



(51) International Patent Classification:

G01N 3/58 (2006.01) B26D 3/26 (2006.01)

G01G 3/12 (2006.01) B26D 7/01 (2006.01)

G01G 23/18 (2006.01) B26D 7/30 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/NZ2017/050083

(22) International Filing Date:

16 June 2017 (16.06.2017)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

721367 20 June 2016 (20.06.2016) NZ

(71) Applicant: ANAGO LIMITED [NZ/—]; 7 Sussex St, Chartwell, Hamilton, 3210 (NZ).

(72) Inventor; and

(71) Applicant: STAUB, Tim [NZ/NZ]; 7 Sussex St, Chartwell, Hamilton, 3210 (NZ).

(72) Inventor: DOWD, Peter; 7 Sussex St, Chartwell, Hamilton, 3210 (NZ).

(74) Agent: PIETRAS, Tony; Level 1, 29 Kings Crescent, Lower Hutt, 5010 (NZ).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every

kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DJ, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JO, JP, KE, KG, KH, KN, KP, KR, KW, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every

kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(54) Title: CUTTING FORCE ANALYSER




Figure 1

(57) Abstract: A cutting force analyser is provided, comprising weighing means adapted to determine the weight of an object and force applied to the object; a record of a force threshold for cutting the object; and indicating means. The analyser is formed to use the weighing means to differentiate between the weight of the object and cutting force applied to it, to compare the cutting force with the force threshold, and to use the indicating means to communicate how the force compares with the threshold.



Published:

- *with international search report (Art. 21(3))*
- *before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments (Rule 48.2(h))*

TITLE

CUTTING FORCE ANALYSER

FIELD OF INVENTION

5 The invention relates to the field of analysing force applied while cutting a product and in particular to providing visual or aural feedback to workers cutting products.

BACKGROUND

10 There are many situations where people cut various types of products repeatedly. For example, workers in a supermarket may cut vegetables into portions for packaging and sale. Pumpkins, cabbage and cauliflower may be cut down into half or less to be sold as smaller portions to customers who do not want a whole vegetable. Another industry where product cutting is important is in the preparation of meat and meat products for sale.

15

Workers in these industries and other industries may perform the same task repeatedly during a work shift. While performing these tasks the workers have no way of knowing if their cutting technique is optimal or their tools need sharpening.

20

It is the object of a preferred embodiment of the present invention to provide a cutting force analyser. However, it should be appreciated that the invention has more general application, and so any objects or advantages applicable to the preferred embodiment should not be seen as a limitation on the scope of the claims. The object of the invention per se is simply to provide the public with a useful choice.

25

The term "comprising", if and when used in this document, should be interpreted non-exclusively. For example, if used in relation to a combination of features it should not be taken as precluding the option of there being further unnamed features.

30

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In broad terms in one aspect the invention comprises a cutting force analyser, comprising:

- weighing means adapted to determine the weight of an object and force applied to the object;
- a record of a force threshold for cutting the object; and
- indicating means;

5 the analyser formed to use the weighing means to differentiate between the weight of the object and cutting force applied to it, to compare the cutting force with the force threshold, and to use the indicating means to communicate how the force compares with the threshold.

10 Optionally the indicating means communicate a visual indication of how the forces compares with the threshold.

Optionally the indicating means comprise a plurality of lights.

15 Optionally the weighing means includes a scale.

Optionally the weighing means includes a load cell.

Optionally the weighing means includes a hook.

20

Optionally the cutting force analyser includes one or more clamps.

Optionally the cutting force analyser includes a record of different blades.

25 Optionally the record of force threshold for cutting an object is stored electronically.

In broad terms in another aspect the invention comprises a method of providing feedback using a cutting force analyser comprising the steps of:

- accessing product information about a type of product and a force threshold for the product from the record;
- receiving information from the weighing means about force applied to the product;
- 5 • determining whether the force exceeds the force threshold by comparing force information to the force threshold; and
- using the indicating means to provide feedback based on the force and the force threshold.

10 Optionally the feedback is visual feedback.

Optionally the force information is stored on a computing device.

15 Optionally the method further includes the step of timing out if no products are detected by the force measuring device for a predetermined length of time.

Optionally the visual feedback includes a number of lights.

20 Optionally the lights are coloured and the colour of the lights may change as the force applied to a product is closer to the threshold value.

Optionally the cutting force analyser is used to determine the force used to cut meat.

25 Optionally the cutting force analyser is used to determine the force used to cut fruit.

Optionally the cutting force analyser is used to determine the force used to cut vegetables.

DRAWINGS

Some preferred embodiments of the invention will be further described with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1 shows scales that may be used in an embodiment of the invention;

Figure 2 shows clamps on the scale; and

5 **Figure 3** is a flow chart.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

10 Figure 1 shows a set of scales that may be used by a worker/user cutting a product, for example, meat, fruit or vegetables. The scale 1 has one or more load cells under a plate 1a on which the product is placed. The load cell(s) measure the force on the plate. The weight of the product itself will apply some force to the load cell(s) due to gravity. As the worker cuts the product more force is applied to the load cell(s). This is because a knife or the like generally moves downwards through the product, and therefore exerts a force
15 on the plate 1a. The generally downwards force is measured by the load cells. This may or may not be the entire force applied to the product. It will be understood that this measured downwards force is the cutting force or force for the purposes of this invention. This force is measured by the load cell(s) and the measurement sent to an electronic processor that assesses whether or not the force exceeds a predetermined threshold.
20 Threshold values are stored for different products to be cut on plate 1a. These values are related to the upper force that may be needed to cut the product in an ideal situation. The scales may also include an output port to send force information to an attached computing device. Alternatively, the scales may communicate wirelessly with a computing device. Alternatively, the scales may have an on-board computing device.

25 In some embodiments, one or more clamps are provided to hold the product on the scales so it does not move more than is acceptable while being cut. For example, it prevents the product rolling whilst being cut and undesirably influencing the readings. The clamps may be any suitable form. For example, a spike on which the product is impaled or a device
30 that cradles the product on the scales.

Figure 2 shows an embodiment of clamps that may be used on the scales. The clamps

11 are V-shaped, pivoted at the bottom of the V 11a with one shorter arm and one longer arm. The V-shaped parts are spaced around the scale with the shorter arms towards the centre. A product is placed on the shorter arms, pivoting them downwards towards the centre of the scale. The longer arms move towards an upright position and help hold the produce in place. In example shown in figure 2, there are four V-shaped parts provided with the pivot points about 15 cm apart.

When a product is being cut on the scales the force information obtained is compared to force threshold information for the product being cut. Feedback information is provided to the worker. In one embodiment feedback information is provided by a series of lights 3 on the scales. Light box 3 has six different lights. As force is applied to the product as a result of cutting it with a knife the lights light up providing an indication of the magnitude of the force. If the force applied within expected limits (i.e. below the threshold), then the lights may all be green. If the force applied is near the top of the expected limits one or more of the lights may show orange. If the force applied exceeds a threshold, then one or more of the lights may show red. In one embodiment, the lights change from green to orange to red as the force applied by the worker cutting the product goes from within the limits to close to the threshold and then over the threshold. The change in lights allows the worker to relate the force they are applying to the threshold.

As the worker cuts the product force readings are continuously taken by the load cell(s). The force readings are compared to the threshold information and feedback is provided to the worker. This feedback allows the worker to see (or hear) if the force applied is too great so that the worker may adjust their cutting technique during cutting the product, or sharpen or replace the blade used and see (or hear) the results of the change. The feedback is effectively instantaneous.

The force threshold is dependent on the product being cut and the sharpness of the knife. For example, a potato cut with a sharp knife may require a maximum 500g of force. A potato cut with a dull knife may require up to 2kg of force. Further, cutting a potato with a dull knife may damage cells of the potato at the site of the cut leading to browning and a reduced shelf life. If the threshold for cutting potatoes is set at 500g or slightly above 500g, a worker will be provided with a visual or aural indication when the force exceeds this amount and may go and sharpen his or her knife before cutting the next potato. The

worker should then find that the force no longer exceeds the threshold.

In another example, the force measuring device can measure force on a product that is hanging below the device. For example, meat may be hung from hooks are attached to load cells that measure the amount of force on the hooks. The load cells measure the weight of the meat and any generally downwards force applied to the meat during cutting. Feedback on cutting force is provided to the worker as they are cutting the meat to allow them to adjust their technique or alert them that their blade may require sharpening or replacing.

In other embodiments, different indicators may be used. The indicators could be visual, for example a numerical force reading or audible or a combination of visual and audible indicators.

Figure 2 is a flow chart showing one embodiment of steps used in the method. In step 4 the worker or worker selects the product that is going to be cut. Each product will have its own threshold value(s) stored. The threshold value relates to the upper expected cutting force for the product. For example, cutting a lettuce will require less force than cutting a pumpkin and will accordingly have a lower threshold value than the pumpkin threshold value. The product selection may be made from a picklist (or other type of list) on the scales or on an associated computing device. For example, the worker could choose the type of product from a visual representation of different products shown on a screen associated with the scales and in communication with a computing device.

After the worker has selected the product, in step 5, product information is retrieved by the computing device from suitable storage. The product information includes a force threshold related to the maximum expected force required for cutting the product. Other product information may be stored as well.

Information on different types of knives and other cutting tools may also be stored. This means that it is possible to store force threshold information for a single product when different blades are used in cutting the product. If force threshold information relating to different blades is stored then the worker can select the blade they are using as well as selecting the product to be cut. The blade information may be based on blade length and

weight and/or blade manufacturer.

When the first product is placed on the scales the force on the load cell(s) is sensed. This initial force relates to the weight of the product. The force will increase as the worker
5 begins to cut the product. In step 6 the force information is periodically recorded at predetermined intervals.

In step 7 the question is asked *'is the force zero for more than a predetermined time?'*

Asking this can allow the system to determine whether the cutting session has finished.

10 For example, there will be a time when the force is zero after one product is removed from the scales and before another product is placed on the scales. This *zero force* period is to be expected. However, if there is no force applied to the scales for a longer period of time then the computing device may time out and end the session. Additionally or alternatively, a worker may be able to end the session, for example, by selecting 'end' on the computing
15 device.

The force applied to the product is compared to a threshold value stored for the product and feedback is provided in step 10. This force is the difference between the force on the load cell(s) when the product is being cut and the force on the load cell(s) of the product
20 by itself. The feedback may be lighting indicators to show that the applied force is less than the threshold or some other visual or audible means. In one embodiment if the force applied is less than the threshold value then a number of lights are lit green. If the force is close to the threshold value, then one or more of the lights may be lit orange. If the force exceeds the threshold value, then one or more of the lights may be lit red. The light
25 sequence may change from all green to one orange and the remainder green, through to all orange, then one red and the rest orange through to all red. This gradual change in colour of the indicator lights provides feedback to the worker about the amount of force they are applying, and if the lights start turning red, the amount by which the force exceeds the threshold. This may indicate to the worker that their tools need sharpening or
30 replacing or that their technique needs improving. If the force exceeds the threshold during cutting of a number of products in succession an alert may be provided to the worker to advise them to sharpen their tools.

The product is removed from the scales after the worker has finished cutting it. Another

product may then be placed on the scales for cutting. If the product is the same type as the previous product the worker can start cutting it. The worker will need to select the type of product if it is different to the previous product. The session will continue until the worker ends it or it times out after a predetermined period with no items present on the scales.

The force information for a session may be stored on the computing device. Storing the force information allows the computing device to further process the information. For example, if the force applied to the product during the session regularly exceeded the threshold value this could indicate that the tool or tools used by the worker require sharpening or the worker's technique could be improved. If the force is gradually increasing over time, this could be used to predict when the worker's tools will need sharpening. This information can be provided to the worker. Additionally, force information could be used to assess if the produce is outside of the expected standard. For example, if the worker is cutting over-ripe fruit less force would be needed than if the worker were cutting unripe fruit. If the product is a fruit or vegetables that are unripe more force may be needed than if the fruit or vegetables are ripe. The average amount of force used by the worker could therefore be used as an indicator of a property of the produce (such as ripeness).

If the scale has more than one load cell, force information from each load cell may be sent to the computing device. Force information from more than one load cell can be analysed and may provide information about the worker's cutting technique. This information could allow the worker to optimise their cutting technique, minimise cutting effort, optimise processing time, and optimise product quality and optimise tool design, use and maintenance.

Although the examples given relate to produce, application of the process is much wider and the examples should not be seen as limiting.

While some preferred aspects of the invention have been described by way of example it should be appreciated that modifications and improvements can occur without departing from the scope of the following claims.

CLAIMS

1. A cutting force analyser, comprising:

- weighing means adapted to determine the weight of an object and force applied to the object;
- a record of a force threshold for cutting the object; and
- indicating means;

the analyser formed to use the weighing means to differentiate between the weight of the object and cutting force applied to it, to compare the cutting force with the force threshold, and to use the indicating means to communicate how the force compares with the threshold.

2. A cutting force analyser as claimed in claim 1, wherein the indicating means communicate a visual indication of how the forces compares with the threshold.

3. A cutting force analyser as claimed in claim 2, wherein the indicating means comprise a plurality of lights.

4. A cutting force analyser as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 3, wherein the weighing means includes a scale.

5. A cutting force analyser as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 4, wherein the weighing means includes a load cell.

6. A cutting force analyser as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 3 wherein the weighing means includes a hook.

7. A cutting force analyser as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 5 further including one or more clamps.

8. A cutting force analyser as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 7 further including a record of different blades.

5 9. A cutting force analyser as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 8 wherein the record of force threshold for cutting an object is stored electronically.

10. A method of providing feedback when using a cutting force analyser as claimed in claim 1 comprising the steps of:

- 10
- accessing product information about a type of product and a force threshold for the product from the record;
 - receiving information from the weighing means about force applied to the product;
 - determining whether the force exceeds the force threshold by comparing

15 force information to the force threshold; and

 - using the indicating means to provide feedback based on the force and the force threshold.

20 11. A method of providing feedback as claimed in claim 10, wherein the feedback is visual feedback.

12. A method of providing feedback as claimed in claim 10 or claim 11, wherein the force information is stored on a computing device.

25 13. A method of providing feedback as claimed in any one of claims 10 to 12, further including the step of timing out if no products are detected by the force measuring device for a predetermined length of time.

30 14. A method of providing feedback as claimed in claim 11, wherein the visual feedback includes a number of lights.

15. A method of providing feedback as claimed in claim 14, wherein the lights are coloured and the colour of the lights may change as the force applied to a product is closer to the threshold value.

5

16. A cutting force analyser as claimed in claim 1 when used to determine the force used to cut meat.

10

17. A cutting force analyser as claimed in claim 1, when used to determine the force used to cut fruit.

18. A cutting force analyser as claimed in claim 1, when used to determine the force used to cut vegetables.




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/NZ2017/050083

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

G01N 3/58 (2006.01) G01G 3/12 (2006.01) G01G 23/18 (2006.01) B26D 3/26 (2006.01) B26D 7/01 (2006.01)
B26D 7/30 (2006.01)

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EPODOC, WPIAP: CPC (Y10T83/182, Y10T83/525/-, Y10T83/849/-, B26D3/26, B26D7/225, B26D7/30, B26D2210/02, G01N3/58/-, G01L1/-, G01L5/-, G01G/-); Keywords (cutting force, slice, knife, food, meat, analyser, sensor, weigh, hook, threshold, and like terms).

Google Patents and Google: Keywords (cutting, force, chopping, board, scale, weigh, analyze, food, threshold, clamp, hook, and like terms); Inventor/applicant search (ANAGO; DOWD, Peter; STAUB, Tim).

Espacenet: CPCs (Y10T83/182, Y10T83/525/-, Y10T83/541, Y10T83/849/-, B26D3/26, B26D7/01, B26D7/225, B26D7/30, B26D2210/02, G01N3/58, G01L1/-, G01L5/-, G01G/-).

AUSPAT and internal databases provided by IP Australia: Inventor/applicant search (ANAGO; DOWD, Peter; STAUB, Tim).

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Documents are listed in the continuation of Box C		

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C

See patent family annex

* "A"	Special categories of cited documents: document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"E"	earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"X"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"L"	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"O"	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&"	document member of the same patent family
"P"	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		

Date of the actual completion of the international search 17 October 2017	Date of mailing of the international search report 17 October 2017
Name and mailing address of the ISA/AU AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA Email address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au	Authorised officer Marie Vozzo AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE (ISO 9001 Quality Certified Service) Telephone No. +61262832384

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT		International application No. PCT/NZ2017/050083
C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 7059202 B2 (STANOS et al.) 13 June 2006 col. 2, l. 17-24; col. 3, l. 23-32; col. 4, l. 10-13; col. 4, l. 42-50; col. 5, l. 58 to col. 6, l. 39; col. 6, l. 47-48; col. 7, l. 16-20; col. 7, l. 24-25; items 30 and 100	1-7, 9-18
X	US 2014/0165803 A1 (WEBER MASCHINENBAU GMBH BREIDENBACH) 19 June 2014 paras. [0006], [0018]-[0019], [0039], [0041]-[0042], [0048]; items 2 and 10	1, 4-6, 8-10, 12, 16-18
A	US 4653361 A (ZOBELI) 31 March 1987 Whole document	1-18
A	US 6359239 B1 (MISSLER et al.) 19 March 2002 Whole document	1-18
A	US 7158915 B2 (WARGON) 02 January 2007 Whole document	1-18

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/NZ2017/050083

This Annex lists known patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document/s Cited in Search Report		Patent Family Member/s	
Publication Number	Publication Date	Publication Number	Publication Date
US 7059202 B2	13 June 2006	US 2005252309 A1	17 Nov 2005
		US 7059202 B2	13 Jun 2006
		CA 2506460 A1	14 Nov 2005
US 2014/0165803 A1	19 June 2014	US 2014165803 A1	19 Jun 2014
		DE 102012024947 A1	26 Jun 2014
		EP 2746004 A2	25 Jun 2014
US 4653361 A	31 March 1987	US 4653361 A	31 Mar 1987
		EP 0166064 A1	02 Jan 1986
		EP 0166064 B1	02 Mar 1988
		JP S6119309 A	28 Jan 1986
US 6359239 B1	19 March 2002	US 6359239 B1	19 Mar 2002
US 7158915 B2	02 January 2007	US 2004153283 A1	05 Aug 2004
		US 7158915 B2	02 Jan 2007
		AU 2003303399 A1	22 Jul 2004
		AU 2003303399 B2	14 Aug 2008
		AU 2005253114 A1	22 Dec 2005
		AU 2005253114 A2	22 Dec 2005
		AU 2005253114 B2	02 Dec 2010
		CA 2511345 A1	15 Jul 2004
		CA 2569452 A1	22 Dec 2005
		CN 1732371 A	08 Feb 2006
		EP 1592944 A2	09 Nov 2005
		EP 1782226 A2	09 May 2007
		EP 2084969 A2	05 Aug 2009
		JP 2006512591 A	13 Apr 2006
		JP 2008501952 A	24 Jan 2008
		NZ 540899 A	30 Mar 2007
		NZ 551616 A	26 Jun 2009
		TW 200513365 A	16 Apr 2005
		US 2005222805 A1	06 Oct 2005
		US 7010457 B2	07 Mar 2006
US 2006155509 A1	13 Jul 2006		
US 7460982 B2	02 Dec 2008		
US 2006247853 A1	02 Nov 2006		

Due to data integration issues this family listing may not include 10 digit Australian applications filed since May 2001.

Form PCT/ISA/210 (Family Annex)(July 2009)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/NZ2017/050083

This Annex lists known patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document/s Cited in Search Report		Patent Family Member/s	
Publication Number	Publication Date	Publication Number	Publication Date
		US 7522996 B2	21 Apr 2009
		US 2006171308 A1	03 Aug 2006
		US 7729708 B2	01 Jun 2010
		US 2009076720 A1	19 Mar 2009
		US 7734073 B2	08 Jun 2010
		US 2006198550 A1	07 Sep 2006
		US 7756300 B2	13 Jul 2010
		US 2009073190 A1	19 Mar 2009
		US 7764811 B2	27 Jul 2010
		US 2009177375 A1	09 Jul 2009
		US 7860648 B2	28 Dec 2010
		US 2011044511 A1	24 Feb 2011
		US 8077928 B2	13 Dec 2011
		US 2011007093 A1	13 Jan 2011
		US 8107691 B2	31 Jan 2012
		US 2011050721 A1	03 Mar 2011
		US 8270683 B2	18 Sep 2012
		US 2012092365 A1	19 Apr 2012
		US 8392114 B2	05 Mar 2013
		US 2013016881 A1	17 Jan 2013
		US 8582827 B2	12 Nov 2013
		US 2008147312 A1	19 Jun 2008
		US 8635014 B2	21 Jan 2014
		US 2013304366 A1	14 Nov 2013
		US 8768610 B2	01 Jul 2014
		US 2014160160 A1	12 Jun 2014
		US 8805027 B2	12 Aug 2014
		US 2008140313 A1	12 Jun 2008
		US 9188454 B2	17 Nov 2015
		US 2014333650 A1	13 Nov 2014
		US 9214033 B2	15 Dec 2015
		US 2014375690 A1	25 Dec 2014
		US 9286729 B2	15 Mar 2016
		US 2016252364 A1	01 Sep 2016
		US 9599486 B2	21 Mar 2017
		US 2016109247 A1	21 Apr 2016

Due to data integration issues this family listing may not include 10 digit Australian applications filed since May 2001.

Form PCT/ISA/210 (Family Annex)(July 2009)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/NZ2017/050083

This Annex lists known patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document/s Cited in Search Report		Patent Family Member/s	
Publication Number	Publication Date	Publication Number	Publication Date
		US 9702713 B2	11 Jul 2017
		US 2006217880 A1	28 Sep 2006
		US 2008215435 A1	04 Sep 2008
		US 2011082639 A1	07 Apr 2011
		US 2016146621 A1	26 May 2016
		WO 2004059258 A2	15 Jul 2004
		WO 2004059258 B1	12 May 2005
		WO 2005121987 A2	22 Dec 2005
		ZA 200505342 B	30 Aug 2006

End of Annex