#### Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

## Session 9: Repeated Measures and Longitudinal Analysis I

Levi Waldron

**CUNY SPH Biostatistics 2** 

Levi Waldron

#### Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

## Learning objectives and outline

#### Levi Waldron

#### Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

## Learning objectives

Learning objectives:

- 1 Identify and define hierarchical and longitudinal data
- 2 Analyze correlated data using Analysis of Variance
- 3 Define and calculate Intraclass Correlation
- 4 Identify and define random and fixed effects

Textbook sections:

• Vittinghoff sections 7.1 (7.2-7.3 next class)

## Outline

#### Session 9: Repeated Measures and Longitudinal Analysis I

Levi Waldron

#### Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

- 1 Introduction to hierarchical and longitudinal data
  - 2 Fecal Fat example
  - 3 Correlations within subjects (ICC)
  - 4 Random and fixed effects

#### Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

### Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

#### Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

## What are hierarchical and longitudinal data?

- Knee radiographs are taken yearly in order to understand the onset of osteoarthritis
- An indicator of heart damage is measured at 1, 3, and 6 days following a brain hemorrhage.
- Groups of patients in a urinary incontinence trial are assembled from different treatment centers
- Susceptibility to tuberculosis is measured in family members
- A study of the choice of type of surgery to treat a brain aneurysm either by clipping the base of the aneurysm or implanting a small coil. The study is conducted by measuring the type of surgery a patient receives from a number of surgeons at a number of different institutions.

## What is the distinction between hierarchical and longitudinal data?

- Longitudinal data are repeated measures over time
- Longitudinal data are a type of hierarchical data
  - repeated measures are correlated, and nested within the observational unit (individual)
- Other non-longitudinal data can also be hierarchical

*Definition*: Hierarchical data are data (responses or predictors) collected from or specific to different levels within a study.

Session 9: Repeated Measures and Longitudinal Analysis I

#### Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

#### Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

## Important features of this type of data

- 1 The outcomes are correlated across observations
- 2 The predictor variables can be associated with different levels of a hierarchy. *e.g.* we might be interested in:
  - the volume of operations at the hospital,
  - whether it is a for-profit or not-for-profit hospital,
  - years of experience of the surgeon or where surgeons were trained,
  - how the choice of surgery type depends on the age and gender of the patient.

#### Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

#### Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

### **Fecal Fat example**

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

#### Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

## A Repeated Measures Example

- Lack of digestive enzymes in the intestine can cause bowel absorption problems.
  - This will be indicated by excess fat in the feces.
  - Pancreatic enzyme supplements can alleviate the problem.
  - fecfat.csv: a study of fecal fat quantity (g/day) for individuals given each of a placebo and 3 types of pills

Subject	Pill type	Subject			
number	None	Tablet	Capsule	Coated	Average
1	44.5	7.3	3.4	12.4	16.9
2	33.0	21.0	23.1	25.4	25.6
3	19.1	5.0	11.8	22.0	14.5
4	9.4	4.6	4.6	5.8	6.1
5	71.3	23.3	25.6	68.2	47.1
6	51.2	38.0	36.0	52.6	44.5
Pill type					
average	38.1	16.5	17.4	31.1	25.8

### Table 7.1 Fecal fat (g/day) for six subjects

# Option 1: non-hierarchical analysis (wrong)



Session 9: Repeated Measures and Longitudinal Analysis I

#### Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

#### Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

#### Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

#### Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

## Option 1: non-hierarchical analysis (wrong)

### fit1way <- lm(fecfat ~ pilltype, data=dat)</pre>

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
pilltype	3	2008.60	669.53	1.86	0.1687
Residuals	20	7193.36	359.67		

### Table 1: One-way analysis of variance table for fecal fat dataset

- · Does not account for similarity of measurements within individual
- Would be correct if each treatment were given to a different individual

Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

## Option 2: 2-way AOV

- Accounts for individual differences in mean fecal fat
- Fits a coefficient for mean fecal fat per individual
- Getting closer

## Warning: Using 'size' aesthetic for lines was depr ## i Please use 'linewidth' instead.

## This warning is displayed once every 8 hours.

## Call 'lifecycle::last\_lifecycle\_warnings()' to see
## generated.







Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

## Option 2: 2-way AOV

fit1way <- lm(fecfat ~ pilltype, data=dat)</pre>

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
pilltype	3	2008.60	669.53	1.86	0.1687
Residuals	20	7193.36	359.67		

Table 2: One-way analysis of variance table for fecal fat dataset

fit2way <- lm(fecfat ~ subject + pilltype, data=dat)</pre>

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
subject	5	5588.38	1117.68	10.45	0.0002
pilltype	3	2008.60	669.53	6.26	0.0057
Residuals	15	1604.98	107.00		

**Table 3:** Two-way analysis of variance table. Note the similarity ofthe pilltype row.

## What happened??

#### Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

#### Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

- 1-way ANOVA correctly estimates the effect of pill type
- However, 1-way ANOVA fails to accommodate the correlation within subjects
- 1-way ANOVA over-estimates the residual variance
  - under-estimates the significance of pill type

#### Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

#### Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

# Regression models for 1 and 2-way ANOVA

• Recall for ordinary multiple linear regression:

 $E[y|x] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_p x_p$ 

- *x<sub>p</sub>* are the predictors or independent variables
- y is the outcome, response, or dependent variable
- E[y|x] is the expected value of y given x
- $\beta_p$  are the regression coefficients

#### Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

#### Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

# Regression models for 1 and 2-way ANOVA

• One-way ANOVA (person *i* with pill type *j*):

 $FECFAT_{ij} = \text{fecal fat measurement for person i with pill typ}$  $= \mu + PILLTYPE_j + \epsilon_{ij}$ 

• Two-way ANOVA:

 $FECFAT_{ij} = \mu + SUBJECT_i + PILLTYPE_j + \epsilon_{ij}$ 

Assumption:  $\epsilon_{ij} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$ 

#### Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

Fecal Fat example

#### Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

## Correlations within subjects (ICC)

#### Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

## **Correlations within subjects**

- One-way ANOVA fails because it does not account for the correlation of measurements within-person
- How highly correlated are measurements on the same person? Consider subject *i*, pill types *j* and *k*:

$$corr(FECFAT_{ij}, FECFAT_{ik}) = \frac{cov(FECFAT_{ij}, FECFAT_{ik})}{sd(FECFAT_{ij})sd(FECFAT_{ik})}$$

 $\ensuremath{^*}$  This is a measure of how large the subject effect is, in relation to the error term

#### Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

## **Correlation within subjects**

 $cov(FECFAT_{ij}, FECFAT_{ik}) = cov(SUBJECT_i, SUBJECT_i)$  $= var(SUBJECT_i)$  $= \sigma_{subject}^2 \cdot (definition)$ 

- Equality 1:
  - $\mu$  and *pilltype* terms are assumed to be constant, so do not enter into covariance calculation
  - residuals  $\epsilon$  are assumed to be independent
- Equality 2:
  - covariance with self is variance

Recall *SUBJECT<sub>i</sub>* is the term for individual in 2-way AOV. Now  $\beta_i * subjectID$ , will later be treated as a **random variable** 

#### Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

Fecal Fat example V

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

## **Correlation within subjects**

Previous slide calculated *covariance* for numerator of correlation. Now calculate *variance* for the denominator  $(sd(FECFAT_{ij}) * sd(FECFAT_{ik}) = var(FECFAT_{ij}))$ 

$$ar(FECFAT_{ij}) = var(SUBJECT_i, SUBJECT_i) + var(\epsilon_{ij})$$
$$= \sigma_{subject}^2 + \sigma_{\epsilon}^2.(definition)$$

- Difference is that the independent residuals do contribute to var(FECFAT<sub>ij</sub>)
- Variance is broken into componenets due to *subject* and *residual* variance

#### Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

## **Intraclass Correlation**

The correlation between two treatments j and k across subjects i is:

$$corr(FECFAT_{ij}, FECFAT_{ik}) = \frac{cov(FECFAT_{ij}, FECFAT_{ik})}{sd(FECFAT_{ij})sd(FECFAT_{ik})}$$
$$= \frac{\sigma_{subj}^2}{\sigma_{subj}^2 + \sigma_{\epsilon}^2}$$
$$ICC = \frac{\tau_{00}^2}{\tau_{00}^2 + \sigma_{\epsilon}^2}$$

#### Levi Waldron

Learning objective outline Intro: hierarchi and longitudi data Fecal Fa example Correlati within subjects (ICC) Random fixed effe

Subject number	Pill type	Subject			
	None	Tablet	Capsule	Coated	Average
1	44.5	7.3	3.4	12.4	16.9
2	33.0	21.0	23.1	25.4	25.6
3	19.1	5.0	11.8	22.0	14.5
4	9.4	4.6	4.6	5.8	6.1
5	71.3	23.3	25.6	68.2	47.1
6	51.2	38.0	36.0	52.6	44.5
Pill type					
average	38.1	16.5	17.4	31.1	25.8

Intuition behind correlations

within subjects

Table 7.1 Fecal fat (g/day) for six subjects

### Figure 2: Fecal Fat dataset

Variance of the subject averages (279.4) is increased by correlation of measurements within individual.

#### Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

# Calculation of correlations within subjects (ICC)

What is your estimate of the variability due to subjects, from the 2-way ANOVA?  $sum(residuals(fit2way)^2) / 15 / 4 #df=15, divided by 4 pilltypes$ ## [1] 26.74972 279.419 - 26.75 #var(SUBJECT i) ## [1] 252,669 Residual variance is: sum(residuals(fit2way)^2) / 15 #df=15

## [1] 106.9989

#### Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

## Calculation of correlations within subjects (ICC)

### Finally calculate ICC:

$$ICC = \frac{\sigma_{subj}^2}{\sigma_{subj}^2 + \sigma_{\epsilon}^2}$$
$$= \frac{253}{253 + 107} = 0.70$$

This calculation will become easier when we learn to estimate *random coefficients* in directly in the regression model.

#### Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

## Random and fixed effects

#### Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

# The next step: a mixed effects model

• Two-way ANOVA is a fixed effects model:

 $\textit{FECFAT}_{ij} = \beta_0 + \beta_{\textit{subjecti}} \textit{SUBJECT}_i + \beta_{\textit{pilltypej}} \textit{PILLTYPE}_j + \epsilon_{ij}$ 

- Assumption:  $\epsilon_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$
- Instead of fitting a β<sub>subjecti</sub> to each individual, assume that subject effects are selected from a distribution of possible subject effects:

 $FECFAT_{ij} = \mu + SUBJECT_i + \beta_{pilltypej}PILLTYPE_j + \epsilon_{ij}$ 

where SUBJECT<sub>i</sub>  $\stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_{subj}^2)$ 

- Here subject is a *random* effect, and pill type is a *fixed* effect.
- This is also a random intercept model

#### Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)



## Random and fixed effects

7.6 Re-Analysis of the Georgia Babies Data Set

287

Table 7.14 Decision tree for deciding between fixed and random

Is it reasonable to assume levels of the factor come from a probability distribution?



#### Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

# Summary: correlations within subjects

- Subject-to-subject variability simultaneously raises or lowers all the observations on a subject
  - induces correlation of within-subject measurements
- Variability of individual measurements can be separated into that due to subjects and that left to residual variance.
  - $var(FECFAT_{ij}) = \sigma_{subj}^2 + \sigma_{\epsilon}^2$
- 2-way ANOVA does not directly estimate variability due to subjects
  - variance of coefficients for individual is not too far off

#### Levi Waldron

Learning objectives and outline

Intro: hierarchical and longitudinal data

Fecal Fat example

Correlations within subjects (ICC)

Random and fixed effects

## Summary: hierarchical data

- Estimates of coefficients (or "effect sizes") are unchanged by hierarchical modeling
- Ignoring within-subject correlations results in incorrect estimates of variance, F statistics, p-values
  - not always "conservative"
- Intraclass Correlation (ICC) provides a measure of correlation induced by grouping
- Should be able to recognize fixed and random effects