
TUGboat editorial information

This regular issue (Vol. 35, No. 1) is the first issue of the 2014 volume year.

TUGboat is distributed as a benefit of membership to all current TUG members. It is also available to non-members in printed form through the TUG store (<http://tug.org/store>), and online at the *TUGboat* web site, <http://tug.org/TUGboat>. Online publication to non-members is delayed up to one year after print publication, to give members the benefit of early access.

Submissions to *TUGboat* are reviewed by volunteers and checked by the Editor before publication. However, the authors are still assumed to be the experts. Questions regarding content or accuracy should therefore be directed to the authors, with an information copy to the Editor.

Submitting items for publication

Proposals and requests for *TUGboat* articles are gratefully accepted. Please submit contributions by electronic mail to TUGboat@tug.org.

The second issue for this year is expected to be the TUG 2014 proceedings (<http://tug.org/tug2014>). The deadline for receipt of final papers for that issue is August 11. The third issue deadline is October 3.

The *TUGboat* style files, for use with plain \TeX

and \LaTeX , are available from CTAN and the *TUGboat* web site. We also accept submissions using Con \TeX t. Deadlines, tips for authors, and other information:

<http://tug.org/TUGboat/location.html>

Effective with the 2005 volume year, submission of a new manuscript implies permission to publish the article, if accepted, on the *TUGboat* web site, as well as in print. Thus, the physical address you provide in the manuscript will also be available online. If you have any reservations about posting online, please notify the editors at the time of submission and we will be happy to make special arrangements.

TUGboat editorial board

Barbara Beeton, *Editor-in-Chief*

Karl Berry, *Production Manager*

Boris Veytsman, *Associate Editor, Book Reviews*

Production team

William Adams, Barbara Beeton, Karl Berry, Kaja Christiansen, Robin Fairbairns, Robin Laakso, Steve Peter, Michael Sofka, Christina Thiele

TUGboat advertising

For advertising rates and information, including consultant listings, contact the TUG office, or see:

<http://tug.org/TUGboat/advertising.html>

Ab Epistulis

Steve Peter

Not long ago, I saw a post on one of the discussion lists asking how to convert a \TeX document into Word, “because that’s what publishers want.” I’ve recently been working with a group at work to revise our production guidelines for \TeX manuscripts, and I began to ponder the question in more depth. Why do publishers want Word (if indeed they do), and what can we do as a community to change that?

For math-heavy books, whether math, physics, or economics, we not infrequently work with \TeX files throughout the production process, whether the book is ultimately provided in camera-ready copy by the author, or is produced by a \TeX -enabled compositor. Without a doubt, the biggest pain point in the process is with copyediting (for all books) and indexing (for books where the author does not supply camera-ready copy). As the publishing industry moved to outsource these two processes, a vast army of freelance and independent contractors arose, but very few saw fit to gain expertise in \TeX . In fact, expertise per se isn’t even required, just enough knowledge to be able to work directly in the files without breaking too much.

In essence, it isn’t necessarily that publishers are demanding Word, it’s the freelance community that is requiring it, and the publishers lack a pool of \TeX -savvy talent to draw from to be able to break that dependency. It seems to me that this represents an opportunity to expand our community.

For years, we’ve been growing by word of mouth among colleagues in the academic disciplines. One mathematician tells another about \TeX ’s abilities in handling all sorts of complex equations; a historian tells another how Bib \TeX or JabRef can handle the complexities of managing a bibliographic database (no math here, just academic writing); and so on.

Now, we need to engage another sub-community of the (academic) publishing world: the freelancers. Copyeditors and indexers need to know that they can gain a competitive advantage by learning at least enough \TeX to be able to work directly in source files. (Speaking from personal experience, more and more of my own freelance work has gone over to \TeX -based copyediting, away from \TeX programming.)

How will this engagement happen? The key is going to be education, especially in a casual way. If you do encounter a freelancer curious about \TeX , show them the simple stuff to dispel the fear and uncertainty. We don’t need to turn freelance copyeditors or indexers into hardcore \TeX experts who shun any trace of commercial software. We do need to show them just enough to be able to do their specialized jobs as part of a \TeX -based workflow.

If we can enable a painless workflow, the publishers will come.

◇ Steve Peter
Princeton University Press
president (at) tug dot org
<http://tug.org/TUGboat/Pres>