## Herbig Ae/Be Stars

- More massive YSO have earlier spectral types, and begin to overlap with the A and B stars
	- Many of the A-type stars have emission lines and other spectral peculiarities
	- To distinguish these stars from older emission line-stars, Herbig (1960 ApJS 4 337) selected a group of Ae or Be type stars with associated bright nebulosity and which were in obscured regions
- These stars have IR excess due to circumstellar dust
	- Circumstellar dust distinguishes YSOs in this mass range from classical Ae and Be type stars
	- Classical Ae and Be stars often have IR excesses
		- + Due to free-free emission from circumstellar gas disk (free-free)

#### T Tauri Stars & Herbig Ae/Be Stars

- $\bullet$  T Tauri stars have long PMS evolution
	- 10-100 Myr
- **.** Herbig Ae/Be stars are 2-10  $M_{\odot}$ 
	- $\bullet$  t<sub>PMS</sub> < 10 Myr
- For M  $>$  5 M<sub>®</sub> there is no PMS phase
	- *Birthline* indicates approximate location where YSOs become visible



#### IR Excess of HeAeBe Stars

- Spectral energy distribution (SED) of AB Aur [Hillenbrand et al's (1992) group I] and PV Cep (group II)
	- Squares are observed fluxes
	- Circles are extinction corrected
	- Note the onset of an IR excess already at 1-2 µm



## IR Spectra of HAe/Be Stars

- ISO spectra of Hae/Bes show a rich variety of solid state bands
	- Silicates (amorphous and crystalline)
		- + Interstellar silicates are amorphous
	- FeO,
	- polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs),
	- Crystalline  $H_2O$  ice



### HD 100546 & Comet Hale-Bopp

**ISO-SWS spectrum** of the Herbig Ae star HD 100546 (full line) compared to the spectrum of comet Hale-Bopp



## Interplanetary & Interstellar Dust



#### HAeBes are Progenitors of Debris Disks Stars

- A stars host debris disks
	- Vega,  $\beta$  Pic, Fomalhaut
- Dust removed by P-R effect & replenished by erosion of planetisimals
- $\bullet$  Warps & blobs may be excited by planets



## IR Properties of YSO

- IRAS/ISO data for of HAe/Be stars give examples of the information that only IR observations can yield
	- IR observations may yield the only method of study
	- of YSO deeply buried in molecular clouds
	- IR spectral energy distribution classification
		- +  $\lambda F_{\lambda} \sim \lambda^{S}$
		- $+ s = -3$  star
		- + s = -4/3 accretion disk
			- $\cdot$  s < -4/3 class III
			- $\leftrightarrow$  -4/3 < s < 0 class II
			- $\cdot$  s > 0 class I
		- + IR properties of YSO cannot be understood in terms of spherical dust clouds



## IR Spectra of Class I Objects

- Sources where most of the energy is radiated in the IR
	- Cool dust continuum *T* ≈ 35 K
	- Many absorption features (d'Hendecourt et al. 1996 AA 315 L365)
		- + Deep, broad 9.7 & 18 µm Si absorption
		- $+$  3 & 6µm H<sub>2</sub>O ice
		- + 4.27 & 15.2  $\mu$ m CO<sub>2</sub> ice
		- $+ 7.7 \mu m CH<sub>4</sub>$



### IR Spectra of Class I Objects



o The 2.5-18 µm spectrum of RAFGL 7009S compared to the laboratory spectrum of a ultraviolet photolysed ice mixture  $H_2O:CO:CH_4:NH_3:O_2$ 

#### Collapse & Accretion of Stars

#### • YSO are not on the main sequence

- Main sequence?
	- + Hydrostatic equilibrium
	- +Surface radiant energy loss balanced by thermonuclear burning of H
- Initially the central temperatures of YSO are too cool for for H fusion

+Energy lost must be balanced by the release of gravitational potential energy

• The location of a YSO in the HR diagram is a clue to its age

## Pre-Main Sequence Evolution

- A reliable understanding of pre-main sequence evolution would reveal many details of star formation
	- What is the star formation history?
		- + How long does star formation last?
		- + Which stars form first?
		- + What is the relation between young stars in adjacent regions?
		- + How long does circumstellar material persist?
	- What is the evolutionary status of various YSO + CTTS vs. WTTS?
		- + How quickly do planets form?
	- What is the origin of the initial mass function?

## Stars Near the Sun

- Young stars in the solar neighborhood showing  $M_K$  vs V-K color of main sequence and premain sequence stars
	- All stars have **Hipparcos** parallaxes
	- Isochrones for solar [Fe/H] from four groups plotted at 10 & 100 Myr
	- Hyades (600 Myr) and late-type Gliese indicate the main sequence



#### Evolving Circumstellar Environment

**.** Debris disk studies suggest that the quantity of circumstellar material declines rapidly with age:  $M \propto t^{-2}$ 



## Young Low Mass Stars in Orion

- Spectral type & luminosity for  $\sim$  1700 stars within 2.5 pc of the Trapezium cluster (Hillenbrand 1997 AJ 112 1733)
- Youthful population
	- Lies above the main sequence
	- Age < 1-2 Myr



#### HR Diagram for Low Mass Stars in Orion



# Age Spread in IC 348?

- **.** 110 T Tauri stars in IC 348
	- $\bullet$  H $\alpha$
	- **+** ROSAT
- Apparent age  $\sim$  0.7 -12 Myr
- $\bullet$  Mean  $\sim$  1.3 Myr
	- **o** Reddening for stars of known spectral type

 $A_V = 2.8$  mag assumed

**/** Astrometric nonmembers



## Disk Lifetime?

- **JHKL excess/disk fraction** as a function of mean cluster age (Haisch et al. 2001 ApJL 553 153)
- The decline in the disk fraction vs.age suggests a disk lifetime ~ 6 Myr
	- Vertical bars represent the √*N* errors in derived excess/disk fractions
	- Horizontal bars represent the error in the mean of the individual source ages derived from a single set of PMS tracks
	- Systematic uncertainty is estimated by comparing ages from using different PMS tracks



# Estimating Ages

- **.** Derived ages for T Tauri stars depend to some extent on initial location in the HR diagram
	- *L* &  $T_{\text{eff}}$  at the end of protostellar accretion
		- + Disk accretion during the T Tauri phase (10<sup>-7</sup> M<sub>®</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup>) is insignificant
	- Low mass *protostars* may finish their primary accretion phase near the *birthline* (Stahler 1983 ApJ 274 822)
		- + The birthline is generally near the D-burning main sequence
		- + Whether the D-burning main sequence defines an exact starting point for for T Tauri stars depends on factors such as how much thermal energy is added during protostellar accretion
		- + The youngest low mass stars are observed near the birthline, but a definitive observational test does not yet exist
- $_{\rm AY\,216}$  + D-burning is insignificant for more massive stars (M > 5 M $_{\odot})$   $_{\rm 461}$

#### Pre-Main Sequence Evolution

- Before a YSO reaches the main sequence its interior is too cool for H fusion
	- The star contracts so that gravitational potential energy makes up for energy lost from the surface
- Pre-main sequence stars have convective interiors and hence nearly isentropic

$$
P\rho^{-\gamma} = K
$$

where  $n = 1/(\gamma-1)$  is the polytropic index

• g = 5/3 corresponds to *n* = 3/2 *polytrope*

- Mass radius relation  $M_* R_*^{1/3} = K$ 
	- *K* is determined by the boundary condition between the convective interior and the radiative atmosphere

## Hayashi Tracks

- **.** Hayashi (1961 PASJ 13 450) discovered a "forbidden zone" on the HR diagram
	- Opacity drops rapidly < 4000 K when H recombines
	- Photosphere must have large optical depth + Low opacity makes it impossible to match the radiative atmosphere to the convective interior
	- Initial contraction of low mass pre-main sequence stars tends to be at approximately constant temperature

## Hayashi Tracks

- **.** Hayashi 1961 PASJ 13 450
- **.** D'Antona & Mazzitelli 1994 ApJS 90 467



# Theoretical (Dis)Agreement

- **J** Variation between premain-sequence contraction tracks for masses
	- Swenson et al. 1994 ApJ 425 286 (solid)
	- D'Antona & Mazzitelli 1994 ApJS 90 467 (dotted)
	- Baraffe et al. 1998 A&A 337 403, (long-dash)
	- Palla & Stahler 1999 ApJ 525 772 (dotshort-dash)
	- Yi et al. 2001 ApJS 136 417 (long-dashshort-dash)



## Evolution of Polytropes

• The gravitational potential energy of polytrope is

 $W = -\frac{3}{5}$  $5 - n$ *GM*<sup>2</sup> *R*  $=-\frac{6}{7}$ 7  $GM<sup>2</sup>$ *R* For  $n = 3/2$ By the Virial theorem  $2T + W = 0$ Total energy  $E = T + W = -\frac{3}{7}$ 7 *GM*<sup>2</sup> *R*  $L =$ *dE dt*  $=-\frac{3}{7}$ 7 *GM*<sup>2</sup>  $R^2$ *dR dt*

## Hayashi Contraction

- The negative sign indicates that a decrease in the total stellar energy results in positive **luminosity** 
	- By the virial theorem half of the gravitational potential energy is converted into thermal energy and half is radiated

+ Negative specific heat capacity

• Consider Hayashi evolution is described by

 $T_{\text{eff}} = (L / 4 \pi \sigma R^2)^{1/4} \approx \text{const.}$ 

### Kelvin-Helmholtz Timescale

Combining the contraction luminosity with  $T_{\text{eff}}$  = const. yields

$$
L = L_0 \left(\frac{3t}{\tau_{KH}}\right)^{-2/3} \text{ where } \tau_{KH} = \frac{3}{7} \frac{GM^2}{L_0 R_0}
$$

- $\sigma$   $\tau$ <sub>KH</sub> is the Kelvin-Helmholtz timescale ~ *E/L* 
	- As the star ages it contracts and becomes fainter
	- The rate of decrease in *L* (and *R*) slows with time
- For a PMS object 0.8  $M_{\odot}$ , 2 R<sub> $\odot$ </sub>, & 1 L<sub>o</sub>
	- $\tau_{KH}$  = 4.3 x 10<sup>6</sup> yr and Hayashi contraction time is  $\tau_{KH}$  /3 = 1.4 x 10<sup>6</sup> yr

†

## Hayashi Contraction

- A factor of 10 in age corresponds to a factor of  $10^{2/3}$ or 1.7 mag. dimmer
	- A discrepancy with detailed models arises between 1-3 x 105 yr due to D-burning which occurs when central temperatures reach  $\approx 10^6$  K
	- D-burning slows stellar contraction, which continues when D is exhausted
	- Contraction is halted again by H fusion on the main sequence



#### Contraction of Low Mass Stars/Brown Dwarfs



#### Convective/Radiative Tracks

- Low mass stars remain convective until they reach the main sequence (*n* = 3/2 polytrope)
	- Path is ~ vertical on the HR diagram
	- More massive stars  $(> 0.7 M_{\odot})$  develop a radiative core (Henyey et al. 1955 PASP 67 154)
		- +Subsequent contraction is at *L* ~ constant
		- + Radiative stars have a well defined massluminosity relation
	- Stars < 0.3 M<sub>o</sub> are completely convective on the main sequence

#### Formation of Protostars

- Pre-main sequence tracks assume that low mass stars are formed high on convective Hayashi tracks
	- Why are there so few of these objects?
	- Perhaps stars evolve quickly through this region?
		- +  $\tau_{KH}$  ~ *M*<sup>2</sup>/*LR*
		- +For a uniform star formation rate *N*(*t*) ~  $L^{-3/2}$  when  $L \sim t^{2/3}$
	- Young stars are also likely to be the most heavily extincted
		- +But class I and III sources have the same median luminosity (Keyon & Hartmann 1995 ApJS 101 117)



#### Formation Timescales

- Stars cannot form arbitrarily high on Hayashi tracks (arbitrarily large *R*)
	- Finite time is required to accumulate the stellar matter
	- Characteristic accretion rate is *dM*/*dt* ~ *c*3/G  $+ 2 \times 10^{-6}$  (*T*/10 K) M<sub>o</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> + Time to assemble 1  $M_{\odot}$  star from a 20 K NH<sub>3</sub> core is 0.2 Myr
- Where does the gravitational potential energy go?

## Where Does the Energy Go?

- <sup>l</sup> Stahler Shu & Tamm (ApJ 1980 241 637) conclude efficient escape of accretion energy
	- Accretion energy is absorbed by the surrounding *spherical* dusty envelope
	- A 1 M<sub>o</sub> protostar emerges with a radius  $\sim$  5 R<sub>o</sub>



# Where Does the Energy Go?

- $M_0 = 0.01 M_{\odot} R_0 = 3.5 R_{\odot}$ •  $dM/dt = 10^{-5} M_{\odot}$  yr<sup>-1</sup> for 10<sup>5</sup> yr
	- Accretion *shut off* at 1 M<sub>o</sub>
	- Gas photosphere cools at constant  $R$  for  $\sim$  1 day
	- Loiters for ~ 3000 yr on the D main-sequence
	- Followed by Hayashi contraction
- Accretion energy must be trapped to produce a protostellar core in hydrostatic equilibrium
- From the virial theorem computing the radius of a protostellar core reduces to finding the fraction of energy (including Dburning) trapped



## The Birthline

- Schematically star formation consists of two steps
	- Formation of a core in hydrostatic equilibrium
	- Quasi-static contraction to the main sequence
- Step (1) is complex
	- 3-d Radiation-MHD
	- Vast range of spatial scales  $R \sim 10^{11}$  10<sup>17</sup> cm
- Stahler (1983 ApJ 274 822) says skip (1)
	- D-burning enforces a strong mass-radius relation once accretion terminates

## The Birthline

- <sup>l</sup> For large *dM/dt* deuterium is replenished and mixed into the convective core
	- Maintains significant D abundance
- . D burning rate is very sensitive to temperature,  $\varepsilon \sim T^{14.8}$ 
	- In hydrostatic equilibrium  $T_{core} \sim M_p/R_p$ 
		- + If the core temperature drops the protostar radius contracts until D burning re-ignites
		- $+$  The increase in  $L_p$  causes the protostar to expand
		- + D-burning enforces a constant  $M_p/R_p$
		- + The D main-sequence mass-radius relation defines the protostellar *birthline*

#### Comparison with Observations

- **c** Comparison with Taurus-Auriga T Tauri stars suggests rough agreement with the positions of the most luminous opticallyvisible stars
	- A few objects may lie above the birthline
	- Note—we have no way to estimate masses for class I objects





#### Comparison with Observations

- There is nothing in the birthline calculations which forbids accreting protostars to lie above the D-burning main sequence
- **.** By construction we have no details on core formation
	- The location of the Taurus-Auriga population implies a mass radius relation  $R \approx 6$  (*M* / M<sub>®</sub>) R<sub>®</sub>

or an accretion luminosity  $L = 10$  L<sub>o</sub> $(dM/dt / 2 \times 10^{-6}$  M<sub>o</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup>)

for our characteristic *dM/dt*

#### Comparison with Observations

- $\bullet$  Comparison with the luminosity function for Class I objects implies very log mass accretion rates—median  $dM/dt \sim 10^{-7}$  M<sub>o</sub>
	- Episodic accretion?
	- FU Orionis phenomenon 10<sup>4</sup> variation in *L*



