22 Maple Avenue, Morristown, NJ 07960

FOR RELEASE: Embargoed Until Noon ET, October 18, 2004 CONTACT: Rick Walls, NFF Director of Operations Matt Sweeney, NFF Special Projects Coord. 973-829-1933



or Bob Burda, Assistant Commissioner, Big 12 Conference 214-753-0107 2004 BOWL CHAMPIONSHIP SERIES STANDINGS

(Games Through Oct. 16, 2004)

	Store OTA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,								
		As	sociated F	Press	US	SA Today/I	ESPN	Computers				
	OF FIN	Rank	Points	%	Rank	Points	%	Avg. Comp. Rank	Points	%	BCS Average	Previous
1.	Southern California	1	1610	.991	1	1514	.993	1	99	.990	.9912	NR
2.	Miami (FL)	4	1448	.891	3	1380	.905	2	96	.960	.9187	NR
3.	Oklahoma	2	1567	.964	2	1470	.964	5	82	.820	.9161	NR
4.	Auburn	3	1478	.910	4	1359	.891	3	91	.910	.9036	NR
5.	Florida State	5	1304	.802	5	1234	.809	6	81	.810	.8072	NR
6.	Wisconsin	6	1240	.763	7	1088	.713	8	70	.700	.7255	NR
7.	Utah	9	1072	.660	10	1015	.666	4	85	.850	.7251	NR
8.	California	7	1215	.748	8	1071	.702	12	57	.570	.6733	NR
9.	Tennessee	11	999	.615	13	815	.534	7	75	.750	.6331	NR
10.	Georgia	10	1040	.640	6	1124	.737	14	45	.450	.6090	NR
11.	Texas	8	1081	.665	9	1026	.673	13	47	.470	.6027	NR
12.	Purdue	12	957	.589	12	832	.546	15	44	.440	.5248	NR
13.	Michigan	13	895	.551	11	943	.618	16	35	.350	.5064	NR
14.	Boise State	19	467	.287	16	557	.365	10	64	.640	.4309	NR
15.	Virginia	14	738	.454	15	665	.436	17	34	.340	.4101	NR
16.	Texas A&M	17	561	.345	20	404	.265	11	61	.610	.4067	NR
17.	Louisville	15T	627	.386	18	482	.316	20	30	.300	.3340	NR
18.	Arizona State	21	305	.188	23	194	.127	9	66	.660	.3250	NR
19.	Louisiana State	18	528	.325	17	489	.321	22	20	.200	.2819	NR
20.	West Virginia	15T	627	.386	14	669	.439	NR	0	.000	.2748	NR
21.	Oklahoma State	22	273	.168	21	272	.178	18	33	.330	.2255	NR
22.	Florida	20	450	.277	19	447	.293	NR	0	.000	.1900	NR
23.		24	134	.082	25	92	.060	21	22	.220	.1209	NR
	UAB	27	41	.025	32	19	.012	19	32	.320	.1192	NR
25.	Virginia Tech	23	194	.119	22	253	.166	NR	0	.000	.0951	NR

#### **EXPLANATION:**

Team percentages are derived by dividing a team's actual voting points by a maximum 1625 possible points in the AP Poll and 1525 possible points in the USA Today/ESPN Coaches Poll.

Six computer rankings calculated in inverse points order (25 for #1, 24 for #2, etc.) are used to determine the overall computer component. The best and worst ranking for each team is dropped, and the remaining four are added and divided by 100 (the maximum possible points) to produce a Computer Rankings Percentage. The six computer ranking providers are Anderson & Hester, Richard Billingsley, Colley Matrix, Kenneth Massey, Jeff Sagarin, and Peter Wolfe. Each computer ranking accounts for schedule strength in its formula. Their individual weekly rankings of all teams may be found at www.BCSfootball.org.



The BCS Average is calculated by averaging the percent totals of the Associated Press, USA Today/ESPN Coaches, and Computer polls.

WWW.BCSFOOTBALL.ORG

22 Maple Avenue, Morristown, NJ 07960

FOR RELEASE: Embargoed Until Noon ET, October 25, 2004 CONTACT: Rick Walls, NFF Director of Operations Matt Sweeney, NFF Special Projects Coord. 973-829-1933



or Bob Burda, Assistant Commissioner, Big 12 Conference 214-753-0107 2004 BOWL CHAMPIONSHIP SERIES STANDINGS

(Games Through Oct. 23, 2004)

Serve and							,				
	A	ssociated P	ress	U	SA Today /	ESPN		Computers	1		Previous
and an I was	Rank	Points	%	Rank	Points	%	Avg. Comp. Rank	Points	%	BCS Average	
1. Southern California	1	1610	.991	1	1513	.992	2	97	.970	.9843	1
2. Oklahoma	2	1567	.964	2	1469	.963	4	87	.870	.9325	3
3. Miami	4	1441	.887	3	1380	.905	1	98	.980	.9239	2
4. Auburn	3	1486	.914	4	1358	.890	3	89	.890	.8983	4
5. Florida State	5	1266	.779	5	1218	.799	7	75	.750	.7759	5
6. Utah	9	1103	.679	10	1001	.656	5	83	.830	.7217	7
7. Wisconsin	6	1265	.778	6	1152	.755	11	62	.620	.7180	6
8. California	7	1234	.759	8	1066	.699	14	47	.470	.6428	8
9. Georgia	10	1039	.639	7	1127	.739	12T	48	.480	.6195	10
10. Texas	8	1125	.692	9	1032	.677	12T	48	.480	.6163	11
11. Tennessee	11	1006	.619	12	864	.567	10	66	.660	.6152	9
12. Michigan	12	985	.606	11	970	.636	15T	46	.460	.5674	13
13. Boise State	18	552	.340	15	638	.418	9	69	.690	.4827	14
14. Texas A&M	16	639	.393	17	503	.330	8	71	.710	.4777	16
15. Arizona State	20T	425	.262	21	346	.227	6	77	.770	.4195	18
16. Virginia	13	765	.471	14	660	.433	18	35	.350	.4179	15
17. Louisville	14	728	.448	16	582	.382	17	36	.360	.3965	17
18. West Virginia	15	686	.422	13	738	.484	22	14	.140	.3487	20
19. Oklahoma State	20T	425	.262	20	415	.272	15T	46	.460	.3312	21
20. Louisiana State	19	461	.284	18	477	.313	19	24	.240	.2788	19
21. Purdue	17	553	.340	19	457	.300	23	12	.120	.2533	12
22. Virginia Tech	22	289	.178	22	338	.222	NR	0	.000	.1332	25
23. Iowa	23	191	.118	24	89	.058	20T	21	.210	.1286	NR
24. UTEP	33	5	.003	39	3	.002	20T	21	.210	.0717	NR
25. Minnesota	24	93	.057	23	176	.115	NR	1	.010	.0609	NR

#### EXPLANATION:

Team percentages are derived by dividing a team's actual voting points by a maximum 1625 possible points in the AP Poll and 1525 possible points in the USA Today/ESPN Coaches Poll.

Six computer rankings calculated in inverse points order (25 for #1, 24 for #2, etc.) are used to determine the overall computer component. The best and worst ranking for each team is dropped, and the remaining four are added and divided by 100 (the maximum possible points) to produce a Computer Rankings Percentage. The six computer ranking providers are Anderson & Hester, Richard Billingsley, Colley Matrix, Kenneth Massey, Jeff Sagarin, and Peter Wolfe. Each computer ranking accounts for schedule strength in its formula. Their individual weekly rankings of all teams may be found at <a href="http://www.BCSfootball.org">www.BCSfootball.org</a>.



The BCS Average is calculated by averaging the percent totals of the Associated Press, USA Today/ESPN Coaches, and Computer polls.

WWW.BCSFOOTBALL.ORG

22 Maple Avenue, Morristown, NJ 07960

FOR RELEASE: Embargoed Until Noon ET, November 1, 2004 CONTACT: Rick Walls, NFF Director of Operations Matt Sweeney, NFF Special Projects Coord. 973-829-1933



or Bob Burda, Assistant Commissioner, Big 12 Conference 214-753-0107 2004 BOWL CHAMPIONSHIP SERIES STANDINGS

(Games Through Oct. 30, 2004)

136	Associated Press			USA Today / ESPN				Computers	-			
		А		1033					computers			
	L OF VAL	Rank	Points	%	Rank	Points	%	Avg. Comp. Rank	Points	%	BCS Average	Previous
1. So	uthern California	1	1616	.9945	1	1516	.9941	T-1	98	.980	.9895	1
2. Ok	lahoma	2	1556	.9575	2	1459	.9567	T-1	98	.980	.9648	2
3. Au	burn	3	1507	.9274	3	1409	.9239	3	92	.920	.9238	4
4. Cal	lifornia	4	1362	.8382	6	1200	.7869	5	79	.790	.8050	8
5. Wis	sconsin	5	1359	.8363	4	1262	.8275	11	61	.610	.7579	7
6. Uta	ah	7	1212	.7458	8	1087	.7128	6	77	.770	.7429	6
7. Tex	xas	6	1230	.7569	7	1150	.7541	9	70	.700	.7370	10
8. Ter	nnessee	9	1132	.6966	11	931	.6105	4	83	.830	.7124	11
9. Ge	orgia	8	1162	.7151	5	1221	.8007	12	59	.590	.7019	9
10. Mia	ami	11	1035	.6369	10	979	.6420	10	68	.680	.6530	3
11. Mic	chigan	10	1062	.6535	9	1025	.6721	13	50	.500	.6086	12
12. Bo	ise State	16	685	.4215	15	724	.4748	7	75	.750	.5488	13
13. Flo	orida State	13	803	.4942	T-13	743	.4872	T-14	44	.440	.4738	5
14. Vir	ginia	12	815	.5015	T-13	743	.4872	16	38	.380	.4563	16
15. Lou	uisville	14	793	.4880	16	681	.4466	18	32	.320	.4182	17
16. We	est Virginia	15	754	.4640	12	784	.5141	19	27	.270	.4160	18
17. Loi	uisiana State	17	557	.3428	17	576	.3777	20	25	.250	.3235	20
18. Ari	izona State	23	189	.1163	23	144	.0944	8	74	.740	.3169	15
19. Ok	lahoma State	19	469	.2886	19	352	.2308	17	37	.370	.2965	19
20. Vir	ginia Tech	18	515	.3169	18	503	.3298	23	12	.120	.2556	22
21. Iow	va	20	416	.2560	20	341	.2236	21	21	.210	.2299	23
22. Tex	xas A&M	22	206	.1268	22	151	.0990	T-14	44	.440	.2219	14
23. So	uthern Miss	21	209	.1286	21	239	.1567	25	10	.100	.1284	NR
24. UT	EP	25	69	.0425	30	28	.0184	22	17	.170	.0769	24
25. Bo	ston College	24	111	.0683	25	102	.0669	NR	0	.000	.0451	NR

#### **EXPLANATION:**

Team percentages are derived by dividing a team's actual voting points by a maximum 1625 possible points in the AP Poll and 1525 possible points in the USA Today/ESPN Coaches Poll.

Six computer rankings calculated in inverse points order (25 for #1, 24 for #2, etc.) are used to determine the overall computer component. The best and worst ranking for each team is dropped, and the remaining four are added and divided by 100 (the maximum possible points) to produce a Computer Rankings Percentage. The six computer ranking providers are Anderson & Hester, Richard Billingsley, Colley Matrix, Kenneth Massey, Jeff Sagarin, and Peter Wolfe. Each computer ranking accounts for schedule strength in its formula. Their individual weekly rankings of all teams may be found at www.BCSfootball.org.



The BCS Average is calculated by averaging the percent totals of the Associated Press, USA Today/ESPN Coaches, and Computer polls.

WWW.BCSFOOTBALL.ORG

Associated Press

22 Maple Avenue, Morristown, NJ 07960

FOR RELEASE: Embargoed Until Noon ET, November 8, 2004 **CONTACT:** Rick Walls, NFF Director of Operations Matt Sweeney, NFF Special Projects Coord. 973-829-1933

or Bob Burda, Assistant Commissioner, Big 12 Conference 214-753-0107

Computers

## **2004 BOWL CHAMPIONSHIP SERIES STANDINGS**

(Games Through	Nov.	6, 200	)4)
----------------	------	--------	-----

USA Today / ESPN

south and the second second				,,,			e e partor e				
OF FAME	Rank	Points	%	Rank	Points	%	Avg. Comp. Rank	Points	%	BCS Average	Previous
1. Southern California	1	1611	.9914	1	1514	.9928	2	97	.970	.9847	1
2. Oklahoma	2	1555	.9569	2	1452	.9521	1	99	.990	.9664	2
3. Auburn	3	1512	.9305	3	1416	.9285	3	87	.870	.9097	3
4. California	5	1341	.8252	6	1203	.7889	4	86	.860	.8247	4
5. Wisconsin	4	1384	.8517	4	1289	.8452	9	70	.700	.7990	5
6. Texas	6	1259	.7748	7	1169	.7666	5	83	.830	.7904	7
7. Utah	7	1245	.7662	8	1124	.7370	8	75	.750	.7511	6
8. Georgia	8	1210	.7446	5	1233	.8085	13	49	.490	.6810	9
9. Michigan	9	1133	.6972	9	1060	.6951	10	59	.590	.6608	11
10. Boise State	14	788	.4849	13	782	.5128	T-6	78	.780	.5926	12
11. Virginia	10	971	.5975	11	877	.5751	T-11	52	.520	.5642	14
12. Florida State	11	887	.5458	12	868	.5692	15	44	.440	.5183	13
13. West Virginia	13	862	.5305	10	886	.5810	20	29	.290	.4671	16
14. Louisville	12	866	.5329	14	727	.4767	18	37	.370	.4599	15
15. Tennessee	15	654	.4025	18	466	.3056	T-11	52	.520	.4093	8
16. Arizona State	20	345	.2123	20	331	.2170	T-6	78	.780	.4031	18
17. Miami (FL)	18	593	.3649	17	568	.3725	14	46	.460	.3991	10
18. Virginia Tech	16	631	.3883	16	582	.3816	16	41	.410	.3933	20
19. Louisiana State	17	628	.3865	15	649	.4256	22	17	.170	.3273	17
20. Iowa	19	522	.3212	19	450	.2951	19	36	.360	.3254	21
21. Texas A&M	22	160	.0985	23	150	.0984	17	39	.390	.1956	22
22. Oklahoma State	25	131	.0806	24	138	.0905	21	20	.200	.1237	19
23. Boston College	21	254	.1563	21	244	.1600	NR	0	.000	.1054	25
24. UTEP	23	148	.0911	27	68	.0446	23	15	.150	.0952	24
25. Notre Dame	24	143	.0880	28	66	.0433	24	7	.070	.0671	NR

#### **EXPLANATION:**

Team percentages are derived by dividing a team's actual voting points by a maximum 1625 possible points in the AP Poll and 1525 possible points in the USA Today/ESPN Coaches Poll.

Six computer rankings calculated in inverse points order (25 for #1, 24 for #2, etc.) are used to determine the overall computer component. The best and worst ranking for each team is dropped, and the remaining four are added and divided by 100 (the maximum possible points) to produce a Computer Rankings Percentage. The six computer ranking providers are Anderson & Hester, Richard Billingsley, Colley Matrix, Kenneth Massey, Jeff Sagarin, and Peter Wolfe. Each computer ranking accounts for schedule strength in its formula. Their individual weekly rankings of all teams may be found at www.BCSfootball.org.



The BCS Average is calculated by averaging the percent totals of the Associated Press, USA Today/ESPN Coaches, and Computer polls.

WWW.BCSFOOTBALL.ORG

22 Maple Avenue, Morristown, NJ 07960

FOR RELEASE: Embargoed Until Noon ET, November 15, 2004 CONTACT: Rick Walls, NFF Director of Operations Matt Sweeney, NFF Special Projects Coord. 973-829-1933



Bob Burda, Assistant Commissioner, Big 12 Conference 214-753-0107 2004 BOWL CHAMPIONSHIP SERIES STANDINGS

(Games Through Nov. 13, 2004)

	SECTO AND			•		0						
5		A	ssociated F	Press	US	SA Today /	ESPN		Computer	S		
	COLL OF TARE	Rank	Points	%	Rank	Points	%	Avg. Comp. Rank	Points	%	BCS Average	Previous
1.	Southern California	1	1608	.9895	1	1514	.9928	2	96	.960	.9808	1
2.	Oklahoma	T-2	1536	.9452	2	1435	.9410	1	100	1.000	.9621	2
3.	Auburn	T-2	1536	.9452	3	1433	.9397	3	92	.920	.9350	3
4.	California	4	1409	.8671	4	1311	.8597	5	83	.830	.8522	4
5.	Texas	6	1301	.8006	5	1222	.8013	4	84	.840	.8140	6
6.	Utah	5	1316	.8098	6	1203	.7889	6	82	.820	.8062	7
7.	Michigan	7	1279	.7871	7	1191	.7810	9	68	.680	.7494	9
8.	Florida State	10	1004	.6178	8	999	.6551	13	49	.490	.5876	12
9.	Boise State	13	797	.4905	12	827	.5423	8	72	.720	.5843	10
10	Louisville	8	1046	.6437	11	880	.5770	14	46	.460	.5602	14
11	Georgia	11	979	.6025	10	947	.6210	16	42	.420	.5478	8
12	Miami (FL)	12	834	.5132	13	774	.5075	10	62	.620	.5469	17
13	Wisconsin	9	1012	.6228	9	948	.6216	18	38	.380	.5415	5
14	Arizona State	20	442	.2720	21	411	.2695	7	76	.760	.4338	16
15		T-15	733	.4511	15	688	.4511	17	39	.390	.4307	18
16	LSU	14	786	.4837	14	771	.5056	19	29	.290	.4264	19
17	1011100000	T-15	733	.4511	16	580	.3803	15	44	.440	.4238	15
	lowa	17	626	.3852	17	519	.3403	11	51	.510	.4119	20
19	Virginia	18	541	.3329	18	501	.3285	21	26	.260	.3071	11
20	Texas A&M	22	274	.1686	22	272	.1784	12	50	.500	.2823	21
21	Boston College	19	490	.3015	19	433	.2839	23	6	.060	.2152	23
22	••••••	23	223	.1372	23	229	.1502	20	28	.280	.1891	22
23	West Virginia	21	330	.2031	20	419	.2748	NR	2	.020	.1659	13

#### **EXPLANATION:**

25. Bowling Green

24. UTEP

Team percentages are derived by dividing a team's actual voting points by a maximum 1625 possible points in the AP Poll and 1525 possible points in the USA Today/ESPN Coaches Poll.

.0935

.0258

25

24

87

117

.0570

.0767

19

0

22

NR

.190

.000

24

25

152

42

Six computer rankings calculated in inverse points order (25 for #1, 24 for #2, etc.) are used to determine the overall computer component. The best and worst ranking for each team is dropped, and the remaining four are added and divided by 100 (the maximum possible points) to produce a Computer Rankings Percentage. The six computer ranking providers are Anderson & Hester, Richard Billingsley, Colley Matrix, Kenneth Massey, Jeff Sagarin, and Peter Wolfe. Each computer ranking accounts for schedule strength in its formula. Their individual weekly rankings of all teams may be found at <u>www.BCSfootball.org</u>.



.1135

.0342

24

NR

The BCS Average is calculated by averaging the percent totals of the Associated Press, USA Today/ESPN Coaches, and Computer polls.

WWW.BCSFOOTBALL.ORG

22 Maple Avenue, Morristown, NJ 07960

FOR RELEASE: Embargoed Until Noon ET, November 22, 2004 CONTACT: Rick Walls, NFF Director of Operations Matt Sweeney, NFF Special Projects Coord. 973-829-1933



Bob Burda, Assistant Commissioner, Big 12 Conference 214-753-0107 2004 BOWL CHAMPIONSHIP SERIES STANDINGS

(Games Through Nov. 20, 2004)

	SELECT OF			•		0						
4		A	ssociated F	Press	US	SA Today /	ESPN		Computer	s		
	OF VIN	Rank	Points	%	Rank	Points	%	Avg. Comp. Rank	Points	%	BCS Average	Previous
1.	Southern California	1	1603	.9865	1	1510	.9902	2	96	.960	.9789	1
2.	Oklahoma	2	1541	.9483	2	1440	.9443	1	100	1.000	.9642	2
3.	Auburn	3	1536	.9452	3	1436	.9416	3	92	.920	.9356	3
4.	California	4	1413	.8695	4	1299	.8518	5	83	.830	.8504	4
5.	Texas	6	1323	.8142	5	1260	.8262	4	85	.850	.8301	5
6.	Utah	5	1340	.8246	6	1246	.8170	6	81	.810	.8172	6
7.	Boise State	10	920	.5662	10	887	.5816	7	76	.760	.6359	9
8.	Georgia	8	1093	.6726	7	1092	.7161	T-12	50	.500	.6296	11
9.	Miami (FL)	9	1030	.6338	9	950	.6230	10	62	.620	.6256	12
10.	Louisville	7	1166	.7175	8	1021	.6695	T-15	45	.450	.6123	10
11.	lowa	12	878	.5403	14	704	.4616	9	63	.630	.5440	18
12.		13	863	.5311	13	813	.5331	14	47	.470	.5114	7
13.	LSU	14	839	.5163	12	841	.5515	T-15	45	.450	.5059	16
	Virginia Tech	11	884	.5440	11	849	.5567	17	37	.370	.4902	15
	Tennessee	15	786	.4837	15	684	.4485	T-12	50	.500	.4774	17
16.		18	535	.3292	20	421	.2761	8	74	.740	.4484	14
	Virginia	16	594	.3655	16	569	.3731	18	36	.360	.3662	19
	Florida State	19	523	.3218	17	562	.3685	21	21	.210	.3001	8
19.		22	289	.1778	22	274	.1797	11	53	.530	.2958	20
20.		20	509	.3132	18	507	.3325	20	23	.230	.2919	13
21.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17	548	.3372	19	478	.3134	T-23	6	.060	.2369	21
22.	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	23	224	.1378	23	230	.1508	19	28	.280	.1896	22
	West Virginia	21	319	.1963	21	405	.2656	NR	1	.010	.1573	23
24.	UTEP	24	163	.1003	25	104	.0682	22	18	.180	.1162	24

#### **EXPLANATION**:

25. Bowling Green

Team percentages are derived by dividing a team's actual voting points by a maximum 1625 possible points in the AP Poll and 1525 possible points in the USA Today/ESPN Coaches Poll.

.0252

24

141

.0925

NR

0

.000

26

41

Six computer rankings calculated in inverse points order (25 for #1, 24 for #2, etc.) are used to determine the overall computer component. The best and worst ranking for each team is dropped, and the remaining four are added and divided by 100 (the maximum possible points) to produce a Computer Rankings Percentage. The six computer ranking providers are Anderson & Hester, Richard Billingsley, Colley Matrix, Kenneth Massey, Jeff Sagarin, and Peter Wolfe. Each computer ranking accounts for schedule strength in its formula. Their individual weekly rankings of all teams may be found at <u>www.BCSfootball.org</u>.



.0392

25

The BCS Average is calculated by averaging the percent totals of the Associated Press, USA Today/ESPN Coaches, and Computer polls.

WWW.BCSFOOTBALL.ORG

22 Maple Avenue, Morristown, NJ 07960

FOR RELEASE: Embargoed Until Noon ET, November 29, 2004 CONTACT: Rick Walls, NFF Director of Operations Matt Sweeney, NFF Special Projects Coord. 973-829-1933

RCS

puters



Bob Burda, Assistant Commissioner, Big 12 Conference 214-753-0107 2004 BOWL CHAMPIONSHIP SERIES STANDINGS

DOTA		(Games Through Nov. 27, 2004)											
	A	ssociated I	Press	US	SA Today /	Comp							
TEMP	Rank	Points	%	Rank	Points	%	Avg. Comp. Rank	Poir					
hern California	1	1610	.9908	1	1509	.9895	2	9.					

	Denk	Delete	0/	Denk	Delete	0/	Comp. Rank	Points	0/	BCS	Previous
	Rank	Points	%	Rank	Points	%			%	Average	
1. Southern California	1	1610	.9908	1	1509	.9895	2	97	.970	.9834	1
2. Oklahoma	2	1540	.9477	2	1442	.9456	1	99	.990	.9611	2
3. Auburn	3	1530	.9415	3	1435	.9410	3	92	.920	.9342	3
4. California	4	1410	.8677	4	1314	.8616	6	80	.800	.8431	4
5. Texas	6	1325	.8154	5	1266	.8302	4	88	.880	.8418	5
6. Utah	5	1342	.8258	6	1222	.8013	5	84	.840	.8224	6
7. Georgia	8	1094	.6732	7	1100	.7213	8	69	.690	.6948	8
8. Boise State	11	952	.5858	10	926	.6072	7	76	.760	.6510	7
9. Louisville	7	1175	.7231	8	1038	.6807	T-12	51	.510	.6379	10
10. Miami (FL)	9	1037	.6382	9	983	.6446	10	62	.620	.6342	9
11. LSU	13	877	.5397	12	887	.5816	9	64	.640	.5871	13
12. Virginia Tech	10	980	.6031	11	915	.6000	T-12	51	.510	.5710	14
13. Iowa	12	911	.5606	14	787	.5161	11	54	.540	.5389	11
14. Michigan	14	873	.5372	13	824	.5403	17	38	.380	.4859	12
15. Tennessee	15	802	.4935	15	706	.4630	15	49	.490	.4822	15
16. Florida State	16	628	.3865	16	606	.3974	21	24	.240	.3413	18
17. Wisconsin	17	612	.3766	17	568	.3725	19	26	.260	.3364	20
18. Virginia	18	469	.2886	18	411	.2695	18	30	.300	.2860	17
19. Arizona State	21	231	.1422	23	167	.1095	T-12	51	.510	.2539	16
20. Texas A&M	22	199	.1225	25	152	.0997	16	43	.430	.2174	19
21. Texas Tech	24	167	.1028	20	208	.1364	22	19	.190	.1431	NR
22. Florida	20	290	.1785	19	251	.1646	NR	0	.000	.1144	NR
23. Pittsburgh	19	304	.1871	21	193	.1266	NR	0	.000	.1045	NR
24. Oklahoma State	32	30	.0185	31	52	.0341	20	25	.250	.1009	22
25. Ohio State	25	143	.0880	22	172	.1128	25	5	.050	.0836	NR
	20	140	.0000	~~	112		20	0	.000	.0000	

#### **EXPLANATION:**

Team percentages are derived by dividing a team's actual voting points by a maximum 1625 possible points in the AP Poll and 1525 possible points in the USA Today/ESPN Coaches Poll.

Six computer rankings calculated in inverse points order (25 for #1, 24 for #2, etc.) are used to determine the overall computer component. The best and worst ranking for each team is dropped, and the remaining four are added and divided by 100 (the maximum possible points) to produce a Computer Rankings Percentage. The six computer ranking providers are Anderson & Hester, Richard Billingsley, Colley Matrix, Kenneth Massey, Jeff Sagarin, and Peter Wolfe. Each computer ranking accounts for schedule strength in its formula. Their individual weekly rankings of all teams may be found at <u>www.BCSfootball.org</u>.



The BCS Average is calculated by averaging the percent totals of the Associated Press, USA Today/ESPN Coaches, and Computer polls.

WWW.BCSFOOTBALL.ORG

22 Maple Avenue, Morristown, NJ 07960

FOR RELEASE: Embargoed Until 5:10 PM ET, December 5, 2004 CONTACT: Rick Walls, NFF Director of Operations Matt Sweeney, NFF Special Projects Coord. 973-829-1933

Bob Burda, Assistant Commissioner, Big 12 Conference 214-753-0107

# 2004 FINAL BOWL CHAMPIONSHIP SERIES STANDINGS

(Games Through December 4, 2004)

Ê		Associated Press			US	A Today /	USA Today / ESPN			5		
A.	OF THE	Rank	Points	%	Rank	Points	%	Avg. Comp. Rank	Points	%	BCS Average	Previous
1.	Southern California	1	1599	.9840	1	1490	.9770	2	97	.970	.9770	1
2.	Oklahoma	2	1556	.9575	2	1459	.9567	1	99	.990	.9681	2
3.	Auburn	3	1525	.9385	3	1435	.9410	3	92	.920	.9331	3
4.	Texas	6	1337	.8228	5	1281	.8400	4	88	.880	.8476	5
5.	California	4	1399	.8609	4	1286	.8433	6	80	.800	.8347	4
<b>6</b> .	Utah	5	1345	.8277	6	1215	.7967	5	83	.830	.8181	6
7.	Georgia	8	1117	.6874	7	1117	.7325	8	67	.670	.6966	7
8.	Virginia Tech	9	1111	.6837	9	1037	.6800	T-9	65	.650	.6712	12
9.	Boise State	10	960	.5908	10	943	.6184	7	76	.760	.6564	8
10.	Louisville	7	1183	.7280	8	1066	.6990	13	52	.520	.6490	9
11.	LSU	12	929	.5717	11	932	.6111	T-9	65	.650	.6109	11
12.	lowa	11	948	.5834	13	812	.5325	12	55	.550	.5553	13
13.	Michigan	13	917	.5643	12	874	.5731	17	38	.380	.5058	14
14.	Miami (FL)	14	776	.4775	14	738	.4839	T-14	45	.450	.4705	10
15.	Tennessee	15	651	.4006	17	559	.3666	T-14	45	.450	.4057	15
16.	Florida State	17	647	.3982	15	643	.4216	21	22	.220	.3466	16
17.	Wisconsin	16	648	.3988	16	599	.3928	20	24	.240	.3439	17
18.	Virginia	18	482	.2966	18	455	.2984	18	30	.300	.2983	18
19.	Arizona State	21	222	.1366	24	173	.1134	11	56	.560	.2700	19
<b>20</b> .	Texas A&M	22	213	.1311	25	147	.0964	16	44	.440	.2225	20
21.	Pittsburgh	19	415	.2554	20	318	.2085	NR	0	.000	.1546	23
22.	Texas Tech	23	168	.1034	21	234	.1534	22	19	.190	.1489	21
23.	Florida	20	325	.2000	19	324	.2125	NR	0	.000	.1375	22
24.	Oklahoma State	32	16	.0098	28	35	.0230	19	25	.250	.0943	24
<b>25</b> .	Ohio State	24	155	.0954	22	181	.1187	NR	4	.040	.0847	25

#### **EXPLANATION:**

Team percentages are derived by dividing a team's actual voting points by a maximum 1625 possible points in the AP Poll and 1525 possible points in the USA Today/ESPN Coaches Poll.

Six computer rankings calculated in inverse points order (25 for #1, 24 for #2, etc.) are used to determine the overall computer component. The best and worst ranking for each team is dropped, and the remaining four are added and divided by 100 (the maximum possible points) to produce a Computer Rankings Percentage. The six computer ranking providers are Anderson & Hester, Richard Billingsley, Colley Matrix, Kenneth Massey, Jeff Sagarin, and Peter Wolfe. Each computer ranking accounts for schedule strength in its formula. Their individual weekly rankings of all teams may be found at www.BCSfootball.org.



The BCS Average is calculated by averaging the percent totals of the Associated Press, USA Today/ESPN Coaches, and Computer polls.

WWW.BCSFOOTBALL.ORG