



(86) **Date de dépôt PCT/PCT Filing Date:** 2013/05/24  
 (87) **Date publication PCT/PCT Publication Date:** 2013/11/28  
 (85) **Entrée phase nationale/National Entry:** 2014/11/24  
 (86) **N° demande PCT/PCT Application No.:** CA 2013/000508  
 (87) **N° publication PCT/PCT Publication No.:** 2013/173912  
 (30) **Priorités/Priorities:** 2012/05/25 (US61/651,750);  
 2012/07/06 (US61/668,556)

(51) **Cl.Int./Int.Cl. C12Q 1/68** (2006.01)  
 (71) **Demandeur/Applicant:**  
 HOSPITAL FOR SICK CHILDREN FOUNDATION, CA  
 (72) **Inventeurs/Inventors:**  
 CASTELO-BRANCO, PEDRO, CA;  
 TABORI, URI, CA  
 (74) **Agent:** GOWLING LAFLEUR HENDERSON LLP

(54) **Titre : METHODE DE DIAGNOSTIC DU CANCER COMPRENANT LA DETECTION DE LA SIGNATURE DE METHYLATION  
DANS LE PROMOTEUR HTERT**  
 (54) **Title: METHOD OF DIAGNOSING CANCER COMPRISING DETECTION OF THE METHYLATION SIGNATURE IN THE HTERT  
PROMOTER**

(57) **Abrégé/Abstract:**

A method of diagnosing cancer in a mammal is provided. The method includes the steps of determining in a nucleic acid-containing sample from the mammal the degree of DNA methylation of a target region within the hTERT promoter from the nucleotide at about position -157 to the nucleotide at about position -580, or a corresponding target region in a TERT promoter in a mammal other than a human, to yield a sample methylation signature, determining the baseline degree of DNA methylation of the target region in a control sample to yield a control methylation signature, comparing the sample methylation signature to the control methylation signature and rendering a diagnosis of cancer when there is at least 1.5 times more methylation in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature. Methods of determining tumour grade and progression, predicting survival and determining whether or not a mammal is a candidate for telomerase-targeted or demethylation therapy are also provided.

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property  
Organization  
International Bureau(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 2013/173912 A1**(43) International Publication Date  
28 November 2013 (28.11.2013)

- (51) **International Patent Classification:**  
*C12Q 1/68* (2006.01)
- (21) **International Application Number:**  
PCT/CA2013/000508
- (22) **International Filing Date:**  
24 May 2013 (24.05.2013)
- (25) **Filing Language:** English
- (26) **Publication Language:** English
- (30) **Priority Data:**  
61/651,750 25 May 2012 (25.05.2012) US  
61/668,556 6 July 2012 (06.07.2012) US
- (71) **Applicant:** THE HOSPITAL FOR SICK CHILDREN  
[CA/CA]; 555 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario M5G  
1X8 (CA).
- (72) **Inventors:** TABORI, Uri; 137 Balliol Street, Toronto,  
Ontario M4S 1E1 (CA). CASTELO-BRANCO, Pedro;  
78 Alberta Avenue, Toronto, Ontario M6H 2R8 (CA).
- (74) **Agent:** GOWLING LAFLEUR HENDERSON LLP;  
Suite 1050, 50 Queen Street North, P.O. Box 2248, Kit-  
chener, Ontario N2H 6M2 (CA).
- (81) **Designated States** (*unless otherwise indicated, for every  
kind of national protection available*): AE, AG, AL, AM,  
AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY,  
BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM,  
DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT,  
HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP,  
KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD,  
ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI,  
NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU,  
RW, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ,  
TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA,  
ZM, ZW.
- (84) **Designated States** (*unless otherwise indicated, for every  
kind of regional protection available*): ARIPO (BW, GH,  
GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ,  
UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ,  
TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK,  
EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV,  
MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM,  
TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW,  
ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
- Published:**  
— *with international search report (Art. 21(3))*

(54) **Title:** METHOD OF DIAGNOSING CANCER COMPRISING DETECTION OF THE METHYLATION SIGNATURE IN THE HTERT PROMOTER

(57) **Abstract:** A method of diagnosing cancer in a mammal is provided. The method includes the steps of determining in a nucleic acid-containing sample from the mammal the degree of DNA methylation of a target region within the hTERT promoter from the nucleotide at about position -157 to the nucleotide at about position -580, or a corresponding target region in a TERT promoter in a mammal other than a human, to yield a sample methylation signature, determining the baseline degree of DNA methylation of the target region in a control sample to yield a control methylation signature, comparing the sample methylation signature to the control methylation signature and rendering a diagnosis of cancer when there is at least 1.5 times more methylation in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature. Methods of determining tumour grade and progression, predicting survival and determining whether or not a mammal is a candidate for telomerase-targeted or demethylation therapy are also provided.



WO 2013/173912 A1

**METHOD OF DIAGNOSING CANCER COMPRISING DETECTION OF THE METHYLATION SIGNATURE IN THE HTERT PROMOTER**

**Field of the Invention**

[0001] The present invention relates generally to a method of diagnosing cancer as well as methods of prognosis and therapy.

**Background of the Invention**

[0002] Telomeres are unique structures located at the ends of chromosomes. Their function is to prevent DNA ends from being recognized as DNA breaks which leads to activation of DNA repair mechanisms and results in cell growth arrest or death. During each cell replication cycle, a portion of the telomere is lost. Continued telomere shortening ultimately results in a growth-arrested state known as senescence or our molecular clock. Telomerase is an enzyme that elongates telomeric DNA, resulting in maintenance of telomeres and cellular immortality. Importantly, more than 85% of all malignant human cancers and almost 100% of advanced cancers express high levels of hTERT, the human catalytic subunit of telomerase.

[0003] hTERT expression has long been seen as a potential diagnostic or prognostic biomarker for cancer since activity is almost always high in cancer cells and low in normal cells. In many tumour types, the level of hTERT correlates with prognosis, and in other cases it can distinguish between malignant and benign lesions. Nevertheless, there are several flaws which make measurement of telomerase activity and/or hTERT expression difficult in the clinical setting. These include: 1) there is no reliable antibody for hTERT; 2) high-quality samples are required for accurate measurement of the entire hTERT complex (including the RNA and protein components of the enzyme) or RNA molecules, which are unstable and degradable; 3) clinical preparation of samples using formalin-fixation and paraffin-embedding (FFPE) further degrades RNA and, thus, the determination of TERT enzymatic activity and gene expression are unfeasible in most tumour samples; and 4) activated lymphocytes may have elevated hTERT expression contaminating the tumour tissue and producing false positive results. For these reasons, hTERT expression is currently not used as a clinical diagnostic and prognostic tool in cancer.

[0004] DNA methylation is the biochemical addition of a methyl group (CH<sub>3</sub>) to a nucleotide molecule, which in adult mammals occurs predominantly to cytosines in

cytosine-guanosine (CpG) dinucleotides. The promoter regions of most genes, including hTERT, contain CpG-rich regions known as CpG islands and DNA methylation is an epigenetic mechanism that can modulate expression of downstream genes. In most cases, promoter hypermethylation reduces gene expression. The hTERT promoter, however, is a large, complex promoter with a poorly understood methylation profile. Specifically, hypermethylation of the same promoter region occurs in both cancer and normal tissues and is associated with variable hTERT expression. In other regions of the promoter, there is hypomethylation in both cancer and normal tissue which is associated with variable hTERT expression.

[0005] It would be desirable, thus, to develop diagnostic and/or prognostic methods for cancer that overcome one or more of the disadvantages outlined above.

### **Summary of the Invention**

[0006] It has now been determined that DNA hypermethylation within a particular region in the TERT promoter is associated with cancer, while hypomethylation within this region is indicative of normal tissue, including benign low-grade tumours. This methylation signature is specific and effective for cancer diagnosis, prognostication and targeted therapies.

[0007] Thus, according to one aspect of the invention, a method of diagnosing cancer in a mammal is provided comprising the steps of determining in a nucleic acid-containing sample from the mammal the degree of DNA methylation of a target region within the hTERT promoter from the nucleotide at about position -157 to the nucleotide at about position -580, or a corresponding target region within a TERT promoter in a mammal other than a human, to yield a sample methylation signature, determining the baseline degree of DNA methylation in the target region in a control sample to yield a control methylation signature, comparing the sample methylation signature to the control methylation signature and rendering a diagnosis of cancer when there is at least 1.5 times more methylation in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature.

[0008] In another aspect of the invention, a method of predicting survival in a mammal with cancer is provided comprising the steps of determining in a nucleic acid-containing sample from the mammal the degree of DNA methylation of a target region within the hTERT promoter from the nucleotide at about position -157 to the nucleotide at about

position -580, or a corresponding target region within a TERT promoter in a mammal other than a human, to yield a sample methylation signature, determining the baseline degree of DNA methylation in the target region in a control sample to yield a control methylation signature, and comparing the sample methylation signature to the control methylation signature. A prediction of overall or progression-free survival may be rendered when there is at least 1.5 times more methylation in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature.

[0009] In a further aspect of the invention, a method of predicting tumour progression in a mammal with a tumour is provided comprising the steps of determining in a tumour sample from the mammal the degree of DNA methylation of a target region within the hTERT promoter from the nucleotide at about position -157 to the nucleotide at about position -580, or in a corresponding target region in a TERT promoter in a mammal other than a human, to yield a sample methylation signature, determining the baseline degree of DNA methylation in the target region in a control sample to yield a control methylation signature, comparing the sample methylation signature to the control methylation signature and predicting that the tumour will progress in the mammal when there is at least 1.5 times more methylation in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature.

[0010] In another aspect, a method of identifying the grade of a tumour in a mammal is provided comprising the steps of determining in a tumour sample from the mammal the degree of DNA methylation of a target region within the hTERT promoter from the nucleotide at about position -157 to the nucleotide at about position -580, or a corresponding target region in a TERT promoter in a mammal other than a human, to yield a sample methylation signature, determining the baseline degree of DNA methylation in the target region in a control sample to yield a control methylation signature, comparing the sample methylation signature to the control methylation signature and identifying a tumour be a malignant tumour when there is at least 1.5 times more methylation in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature.

[0011] In yet another aspect, a method of determining whether or not a mammal with cancer is a candidate for treatment with a telomerase-targeted or demethylation therapy is provided comprising the steps of determining in a nucleic acid-containing sample from the mammal the degree of DNA methylation of a target region within the hTERT promoter from

the nucleotide at about position -157 to the nucleotide at about position -580, or a corresponding target region in a TERT promoter in a mammal other than a human, to yield a sample methylation signature, determining the baseline degree of DNA methylation within the target region in a control sample to yield a control methylation signature, comparing the sample methylation signature to the control methylation signature and deducing that the mammal is a candidate for telomerase-targeted or demethylation therapy when there is at least 1.5 times more methylation in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature.

[0012] These and other aspects of the invention are described in the detailed description and examples that follow with reference to the following figures.

### **Brief Description of the Figures**

[0013] **Figure 1** illustrates the nucleotide sequence of the proximal hTERT promoter showing the regions used for Sequenom® and pyrosequencing (A), as well as a schematic representation of the proximal hTERT promoter showing the probes used for Illumina methylation array and regions used for pyrosequencing (B);

[0014] **Figure 2** illustrates the differential methylation determined using the Illumina® human methylation array within the hTERT promoter in normal brain vs brain tumour samples (A), and Sequenom® analysis of 25 CG sites with nucleotides at positions -376 to -591 of the hTERT promoter showing hypermethylation in tumour samples (PF-A) and hypomethylation in normal tissue samples (PF-B) (B) ;

[0015] **Figure 3** graphically illustrates pyrosequencing results showing that hypermethylation in region 2 of hTERT-expressing tumour tissue and tumour cell lines as compared to normal tissue and pluripotent stem cells, respectively (A), a graph providing a comparison of the degree of methylation across about 40 CG sites within the hTERT promoter in tumour and normal tissues (B), a graph illustrating the degree of methylation within a subsection of region 1 of hTERT in tumour and normal brain tissue (C), and a graph illustrating the degree of methylation in region 2 of hTERT in tumour and normal prostate tissue (D);

[0016] **Figure 4** graphically illustrates the methylation in region 2 of hTERT (A) and hTERT expression (B) in normal tissue and cultured cells, and the methylation at region 2 of hTERT (C) and hTERT expression (D) in tumour tissues and cancer cell lines;

[0017] **Figure 5** graphically illustrates the effect on hTERT methylation in region 2 of hTERT in a low grade glioma compared to methylation in a glioma from the same patient that progressed to high grade (A) and the corresponding effect on hTERT expression (B), as well as the effect on hTERT methylation in region 2 of hTERT in adrenocortical carcinoma at primary and metastatic sites (C);

[0018] **Figure 6** graphically illustrates methylation analysis of cells from freshly resected high-grade gliomas (G404 and TB1) after FACS cell sorting based on CD15/SSEA1 expression (A); correlation between an increase in differential allelic expression (DAE) and methylation (B); decreased methylation (less than 30%) in tumour samples correlates with reduced hTERT monoallelic expression when compared to samples with 30-70% methylation (C); and increased region 2 methylation correlates with an increase in hTERT expression (D);

[0019] **Figure 7** graphically illustrates the effect of demethylation therapy in region 2 of the hTERT promoter in GLiNS1 glioma tumour-initiating cells (A) and UW228 medulloblastoma cells (C) and on hTERT expression in each case (respectively B, D) in comparison to the effect of demethylation (E) on hTERT expression (F) in embryonic stem cell controls;

[0020] **Figure 8** graphically illustrates that methylation status in region 2 of hTERT is predictive of over-all survival (A) and progression-free survival (B) in ependymoma patients;

[0021] **Figure 9** graphically illustrates the effect of a telomerase inhibitor on normal neural stem cells (A) and glioma G179 tumour-initiating cells (B); and

[0022] **Figure 10** graphically illustrates that treatment of hypermethylated neuroblastoma cells with imetelstat has an inhibitory effect on growth and viability, while treatment of unmethylated neuroblastoma cells did not.

### **Detailed Description of the Invention**

[0023] The invention relates, in one of its aspects, to a method of diagnosing cancer in a mammal. The method comprises the steps of determining in a nucleic acid-containing sample from the mammal the degree of DNA methylation of a target region within the hTERT promoter from the nucleotide at about position -157 to the nucleotide at about position -580, or in a corresponding target region in a TERT promoter in a mammal other than a human, to yield a sample methylation signature, determining the baseline degree of DNA methylation within the target region in a control sample to yield a control methylation signature, comparing the sample methylation signature to the control methylation signature and rendering a diagnosis of cancer when there is at least 1.5 times more methylation in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature.

[0024] The term "TERT" is used herein to refer to mammalian telomerase reverse transcriptase, including human (hTERT) and non-human TERT.

[0025] The term "TERT promoter" refers to the region upstream of the transcription start site of the gene encoding TERT, i.e. the *TERT* gene, namely, the region upstream of the transcription start site beginning at position -1 and extending upstream therefrom, in particular, nucleotides in the proximal region of the promoter spanning from position -1 to about -1000. Figure 1A illustrates the nucleotide sequence of the proximal region of the TERT promoter.

[0026] The term "cancer" is used herein to encompass cancers that can express TERT. Such cancers include, but are not limited to, carcinoma such as bladder, breast, colon, kidney, brain, liver, lung, including small cell lung cancer, esophagus, gall-bladder, ovary, pancreas, stomach, cervix, thyroid, prostate, and skin, including squamous cell carcinoma; sarcomas; malignant neoplasms; hematopoietic tumours of lymphoid lineage including leukaemia, acute lymphocytic leukaemia, acute lymphoblastic leukaemia, B-cell lymphoma, T-cell- lymphoma, Hodgkin's lymphoma, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, hairy cell lymphoma and Burkitt's lymphoma; hematopoietic tumours of myeloid lineage including acute and chronic myelogenous leukemias, myelodysplastic syndrome and promyelocytic leukaemia; tumours of mesenchymal origin, including fibrosarcoma and rhabdomyosarcoma; tumours of the central and peripheral nervous system, including astrocytoma neuroblastoma, glioma and schwannomas; other tumours, including melanoma, seminoma, teratocarcinoma,



osteosarcoma, xeroderma pigmentosum, keratoxanthoma, thyroid follicular cancer, Kaposi's sarcoma and pediatric cancers from embryonal and other origins.

[0027] The term "mammal" is used herein to encompass mammals in which telomerase is not ubiquitously expressed. Such mammals include, for example, humans, cats, dogs, horses, cattle, pigs and various wild animals. Mice, and any other mammal in which telomerase is ubiquitously expressed, are not included within this definition.

[0028] In the present method, analysis of the DNA methylation signature of the TERT promoter is conducted on a biological nucleic acid-containing sample, preferably a patient-derived primary biological sample, including for example, blood, serum, plasma, urine, biopsied tissue including but not limited to tumour tissue, or pleural effusions obtained from a mammal. The sample may be obtained using techniques well-established and known to those of skill in the art, and will vary depending on the sample type as one of skill in the art will appreciate.. Examples of different techniques that may be used to obtain a tumour sample include standard biopsy, needle biopsy, endoscopic biopsy, bone marrow biopsy, and combination techniques which employ biopsy and imaging techniques. Needle biopsy is commonly used to obtain a sample from a tumour under the skin surface, e.g. breast tumour. A sample of a brain tumour may be obtained by standard biopsy, stereotactic biopsy or neuroendoscopy. Generally, a suitable tumour sample will contain up to about 1 µg, typically, in the range of about 50 ng – 1 µg of nucleic acid to be useful to determine methylation within the target region of the TERT promoter.

[0029] Nucleic acid from the tumour sample may be extracted from the sample using techniques well-known to those of skill in the art, including chemical extraction techniques utilizing phenol-chloroform (Sambrook et al., 1989), guanidine-containing solutions, or CTAB-containing buffers. As well, as a matter of convenience, commercial DNA extraction kits are also widely available from laboratory reagent supply companies, including for example, the QIAamp DNA Blood Minikit available from QIAGEN® (Chatsworth, CA), or the Extract-N-Amp blood kit available from Sigma-Aldrich® (St. Louis, MO).

[0030] Once an appropriate nucleic acid sample is obtained, the degree of methylation in a target region within the TERT promoter from the nucleotide at about position -157 to the nucleotide at about position -580 of the TERT promoter, i.e. at

chromosome 5:1,295,338 – 1,295,791 (GRCh37/hg19, in other words, nucleotides 1,295,338-1,295,791 on chromosome 5 of the human genome 19<sup>th</sup> assembly) is determined. The term “degree of methylation” is used herein to refer to extent to which there is methylation at CG sites, or the methylation signature or methylation status, of a target region within the portion of the TERT promoter from the nucleotide at about position -157 to the nucleotide at about position -580. Methylation of a target region including any number of CG sites within this portion of the TERT promoter may be determined for comparison to a control, including 1 CG site to multiple CG sites. CG sites within the target region may or may not be contiguous CG sites within this portion of the TERT promoter. Preferably, methylation of at least about 2 CG sites, and more preferably at least about 3 or more CG sites is determined, up to all or a majority of detectable CG sites (depending on the technique used to identify CG sites, the number of detectable CG sites may vary) that are within the TERT promoter portion of nucleotide -157 to nucleotide -580. In addition, it is preferred that the CG sites be contiguous.

[0031] In order to determine the degree of methylation at CG sites within a target region of the TERT promoter (as shown in Fig. 1A), the target region is bisulfite converted using standard kits for this purpose, amplified using well-established methods including PCR, and the degree of methylation at CG sites in the region is then determined using known techniques such as pyrosequencing or Sequenom® analysis.

[0032] The degree of methylation of CG sites within the same target region of the TERT promoter is similarly determined using an appropriate technique in a corresponding control or normal biological sample. A control or normal biological sample is a non-cancerous sample of a corresponding biological sample from the same mammal or from a mammal determined to be comparable, e.g. in the case of a test sample from a human, a control sample may be obtained from another human, that may or may not be of the same age or sex. Generally a median value of methylation determined within the target TERT promoter region from multiple control samples aids in providing an accurate control value. In this regard, it is noted that normal biological samples exhibit a small degree or baseline amount of methylation that may vary from sample type to sample type. Thus, the determination of the degree of methylation in a target TERT region of a control sample assists in providing an accurate analysis of the actual methylation degree in a test sample, i.e. whether or not hypermethylation actually exists in the test sample being analyzed.

[0033] To render a diagnosis of cancer, the degree of methylation in the target region of the TERT promoter within a selected biological sample is then compared to a control degree of methylation, and the difference in the degree of methylation in the target region of the TERT promoter in a sample and the degree of methylation in the control is determined. A determination of an increased degree of methylation in the target region of the TERT promoter of at least about 1.5 fold, preferably at least about 2 fold, and more preferably at least about 3 fold, in comparison to the degree of methylation in the control is referred to herein as hypermethylation and such hypermethylation indicates that the mammal has cancer.

[0034] Alternatively, in the absence of determining the degree of methylation in a control, the degree of methylation in normal (non-cancerous) tissue is known to generally be within the range of about 1-10%. Thus, a determination of a degree of methylation in the TERT promoter target region of a sample of at least about 15% or greater methylation, e.g. preferably at least about 20%, is indicative of hypermethylation in the target region. Such hypermethylation indicates that the sample is a cancerous sample, and thus, that the mammal from which the sample was obtained has cancer.

[0035] In another aspect of the invention, a method of predicting survival, including overall survival and progression-free survival, in a mammal with cancer is provided. As one of skill in the art will appreciate, the term "overall survival" within a given period of time (generally years) refers to the percentage of those diagnosed with the same cancer that survived for the given period of time following their diagnosis, either with or without treatment. Thus, 5 year overall survival is the percentage of those diagnosed with the same cancer that survived for at least 5 years after their diagnosis. The term "progression-free survival" within a given period of time (generally years) refers to the percentage of those diagnosed with the same cancer whose cancer did not progress for the given period of time following their diagnosis, either with or without treatment. This method comprises the steps of determining in a nucleic acid-containing sample from the mammal the degree of DNA methylation of a target region within the hTERT promoter from the nucleotide at about position -157 to the nucleotide at about position -580, or a corresponding target region in a TERT promoter in a mammal other than a human, to yield a sample methylation signature, determining the baseline degree of DNA methylation of the target region in a control sample to yield a control methylation signature, and comparing the sample methylation signature to

the control methylation signature. In this case, the control may be a normal baseline value, or may be a previous sample from the patient (e.g. prior to treatment) while the sample may be taken subsequent to treatment. A prediction of overall or progression-free survival may be rendered when there is at least 1.5 times more methylation in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature. In one embodiment, a prediction that overall or progression-free survival is less than 50% may be rendered when there is at least 1.5 times more methylation, preferably 2 times more methylation and more preferably at least about 3 times more methylation, in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature, for example, a prediction that 5-10 year overall or progression-free survival is less than 50%, including less than 10-30%, may be rendered when there is at least 1.5 times more methylation in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature.

[0036] In another aspect, a method of predicting tumour progression in a mammal with a tumour is provided. The term "tumour progression" is meant to refer to the advancement of a tumour from a low-grade, benign state to a high-grade, malignant state. For clarity, malignant cells are those cells that exhibit multiple characteristics selected from the group consisting of uncontrolled proliferation, evading growth suppressors, avoiding cell death, limitless proliferative capacity (immortality), metastatic capacity and genetic instability. Details of cancer cell properties are described in Hanahan et al. Cell (2011) 144: 646-674, the contents of which are incorporated herein by reference. The method comprises the steps of determining in a tumour sample from the mammal the degree of DNA methylation of a target region within the hTERT promoter from the nucleotide at about position -157 to the nucleotide at about position -580, or a corresponding target region in a TERT promoter in a mammal other than a human, to yield a sample methylation signature, determining the baseline degree of DNA methylation of the target region in a control sample, e.g. a previous tumour sample from the mammal, to yield a control methylation signature, and comparing the sample methylation signature to the control methylation signature. If sample methylation signature exhibits hypermethylation in comparison to the control methylation signature, e.g. at least 1.5 times more methylation in the sample, preferably 2 times more methylation and more preferably at least about 3 times more methylation, methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature, then it is predicted that the tumour will progress from a low-grade benign tumour to a high-grade malignant tumour.

[0037] The term “low grade tumour” in a mammal is used herein to refer to a tumour that does not progress to result in death of the mammal, e.g. a benign tumour. A benign tumour may be a premalignant tumour. On the other hand, a “high grade tumour” in a mammal is used herein to refer to a tumour that progresses to result in death of the mammal, e.g. a malignant tumour.

[0038] Similarly, in a further aspect, a method of identifying tumour grade of a tumour within a mammal is provided. The method comprises the steps of determining in a tumour sample from the mammal the degree of DNA methylation of a target region within the hTERT promoter from the nucleotide at about position -157 to the nucleotide at about position -580, or a corresponding target region in a TERT promoter in a mammal other than human, to yield a sample methylation signature, determining the baseline degree of DNA methylation of the target region in a control sample, e.g. a normal sample, to yield a control methylation signature, and comparing the sample methylation signature to the control methylation signature. A tumour is identified to be a malignant tumour, as opposed to a benign tumour, when there is at least 1.5 times more methylation, preferably at least 2-3 times more methylation, in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature.

[0039] A method of determining whether or not a mammal with cancer is a candidate for treatment with a telomerase-targeted or demethylation therapy is also provided. The method comprises the steps of determining in a nucleic acid-containing sample from the mammal the degree of DNA methylation of a target region within the hTERT promoter from the nucleotide at about position -157 to the nucleotide at about position -580, or a corresponding target region in a TERT promoter in a mammal other than a human, to yield a sample methylation signature, determining the baseline degree of DNA methylation of the target region in a control sample, e.g. a normal sample, to yield a control methylation signature, and comparing the sample methylation signature to the control methylation signature. Based on an analysis of the results obtained, it may then be determined whether or not the mammal is a candidate for treatment with telomerase-targeted therapy, modulators of TERT or demethylation therapy. When an analysis of the results indicates that there is hypermethylation of the target region of the TERT promoter (i.e. there is at least 1.5 times more methylation in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature), then it is determined that the mammal is a candidate for treatment with a

telomerase-targeted or demethylation therapy. On the other hand, if there is no such hypermethylation, then the mammal is not a candidate for treatment with a telomerase-targeted or demethylation therapy.

[0040] Telomerase-targeted therapy includes therapies by which telomerase is inhibited, including small molecule inhibitors such as Imetelstat and telomestatin and any other telomerase inhibitor. Demethylation therapy includes any demethylation agent, including but not limited to, 5 azacytidine (VIDAZA) and derivatives thereof such as 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (DECITABINE).

[0041] In a further aspect, a method of characterizing a malignant tumour obtained from a mammal is provided. This method comprises determining in a sample of the tumour the degree of DNA methylation of a target region within the hTERT promoter from the nucleotide at about position -157 to the nucleotide at about position -580, or within a corresponding target region in a TERT promoter in a mammal other than a human, to yield a sample methylation signature, determining the baseline degree of DNA methylation of the target region in a control sample to yield a control methylation signature, comparing the sample methylation signature to the control methylation signature and deducing that the tumour is an alternative lengthening of telomeres phenotype when there is no significant difference in methylation between the sample and control methylation signatures, e.g. less than about 1.5 times more methylation in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature, or no substantial increase in methylation in the sample methylation signature.

[0042] All references referred to herein are incorporated by reference.

[0043] Embodiments of the invention are described in the following specific example which is not to be construed as limiting.

#### **Example – Determination of DNA Methylation Signature in hTERT**

#### **METHODS AND MATERIALS**

[0044] **RT-PCR.** Total RNA was extracted from several different tissues or cell lines using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) and 500 ng of total RNA was reverse transcribed with Superscript II (Invitrogen). The RT-PCR reaction was carried out with 60 ng of cDNA, 10

$\mu$ M of hTERT forward (TGA CAC CTC ACC TCA CCC AC) and reverse (CAC TGT CTT CCG CAA GTT CAC) primers, and 1 x CYBR green mix (Roche). PCR analyses were performed on a LightCycler 480 (Roche) after 40 cycles (95°C, 15"; 60°C, 40"; 72°C, 15"). The hTERT expression levels of the different tissues and cell lines were normalized to hTERT levels observed in Hela cells.

[0045]           **Patient tissues and cell lines.** Samples were obtained with patients' consent according to the Research Ethics Boards at The Hospital for Sick Children and Toronto Western Hospital. Fetal Neural Stem (NS) HF240 cells, human Mesenchymal Progenitor cells, human umbilical cord perivascular cells, and human skin-derived precursors hSKPs were previously described (Castelo-Branco et al. Clin Cancer Res. 2011 Jan 1;17(1):111-21). Glioma tumour-initiating cell (TIC) lines G179 and GLINS1 were derived from patients diagnosed with classic glioblastoma multiforme and maintained as previously described (Castelo-Branco et al., 2011). All cell lines and patient samples are described in Table 1.

**Table 1:**

	<b>Comments</b>
<b>Normal Tissue (n=60)</b>	
Embryonic stem cells (n=2)	
Tissue stem cells (n=6)	Neural Stem, Mesenchymal, skin-derived progenitors.
Haematopoietic stem cells (n=6)	Cord blood
IPS, IPS Differentiated cells (n=4)	Skin
Blood (n=19)	Blood
Fibroblasts (n=2)	Skin
Normal Brain (n=7)	Brain
Placenta (n=14)	
Prostate (n=25)	Prostate
<b>Cancer cell lines (24)</b>	
HeLa	Cervical cancer
RH6, A204	Embryonic rhabdomyosarcoma
H1299	Adrenocortical carcinoma
HepG2	Hepatic carcinoma
Med8, UW228, ONS70	Medulloblastoma
U87, U118, LN229, A117, LN18	Glioblastoma
SK-N-Be2, IMR5, CHLA15, CHLA 90, SK-N-AS, NGP, CHLA20	Neuroblastoma
GliNS, G179, G414, TB1	Brain tumour initiating cell lines
<b>Tumours (around 464)</b>	
Pediatric low grade gliomas (n=80)	Brain
Adult low grade gliomas (n=8)	Brain
Choroid plexus papillomas (n=19)	Brain
Atypical Choroid plexus papillomas (n=8)	Brain
Choroid plexus carcinomas (n=19)	Brain
Oligodendro/astrogliomas (n=9)	Brain
Diffuse pontine gliomas (n=10)	Brain
Adult High Grade Gliomas (n= 34)	Brain
Ependymomas (n= 79)	Brain
Leukemias (n=13)	Blood
Adrenocortical Carcinomas (n=8)	Adrenal gland
Medulloblastomas (n=106)	Brain
Colon cancer (n=10)	Colon
Atypical teratoid rhabdoid tumour (n=36)	Brain
Prostate cancer (n=25)	Prostate

[0046] **Statistical analysis.** Analyses were done with GraphPad Prism v.4 (San Diego, CA) and SPSS v15.



[0047] Sequenom® and pyrosequencing methods were used to determine the degree of methylation at CG sites within the proximal region of hTERT in normal tissues, and in various cancer cell lines and tumours.

#### **Illumina® Methylation Array**

[0048] Methylation within the hTERT promoter was assessed using the HumanMethylation450k BeadChip (Illumina®, San Diego, USA). The array comprised 3 sites located within the core promoter area, namely, cg 11625005 (at nucleotide position -555), cg17166338 (at nucleotide position -787) and cg10896616 (at nucleotide position -85). Methylation analysis was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions at the DKFZ Genomics and Proteomics Core Facility (Heidelberg, Germany). Methylation analysis was performed using the following filtering criteria: removal of probes targeting the X and Y chromosomes (n=11,551), removal of probes containing a single-nucleotide polymorphism (dbSNP132 Common) within five base pairs of and including the targeted CpG-site (n=24,536), and probes not mapping uniquely to the human reference genome (hg19) allowing for one mismatch (n=9,993). In total 438,370 probes were kept for analysis. The beta values were converted to z-scores by subtracting the mean beta value and dividing by the standard deviation. These values were then visualized in a heatmap indicating decreased DNA methylation and increased DNA methylation.

#### **Sequenom® Analysis**

[0049] Sequenom® analysis of hTERT was performed at McGill University and Génome Québec Innovation Centre as previously described (Coolen et al, Nucleic Acids Research, 2007, 1–14) using the hTERT forward  
 aggaagagagGGAAGTGTTGTAGGGAGGTATTT and reverse  
 cagtaatacgaactcactataggagaaggctAAAACCATAATATAAAAACCCTAAA primers. The amplicon, as shown below, comprised 25 CpG informative sites (shown in bold below) within nucleotides at positions -376 to -591 of the promoter located at: Human Genome 19 assembly – chr5:1,295,557-1,295,772 (GRCh37/hg19).

**CCATGATGTGGAGGCCCTGGGAACAGGTGCGTGCGGCGACCCTTTGGCCG**  
**CTGGCCTGATCCGGAGACCCAGGGCTGCCTCCAGGTCCGGACGCGGGGCG**  
**TCCGGGCTCCGGGCACCAACGAATGCCGGACGTGAAGGGGAGGACGGAGGCG**  
**CGTAGACGCGGCTGGGGACGAACCCGAGGACGCATTGCTCCCTGGACGGG**  
**CACGCGGGACCTCCCG.**

**Pyrosequencing Analysis:**

[0050] Quantitative sodium bisulfite pyrosequencing was performed on various hTERT promoter regions as follows. Genomic DNA (50 ng to 1ug) was sodium bisulfite modified using EpiTect Bisulfite kit (Qiagen®). Sodium bisulfite modified genomic DNA was then amplified using Hot-Start Taq master mix (Qiagen®) as previously described (Guo et al. Dev Biol. 2008 Aug 1;320(1):79-91). Regions of interest were amplified by PCR and pyrosequencing was carried out using the PyroMark Q24 pyrosequencer (Qiagen®) according to the manufacturer's protocol (Pyro-Gold reagents). Output data were analyzed using PyroMark Q24 1.0.10 Software (Qiagen®), which calculates the methylation percentage ( $mC/(mC+C)$ ) for each CpG site, allowing quantitative comparisons.

[0051] Quantitative sodium bisulfite pyrosequencing was performed on region 1 of the hTERT promoter. Methylation of the following regions, 1A and 1B, was determined using pyrosequencing:CGGGTGCCCCGGGTCCCCAGTCCCTCCGCCACGTGGGAAGCGCGGTCCTGGGCGTCTGTGCCCGCGAATCCACTGGGAGCCCCGGCCTGGCCCCGACAGCGCAGCTGCTCC (nucleotide positions -132 to -240 located at Human Genome 19 assembly – Chromosome 5:1,295,313 – 1,295,421; and GGCCGCGCTTCCCCGCCCCGCGCGCCGCTCGCGCTCCAGGGTGCAGGGACGCCAGCGAGGGCCCCAGCGGAGAGAGGTCGAATCGGCCTA (nucleotide positions -260 to -349 located at Human Genome 19 assembly – Chromosome 5:1,295,441 – 1,295,530 (GRCh37/hg19). PCR primers used were as follows: forward, TTGGAAGGTGAAGGGGTAG and reverse TATGATGTGGAGGTTTGGG, and for pyrosequencing GGTGAAGGGGTAGGA and GGATTAGGGGGTTTG primers were used. The amplicon was 286bp including the primers.

[0052] Methylation using pyrosequencing was also determined on the following region 2: GTGCGTGCGGCGACCCTTTGGCCGCTGGCCTGATCCGGAGAC (nucleotide positions - 402 to - 443) located at the following coordinates: Human Genome 19 assembly – Chromosome 5:1,295,583 – 1,295,624 (GRCh37/hg19). The PCR primers used were as follows: forward – ATGATGTGGAGGTTTGGGAATAG and reverse – CCCAACCTAAAAACAACCCTAAAT and for pyrosequencing – GGAGGTTTGGGAATAG. The PCR amplicon was 88 bp (including primers).

[0053] Methylation using pyrosequencing was also determined on the following region 3: CCTGGACGGGCACGCGGGACCTCCCGGAGTGCCTCCCTGCAACACTTCCCCGCGACTTGGGCTCCTTGACACAGGCCCGTCATTTCTCTTTGCAGGTTCTCAG

GCGGCGAGGGG (nucleotide positions - 566 to - 679) with the following coordinates: Human Genome 19 assembly – Chromosome 5:1,295,747 – 1,295,860 (GRCh37/hg19). The PCR primers used were as follows: forward – GGTTTGATTYGGAGATTTAGGGTTGTTT and reverse -AGAAAGGGTGGGAAATGGA and for pyrosequencing - GAGGAAGTATTGTTT. The PCR amplicon was 330 bp (including primers).

### **Demethylation:**

[0054] To determine the effect of demethylation of a target region of the TERT promoter, namely the hTERT region including nucleotides at positions - 402 to - 443, cells were treated with 20  $\mu$ M 5-AZA 5 (Azacytidine) for 72 hours in combination with 600nm TSA (Trichostatin A) for 18 hours.

### **Telomerase Inhibition**

[0055] HF240 normal neural stem cell line and the G179 TIC line were treated twice a week with 5  $\mu$ M Imetelstat telomerase inhibitor. Cell population doubling analysis after treatment was then determined.

## **RESULTS**

[0056] The proximal region of the hTERT promoter is shown in Figure 1A, showing the regions used for Sequenom and pyrosequencing, and illustrating the CG sites (bolded) therein. The Illumina® Infinium HumanMethylation450 array covers the area with probes Cg17166338, Cg11625005 and Cg10896616, namely, at nucleotides at positions -85, -555 and -787, respectively, as shown in Fig. 1B.

### **Illumina Methylation Array**

[0057] As shown in Figure 2A, the hTERT promoter is differentially methylated in normal versus tumour samples. HumanMethylation450 array analysis of 4 normal brain tissue samples and 298 pediatric brain tumour samples show specific methylation (red) for malignant tumours (ependymomas, atypical teratoid rhabdoid tumours and medulloblastomas) at the Cg11625005 site. Low methylation (blue) was observed in normal tissue, pilocytic astrocytomas and choroid plexus papillomas at the same site. Cg17166338 is always methylated and Cg10896616 is always non-methylated in both normal and malignant samples.

### Sequenom® Analysis

[0058] Sequenom® analysis of the 25 CpG sites within region 2 of the hTERT promoter revealed, as set out in Table 2 and shown in Fig. 2B, that tumour samples (PF-A) are hypermethylated across this region (as shown in bold) whereas normal tissue samples (PF-B) show hypomethylation within this region (as shown in italics). CpG site number 5 in the Sequenom analysis corresponds to the Cg11625005 in the Infinium HumanMethylation450™ array. Region 2 comprises sites 21 through 25 and was chosen for pyrosequencing analysis.

**Table 2. Methylation at CpG sites 1-25 within the hTERT Promoter**

Illumina	2,3 &	5,6 &	10,11	13 &	15 &	18,19	24 &						
PF SG	1	4	7	8 &	9 &	12	14	16	17	20,21	22	23	25
PF-A	<b>0.750</b>	<b>0.610</b>	<b>0.660</b>	<b>0.640</b>	<b>0.770</b>	<b>0.450</b>	<b>0.550</b>	<b>0.290</b>	<b>0.550</b>	<b>0.390</b>	<b>0.400</b>	<b>0.390</b>	
PF-A	<b>0.660</b>	<b>0.470</b>	<b>0.520</b>	<b>0.400</b>	<b>0.510</b>	<b>0.320</b>	<b>0.500</b>	<b>0.170</b>	<b>0.370</b>	<b>0.210</b>	<b>0.240</b>	<b>0.200</b>	
PF-A	<b>0.620</b>	<b>0.460</b>	NA	<b>0.360</b>	NA	<b>0.430</b>	<b>0.500</b>	<b>0.320</b>	<b>0.370</b>	<b>0.290</b>	<b>0.180</b>	<b>0.150</b>	
PF-B	<i>0.070</i>	<i>0.070</i>	<i>0.070</i>	<i>0.100</i>	<i>0.110</i>	<i>0.150</i>	<b>0.390</b>	<i>0.070</i>	<i>0.130</i>	<i>0.080</i>	<i>0.040</i>	<i>0.120</i>	
PF-B	<b>0.460</b>	<i>0.120</i>	<i>0.080</i>	<b>0.210</b>	NA	<i>0.070</i>	<b>0.370</b>	<i>0.050</i>	<b>0.250</b>	<i>0.100</i>	<i>0.070</i>	<b>0.180</b>	
PF-B	<i>0.060</i>	<i>0.070</i>	<i>0.070</i>	<i>0.070</i>	<i>0.110</i>	<i>0.040</i>	<b>0.180</b>	<i>0.070</i>	<i>0.130</i>	<i>0.070</i>	<i>0.090</i>	<i>0.090</i>	

### Pyrosequencing Analysis

[0059] Pyrosequencing analysis of region 2 shows no methylation in any normal tissue analysed including embryonic stem cells, tissue stem cells and iPS cells. However, significant hypermethylation of region 2 was observed in patient derived tumours samples (high grade gliomas, leukemias and colon cancer) ( $P < 0.0001$ ) and cancer cell lines (cancer and tumour- initiating cell lines) ( $P < 0.0001$ ) when compared to normal tissue samples as shown in Fig. 3A.

[0060] Pyrosequencing of additional regions 1A/B and 3 as identified above and shown in Fig. 1B also indicated lack of methylation in normal tissue versus significant methylation (hypermethylation) in tumour and cancerous samples across each of regions 1, 2 and 3 (see Fig. 3B). Thus, the target region within the hTERT promoter for use to distinguish between normal and cancerous samples is within about nucleotides at positions -157 to -580 of hTERT. Significant hypermethylation of the region 1 was observed in patient-derived tumour samples (high grade gliomas, leukemias and colon cancer) ( $P < 0.0001$ ) and cancer cell lines (cancer and tumour initiating cell lines) ( $P < 0.0001$ ) when compared to normal tissue samples as determined using pyrosequencing (Fig. 3C). A significant difference in methylation between normal prostate ( $n=50$ ) and prostate cancer samples ( $n=50$ ) was also found within region 2 of the hTERT promoter using pyrosequencing analysis (Fig. 3D).

#### **Methylation of Region 2 of hTERT correlates with high hTERT expression in cancer**

[0061] Since not all cancers exhibit hTERT expression, the correlation between methylation within region 2 of hTERT and hTERT expression was analyzed in multiple normal tissues and tumours. As expected, several normal tissues - including embryonic stem cells, hematopoietic progenitors and blood lymphocytes - possessed variable levels of hTERT expression. However, these tissues did not display methylation within region 2 of hTERT (Fig. 4A, 4B). When a similar analysis was performed for malignant tissues - including pediatric and adult high grade gliomas, leukemias, colon cancer and multiple cancer cell lines - there was a strong correlation between methylation in region 2 of hTERT and high hTERT expression. Conversely, low grade pediatric tumours and low grade adult gliomas, which lack hTERT expression, showed no methylation in this region (Fig. 4C, 4D). The sensitivity and specificity of this assay to detect cancer tissues were 93% and 100%, respectively. Furthermore, the sensitivity increased to 96% when only hTERT-expressing cancers were considered. This resulted in positive predictive value of 1.0 and negative predictive value of 0.93 for methylation in region 2 of the hTERT promoter to detect malignancy in the cohort. Together these observations indicate that in cancer, there is a direct correlation between hTERT expression and hypermethylation in the TERT promoter.

#### **Methylation in Region 2 of hTERT increases with tumour progression**

[0062] The lack of methylation within region 2 of hTERT in low-grade tumour cells was investigated to determine if methylation status changes during tumourigenesis.

Samples from glioma patients whose disease had evolved from low to high grade were first analyzed. In all patients, low grade tumours exhibited low levels of hTERT methylation in region 2, while the corresponding transformed high grade cancers had higher methylation status in this region (Figure 5A). Moreover, this increase in methylation was accompanied by an increase in hTERT expression (Figure 5B). Samples from adrenocortical cancer patients whose tumours had progressed from localized to metastatic disease were then analyzed. Although both localized and metastatic tumour samples showed higher levels of hTERT methylation in region 2 than their corresponding normal tissues, the paired samples from the same patient revealed an increase in hTERT methylation in region 2 with progression to metastatic disease (Fig. 5C).

[0063] The transition from low to high methylation status in region 2 of hTERT could occur either as a dynamic process in all cancer cells or as a result of clonal evolution. To determine which of these two possibilities was the case, freshly resected gliomas were separated into tumor sub-populations using CD15/SSEA1 as a marker to identify an enriched population of tumor initiating cells (TICs) from the bulk of tumor cells. The CD15-positive TIC subpopulation was previously demonstrated to possess higher hTERT expression than the bulk of tumor cells. Both sub-populations of tumor cells showed similar levels of hTERT methylation in this region (Fig. 6A) indicating that increased methylation over time is not due to a highly methylated clone which is enriched as tumor progression occurs. Sequenom® technology was then used to determine whether the extent of hTERT methylation could result in differential hTERT allelic expression. Differential allelic expression of hTERT was found to be common in gliomas. Interestingly, as methylation increased from 0 to 50% in region 2, the difference in allelic expression also increased to a maximum at 50% methylation (Fig. 6B). This was followed by a decrease in differential allelic expression when methylation in region 2 exceeded 50%. These findings suggest that hTERT methylation is initially restricted to one allele resulting in monoallelic expression of this allele, and then, as the tumor progresses, the other allele is hypermethylated resulting in loss of monoallelic expression (Fig. 6B). Monoallelic expression of hTERT was significantly less common in tumors with less than 30% methylation in region 2 than those with higher methylation in this region ( $P < 0.009$ ) (Fig. 6C). Finally, levels of methylation in region 2 strongly correlated with levels of hTERT expression (Fig. 6D) even when differential expression decreased suggesting that methylation of the second allele results in even higher hTERT expression. These results

indicate that hypermethylation within the hTERT promoter is a dynamic process occurring in the majority of cancer cells to allow for higher hTERT expression during tumor progression.

#### **Treatment with demethylating agents decreases hTERT expression**

[0064] Since methylation was found to correlate with higher hTERT expression in cancer cells, it was determined whether or not treatment of tumor cells with demethylating agents might decrease hTERT expression. Glioma (GLINS1) and medulloblastoma (UW228) cell lines were treated with 5-azacytidine (5AZA) in combination with Trichostatin A (TSA). A decrease in hTERT methylation in region 2 of hTERT was observed in both cell lines (Fig. 7A, 7B) and this correlated with a decrease in hTERT expression (Fig. 7C, 7D). When the same treatment was applied to embryonic stem cells no significant changes in hTERT expression were observed (7E, 7F), indicating that hTERT expression is regulated by an alternative mechanism in normal stem cells. This finding also indicates that demethylating agents are useful for the treatment of hTERT-expressing tumors without negatively affecting normal stem cells.

#### **Methylation status predicts tumour sub-type**

[0065] Methylation in hTERT was found to differ across tumour sub-types. Choroid plexus papillomas showed low methylation within region 2 of hTERT whereas carcinomas showed higher methylation. Atypical papillomas were divided into two subgroups: those with low promoter methylation that behaved like papillomas and those with high promoter methylation that progressed into carcinomas. It is noted that in the atypical papilloma group, the 2 patients that exhibited high promoter methylation with a progression of the papilloma to carcinoma both died.

#### **Methylation status predictive of patient survival**

[0066] Methylation in hTERT was also found to be predictive of patient survival. Using Sequenom® analysis, five year over all survival in ependymoma patients was 86+/-9% and 30+/-10% for patients having tumours which exhibited hypomethylation (n=20) and hypermethylation (n=25), respectively, within region 2 of hTERT (Fig. 8A). Five year progression-free survival was 95+/-5% and 51+/-10% for patients having tumours which exhibited hypomethylation (n=20) and hypermethylation (n=25), respectively (Fig. 8B).

**Methylation status predictive of treatment**

[0067] Methylation status within the target TERT promoter region is also predictive of suitable cancer treatment protocol. Administration of telomerase inhibitor, Imetelstat (5 $\mu$ M), was found to inhibit growth of glioma tumour-initiating cells (TIC) but not normal neural stem cells as shown in Figure 9. Only TICs show loss of replicative potential after telomerase inhibition. Data points represent the mean of triplicate cell counts +/- standard deviation.

[0068] A neuroblastoma cell line (CHLA90) that was found to be unmethylated within region 2 of the TERT promoter was treated, as well as two additional cell lines which demonstrated hypermethylation in this region, with Imetelstat (5 $\mu$ M), for 5 weeks. Both hypermethylated cell lines responded to Imetelstat while the nonmethylated line did not (Fig. 10). This latter cell line also exhibited evidence of ALT. This indicates that hypermethylation within the TERT promoter can be used to determine which tumors do not require telomerase activity for telomere maintenance and therefore will not respond to telomerase inhibition.

**Unmethylated malignant tumours display an Alternative Lengthening of Telomeres (ALT) phenotype**

[0069] Although most malignant tumours were extensively methylated in region 2 of the hTERT promoter, about ~10% of malignant gliomas were not, and these tumours did not express hTERT. It was therefore determined whether or not these tumours were maintaining their telomeres independent of telomerase activation and found that these tumours displayed aberrantly long telomeres consistent with an ALT phenotype. Gliomas demonstrating ALT had significantly lower methylation in the tested regions than those expressing telomerase ( $P < 0.001$ ). Tumours with the ALT phenotype and low levels of methylation in region 2 of the hTERT promoter were also observed amongst leukemias and in cancer cell lines and accounted for the majority of malignant cancers with low region 2 methylation. In contrast, all hTERT-expressing cancers ( $n=91$ ) exhibited region 2 hypermethylation. These observations indicate that in malignant neoplasms, lack of methylation in region 2 of the hTERT promoter could be used as a screening tool to detect ALT.



## CLAIMS

### We Claim:

1. A method of diagnosing cancer in a mammal comprising the steps of:
  - i) determining in a nucleic acid-containing biological sample obtained from the mammal the degree of DNA methylation of a target region within the hTERT promoter from the nucleotide at about position -157 to the nucleotide at about position -580, or a corresponding target region in a TERT promoter in a mammal other than a human, to yield a sample methylation signature;
  - ii) determining the baseline degree of DNA methylation of the target region in a control sample to yield a control methylation signature;
  - iii) comparing the sample methylation signature to the control methylation signature and rendering a diagnosis of cancer when there is at least 1.5 times more methylation in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein there is at least 2 times more methylation in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature.
3. The method of claim 1, wherein there is at least 3 times more methylation in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature.
4. The method of claim 1, wherein the target region comprises at least two CG sites.
5. The method of claim 1, wherein the target region comprises at least three CG sites.
6. The method of claim 1, wherein the CG sites are contiguous.
7. The method of claim 1, wherein the biological sample is a primary biological sample.
8. The method of claim 7, wherein the sample is selected from the group consisting of blood, serum, plasma, urine, biopsied tissue and pleural effusions.

9. A method of predicting survival in a mammal with cancer comprising the steps of determining in a nucleic acid-containing sample from the mammal the degree of DNA methylation of a target region within the hTERT promoter from the nucleotide at about position -157 to the nucleotide at about position -580, or a corresponding target region in a TERT promoter in a mammal other than a human, to yield a sample methylation signature, determining the baseline degree of DNA methylation of the target region in a control sample to yield a control methylation signature, comparing the sample methylation signature to the control methylation signature and rendering a prediction of overall or progression-free survival when there is at least 1.5 times more methylation in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature.
10. The method of claim 9, wherein a prediction of 5-10 year overall survival or progression-free survival of less than 50% is rendered when there is at least 1.5 times more methylation in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature.
11. The method of claim 9, wherein there is at least 2 times more methylation, preferably at least 3 times more methylation, in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature.
12. A method of predicting tumour progression in a mammal with a benign tumour comprising the steps of determining in a tumour sample from the mammal the degree of DNA methylation of a target region within the hTERT promoter from the nucleotide at about position -157 to the nucleotide at about position -580, or within a corresponding target region in a TERT promoter in a mammal other than a human, to yield a sample methylation signature, determining the baseline degree of DNA methylation of the target region in a control sample to yield a control methylation signature, comparing the sample methylation signature to the control methylation signature and predicting that the tumour will progress from a benign to a malignant tumour when there is at least 1.5 times more methylation in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature.
13. A method of identifying the grade of a tumour in a mammal comprising the steps of determining in a tumour sample from the mammal the degree of DNA methylation of

a target region within the hTERT promoter from the nucleotide at about position -157 to the nucleotide at about position -580, or within a corresponding target region in a TERT promoter in a mammal other than a human, to yield a sample methylation signature, determining the baseline degree of DNA methylation of the target region in a control sample to yield a control methylation signature, comparing the sample methylation signature to the control methylation signature and identifying a tumour be a malignant tumour when there is at least 1.5 times more methylation in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature.

14. A method of determining whether or not a mammal is a candidate for treatment with telomerase-targeted or demethylation therapy comprising the steps of determining in a nucleic acid-containing sample obtained from the mammal the degree of DNA methylation of a target region within the hTERT promoter from the nucleotide at about position -157 to the nucleotide at about position -580, or within a corresponding target region in a TERT promoter in a mammal other than a human, to yield a sample methylation signature, determining the baseline degree of DNA methylation of the target region in a control sample to yield a control methylation signature, comparing the sample methylation signature to the control methylation signature and deducing that the mammal is a candidate for telomerase-targeted or demethylation therapy when there is at least 1.5 times more methylation in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature.
15. A method of characterizing a malignant tumour obtained from a mammal comprising determining in a sample of the tumour the degree of DNA methylation of a target region within the hTERT promoter from the nucleotide at about position -157 to the nucleotide at about position -580, or within a corresponding target region in a TERT promoter in a mammal other than a human, to yield a sample methylation signature, determining the baseline degree of DNA methylation of the target region in a control sample to yield a control methylation signature, comparing the sample methylation signature to the control methylation signature and deducing that the tumour is an alternative lengthening of telomeres phenotype when there is less than 1.5 times more methylation in the sample methylation signature as compared to the control methylation signature.

1/15

**FIGURE 1A**

GCTGCGCAGCAGGGAGCGCACGGCTCGGCAGCGGGGAGCGCGCGGCATCGCGGGGGTGGCCGGGGCCAGGGC  
 TTCCCACGTGCGCAGCAGGACGCAGCGCTGCCTGAAACTCGCGCCGCGAGGAGAGGGCGGGGCCGCGGAAAGG  
 AAGGGGAGGGGCTGGGAGGGCCCGGAGGGGGCTGGGCCGGGGACCCGGGAGGGGTCTGGGACGGGGCGGGGT  
 CCGCGCGGAGGAGGCGGAGCTGGAAGGTGAAGGGGCAGGACGGGTGCCCGGGTCCCCAGTCCCTCCGCCACGT  
GGGAAGCGCGGTCTGGGCGTCTGTGCCCGCAATCCACTGGGAGCCCGCCTGGCCCCGACAGCGCAGCTGCT  
CCGGGCGGACCCGGGGGTCTGGGCCGCGCTTCCCCGCCCGCGCGCCGCTCGCGCTCCAGGGTGCAGGGACGCC  
AGCGAGGGCCCCAGCGGAGAGAGGTGCAATCGGCCTAGGCTGTGGGGTAACCCGAGGGAGGGGCCATGATGTG  
GAGGCCCTGGGAACAGGTGCGTGCGGCGACCCTTTGGCCGCTGGCCTGATCCGGAGACCCAGGGCTGCCTCCAGG  
TCCGGACGCGGGGCGTCGGGCTCCGGGCACCACGAATGCCGGACGTGAAGGGGAGGACGGAGGCGCGTAGACG  
CGGCTGGGGACGAACCCGAGGACGCATTGCTCCCTGGACGGGCACGCGGGACCTCCCGGAGTGCCTCCCTGCAAC  
ACTTCCCCGCGACTTGGGCTCCTTGACACAGGCCCGTCATTTCTTTGCAGGTTCTCAGGCGGGCGAGGGGTCCCC  
 ACCATGAGCAAACCACCCCAAATCTGTTAATCACCCACCGGGGCGGTCCCGTCGAGAAAGGGTGGGAAATGGAG  
 CCAGGCGCTCCTGCTGGCCGCGCACCGGGCGCCTCACACCAGCCACAACGGCCTTGACCCTGGGCCC  
 CGGCACTCTGTCTGGCAGATGAGGCCAACATCTGGTCACATCCCGCCCGC

ATG (TAC) : position +78

GAG - Transcription start site

Region 1A – Location : -132 to -240


Region 1B – Location : -260 to -349

Region 2 – Location : - 402 to - 443

Region 3 – Location : - 566 to - 679

*Sequenom (italic)* - Location : -376 to -591

CG :CG or CpG site



**FIGURE 1B**


3/15

Medulloblastomas  
ATRTs  
Ependymomas  
Choroid Plexus Papillomas  
Pilocytic Astrocytomas  
Normal brain

**CG17166338**

**CG11625005**

**CG10896616**



**FIGURE 2A**




FIGURE 2B




FIGURE 3A



6/15




FIGURE 3B

7/15




FIGURE 3C




FIGURE 3D

9/15




FIGURE 4




FIGURE 5




FIGURE 6




FIGURE 7





FIGURE 8



14/15



**FIGURE 9**

15/15




FIGURE 10