 years in just the same way.

In A.D. 630, Muhammad invaded Mecca with his armies. One of Muhammad’s first acts, according to Ayatullah Ja’far Subhani in his book, “The Message,” was to deliver a speech, in military attire, from the Kaaba—the central place of worship for the polytheists of Mecca—and to claim the Kaaba for Islam. He then used his stick to publicly smash idols of the pagan gods by the hundreds, and sent men throughout Mecca to destroy pagan places of worship. The Kaaba is not a mosque. There is a mosque built around it, but it is not a mosque. It is the site where Muhammad founded and declared the existence of the transnational Islamic state, as he spoke at the Kaaba:

“A Muslim is the brother of another Muslim and all the Muslims are brothers of one another and constitute one hand as against the non-Muslims. The blood of every one of them is equal to that of others and even the smallest among them can make a promise on behalf of others.”—**Muhammad**

Today the Kaaba is the political center of the worldwide Islamic nation under authority of “the 12th Imam from the direct descent” of Muhammad, and all Muslims must go and pay homage to it at least once in their lives.

Less than 60 years after Muhammad seized the Kaaba, in 689, the Ummayyad Caliphate conquered Jerusalem, and, with it, the Jewish Temple Mount. The Muslims triumphantly built the Dome of the Rock mosque on the sacred Jewish site, inscribing into it a dire warning against Christianity as a false religion.

In 1527, the Muslim conqueror Zahir ud-din Muhammad Babur had invaded India. He sought out one of the most holy sites of the Hindus, revered by them as the birthplace of Lord Rama, a Hindu deity. Babur destroyed the Hindu temple and erected the Barbi mosque in its place.

History has literally thousands of such accounts of Muslim desecration, destruction, and takeover of the holy places of non-Islamic religions. Muslims frequently deny any wrongdoing, or deny that there ever was another church or temple at such sites at all, or stridently claim complete justification as a result of some real or imagined “wrong” or insult done to Islam.

What cannot be contested, though, are two unwavering facts that run through all such instances: Islam had invaded another peoples’ lands, and Islam had erected mosques, the only “justification” being Islam’s self-declared supremacy of metaphysics over the metaphysics of others.

No religion invades and destroys or steals the sacred places of other religions. Islam does.

### Mandate for Force and Violence Against Nonbelievers

*“And fight them on until there is no more tumult or oppression [worshipping of any god other than Allah], and there prevail justice and faith in Allah altogether and everywhere.”—Quran 8:39*

*“Fight and slay the Pagans wherever ye find them, and seize them, beleaguer them, and lie in wait for them in every stratagem (of war).”—Quran 9:5*

*“When We decide to destroy a population, We (first) send a definite order to those among them who are given the good things of this life and yet transgress; so that the word is proved true against them: then (it is) We destroy them utterly.”—Quran 17:16*

*“Strike off their heads and strike off every fingertip of them. That is because they opposed Allah and His messenger. Whoso opposeth Allah and His messenger, (for him) lo! Allah is severe in punishment.”—Quran 8:12-13*

*“He who fights that Allah’s Word (i.e. Islam) should be superior, fights in Allah’s Cause.”—Muhammad; Hadith, Bukhari, 3:125; Narrated Abu Musa*

*“I have been ordered to fight with the people till they say, ‘None has the right to be worshipped but Allah.’” —Muhammad; Hadith, Bukhari, 52:196; Narrated Abu Huraira*

*“There is a clear indication of the obligation to fight the People of the Book [Christians and Jews], and of the fact that God doubles the reward of those who fight them. Jihad is not against polytheists alone, but against all who do not embrace Islam.”—Hasan al-Banna, founder of Muslim Brotherhood*

*“I asked the Prophet, ‘What is the best deed?’ He replied, ‘To believe in Allah and to fight for His Cause.’”—Hadith, Bukhari, 46:694; Narrated Abu Dhar*

Universal Jihad is a war against all mankind that was declared by Muhammad in the seventh century A.D., and it has been raging ever since. By even conservative estimates it has resulted in over 250 million deaths. Islam is responsible for more genocide than any other force, army, or despot in history.

Religions do not slaughter others in the millions just because those people don’t subscribe to a religion. Islam, though, is paramilitary anarchy that answers to no one, because it wraps itself in the robes and trappings of metaphysical supremacy, and, by that artifice, justifies to itself any atrocity, any act of brutality, any act of genocide.

### Mosques Promote Political Agendas

*“The mosques are our barracks. And the believers our Army.”—Turkish Prime Minister Taysip Erdogan*

*“Mosques are supposed to be a place for prayer. A place for submission to God. But they are used as a recruitment center, for backdoor meetings, transfer of arms and cash and putting together terrorist activities, and I was involved in some of their meetings . . . They recruit, they train, they sell the ideology of martyrdom, and many, many are guided [by] and connected to terrorist groups.”—CIA agent Reza Khalili, in CBN interview*

*“Islam wishes to destroy all states and governments anywhere on the face of the earth which are opposed to the ideology and program of Islam, regardless of the country or the nation which rules it. The purpose of Islam is to set up a State on the basis of its own ideology and program.”—Syed Abul A’ala Maududi*

*“The Ikhwan [Muslim Brotherhood] must understand that their work in America is a kind of grand Jihad in eliminating and destroying the Western civilization from within and ‘sabotaging’ its miserable house by their hands and the hands of the believers so that it is eliminated and God’s religion is made victorious over all other religions.”—Muslim Brotherhood, “General Strategic Goal for the Group in North America”*

*“Act like you are his friend. Then kill him.”—Sheik Muburak Gilani, founder of Jamaat ul-Fuqra, explaining how to kill American infidels*

*“Allah is our objective; the Quran is our constitution, the Prophet is our leader; Jihad is*

*our way; and death for the sake of Allah is the highest of our aspirations.”—Credo of the Muslim Brotherhood*

Given that Islam is a de facto transnational state, given that the Kaaba is its worldwide symbolic political seat, given that every mosque has a visible indicator pointing toward the Kaaba, and given that all Muslims everywhere in the world must bow toward the Kaaba when in a mosque, what, then, is an Islamic mosque?

A mosque is a political outpost that promotes Islamic imperialism and supremacism, and that conducts ritualistic homage to the totalitarian worldwide kingdom of Islam and its founder.

Mosques the world over promote the works of Syed Maududi and Syed Qutb, calling for the overthrow of all non-Islamic states, including the United States. Recently, the government of Bangladesh wised up and ordered that mosque libraries stop carrying the books of Maududi, who founded the Jamaat-e-Islami party of Pakistan. In Bangladesh alone, there were over 24,000 mosques carrying the books.

One of the most wanted terrorists in the world at the moment, Anwar al-Awlaki, was an imam at a number of different mosques, where he encouraged jihad. Several of the 9/11 terrorists went to al-Awlaki’s mosque services, as did the Fort Hood mass murderer, Nidal Malik Hasan. The “Christmas Day bomber,” Umar Farouk Abdulmutalab, named al-Awlaki as one of his trainers.

The use of mosques in America is also illustrated in the case of Sheikh Mubarak Ali Gilani, who used a mosque in Brooklyn, New York, in 1980, to promote the overthrow of the West in general and the United States in particular, recruiting Muslims to join in his crusade. Putting somebody’s money where Gilani’s mouth was—no one knows where the money came from—Gilani bought 70 acres near the Catskill Mountains and established a commune called “Islamberg,” the beginning of Gilani’s terrorist group, Jamaat ul-Fuqra.

According to a United States Department of Justice 2006 report: “Today, Jamaat ul-Fuqra has more than 35 suspected communes and more than 3,000 members spread across the United States, all in support of one goal: the purification of Islam through violence.” The compounds, of course, all have mosques.

Gilani’s Jamaat ul-Fuqra and Maududi’s Jamaat-e-Islami terrorist groups are both closely connected with the Muslim Brotherhood, and, through them, to front groups

for the Muslim Brotherhood, such as the Muslim Students Association (MSA), the Muslim American Society (MAS), the Islamic Society of North America (ISNA), the Council on American-Islamic Relations (CAIR), the Fiqh Council of North America, and the Islamic Circle of North America (ICNA)—every one of them with heavy saturation in mosques in the United States.

Gilani was the person that Daniel Pearl was traveling to Pakistan to interview when Pearl was kidnapped and later beheaded, on video. A decade earlier, in late 1993, the Canadian Broadcasting Company recorded Gilani and some of his terrorist cohorts on video, including Osama bin Laden, in Khartoum, Sudan, shouting “Down, down America!” and “Kill the Jews!”

Revolutionaries in Middle Eastern and North African nations in recent events have been chanting, “Death to America,” and the Muslim mob that sexually assaulted CBS reporter Lara Logan in Egypt were shouting, “Jew! Jew!” She isn’t Jewish.

All such political mayhem is coordinated through the mosques and the imams, all with the same agenda. The mosque network is the most sophisticated system of political and militant recruitment, planning, coordination, and execution in the world, and probably in all of history. It operates with complete impunity to overthrow Western civilization because of Western governments’ suicidal and downright moronic persistence in protecting it on the delusive basis that it is “religion.”

Religions do not promote political overthrow of the United States. Islam is a de facto state-within-a-state, with the documented purpose of the overthrow of the United States and our Constitution, and the overthrow of all Western civilization. Islamic mosques are command central for this sedition and treason.

### Religious Leaders Can Order Adherents to War

*“When you are called (by the Muslim ruler) for fighting, go forth immediately.”—Muhammad; Hadith, Bukhari 52:79; Narrated Ibn ‘Abbas*

*“If the Imam calls a group of people to arms, they are obliged to join his military forces.”—Ibn Qudama, al-Mughni*



*The Islamic Grand Mufti, Mohammad Amin al-Husseini, collaborated with the Nazis, encouraging extermination of the Jews. Clockwise from upper left: saluting Bosnian SS troops; meeting with Hitler; with Muslim Waffen SS troops; meeting with Heinrich Himmler.*

FOUR MONTAGE PHOTOS LICENSED UNDER THE CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION-SHARE ALIKE 3.0 GERMANY LICENSE.

The local “Muslim ruler” in any area of the world is the imam of a mosque. Imams are sworn to uphold all of the hatred, enmity, violence, force, deceit, treachery, and disdain for the constitutions and laws of Man, and contempt for all religions and all nonbelievers that, of record, is the core of Islam.

All imams, anywhere in the world, can order Muslims to fight, and when and if an imam does, the Muslims are bound by Islam to “go forth immediately” and obey.

Religions do not create standing armies of revolutionary slaves ready at any moment to destroy churches, to riot, and to overthrow governments, as is being done right now in the Middle East and Africa and elsewhere, organized through the mosques and the imams. Islam is a de facto totalitarian transnational state, no matter where it exists, that has already conquered over 20 percent of the world’s population and is bent on conquering all of it.

### Muslims are Citizens of a De Facto State—the Ummah

“You [Muslims] are the best nation brought out for Mankind, commanding what is righteous and forbidding what is wrong.”—Quran 3:110

“The Believers are but a single brotherhood.”—Quran 49:10

“A Muslim is the brother of another Muslim and all the Muslims are brothers of one another and constitute one hand as against the non-Muslims.”—Muhammad at the Kaaba

“Islam does not recognize geographical boundaries, nor does it acknowledge racial and blood differences, considering all Muslims as one Umma [nation] . . . Every inch of land inhabited by Muslims is their fatherland.”—Hasan al-Banna, founder of Muslim Brotherhood

“All Muslims form a single nation.”—Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Iran

“A Muslim has no nationality except his belief.”—Syed Qutb

Muhammad personally declared that all Muslims, no matter where they are in the world, are a *nation*, and, as a *nation*, “constitute one hand as against the non-Muslims.”

There is no compromise with what Muhammad declared. All Muslims are one nation, and anyone who says it is otherwise is either practicing *taqiyya*—lying—or is insinuating that what Muhammad himself said isn’t true. All Muslims, anywhere in the world, are deemed by Islam to be members of the Islamic *ummah*, which means “nation.” The constitution of Iran affirms it unequivocally, in complete agreement with what Muhammad said: “All Muslims form a single nation.” The constitutions of other Islamic de jure states have similar language.

Would any Muslim care to step forth and declare that all Muslims are *not* one nation? It’s a rhetorical question, as posed here—but it should be a *required* question, to be answered and signed under penalty of perjury, on every immigration and citizenship application for every free nation of the world.

Muslims, *all* Muslims, are citizens of a de facto Islamic state, and, as such, are not, and cannot be, valid citizens of any other country or nation, including the United States. Islam is dedicated to the overthrow of the United States and its constitution, to be supplanted by Islamic theocracy and Sharia law.

Overthrow is not accomplished only by violence, overtly hostile invasion, and terrorism—although those are all tactics that have been and are employed by Islam. The most permanent and deadly form of invasion, by far, is demographic conquest. Historically, that has been one of Islam’s most potent weapons of mass destruction. Muslims insinuate themselves into non-Islamic nations, and exploit the tolerance, kindness, and freedoms of those nations and peoples as a protective shield behind which to plant and nurture the seeds of Islamic imperialism and Sharia.

There are parasitic plants, such as the Virginia Creeper and the Strangler Fig, that start out as almost imperceptible growths on their host plants or trees. They send their tendrils down into the soil to suck out the nutrients, and even tap into the very roots of the host. From their hosts, they derive protection and strength, as they grow rapidly to wrap their vines around the body of the host, and interleave their foliage with it in mockery of it. Eventually, they can choke the life out of the host. Where a Strangler Fig prevails, the tree inside it dies and rots, leaving the twisted, entangled vines of the living Strangler Fig standing alone as a new “tree.”

Such parasites never assimilate into the host; they stand apart, clinging to it, feeding off of it, taking its protection and nutrients—and smother the life out of it.

Islam never assimilates, either. It stands apart in a non-Islamic civilization, clinging to it, enjoying and profiting from the freedoms, riches, pleasures, and rights of that society until it can overcome and dominate it, no matter how long it takes, and supplant it with Islamic totalitarianism. Islam did just that when it smothered the life out of Hinduism in a large part of India, and emerged as the stand-alone Islamic state of Pakistan after a thousand years of parasitism. Today, Muslims are continuing the same process with Kashmir.

Religions are not political states or nations. Islam is a political state. Unlike any other state, Islam is a transnational parasitic state, an organism that feeds on its host nations until it overwhelms and replaces them, taking their land, their rights, their freedoms, their blood, and their treasure.

### The Myth of the Moderate Muslim

“God has imposed jihad as a religious duty on every Muslim, categorically and rigorously, from which there is neither evasion nor escape. He has rendered it a supreme object of desire, and has made the reward of martyrs and fighters in His way a splendid one . . . It

has become an individual obligation, which there is no evading, on every Muslim to prepare his equipment, to make up his mind to engage in jihad, and to get ready for it until the opportunity is ripe and God decrees a matter which is sure to be accomplished.”—Hasan al-Banna, founder of Muslim Brotherhood

“Allah is the best of schemers.”—Quran 3:54

“War is deceit.”—Muhammad; Hadith, Bukhari 52:268; Narrated Abu Huraira

It takes a certain childlike naivete—or a certain degree of barminess—to expect anything “moderate” to emerge from a doctrine that openly and literally calls for slaughter, lying, deceit, cheating, hatred, enmity, terror, war, destruction, slavery, male supremacy, Arab supremacy, cruelty, stoning, maiming, religious persecution, and suppression of the most fundamental human rights. Yet that is the moral code of Islam, in its own words.

There is only one Islam. There is not a “moderate” Islam and a “radical” Islam. There is only one Quran. There is not a “moderate” and a “radical” Quran. There is not a “moderate” Muslim and a “radical” Muslim. These are fictions created by empty, meaningless adjectives, and have no more basis in fact than sea serpents and chimera.

The truth about what Islam really is doesn’t come from the mealy mouths of sycophantic State Department spokesmen, or from expensively coiffed talking heads on television, or from the propaganda of the Muslim Brotherhood and its mouthpiece, CAIR, or from the morally bankrupt “thought” pieces of liberal hack journalists who can’t even speak of Islam or Muslims at all without using the empty, meaningless adjectives. Islam is not defined by politically correct Orwellian doublespeak or empty adjectives, but by the Quran, the Hadith, and the Sunnah, and those actual sources of Islam openly, overtly command the worst violence and degradation that Man is capable of as the highest standard of conduct.

“There is only one Islam.  
There is not a ‘moderate’ Islam and a  
‘radical’ Islam.”

There are, and always have been, dilettante Muslims who pass as “moderate” because they have recited the *Shahada*, they go through the most basic rituals, and they have some superficial knowledge of the subject. They also know, or find out, that to leave the “brotherhood” is to be condemned to death.

As Maududi himself said:

“Partial Muslims . . . profess faith in God and the Messenger and declare Islam as their religion; but then they confine this Islam to only a part of their lives . . . True Muslims . . . are those who completely merge their personalities and existences into Islam. All the roles they have become subordinate to the one role of being Muslims.”

True Muslims, *real* Muslims, those who actually understand Islam and practice it, are the ones who live the doctrine as it is written, and so fill the news daily with violence, bombings, “honor” killings, imperialism, totalitarianism, threats, terrorism, riots, mobs, and murder.

That isn’t “radical” or “extremist” Islam; that simply *is* Islam.

The adjectives put in front of “Islam” are all false, are all fictions: there is only *one* Islam.

Similarly, the debate over delusional “differences” between “Islamic” and “Islamist” is not one thing more than progressive intellectualism gratifying itself with fantasy, which was generated by Islam itself to confuse and deceive the uninitiated. It is superseded in vanity and emptiness only by the ruminations of Marxist-Leninist-group organizer Robert S. Leiken, in which he mused that the Muslim Brotherhood is not “a monolith.”

Islam itself is a monolith. It is the ultimate monolith: rigid, inflexible, immutable, calcified, dusty, permanently stuck in the seventh century like the petrified footprint of some long-gone behemoth that cannot be touched or disturbed. It has not changed by a comma in 1,400 years. It *cannot* change or be changed. *Nothing* about it is moderate, or ever has been, or ever will be. The only things that change are the subterfuges and deceptions and trickery and propaganda of its adherents—the *real* Muslims—in deluding and duping gullible *kafirs* like Leiken and the U.S. Department of State and liberal hack journalists and their ilk.

There is only *one* Islam. The one thing it is not, and never has been, and never can be, is “moderate.”

The Islamic doctrines of *taqiyya* and *kitman* make it impossible to know whether any practitioner of Islam really wants “moderation,” or is only lying to lull infidels into a false sense of security in order to aid Islamic expansionism in the infidels’ domain, which always is the most likely case. It *always* is the case with a *true* Muslim.

### The Diametrically Opposed Goals

Throughout history, the goals of mankind demonstrably have been greater freedom and rights for the individual through reason and social civility.

The goals of Man's religions demonstrably have been freedom for the individual to seek personal, individual understanding of his relation to the universe, in harmony with others and their own seeking of understanding.

Since its inception, the goals of Islam have been slavery of the individual and state through submission and force.

The goals of mankind and his religions, and the goals of Islam, are diametrically opposed and are mutually exclusive. They cannot coexist; one will prevail.

Islam is not a religion. It is the anti-religion, opposed to all religion, opposed to religious freedom, and opposed to the goals of mankind.

### The Axis of Jihad

Saudi Arabia, Pakistan, and Iran are the Axis of Jihad, and together form the greatest single threat in existence to Western civilization and to all of humanity.

Saudi Arabia, the birthplace of this militaristic and abusive political dogma, supplies money to terrorist Islamic organizations and individuals to sabotage other nations. It is the strategic and financial headquarters for worldwide Islamic terrorism. It is the international center of Wahhabism, the crucible of worldwide terrorism, and the Saudi government has spent billions of dollars spreading official publications through mosques it funds all over the world, with heavy concentration in the United States, promoting hatred of all non-Muslims, and inciting sedition and treason against all democratic forms of government as "un-Islamic."

The Freedom House report, "Saudi Publications on Hate Ideology Invade American Mosques," documented examples of the Saudi propaganda being pumped like a gusher—using oil money that came from us—through American mosques:

"Be dissociated from the infidels, hate them for their religion, leave them, never rely on them for support, do not admire them, and always oppose them in every way according to Islamic law."

"To be true Muslims, we must prepare and be ready for jihad in Allah's way. It is the duty of the citizen and the government. The military education is glued to faith and its meaning, and the duty to follow it."

"[I]t is basic Islam to believe that everyone who does not embrace Islam is an unbeliever, and must be called an unbeliever, and that they are enemies to Allah, his Prophet and believers."

"It is forbidden for a Muslim to become citizen of a country [such as the United States] governed by infidels."

"[Muslims should work] to form a society that is committed to the Islamic way of thinking and Islamic way of life, which means to form a government that implements principles of justice embodied in the Sharia . . . Until the nations of the world have functionally Islamic governments, every individual who is careless or lazy in working for Islam is sinful."

"We will not stop at this point, but will pursue this evil force to its own lands, invade its Western heartland, and struggle to overcome it until all the world shouts by the name of the Prophet and the teachings of Islam spread throughout the world. Only then will Muslims achieve their fundamental goal, and there will be no more 'persecution' and all religion will be exclusively for Allah."

The U.S. State Department embraces the source of that hatred, the elitist Wahhabi Saudi government, as its dearest ally in combatting Islamic terrorism.

Most of the 9/11 terrorists were Saudis. Osama bin Laden is Saudi. The Saudi-controlled International Islamic Relief Organization (IIRO) is documented as having given at least several hundred thousand dollars to Hamas organizations. In an intercepted phone call, one al-Qaeda operative told another, "Don't ever worry about money, because Saudi Arabia's money is your money." According to court documents, an unnamed Saudi industrial corporation was paying for the tuition and living expenses of Saudi national Khalid Aldawsari, arrested by the FBI for plotting to use weapons of mass destruction on key targets in the United States.

Saudi Arabia pays for building Wahhabi mosques all over the world, and mosques are political headquarters for the transnational Islamic state that Saudi Arabia's constitution and goals are entirely committed to. Mosques all over the world, including in America, are military-political outposts representing a state-within-a-state, and Saudi money is funneled to them through front-group "charitable organizations" and cut-outs.

Pakistan's clandestine agency, Inter Services Intelligence, ISI, runs Pakistan, no matter who its puppet president is. The ISI created the Taliban and al-Qaeda, using Saudi money. The ISI supplies refuge and cover for Universal Jihadists—which is why its purported "ally," the United States, has poured billions of dollars and rivers of American blood into a rigged game, and gets nowhere.

Pakistan is also the cradle of Sharia law. Faisal Shahzad, the fizzled Times Square Bomber, was an alumnus of the College of Sharia at Minhaj International University in Lahore, Pakistan. The U.S. State Department was duped into secretly backing a plan for Sharia law to be instituted in Pakistan's Swat Valley area, on the naive belief that one Taliban group would be better than another Taliban group. Of course, both Taliban groups actually wanted Sharia implemented, and it was all coordinated by the ISI, so

the U.S. was simply played as a mark in the shell game, as usual.

One thing the State Department has gotten almost right—and even a broken clock is right twice a day—is the declaration that Iran is a leading state sponsor of worldwide terrorism. Iran, though, is merely the most artless state sponsor, and is a handmaiden to the covert financing and sponsorship of terrorist movements by Saudi Arabia.

Together, Saudi Arabia, Pakistan, and Iran are the unholy triumvirate that dominates the transnational nation of all Muslims, and that sponsors, protects, teaches, develops, and glorifies Islamic terrorism throughout the world. This is the Axis of Jihad.

### The Solution

The free nations of the world must organize a United Front of Victims of Jihad against the Axis of Jihad: Saudi Arabia, Iran, and Pakistan.

The Axis of Jihad nations must be expelled from the community of nations and all international organizations until they are demilitarized, secularized, and democratized.

The United States, and all free nations, must strictly control immigration from the Islamic world. United State Code Title 8, Chapter 12, Subchapter II, Part II, § 1182, "Inadmissible Aliens," forbids "any immigrant who is or has been a member of or affiliated with the Communist or any other totalitarian party (or subdivision or affiliate thereof), domestic or foreign."

A "totalitarian party" is defined in the code:

"An organization which advocates the establishment in the United States of a totalitarian dictatorship or totalitarianism. The terms 'totalitarian dictatorship' and 'totalitarianism' mean and refer to systems of government not representative in fact, characterized by . . . the existence of a single political party, organized on a dictatorial basis, with so close an identity between such party and its policies and the governmental policies of the country in which it exists, that the party and the government constitute an indistinguishable unit."

As the section above on Islamic constitutions proves beyond any doubt, Islam inarguably is a totalitarian political party at its core. Everywhere it gains power, its "policies and governmental policies" are exclusively and only Islamic dogma, and "the party"—Islam—"and the government constitute an indistinguishable unit," because Islam commands that Islam and government be one and the same.

Only liberal, pluralistic, and secular democracies are the hope for the Middle East and for all of humanity. No government with the supremacist totalitarianism of Islam and Sharia as its overarching constitutional law can be admitted to the community of nations or to any organization of nations that honors the freedoms and rights of Man.

Free nations must officially declare Islam to be a de jure state, and treat it as such, and treat every one of its adherents as citizens of the transnational Islamic state.

Saudi Arabia must pay for exporting imperialist Wahhabi doctrine and terror. Nations of the United Front of Victims of Jihad must bill Saudi Arabia for all of the damage, destruction, and deaths caused by Saudi machinations and money.



Fifteen of the 9/11 mass murderers were from Saudi Arabia, as is Osama bin Laden.

Nations of the United Front of Victims of Jihad must support democratic movements and current revolutions in Egypt, Tunisia, Libya, Yemen, Bahrain, and everywhere in the Islamic world. The hope is that Man's longing for freedom will triumph in those countries, and that their people will get up off their knees and throw off the archaic yokes of slavery that Islam has put on the necks of their ancestors and on their own necks. If Islamic fundamentalists come to power instead, those Islamic countries must be isolated from the rest of humanity by permanent embargoes.

If and when secularist governments come to power, and expunge Islamic doctrine from their constitutions and laws, then, and only then, should those nations be selectively supported by progressive and free governments worldwide and invited back into the community of nations—on probation.

Every civilization in the world has been subjected to the supremacist totalitarian imperialism of Islam. Surely, we can build a United Front of Victims of Jihad: from Jews to Hindus to Christians to atheists, from conservatives to progressives to independents, from Germans to Polynesians to Chinese to Irish to Greek, from every race, creed, and color the world around.

If we cannot, then we likely are not able to score above room temperature on an IQ test, and deserve our fate.



### About the Author

Vijay Kumar, a native of Hyderabad, India and a long-time resident of Nashville, was living in Iran during the 1979 Islamic Revolution. He immigrated to the United States to escape the tyranny he had seen there, and to seek the rights and freedoms guaranteed by the Constitution. His love for and devotion to the ideals of the Constitution led him to run for U.S. Congress in the Republican primary for Tennessee's Fifth District in 2010 on an anti-Jihad, anti-Sharia platform. He can be reached at [reasoninrevolt@aol.com](mailto:reasoninrevolt@aol.com).