



(51) International Patent Classification:

BOW 67/00 (2006.01) **C13K 13/00** (2006.01)
BOW 61/02 (2006.01) **C13B 20/16** (201 1.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/EP2012/074490

(22) International Filing Date:

5 December 2012 (05.12.2012)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

61/567,815 7 December 2011 (07.12.2011) US

(71) Applicant: **DUPONT NUTRITION BIOSCIENCES APS** [DK/DK]; Langebrogade 1, DK-1001 Copenhagen K (DK).

(72) Inventors: **MATILTA, Jari**; c/o DuPont Nutrition Biosciences ApS, Langebrogade 1, DK-1001 Copenhagen K (DK). **KOIVIKKO, Hannu**; c/o DuPont Nutrition Biosciences ApS, Langebrogade 1, DK-1001 Copenhagen K (DK).

(74) Agent: **KOLSTER OY AB**; Maija-Liisa Puranen, Iso Roobertinkatu 23, P.O.Box 148, FI-00121 Helsinki (FI).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY,

BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Declarations under Rule 4.17:

- as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a patent (Rule 4.17(H))
- of inventorship (Rule 4.17(iv))

Published:

- with international search report (Art. 21(3))



WO 2013/083623 A1

(54) Title: NANOFILTRATION PROCESS WITH PRE - TREATMENT TO ENHANCE SOLUTE FLUX

(57) Abstract: A process of treating polymeric nanoiltration membranes before separation of low molecular weight compounds from a solution comprising the same by nanoiltration, wherein the treatment of the nanoiltration membranes is performed with an treatment liquid under conditions which enhance the flux of the low molecular weight compounds to the nanoiltration permeate.

NANOFILTRATION PROCESS WITH PRE - TREATMENT TO ENHANCE SOLUTE FLUX

Field of the invention

The invention relates to a process of treating polymeric nanofiltration membranes, especially membranes selected from polyamide membranes.

5 The process of the invention is based on treating the membranes with treatment liquids, which contain compounds selected from organic acids and alcohols, organic sulfonic acids and sulfonates, surfactants and weak bases, even at very low concentrations and at high temperatures for a prolonged time before their use in nanofiltration. It has been surprisingly found that the treatment

10 process of the invention provides an improved throughput capacity, which remains at a high level in long term in successive nanofiltration cycles, while improving or essentially retaining the separation efficiency of the nanofiltration.

Background of the invention

It is generally known in the art that various post-treatment methods

15 are used by the manufacturers of nanofiltration membranes to increase the performance of asymmetric composite membranes and to stabilize the membranes in the longer term, see Nanofiltration - Principles and Applications, edited by A.I. Schafer, A.G. Fane & T.D. Waite, 2005, pages 41-42 (3.2.7 Post treatment). The post-treatment may comprise annealing in water or under dry

20 conditions, exposure to concentrated mineral acids, drying with solvent exchange techniques and treatment with conditioning agents. As useful solvent systems for asymmetric polyimide membranes in the solvent exchange techniques, a combination of isopropanol or methylketone with hexane as well as mixtures of lube oil, methylketone and toluene are specifically mentioned. It is

25 also recited that conservation in conditioning agents, like lube oil, enhances the performance of asymmetric polyimide membranes. The post-treatment for the polyimide membranes in accordance with the cited reference is performed to improve the hydrophilic properties of the membranes.

Furthermore, the same textbook as mentioned above describes

30 fouling prevention and cleaning of nanofiltration membranes on page 219 etc. Chemical cleaning agents and processes, including alkaline cleaning and acid cleaning, are described on pages 220-221. Nitric acid, citric acid, phosphonic acid and phosphoric acid are mentioned as examples of acidic cleaning agents.

Various conditioning and cleaning methods for nanofiltration membranes (Desal-5 DK, Desal-5 DL and NF270 membranes) in the recovery of xylose by nanofiltration have been disclosed by E. Sjoman et al. in "Xylose recovery by nanofiltration from different hemicellulose hydrolyzate feeds", Journal of Membrane Science 310 (2008), pages 268-277. In accordance with this document, the virgin membranes are conditioned with an alkaline cleaning agent (0.5% P3-Ultrasil-1 10) at 2 bar and 45°C for 30 minutes and rinsed with ion free water, followed by nanofiltration of a first batch and a second batch of the hemicellulose hydrolyzate, from which xylose is to be separated. After each batch, the membranes are cleaned with an acidic and alkaline cleaning agent. The acidic cleaning is done with 5% acetic acid for 30 minutes at 50°C at 2 bar. The alkaline cleaning is done with 1% P3-Ultrasil-1 10 for 10 minutes at 50°C at 2 bar, followed by further 2 minutes after a stop of 30 minutes. Furthermore, the cleaning comprises rinsing with ion free water. It is recited that the cleaning is done to stabilize the membranes to long-term filtration-cleaning cycles. The cleaning methods described in this document have been carried out under relatively mild conditions, for example for relatively short periods of time and their purpose has been mostly to remove the fouling layer collected on the membrane during the nanofiltration of xylose solutions.

WO 02/053781 A1 and WO 02/053783 A1 mention the treatment of nanofiltration membranes with alkaline detergents and/or ethanol in the recovery of different chemical compounds, for example monosaccharides, such as xylose, by nanofiltration from a biomass hydrolysate. Furthermore, WO 2007/048879 A1 mentions the washing of nanofiltration membranes with an acidic washing agent in the recovery of xylose by nanofiltration from plant-based biomass hydrolysates.

Weng et al. discuss the retention of xylose and acetic acid at various initial acetic acid concentrations in "Separation of acetic acid from xylose by nanofiltration", Separation and Purification Technology 67 (2009) 95-102. A negative retention of acetic acid was observed in the presence of xylose.

US Patent 5 279 739 discloses a polymeric composition useful in membrane technology such as nanofiltration. Suitable polymers for the composition include polyether sulfone, polysulfone and polyarylether sulfone. According to the examples, a suitable pore former may be added to the polymer composition prior to casting and hardening of the membranes. As suitable pore formers are mentioned low molecular weight organic compounds, inorganic

salts and organic polymers. Furthermore, it is recited that other suitable pore formers include for example low molecular weight organic acids, such as acetic acid and propionic acid.

WO 2005/1 231 57 A 1 discloses a method of activating membranes
5 useful in separation processes, such as nanofiltration and reverse osmosis methods, especially waste water treatment methods. In this method, the membrane is contacted for at least one day with a liquid activating agent comprising at least one acid and at least one surfactant. The acids may be selected from inorganic acids, organic acids and mixtures thereof. The organic acids may be
10 selected from citric acid, adipic acid, succinic acid, glutaric acid, lactic acid, and maleic acid, for example. The surfactant may be selected from anionic surfactants, cationic surfactants, non-ionic surfactants, amphoteric surfactants and mixtures thereof. A treatment temperature of 25°C is disclosed. It is recited that the method results in an improved permeate flux. It is also recited that the
15 method results in decreased fouling of the membrane. This means better long term capacity, but not higher initial capacity. Furthermore, improvement of the flux of low molecular weight compounds (such as sugars) into the permeate is not disclosed or suggested.

Verissimo, S. et al disclose that the performance of reverse osmosis
20 membranes, specifically composite hollow fiber membranes, can be improved by formic acid treatment in "Thin film composite hollow fiber membranes: An Optimized manufacturing method", J. Membr. Sci. 264, (2005), 48-55. It appears from the document that the improved performance of the membranes refers to improved water permeability with NaCl rejections higher than 95%. In
25 the same way as above, improvement of the flux of low molecular weight compounds other than water into the permeate is not disclosed or suggested.

US 5 755 964 discloses a method of increasing the flux of a composite membrane having a polyamide layer by contacting the polyamide layer with an amine, such as ammonia. It is recited that the method makes it possible to control both the rejection rate and the flux of the membrane. The rejection rate is defined as the percentage of a particular dissolved material which
30 does not flow through the membrane with solvent. The flux is defined as the flow rate at which solutions pass through the membrane. Consequently, the document does not disclose or suggest improvement of the flow (flux) of any
35 particular dissolved material into the permeate.

One of the problems associated with known nanofiltration processes comprising post-treatment, conditioning and cleaning methods under relatively mild conditions as described above is that the initial throughput capacity of the membranes has not been sufficient and/or has not remained stable in the long run, but decreases too quickly in successive nanofiltration runs. Consequently, there is a need for more efficient treatment methods to achieve increased membrane throughput capacity, without having a negative effect on the membrane structure and on the separation efficiency.

Definitions relating to the invention

"Membrane throughput capacity" is expressed as the flux of the compound to be separated, e.g. as xylose flux for the case where xylose is the target compound to be separated by the nanofiltration process.

"Flux" or "permeate flux" refers to the amount (liters or kg) of the solution that permeates through the nanofiltration membrane during one hour calculated per one square meter of the membrane surface, $l/(m^2h)$ or $kg/(m^2h)$.

"Water flux" refers to the amount (liters or kg) of water that permeates through the nanofiltration membrane during one hour calculated per one square meter of the membrane surface, $l/(m^2h)$ or $kg/(m^2h)$.

"Xylose flux" refers to the amount of xylose (g) that permeates through the nanofiltration membrane during one hour calculated per one square meter of the membrane surface, $g/(m^2h)$. Xylose flux may be determined by measuring the liquid flux and the content of dry substance and xylose in the permeate. The same definition applies to other target compounds to be separated. Consequently, for example "glucose flux" and "NaCl flux" are defined in the same way.

"Xylose purity" refers to the percentage (%) content of xylose in the dry substance of the permeate. The same definition applies to other target compounds to be separated. Consequently, for example "glucose purity" is defined in the same way.

"Separation efficiency" refers to the ability of the membranes in a nanofiltration process to separate the target compound(s) from the other compound in nanofiltration feed, expressed as the purity of the compound (% on DS) in the nanofiltration permeate compared to purity of the compound in the feed. The separation efficiency may also be expressed as the relation of two compounds to be separated from each other (their relation in the permeate compared to that in the feed).

"DS" refers to the dry substance content measured by Karl Fischer titration or by refractometry (RI), expressed as % by weight.

"MgSO₄ retention" refers to the observed retention of MgSO₄, which is a measure of the membrane selectivity toward MgSO₄ as shown below:

5

$$R_{\text{Mgso4}} = 1 - c_p(\text{MgSO}_4)/C_f(\text{MgSO}_4)$$

where R_{Mgso4} is the observed retention of MgSO₄

$c_p(\text{MgSO}_4)$ is the concentration of MgSO₄ in the permeate (g/100 g solution)

10 $C_f(\text{MgSO}_4)$ is the concentration of MgSO₄ in the feed (g/100 g solution).

"NaCl retention" refers to the observed retention of NaCl, defined in the same way as MgSO₄ retention above.

"Membrane treatment" refers to modifying a nanofiltration membrane with chemicals to increase the membrane throughput capacity. The
15 membrane treatment in accordance with the invention may be performed by membrane manufacturers as post-treatment in the finishing stage of membrane manufacturing. The membrane treatment in accordance with the present invention may also be made as pretreatment in the nanofiltration operation.

"Membrane cleaning" and "membrane washing" refer to removing
20 membrane preserving compounds from virgin membranes or removing foulants/contaminants/ impurities which have been accumulated on the nanofiltration membranes (surfaces and pores thereof) during the nanofiltration operation or during storage of the nanofiltration membranes.

Description of the invention

25 An object of the present invention is thus to provide a process of treating nanofiltration membranes so as to alleviate the above-mentioned disadvantages relating non-sufficient or reduced membrane throughput capacity in known nanofiltration methods.

The invention relates to a process of treating polymeric nanofiltration
30 membranes before separation of low molecular weight compounds from a solution containing the same by nanofiltration, wherein the treatment of the nanofiltration membranes is performed with a treatment liquid under conditions which enhance the flux of the low molecular weight compounds to the nanofiltration permeate while improving or essentially retaining the separation efficiency of the low molecular weight compounds.
35

In an embodiment of the invention, the treatment liquid is a solution comprising one or more compounds selected from organic acids and alcohols, organic sulfonic acids or sulfonates, and surfactants.

In an embodiment of the invention, the treatment liquid contains one
5 or more of organic acids, one or more of acidic organic sulfonic acids or sulfonates and one or more of anionic tensides.

The organic acids may be selected from formic acid, acetic acid, propionic acid, lactic acid, oxalic acid, citric acid, itaconic acid, glycolic acid and aldonic acids. The aldonic acids may be selected from xylic acid and
10 gluconic acid, for example.

The alcohol may be selected from methanol, ethanol, n-propanol, isopropanol and glycerol, for example.

The organic sulfonic acids may be selected from alkyl aryl sulfonic acids and sulfonates, taurine, perfluorooctane sulfonic acid and Nafion (a sulfonated tetrafluoroethylene based fluoropolymer-copolymer).
15

The alkyl aryl sulfonic acids and sulfonates may be selected from toluene sulfonic acid and sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate, for example.

The surfactants may be selected from anionic tensides and cationic tensides, for example.

In a typical embodiment of the invention, the treatment liquids are
20 aqueous solutions containing one or more compounds recited above.

The concentration of the organic acids and alcohols in the treatment liquid may be 0.5% to 60% by weight, preferably 0.5% to 20% by weight, more preferably 0.5% to 10% by weight. The concentration of the sulfonic acids and
25 sulfonates in the treatment liquid may be in the range of 0.1 to 10%, preferably 0.1 to 5% and more preferably 0.1 to 2% by weight. The concentration of the surfactants in the treatment liquid may be in the range of 0.01 to 10%, preferably 0.01 to 5% and more preferably 0.01 to 2% by weight.

In an embodiment of the invention, the treatment liquid is an aqueous
30 liquid containing one or more organic acids, one or more of organic sulfonic acids and one or more of anionic tensides. In one specific embodiment of the invention, the organic acids are selected from a combination of citric acid and lactic acid, and the organic sulfonic acid is selected from alkyl aryl sulfonic acids.

In a further embodiment of the invention, the treatment liquid contains
35 one or more of weak bases, preferably weak inorganic bases. The weak

inorganic bases may be selected from weakly basic hydroxides, such as ammonium hydroxide, calcium hydroxide and magnesium hydroxide; weakly basic carbonates, such as sodium carbonate; and weakly basic oxides, such as calcium oxide and magnesium oxide.

5 The weak bases useful in the present invention may also be selected from weak organic bases. The weak organic bases may be selected from acetone, pyridine, imidazole, benzimidazole; organic amines, such as alkyl amines, for example methyl amine; amino acids, such as histidine and alanine; phosphazene bases; and hydroxides of organic cations.

10 The weak bases useful in the present invention may also be selected from Lewis-bases, such as triethylamine, quinuclidine, acetonitrile, diethylether, THF, acetone, ethyl acetate, diethylacetamide, dimethylsulfoxide, tetrahydrothiophene, and trimethyl phosphate.

 The concentration of the weak bases in the treatment liquid may be
15 0.5% to 60% by weight, preferably 0.5% to 20% by weight, more preferably 0.5% to 10% by weight.

 The weak bases recited above may be used alone or in combination with any of the organic acids and alcohols, organic sulfonic acids and sulfonates and surfactants recited above.

20 Furthermore, the treatment liquids may also be for example industrial process streams, which contain one or more of the recited compounds in concentrations mentioned above. The industrial process streams may be selected from various side streams from industrial plants, for example. Examples of useful industrial process streams are for instance side streams from wood
25 processing industry and biorefineries, which may typically contain recited compounds in appropriate ranges. If appropriate, the industrial process streams may be diluted or concentrated to the desired concentration.

 In specific embodiments of the invention, for example the following products may be used to provide the required treatment liquid: P3-Ultrasil 73,
30 P3-Ultrasil 78, P3-Ultrasil 67 and P3-Ultrasil 53 (manufacturer Ecolab), Divosan Uniforce VS44, DIVOS 80-2 VM1, DIVOSAN PLUS VT53, Divos 80-6 VM35 and Divosan OSA-N VS37 (manufacturer Johnson Diversey), TriClean 211 and TriClean 217 (manufacturer Trisep), KLEEN MCT 103, KLEEN MCT403 and KLEEN MCT442 (manufacturer GE Water and Processes). The
35 products may be used for example in dosages of 0.5 to 1% by volume as aqueous solutions.

As an example, P3-Ultrasil 73 contains following components (expressed in % by weight):

- citric acid in an amount of 10 to 20%,
- lactic acid in an amount of 5 to 10%,
- 5 an alkyl aryl sulfonic acid in an amount of 2 to 5%,
- anionic tensides in an amount of less than 5%.

The treatment conditions (temperature and time) may vary within a wide range depending on the selected treatment liquid and the concentration thereof and the selected membrane, for example.

10 The treatment in accordance with the present invention may be performed at a temperature of 20° to 100°C, preferably 20°C to 90°C, more preferably 30°C to 85°C, still more preferably 45°C to 80°C and especially 55 to 80°C. In one embodiment of the invention, the treatment with weak bases is performed at a temperature of 20 to 40°C.

15 The treatment time may be 0.5 to 150 hours, preferably 1 to 100 hours, more preferably 1 to 70 hours.

In one embodiment of the invention, the treatment may comprise two or more successive steps with different treatment liquids, for example at least one step with a treatment liquid containing one or more alcohols, such as
20 isopropanol, and at least one step with a treatment liquid containing one or more organic acids, such as acetic acid, in any desired sequence.

In a further embodiment of the invention, the treatment may comprise at least one step with a treatment liquid containing one or more weak inorganic bases and at least one step with a treatment liquid containing one or
25 more organic acids, in any desired sequence. The weak inorganic base may be ammonium hydroxide and the organic acid may be lactic acid, for example.

In practice, the treatment may be performed by immersing, soaking or incubating the membrane elements in the treatment liquid. Mixing may be applied, if desired. The treatment may also be performed by recycling the pre-
30 treatment liquid in a nanofiltration apparatus provided with the membrane elements to be treated.

The treatment process of the present invention is followed by the actual nanofiltration for separating target compounds from various nanofiltration feeds.

35 Consequently, in a further embodiment of the invention, the process further comprises nanofiltration of a nanofiltration feed comprising low molecu-

lar weight compounds to obtain a nanofiltration retentate and a nanofiltration permeate, whereby said low molecular weight compound(s) are separated into the nanofiltration permeate with improved flux of the compound(s), while essentially retaining the separation efficiency. The nanofiltration is performed
5 with nanofiltration membranes treated as described above. The flux improvement of the compound(s) is more than 20%, preferably more than 50%, more preferably more than 100% compared to the flux with untreated membranes.

The treatment of the present invention may be applied for example to the nanofiltration processes disclosed in WO 02/053781 A1 and 02/053783
10 A1 and WO 2007/048879 A1, which are incorporated herein by reference.

The compounds to be separated by the nanofiltration are typically low molecular weight compounds which have a molar mass of up to 360 g/mol.

The low molecular weight compounds to be separated may be selected from sugars, sugar alcohols, inositols, betaine, glycerol, amino acids,
15 uronic acids, carboxylic acids, aldonic acids and inorganic and organic salts.

In one embodiment of the invention, the sugars are monosaccharides. The monosaccharides may be selected from pentoses and hexoses. The pentoses may be selected from xylose and arabinose. In one embodiment of the invention, the pentose is xylose.

20 The hexoses may be selected from glucose, galactose, rhamnose, mannose, fructose and tagatose. In one embodiment of the invention, the hexose is glucose.

The sugar alcohols may be selected from xylitol, sorbitol and erythritol, for example.

25 The carboxylic acids may be selected from citric acid, lactic acid, gluconic acid, xylonic acid and glucuronic acid.

The inorganic salts to be separated may be selected from monovalent salts, such as NaCl, NaHSO₄ and NaH₂PO₄ (monovalent anions, such as Cl⁻, HSO₄⁻ and H₂PO₄⁻), for example.

30 In a preferred embodiment of the invention, the compounds to be separated into the nanofiltration permeate may be product compounds, such as xylose, glucose and betaine.

In a further embodiment of the invention, the compounds to be separated into the nanofiltration permeate may be impurities, such as inorganic salts, especially monovalent salts like NaCl, NaHSO₄ and NaH₂PO₄. The compounds to be separated (from the impurities) into the nanofiltration retentate
35

(concentrate) may comprise lactose, xylobiose and maltotriose, for example.

The starting material used as the nanofiltration feed in accordance with the present invention may be selected from plant-based biomass hydrolysates and biomass extracts and fermentation products thereof.

5 In one embodiment of the invention, the plant-based biomass hydrolysates may be derived from wood material from various wood species, such as hardwood, various parts of grain, bagasse, coconut shells, cottonseed skins etc. In one embodiment of the invention, the starting material may be a spent liquor obtained from a pulping process, for example a spent sulphite
10 pulping liquor obtained from hardwood sulphite pulping. In a further embodiment of the invention, the starting material is a sugar beet based solution or a sugar cane based solution, such as molasses or vinasse.

In a further embodiment of the invention, the nanofiltration feed is selected from starch hydrolysates, oligosaccharide-containing syrups, glucose
15 syrups, fructose syrups, maltose syrups and corn syrups.

In a further embodiment of the invention, the nanofiltration feed may be a lactose-containing dairy product, such as whey.

In one embodiment of the invention, the nanofiltration comprises the separation of xylose from a spent liquor obtained from a pulping process, for
20 example a spent sulphite pulping liquor obtained from hardwood sulphite pulping. Xylose is recovered as a product from the nanofiltration permeate.

In a further embodiment of the invention, the nanofiltration comprises the separation of betaine from a sugar beet based solution, such as molasses or vinasse. Betaine may be recovered as a product from the nanofiltration
25 permeate.

In a still further embodiment of the invention, the nanofiltration comprises the separation of glucose from a glucose syrup, such as dextrose corn syrup. Glucose is recovered as a product from the nanofiltration permeate.

In a still further embodiment of the invention, the nanofiltration comprises the separation of inorganic salts, especially monovalent salts, from a
30 lactose-containing dairy product, for example whey. The salts are separated as impurities into the nanofiltration permeate.

The polymeric nanofiltration membranes useful in the present invention include, for example, aromatic polyamide membranes such as polypiperazineamide membranes, aromatic polyamine membranes, polyether sulfone
35 membranes, sulfonated polyether sulfone membranes, polyester membranes,

polysulfone membranes, polyvinyl alcohol membranes and combinations thereof. Composite membranes composed of layers of one or more of the above-mentioned polymeric materials and/or other materials are also useful in the present invention.

5 Preferred nanofiltration membranes are selected from polyamide membranes, especially polypiperazineamide membranes. As examples of useful membranes can be mentioned Desal-5 DL, Desal-5 DK and Desal HL by General Electrics Osmonics Inc., NF 270, NF 245 and NF 90 by Dow Chemicals Co., NE40 and NE70 by Woongjin Chemicals Co, Alfa-Laval NF, Alfa-
10 Laval NF 10 and Alfa-Laval NF 20 by Alfa-Laval Inc and TriSep TS40 by Tri-Sep Co and Hydranautics 84200 ESNA 3J by Nitto Denko Co.

The nanofiltration membranes useful for the treatment of the invention typically have a cut-off size of 150 to 1 000 g/mol, preferably 150 to 250 g/mol.

15 The nanofiltration membranes which are useful in the present invention may have a negative or positive charge. The membranes may be ionic membranes, i.e. they may contain cationic or anionic groups, but even neutral membranes are useful. The nanofiltration membranes may be selected from hydrophobic and hydrophilic membranes.

20 Typical forms of the membranes are spiral wound membranes and flat sheet membranes assembled in plate and frame modules. The membrane configuration may be also selected e.g. from tubes, and hollow fibers.

In one embodiment of the invention, the treatment is done on non-used virgin membranes, before the membranes are taken into use. In another
25 embodiment of the invention, the treatment may be done on used membranes before a new nanofiltration. The treatment may be regularly repeated for example within intervals of 3 to 6 months during the nanofiltration use.

The nanofiltration conditions (such as the temperature and pressure, the dry substance content of the nanofiltration feed and the content of the
30 low molecular weight compound in the nanofiltration feed) may vary depending on the selected starting material (nanofiltration feed), the compound to be separated and the selected membrane. The nanofiltration conditions may be selected for example from those described in in WO 02/053781 A1 and 02/053783 A1 and WO 2007/048879 A1, which are incorporated herein by reference.
35

The nanofiltration temperature may be in the range of 5 to 95°C,

preferably 30 to 80°C. The nanofiltration pressure may be in the range of 10 to 50 bar, typically 15 to 35 bar.

The dry substance content of the nanofiltration feed may be in the range of 5% to 60% by weight, preferably 10% to 40% by weight, more preferably 20% to 35% by weight.

The content of the low molecular weight compounds, e.g. xylose or betaine, in nanofiltration feeds selected from plant-based biomass hydrolysates and extracts may be in the range of 10 to 65% on DS, preferably 30 to 65% on DS. The content of the low molecular weight compounds, e.g. glucose, in nanofiltration feeds selected from starch hydrolysates, oligosaccharide-containing sumps, glucose syrups, fructose syrups, maltose syrups and corn syrups may be in the range of 90 to 99%, preferably 94 to 99%.

It was found that the pretreatment process of the present invention provides a considerable increase in the membrane throughput capacity for the low molecular weight compounds which are separated into the nanofiltration permeate, while also improving the permeate flux. For example in the separation of xylose, the increase in the capacity may be even up to 300% or higher, measured for xylose separation as the increased xylose flux through the membrane, while retaining the separation efficiency. It was also found that the achieved capacity increase was stable during repeated nanofiltration cycles. At the same time, the separation efficiency measured for example as the purity of xylose or as the separation of xylose from glucose remained the same or even improved along with the higher capacities.

In one embodiment of the invention, the flux of the low molecular weight compounds to the nanofiltration permeate is in the range of 10 to 20 000 g/m²h.

In the separation of sugars, the flux of the sugars to the nanofiltration permeate may be in the range of 20 to 15 000 g/m²h, preferably 100 to 8 000 g/m²h, most preferably 100 to 4 000 g/m²h.

In the separation of xylose, the flux of xylose to the nanofiltration permeate may be in the range of 100 to 15 000 g/m²h, preferably 300 to 15 000 g/m²h, most preferably 1 000 to 15 000 g/m²h.

In the separation of glucose, the flux of glucose to the nanofiltration permeate may be in the range of 200 to 15 000 g/m²h, preferably 200 to 10 000 g/m²h, most preferably 200 to 8 000 g/m²h.

In the separation of inorganic salts, the flux of the salts to the nanofiltration permeate may be in the range of 20 to 2000 g/m²/h, preferably 40 to 1500 g/m²/h and more preferably 80 to 1000 g/m²/h.

In one specific embodiment of the invention, the invention relates to a process of separating and recovering xylose from a xylose-containing solution by nanofiltration with a polymeric nanofiltration membrane, comprising

treating the membrane with an organic liquid comprising citric acid, lactic acid, an alkyl aryl sulfonic acid and anionic tensides in the following conditions:

- 10 - concentration of citric acid 0.5 to 20% by weight,
- concentration of lactic acid 0.5 to 20% by weight
- concentration of the alkyl aryl sulfonic acid 0.1 to 10% by weight,
- concentration of the the anionic tensides 0.1 to 10% by weight,
- treatment temperature 50 to 70°C, and
- 15 - treatment time 2 to 70 hours,

to obtain a treated nanofiltration membrane, followed by nanofiltering the xylose-containing solution with the treated nanofiltration membrane with a xylose flux of 100 to 15 000 g xylose/m²h to the nanofiltration permeate, and

20 recovering xylose from the nanofiltration permeate.

In a further specific embodiment of the invention, the invention relates to a process for separating and recovering xylose from a xylose-containing solution by nanofiltration with a polymeric nanofiltration membrane, comprising in any desired sequence

25 a step of treating the membrane with a treatment liquid containing lactic acid in the following conditions:

- concentration of lactic acid 20 to 60% by weight,
- treatment temperature 50 to 70°C, and
- treatment time 2 to 80 hours, and

30 a step of treating the membrane with a treatment liquid containing ammonium hydroxide in the following conditions:

- concentration of ammonium hydroxide 0.1 to 10 % by weight,
- treatment temperature 20 to 40°C,
- treatment time 2 to 80 hours,

35 to obtain a treated nanofiltration membrane, followed by

nanofiltering the xylose-containing solution with the treated nanofiltration membrane with a xylose flux of 100 to 15 000 g xylose/m²h to the nanofiltration permeate, and

recovering xylose from the nanofiltration permeate.

5 EXAMPLES

The invention will now be described in greater detail with following examples, which are not construed as limiting the scope of the invention.

The following membrane was used in the examples:

- 10 -Desal-5 DK (manufacturer General Electrics (GE) Osmonics Inc.),
- Desal-5 DL (manufacturer GE Osmonics Inc.),
- NF 245 (manufacturer Dow Chemicals Co.),
- Alfa-Laval NF, Alfa-Laval NF 10 and Alfa-Laval NF 20 (manufacturer Alfa-Laval Inc.),
- Trisep TS40 (manufacturer TriSep Co.), and
- 15 - Hydranautics 84200 ESNA 3J (manufacturer Nitto Denko Co).

HPLC (for the determination of xylose and glucose) refers to liquid chromatography. RI detection was used.

The tests with pure water represent reference tests (no pretreatment).

20 **Example 1** (Xylose flux test after treatment of GE Osmonics Desal 5 DK membrane with various compounds/compositions)

A membrane treatment test was carried out with flat sheets cut from spiral wound elements. The nanofiltration membrane tested was GE Osmonics Desal 5 DK membrane. The filtration unit used in the test was Alfa Laval Lab-
25 Stak M20.

All the tested membrane sheets were pre-washed with ion free water for 48 hours at 25°C to remove all membrane preserving compounds. Then the membranes were washed with an alkaline washing agent for 30 minutes by soaking in 0.1 % alkaline solution (Ecolab Ultrasil 112) at 30°C. The membranes were
30 flushed with ion free water. The next step was to soak the membranes for 2 minutes in 0.1 % acetic acid at 30°C followed by flushing with IEX (ion exchanged) water.

After the pre-washing steps, the membrane sheets were treated by incubation in various test liquids at 70°C for 24 to 72 hours. The test liquids were
35 pure water, sodium dodecyl sulfate, metabisulphite, N-N-dimethylacetamide,

formic acid, acetic acid, acidic washing agent (Ecolab P3-Ultrasil 73) with varying concentrations. After the soaking treatment, the membrane sheets were flushed well with ion free water before assembling them to the nanofiltration test unit.

5 A xylose flux test with the treated membranes was carried out with a 23% DS industrial xylose solution, obtained from chromatographically separated xylose fraction of Mg-based acid spent sulphite pulping liquor, obtained according to WO 021 053 783 A 1. The xylose flux test was done at 30 bar/70°C using 3 m/s cross flow velocity. The filtrations were done with a reflux mode,
10 e.g. all permeates were introduced back into the feed tank. The filtration time before the measurements and sample taking was 30 minutes.

The permeate flux values were registered and the permeate samples were analysed with HPLC to measure the xylose content for the calculation of xylose flux. The membrane treatment methods, xylose fluxes, permeate
15 fluxes, permeate DS and xylose purities in the permeate are presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Membrane treatment method	Permeate flux	Xylose flux	Permeate xylose purity
	kg/m ² /h	g/m ² /h	% on DS
Pure water, 70°C, 72 h	1,5	233	59,4
Pure water, 70°C, 72 h	1,5	222	59,3
40 % Formic acid, 70°C, 72 h	4,1	640	58,9
45 % Acetic acid, 70°C, 84 h	4,0	604	59,5
0.5 % P3-Ultrasil 73, 72 h, 70°C	2,5	388	59,3
1 % P3-Ultrasil 73, 72 h, 70°C	9,0	1374	59,2
10 % P3-Ultrasil 73, 72 h, 70°C	71,0	13846	52,7
200 g/L Na-dodecyl sulfate, 24 h, 50°C	1,6	238	59,6
650 g/L Metabisulphite , 24 h, 50°C	1,7	257	58,5
19,6 % N-N-dimethylacetamide, 24 h,	1,6	247	58,7

Example 2 (A further xylose flux test after treatment of GE Osmonics Desal 5 DK membrane with various compounds/compositions)

5 A membrane treatment test was carried out with flat sheets cut from spiral wound elements. The nanofiltration membrane tested was GE Osmonics Desal 5 DK membrane. The filtration unit used in the test was Alfa Laval Lab-Stak M20.

10 After the pre-washing steps in accordance with Example 1, the membrane sheets were treated by incubation in various test liquids at 70°C for 24 to 72 hours. The test liquids in this example were pure water, sodium dodecyl sulfate, Fennopol K3450 (cationic surfactant, manufactured by Kemira) hexane, chitosan, gluconic acid formic acid, acetic acid, acidic washing agent (Ecolab P3-Ultrasil 73) with varying concentrations. After the soaking treatment, the membrane sheets were flushed well with ion free water before assembling them to the nanofiltration test unit.

A xylose flux test with the treated membranes was carried out with a 23% DS industrial xylose solution in accordance with Example 1.

20 The permeate flux values were registered and the permeate samples were analysed with HPLC to measure the xylose content for the calculation of xylose flux. The membrane treatment methods, xylose fluxes, permeate fluxes, permeate DS and xylose purities in the permeate are presented in Table 2.

Table 2

Membrane treatment method	Xylose flux	Xylose purity
	g/m ² /h	% on DS
IEX-water, 72h, 70 °C	241	59,8
IEX-water, 72h, 70 °C	242	59,9
0,1% FENNOPOL K3450 24h, 25°C	286	59,6
100% Hexane, 7h, 25 °C	288	60,2
0,1% Na-dodecyl sulfate, 24h, 25°C	323	59,2
0,5% Chitosan, pH 4, 24h, 25°C	307	60,4
40% Gluconic acid 72h, 70°C	424	59,9
45% Acetic acid, 84h, 70°C	689	59,8
40% Formic Acid, 72h, 70°C	869	60,2
0,1% P3-Ultrasil 73 72h, 70 °C	311	60,0
1% P3-Ultrasil 73, 72h, 70 °C	1566	57,4

Example 3 (A further xylose flux test after treatment of GE Osmonics Desal 5 DK membrane with various compounds/compositions)

5 A membrane treatment test was carried out with flat sheets cut from spiral wound elements. The nanofiltration membrane tested was GE Osmonics Desal 5 DK membrane. The filtration unit used in the test was Alfa Laval Lab-Stak M20.

10 After the pre-washing steps in accordance with Example 1, the membrane sheets were treated by incubation in various test liquids at 70°C for 24 to 72 hours. The test liquids in this example were pure water, sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), acetic acid, acidic washing agent (Ecolab P3-Ultrasil 73) with varying concentrations, incubation times and temperatures. After the soaking treatment, the membrane sheets were flushed well with ion free water before assembling them to the nanofiltration test unit.

15 A xylose flux test with the treated membranes was carried out with a 23% DS industrial xylose solution in accordance with Example 1.

20 The permeate flux values were registered and the permeate samples were analysed with HPLC to measure the xylose content for the calculation of xylose flux. The membrane treatment methods, xylose fluxes, permeate fluxes and xylose purities in the permeate are presented in Table 3.

Table 3

Membrane treatment method	Permeate flux	Xylose flux	Xylose purity
	kg/m ² /h	g/m ² /h	% on DS
IEX water, 72 h	1.2	167	59.7
200 g/L SDS, 24 h	1.3	178	60.8
IEX water, 72 h	1.0	139	58.5
40% Formic acid, 72 h	2.6	361	60.2
45% Acetic acid, 84 h	2.5	353	61.0
0.5% P3-Ultrasil 73, 72 h,	1.9	263	59.6
1% P3-Ultrasil 73, 72 h,	5.3	736	60.0

Example 4 (Xylose flux test after treatment of GE Osmonics Desal 5 DL membrane with P3-Ultrasil in various concentrations and conditions)

A membrane treatment test was carried out with flat sheets cut from spiral wound elements. The nanofiltration membrane tested was GE Osmonics Desal 5 DL membrane. The filtration unit used in the test was Alfa Laval Lab-Stak M20.

After the pre-washing steps in accordance with Example 1, the membrane sheets were treated by incubation in various test liquids at 60 to 70°C for 3 to 110 hours. The test liquids in this example were pure water and acidic washing agent (Ecolab P3-Ultrasil 73) with varying concentrations, incubation times and incubation temperatures. After the soaking treatment, the membrane sheets were flushed well with ion free water before assembling them to the nanofiltration test unit.

The first test with the pre-treated membranes was a MgSO₄ retention test. The MgSO₄ retention test was carried out with a 2000 ppm MgSO₄ solution at 8.3bar/25°C, with a reflux mode, e.g. all permeates were introduced back into the feed tank. The filtration time before the measurements and sample taking and was 60 minutes.

A xylose flux test with the treated membranes was carried out with a 23% DS industrial xylose solution in accordance with Example 1.

The permeate flux values were registered and the permeate samples were analysed with HPLC to measure the xylose content for the calculation of xylose flux. The membrane treatment methods, xylose fluxes, MgSO₄ retentions and xylose purities in the permeate are presented in Table 4.

Table 4

Membrane treatment liquid	Treatment chemical concentration %-(w/w)	Treatment temperature °C	Treatment time h	Retention MgSO4 %	Xylose flux g/m ² /h	Xylose purity % on DS
Water	0	72	110	98,6	559	59,9
P3-Ultrasil 73	2	65	8	99,3	657	60,4
P3-Ultrasil 73	4	70	5	98,9	578	59,2
P3-Ultrasil 73	4	70	11	97,6	855	59,7
P3-Ultrasil 73	7	65	3	99,4	627	62
P3-Ultrasil 73	7	65	13	99	854	60,6
P3-Ultrasil 73	7	65	8	99,4	682	60,5
P3-Ultrasil 73	7	65	8	99,5	682	61,8
P3-Ultrasil 73	7	65	8	99,3	707	60,2
P3-Ultrasil 73	10	65	8	99,3	680	60,6
P3-Ultrasil 73	10	65	8	99,3	695	59,8
P3-Ultrasil 73	10	65	8	99	768	60,6
P3-Ultrasil 73	10	60	11	99,1	636	59,5
P3-Ultrasil 73	10	70	11	95,5	1069	59,1
P3-Ultrasil 73	10	70	5	98,9	631	59,4
P3-Ultrasil 73	12	65	8	99,5	628	61,5

Example 5 (Xylose and glucose flux test after treatment of various membranes with various compounds/compositions)

A membrane treatment test was carried out with flat sheets cut from spiral wound elements. The nanofiltration membrane tested was GE Osmonics Desal 5 DK) membrane, and Dow NF245 membrane. The filtration unit used in the test was Alfa Laval LabStak M20.

After the pre-washing steps in accordance with Example 1, the membrane sheets were treated by incubation in various test liquids at 70°C for 3 to 7 hours. The test liquids in this example were pure water, formic acid and acidic washing agent (Ecolab P3-Ultrasil 73) with varying concentrations. After the soaking treatment, the membrane sheets were flushed well with ion free water before assembling them to the nanofiltration test unit.

A xylose flux test with the treated membranes was carried out with a 23% DS industrial xylose solution in accordance with Example 1. Furthermore, a glucose flux test was performed in an equivalent way.

The permeate flux values were registered and the permeate samples were analysed with HPLC to measure the xylose and glucose content for the calculation of xylose and glucose flux. The membrane treatment methods, xylose fluxes and xylose purities in the permeate as well as glucose fluxes and glucose purities in the permeate measured with respective membranes are presented in Table 5.

10 **Table 5**

Membrane treatment method membrane, chemical, time h	Glucose purity % on ds	Glucose flux g/m ² /h	Xylose flux g/m ² /h	Xylose purity % on DS
DK, Water, 7 h	2,6	8,7	185,0	55,8
DK, 1% P3-Ultrasil 73, 1 h	2,9	14,9	292,0	56,5
DK, 1% P3-Ultrasil 73, 7 h	2,7	13,3	279,0	55,8
DK, 2% P3-Ultrasil 73, 1 h	2,8	14,9	299,0	55,4
DK, 2% P3-Ultrasil 73, 7 h	2,7	15,1	304,0	55,1
DK, 40% Formic acid, 7 h	2,9	23,4	460,0	56,4
Dow NF245, Water, 7 h	2,9	21,3	414,0	56,0
Dow NF245, 7% P3-Ultrasil 73, 3 h	3,0	26,7	496,0	56,2
Dow NF245, 7% P3-Ultrasil 73, 7 h	3,2	30,8	557,0	57,4

Example 6 (Xylose flux test after treatment of Dow NF245 membrane with P3-Ultrasil 73)

A membrane treatment test was carried out with flat sheets. The nanofiltration membrane tested was Dow NF 245 membrane. The filtration unit used in the test was Alfa Laval LabStak M20.

After the pre-washing steps in accordance with Example 1 (the acetic acid soaking at 25°C instead of 30°C), the membrane sheets were treated by incubation in various test liquids at 68°C for 24 to 72 hours. The test liquids were pure water and acidic washing agent (Ecolab P3-Ultrasil 73) with varying concentrations. After the soaking treatment, the membrane sheets were flushed well with ion free water before assembling them to the nanofiltration test unit.

A xylose flux test with the treated membranes was carried out with a 23% DS industrial xylose solution in accordance with Example 1.

The permeate flux values were registered and the permeate samples were analysed with a conductivity meter and HPLC to measure the salt content and the xylose content for the calculation of salt retention and xylose flux. The membrane treatment methods, xylose fluxes and salt retentions are presented in Table 6.

Table 6

Treatment liquid	Conc., weight-%	Treatment time, h	Xylose flux, g/m ² /h	Salt retention, % of feed conductivity
Pure H ₂ O		7	684	72%
P3-Ultrasil 73	1.0 %	16	688	67%
P3-Ultrasil 73	1.0 %	24	4379	61%

Example 7 (Xylose flux test after treatment of alfa-Laval NF membranes with lactic acid)

A membrane treatment test was carried out with flat sheets. The nanofiltration membrane tested were three Alfa-Laval NF membranes named NF, NF 10 and NF 20. The filtration unit used in the test was Alfa Laval Lab-Stak M20.

After the pre-washing steps in accordance with Example 1 (acetic acid soaking at 25°C instead of 30°C), the membrane sheets were treated by incubation in various test liquids at 68°C for 7 to 72 hours. The test liquids were pure water and lactic acid with varying concentrations. After the soaking treatment, the membrane sheets were flushed well with ion free water before assembling them to the nanofiltration test unit.

A xylose flux test with the treated membranes was carried out with a 23% DS industrial xylose solution in accordance with Example 1.

The permeate flux values were registered and the permeate samples were analysed with a conductivity meter and HPLC to measure the salt content and the xylose content for the calculation of salt retention and xylose flux. The membrane treatment methods, xylose fluxes, xylose purities in the permeate as well as the salt retentions measured with respective membranes are presented in Table 7.

Table 7

Membrane	Treatment liquid	Conc.. weight-%	Time, h	Xylose flux, g/m ² /h	Salt retention, % of feed conductivity	Xylose purity, % on DS
Alfa-Laval NF	IEX water		7	537	81%	59.3
Alfa-Laval NF	Lactic acid	40%	72	897	79%	55.1
Alfa-Laval NF	Lactic acid	60%	72	956	81%	55.8
Alfa-Laval NF 10	IEX water		7	306	76%	59.0
Alfa-Laval NF 10	Lactic acid	40%	72	798	82%	56.3
Alfa-Laval NF 10	Lactic acid	60%	72	847	82%	56.0
Alfa-Laval NF 20	IEX water		7	588	72%	55.6
Alfa-Laval NF 20	Lactic acid	40%	72	1215	80%	57.6
Alfa-Laval NF 20	Lactic acid	60%	72	1342	81%	55.9

Example 8 (Xylose flux test after treatment of TriSep TS40 and Osmonics Desal 5 DL membranes with lactic acid)

A membrane treatment test was carried out with flat sheets. The nanofiltration membranes tested were TriSep TS40 and GE Osmonics Desal 5 DL. The filtration unit used in the test was Alfa Laval LabStak M20.

After the pre-washing steps in accordance with Example 1 (acetic acid soaking at 25°C instead of 30°C), the membrane sheets were treated by incubation in various test liquids at 68°C for 7 to 72 hours. The test liquids were pure water and lactic acid with varying concentrations. After the soaking treatment, the membrane sheets were flushed well with ion free water before assembling them to the nanofiltration test unit.

The first test with the pre-treated membranes was a MgSO₄ retention test. The test was carried out with a 2000 ppm MgSO₄ solution at 8.3bar/25°C, with a reflux mode, e.g. all permeates were introduced back into the feed tank. The filtration time before the measurements and sample taking and was 60 minutes.

The second test with the pre-treated membranes was a NaCl retention flux test. The test was carried out with a 5000 ppm NaCl solution at 8.3bar/25°C, with a reflux mode, e.g. all permeates were introduced back into the feed tank. The filtration time before the measurements and sample taking and was 60 minutes.

A xylose flux test with the treated membranes was carried out with a 23% DS industrial xylose in accordance with Example 1.

The permeate flux values were registered and the permeate samples were analysed with HPLC to measure the xylose content for the calculation of xylose flux. The membrane treatment methods and the results of MgSO₄, NaCl and xylose tests with respective membranes are presented in Table 8.

Table 8

Membrane	Treatment liquid	Conc., weight-%	Treatment time, h	NaCl retention, %	NaCl flux, g/m ² /h	MgSO ₄ retention, %	Xylose flux, g/m ² /h
Desal 5 DL	IEX water	0	24	18.0	167	97.8	508
Desal 5 DL	Lactic acid	40	24	14.3	270	97.7	940
Trisep TS40	IEX water	0	24	26.3	211	98.7	309
Trisep TS40	Lactic acid	20	24	24.2	278	99.3	446
Trisep TS40	Lactic acid	40	24	23.9	306	98.8	479
Trisep TS40	Lactic acid	60	24	24.0	333	99.1	496
Trisep TS40	IEX water	0	24	27.3	240	99.2	328

Example 9 (Xylose flux test after treating Hydranautics 84200 ESNA 3J NF membrane with lactic acid)

A membrane treatment test was carried out with flat sheets. The nanofiltration membrane tested was Hydranautics 84200 ESNA 3J. The filtration unit used in the test was Alfa Laval LabStak M20.

After the pre-washing steps in accordance with Example 1 (acetic acid soaking at 25°C instead of 30°C), the membrane sheets were treated by incubation in various test liquids at 68°C for 7 to 72 hours. The test liquids were pure water and 40% lactic acid. After the soaking treatment, the membrane sheets were flushed well with ion free water before assembling them to the nanofiltration test unit. A xylose flux test with the treated membranes was carried out with a 23% DS industrial xylose solution in accordance with Example 1.

The permeate flux values were registered and the permeate samples were analysed with a conductivity meter and HPLC to measure the salt content and the xylose content for the calculation of salt retention and xylose flux. The membrane treatment methods, xylose fluxes and xylose purities in the permeate as well as salt retentions are presented in Table 9.

Table 9

Membrane	Treatment liquid	Conc., weight-%	Time, h	Xylose flux, g/m ² /h	Salt retention, % of feed conductivity	Xylose purity, % on DS
Hydranautics 84200 ESNA 3J	IEX water	0	7	233	56%	52.2
Hydranautics 84200 ESNA 3J	Lactic acid	40	72	537	60%	52.3

Example 10 (Xylose flux test after treatment of GE Osmonics Desal 5 DL membrane with various compounds/compositions)

A membrane treatment test was carried out with flat sheets. The nanofiltration membrane tested was GE Osmonics Desal 5 DL membrane. The filtration unit used in the test was Alfa Laval LabStak M20.

After the pre-washing steps in accordance with Example 1 (acetic acid soaking at 25°C instead of 30°C), the membrane sheets were treated by incubation in various test liquids at 68°C for 24 to 72 hours. The test liquids were pure water, acidic washing agent (Ecolab P3-Ultrasil 73) and sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate with varying concentrations. After the soaking treatment, the membrane sheets were flushed well with ion free water before assembling them to the nanofiltration test unit.

A xylose flux test with the treated membranes was carried out with a 23% DS industrial xylose solution in accordance with Example 1.

The permeate flux values were registered and the permeate samples were analysed with a conductivity meter and with HPLC to measure salt and the xylose content for the calculation of salt retention and the xylose flux. The membrane treatments, the xylose fluxes and salt retentions are presented in Table 10.

Table 10

Membrane	Treatment liquid	Conc., weight-%	Time, h	Xylose flux, g/m ² /h	Salt retention, % of feed conductivity
Desal 5 DL	IEX water	0	7	417	61
Desal 5 DL	P3-Ultrasil 73	1	16	528	68
Desal 5 DL	P3-Ultrasil 73	1	24	438	60
Desal 5 DL	P3-Ultrasil 73	1	32	530	68
Desal 5 DL	P3-Ultrasil 73	1	48	679	68
Desal 5 DL	Sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate	0.5	24	475	62
Desal 5 DL	Sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate	0.5	48	770	67

Example 11 (Xylose flux test after treatment of GE Osmonics Desal 5 DL membrane with ammonium hydroxide)

A membrane treatment test was carried out with flat sheets. The nanofiltration membrane tested was GE Osmonics Desal 5 DL membrane. The filtration unit used in the test was Alfa Laval LabStak M2.

After the pre-washing steps in accordance with Example 1 (acetic acid soaking at 25°C instead of 30°C), the membrane sheets were treated by incubation in various test liquids at 25°C for 24 to 72 hours. The test liquids were pure water and ammonium hydroxide with varying concentrations. After the soaking treatment, the membrane sheets were flushed well with ion free water before assembling them to the nanofiltration test unit.

A xylose flux test with the treated membranes was carried out in a similar manner as in Example 1.

The permeate flux values were registered and the permeate samples were analysed with a conductivity meter and with HPLC to measure the salt content and the xylose content for the calculation of salt retention and the xylose flux. The membrane treatments, xylose fluxes and salt retentions measured with respective membranes are presented in Table 11.

Table 11

Membrane	Treatment liquid	Conc., weight-%	Time, h	Xylose flux, g/m ² /h	Salt retention, % of feed conductivity
Desal 5 DL	IEX water	0	72	419	73
Desal 5 DL	Ammonium hydroxide	1	72	686	67
Desal 5 DL	Ammonium hydroxide	5	24	503	72
Desal 5 DL	Ammonium hydroxide	5	72	750	63

Example 12 (Xylose flux test after treatment of GE Osmonics Desal 5 DL membrane with ammonium hydroxide and lactic acid in two steps)

A membrane treatment test was carried out with flat sheets. The nanofiltration membrane tested was GE Osmonics Desal 5 DL membrane. The filtration unit used in the test was Alfa Laval LabStak M20.

After the pre-washing steps in accordance with Example 1 (acetic acid soaking at 25°C instead of 30°C), the membrane sheets were treated by incubation in various test liquids at 25°C or 68° for 24 or 72 hours, followed by an optional second incubation according to Table 12. The test liquids were pure water, 40% lactic acid and 5% ammonium hydroxide. After the soaking treatment, the membrane sheets were flushed well with ion free water before assembling them to the nanofiltration test unit.

A xylose flux test with the treated membranes was carried out in a similar manner as in Example 1.

The permeate flux values were registered and the permeate samples were analysed with a conductivity meter and with HPLC to measure the salt content and the xylose content for the calculation of salt retention and the xylose flux. The membrane treatment methods, xylose fluxes and salt retentions measured with respective membranes are presented in Table 12.

Table 12

Membrane treatment method 1	Membrane treatment method 2	Xylose flux, g/m ² /h	Salt retention . % of feed conductivity
IEX water (1)	-	582	60
5% Ammonium hydroxide, 25°C, 72 h	-	531	71
40% Lactic acid , 68°C, 24h	-	1033	77
40% Lactic acid, 68°C, 40 h	5% Ammonium hydroxide 25°C, 24 h	1324	76
40% Lactic acid, 68°C, 48 h	5% Ammonium hydroxide, 25°C, 24 h	1198	64
40% Lactic acid, 68°C, 72 h	5% Ammonium hydroxide, 25°C, 24 h	1340	76
5% Ammonium hydroxide, 25°C, 24 h	40% Lactic acid, 68°C, 24 h	1077	71
5% Ammonium hydroxide, 25°C, 48 h	40% Lactic acid, 68°C, 24 h	1039	75
5% Ammonium hydroxide, 25°C, 72 h	40% Lactic acid, 68°C, 24 h	1235	75

Example 13 (Xylose flux test after treatment of TriSep TS40 NF membrane, with ammonium hydroxide)

A membrane treatment test was carried out with flat sheets. The nanofiltration membrane tested was TriSep TS40 membrane. The filtration unit used in the test was Alfa Laval LabStak M20.

After the pre-washing steps in accordance with example 1 (acetic acid soaking at 25°C instead of 30°C), the membrane sheets were treated by incubation in various test liquids at 25°C for 24 to 72 hours. The test liquids were pure water and ammonium hydroxide with varying concentrations. After the soaking treatment, the membrane sheets were flushed well with ion free water before assembling them to the nanofiltration test unit.

A xylose flux test with the treated membranes was carried out in a similar manner as in Example 1.

The permeate flux values were registered and the permeate samples were analysed with a conductivity meter and with HPLC to measure the salt content and the xylose content for the calculation of salt retention and the xylose flux. The membrane treatment methods, the xylose fluxes and salt retentions measured with respective membranes are presented in Table 13.

Table 13

Membrane	Treatment liquid	Conc., weight-%	Time h	Xylose flux, g/m ² /h	Salt retention, % of feed conductivity
Trisep TS40	IEX water	0	24	309	77
Trisep TS40	Ammonium hydroxide	5	24	389	80
Trisep TS40	Ammonium hydroxide	10	24	393	80

Example 14 (Salt flux test after treatment of GE Osmonics Desal 5 DL membrane with ammonium hydroxide and lactic acid in two steps)

A membrane treatment test was carried out with flat sheets. The nanofiltration membrane tested was GE Osmonics Desal 5 DL membrane. The filtration unit used in the test was Alfa Laval LabStak M20.

After the pre-washing steps in accordance with Example 1 (acetic acid soaking at 25°C instead of 30°C), the membrane sheets were treated by incubation in various test liquids at 25°C, 40°C or 68° for 24 or 72 hours, followed by an optional second incubation according to Table 14. The test liquids were pure water, 40% lactic acid and 5% ammonium hydroxide, 5% Na₂CO₃ and 10% Na₂CO₃. After the soaking treatment, the membrane sheets were

flushed well with ion free water before assembling them to the nanofiltration test unit.

A salt flux test with the treated membranes was carried by preparing 40 g/l lactose solution by dissolving lactose to ion free water. The lactose solution was also supplemented with 3 g/l NaCl and 0,4 g/l Na₂HPO₄. The pH of solution was adjusted with lactic acid to pH 5.5 The temperature of solution was adjusted to 25°C and nanofiltration was started in reflux mode where the permeate is continuously fed back to the feed tank. The feed pressure was gradually raised to 15 bar and permeate flux was measured from each of the membrane. After the flux was stabilised (within about 30 minutes) samples were taken from the concentrate and permeate. The permeate flux values were registered and the permeate samples were analysed with a conductivity meter and with HPLC to measure the salt content and the lactose content to calculate the salt flux and the lactose flux. The membrane treatment methods, lactose and salt fluxes and salt retentions measured with respective membranes are presented in Table 14.

Table 14

Treatment liquid 1 / temp./ treatment time	Treatment liquid 2 /temp./ treatment time	Flux, kg/m ² /h	Lactose purity, %/DS	Lactose flux, g/m ² /h	Salt flux, g NaCl/m ² /h	Salt retention, % of feed cond.
IEX water (1)	-	46	2,0	73	105	21
5% Ammonium hydroxide , 25°C, 72 h	-	64	0,6	30	167	10
Lactic acid	-	50	1,4	42	120	17
40% Lactic acid, 68°C, 40 h	5% Ammonium hydroxide, 25°C, 5 h	67	0,7	33	175	10
40% Lactic acid, 68°C, 72 h	5% Ammonium hydroxide, 25°C, 24 h	70	0,6	31	181	11
5% Ammonium hydroxide ,	40% Lactic acid, 68°C, 24 h	73	1,1	71	203	4

25°C, 24 h						
5% Ammonium hydroxide , 25°C, 48 h	40% Lactic acid, 68°C, 24 h	73	1,3	78	191	10
5% Ammonium hydroxide , 25°C, 72 h	40% Lactic acid, 68°C, 24 h	80	1,2	80	224	3
5% NaCO ₃ , 40°C, 24 h	-	53	1,4	53	125	19
10% NaCO ₃ , 40°C, 24 h	-	52	1,2	47	122	19
5% NaCO ₃ , 40°C, 72 h	-	57	1,1	45	136	17
10% NaCO ₃ , 40°C, 72 h	-	58	0,5	20	136	19

Claims

1. A process of treating polymeric nanofiltration membranes before separation of low molecular weight compounds from a solution containing the same by nanofiltration, wherein the treatment of the nanofiltration membranes is performed with a treatment liquid under conditions which enhance the flux of the low molecular weight compounds to the nanofiltration permeate, wherein the treatment liquid contains one or more compounds selected from organic acids and alcohols, organic sulfonic acids and sulfonates, and surfactants.

2. The process as claimed in claim 1, wherein the treatment liquid contains one or more of organic acids, one or more of organic sulfonic acids and sulfonates, and one or more of surfactants.

3. The process as claimed in claim 1 or 2, wherein the organic acids are selected from formic acid, acetic acid, propionic acid, lactic acid, oxalic acid, citric acid, glycolic acid and aldonic acids.

4. The process as claimed in claim 3, wherein the alcohols are selected from methanol, ethanol, n-propanol, isopropanol and glycerol.

5. The process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the organic sulfonic acids and sulfonates are selected from alkyl aryl sulfonic acids and sulfonates, taurine, perfluorooctane sulfonic acid and Nafion.

6. The process as claimed in claim 5, wherein the alkyl aryl sulfonic acids and sulfonates are selected from toluene sulfonic acid and sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate.

7. The process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the surfactants are selected from anionic tensides.

8. The process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the surfactants are selected from cationic tensides.

9. The process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the concentration of the compounds selected from organic acids and alcohols in the treatment liquid is in the range of 0.5% to 60%, preferably 0.5 to 20% and more preferably 0.5 to 10% by weight.

10. The process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the concentration of the compounds selected from organic sulfonic acids and sulfonates in the treatment liquid is in the range of 0.1 to 10%, preferably 0.1 to 5% and more preferably 0.1 to 2% weight.

11. The process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the concentration of the surfactants in the treatment liquid is in the range of 0.01 to 10%, preferably 0.01 to 5% and more preferably 0.01 to 2% by weight.

12. The process as claimed in claim 1, wherein the treatment liquid contains one or more of organic acids, one or more of organic sulfonic acids and one or more of anionic tensides.

13. The process as claimed in claim 12, wherein the organic acids comprise citric acid and lactic acid and the organic sulfonic acid is an alkyl aryl sulfonic acid.

14. A process of treating polymeric nanofiltration membranes before separation of low molecular weight compounds from a solution containing the same by nanofiltration, wherein the treatment of the nanofiltration membranes is performed with a treatment liquid under conditions which enhance the flux of the low molecular weight compounds to the nanofiltration permeate, wherein the treatment liquid contains one or more compounds selected from weak bases.

15. The process as claimed in claim 14, wherein the weak bases are selected from weak inorganic bases.

16. The process as claimed in claim 15, wherein the weak inorganic bases are selected from ammonium hydroxide, calcium hydroxide, magnesium hydroxide, sodium carbonate, calcium oxide and magnesium oxide.

17. The process as claimed in any one of claims 14 to 16, wherein the concentration of the weak bases in the treatment liquid is in the range of 0.5% to 60%, preferably 0.5 to 20% and more preferably 0.5 to 10% by weight.

18. The process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the treatment is performed at a temperature of 20 to 100°C, preferably 20°C to 90°C, more preferably 30°C to 85°C, still more preferably 45 to 80°C and especially 55 to 80°C.

19. The process as claimed in any one of claims 14 to 17, wherein the treatment is performed at a temperature of 20 to 40°C.

20. The process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the treatment time is 0.5 to 150 hours, preferably 1 to 100 hours and more preferably 1 to 70 hours.

21. The process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the treatment comprises two or more successive steps with different treatment liquids.

22. The process as claimed in claims 1, 14 and 21, wherein the treatment comprises at least one step with a treatment liquid containing one or more of weak inorganic bases and at least one step with a treatment liquid containing one or more of organic acids, in any desired sequence.

23. The process as claimed in claim 22, wherein the inorganic base is ammonium hydroxide and the organic acid is lactic acid.

24. The process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the low molecular weight compounds have a molar mass of up to 360 g/mol.

25. The process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the low molecular weight compounds are selected from sugars, sugar alcohols, inositols, betaine, glycerol, amino acids, uronic acids, carboxylic acids, aldonic acids and inorganic and organic salts.

26. The process as claimed in claims 25, wherein the sugars are monosaccharides.

27. The process as claimed in claim 26, wherein the monosaccharides are selected from pentoses and hexoses.

28. The process as claimed in claim 27, wherein the pentoses are selected from xylose and arabinose.

29. The process as claimed in claim 27, wherein the hexoses are selected from glucose, galactose, rhamnose, mannose, fructose, isomaltose and tagatose.

30. The process as claimed in claim 25, wherein the inorganic salts are selected from monovalent salts, preferably NaCl, NaHSO₄ and NaH₂PO₄.

31. The process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the solution comprising low molecular weight compounds is selected from plant-based biomass hydrolysates and biomass extracts, starch hydrolysates, oligosaccharide-containing sumps, glucose syrups, fructose syrups, maltose syrups, corn syrups and lactose-containing dairy products.

32. The process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the polymeric nanofiltration membranes are polyamide membranes.

33. The process as claimed in claim 32, wherein the polyamide membranes are polypiperazineamide membranes.

34. The process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the flux of the low molecular weight compounds to the nanofiltration permeate is in the range of 10 to 20 000 g/m²h.

35. The process as claimed in claim 34, wherein the flux of the sugars to the nanofiltration permeate is in the range of 20 to 15 000 g/m²h, preferably 100 to 8 000 g/m²h and more preferably 100 to 4 000 g/m²h .

36. The process as claimed in claim 34, wherein the flux of xylose to the nanofiltration permeate is in the range of 100 to 15 000 g/m²h, preferably 300 to 15 000 g/m²h and more preferably 1 000 to 15 000 g/m²h.

37. The process as claimed in claim 34, wherein the flux of glucose to the nanofiltration permeate is in the range of 200 to 15 000 g/m²h, preferably 200 to 10 000 g/m²h and more preferably 200 to 8 000 g/m²h.

38. The process as claimed in claim 34, wherein the flux of inorganic salts to the nanofiltration permeate is in the range of 20 to 2000 g/m²/h, preferably 40 to 1500 g/m²/h and more preferably 80 to 1000 g/m²/h.

39. The process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the process further comprises nanofiltration of the solution comprising low molecular weight compounds to obtain a nanofiltration retentate and a nanofiltration permeate, whereby said low molecular weight compounds are separated into the nanofiltration permeate.

40. A process as claimed in claim 1 for separating and recovering xylose from a xylose-containing solution by nanofiltration with a polymeric nanofiltration membrane, comprising

treating the membrane with a treatment liquid comprising citric acid, lactic acid, an alkyl aryl sulfonic acid and anionic tensides in the following conditions:

- concentration of citric acid 0.5 to 20% by weight,
- concentration of lactic acid 0.5 to 20% by weight,
- concentration of the alkyl aryl sulfonic acid 0.1 to 10% by weight,
- concentration of the anionic tensides 0.1 to 10% by weight,
- treatment temperature 50 to 70°C, and
- treatment time 2 to 70 hours,

to obtain a treated nanofiltration membrane, followed by

nanofiltering the xylose-containing solution with the treated nanofiltration membrane with a xylose flux of 100 to 15 000 g xylose/m²h to the nanofiltration permeate, and

recovering xylose from the nanofiltration permeate.

41. A process as claimed in claim 1 for separating and recovering xylose from a xylose-containing solution by nanofiltration with a polymeric nanofiltration membrane, comprising, in any desired sequence

a step of treating the membrane with a treatment liquid containing lactic acid in the following conditions:

- concentration of lactic acid 20 to 60% by weight,
- treatment temperature 50 to 70°C, and
- treatment time 2 to 80 hours, and

a step of treating the membrane with a treatment liquid containing ammonium hydroxide in the following conditions:

- concentration of ammonium hydroxide 0.1 to 10% by weight,
- treatment temperature 20 to 40°C,
- treatment time 2 to 80 hours,

to obtain a treated nanofiltration membrane, followed by

nanofiltering the xylose-containing solution with the treated nanofiltration membrane with a xylose flux of 100 to 15 000 g xylose/m²h to the nanofiltration permeate, and

recovering xylose from the nanofiltration permeate.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/EP2012/074490

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
INV. B01D67/00 B01D61/02 C13K13/00 C13B20/16
 ADD.

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
B01D C13K C13B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)
EPO-Internal , WPI Data, COMPENDEX

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 2002/153317 AI (HEI KKI LA HEI KKI [FI] ET AL HEI KKI LAE HEI KKI [FI] ET AL) 24 October 2002 (2002-10-24) paragraph [0087] - paragraph [0089] ; example III	1,4,7 ,8, 14, 24-28, 31-33 ,39
X,P	Wo 2011/154604 AI (DANISCO [DK] ; MATTI LA JARI [FI] ; KOIVI KKO HANNU [FI]) 15 December 2011 (2011-12-15) claims ; examples	1-4,9 , 14-41

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&" document member of the same patent family
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search 20 March 2013	Date of mailing of the international search report 27/03/2013
---	---

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer Goers , Bernd
--	--

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/EP2012/074490

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	wo 97/27935 AI (DOW CHEMICAL CO [US]) 7 August 1997 (1997-08-07) page 6, line 3 - page 7, line 29; claims 1-8; figure 2; example 5 -----	14, 18-20, 24,25 , 30,32 , 33,39
X	SJOMAN ET AL: "Xylose recovery by nanofiltration from different hemiacetulosylate feeds" , JOURNAL OF MEMBRANE SCIENCE, ELSEVIER SCIENTIFIC PUBL. COMPANY. AMSTERDAM, NL, vol . 310, no. 1-2 , 31 January 2008 (2008-01-31) , pages 268-277 , XP022440383 , ISSN: 0376-7388, DOI : 10.1016/J .MEMSCI .2007 .11.001 the whole document -----	1-3 ,9 , 14-17 , 21-39 ,41
X	wo 02/43842 A2 (HYDRANAUTICS [US] ; TOMASCHKE JOHN EDWARD [US]) 6 June 2002 (2002-06-06) paragraphs [0011] , [0018] ; claim 1 -----	1, 2, 5, 6, 10, 24-30, 32, 33, 39
X	EP 2 371 973 AI (TORAY INDUSTRIES [JP]) 5 October 2011 (2011-10-05) claims 1-4 -----	1
A	wo 2007/048879 AI (DANISCO SWEETENERS OY [FI] ; HEIKKILAE HEIKKI [FI] ; KOIVI KKO HANNU [FI]) 3 May 2007 (2007-05-03) cited in the application the whole document -----	1, 14

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No

PCT/EP2012/074490

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2002153317	AI	24-10-2002	AT 338145 T 15-09-2006
			CA 2432408 AI 11-07-2002
			CN 1483086 A 17-03-2004
			DE 60122777 T2 30-08-2007
			EP 1354068 AI 22-10-2003
			ES 2271113 T3 16-04-2007
			FI 20002865 A 29-06-2002
			JP 4374562 B2 02-12-2009
			JP 2004517118 A 10-06-2004
			US 2002153317 AI 24-10-2002
			WO 02053783 AI 11-07-2002
			ZA 200200014 A 23-07-2002

WO 2011154604	AI	15-12-2011	AU 2011263614 AI 08-11-2012
			CA 2796973 AI 15-12-2011
			EP 2576023 AI 10-04-2013
			WO 2011154604 AI 15-12-2011

WO 9727935	AI	07-08-1997	AU 708211 B2 29-07-1999
			AU 1580097 A 22-08-1997
			CA 2243558 AI 07-08-1997
			DE 69702747 D1 14-09-2000
			DE 69702747 T2 29-03-2001
			DK 880401 T3 30-10-2000
			EP 0880401 AI 02-12-1998
			ES 2149568 T3 01-11-2000
			JP 3954651 B2 08-08-2007
			JP 2000504270 A 11-04-2000
			TW 396186 B 01-07-2000
			US 5755964 A 26-05-1998
			WO 9727935 AI 07-08-1997

WO 0243842	A2	06-06-2002	AT 409075 T 15-10-2008
			AU 1990402 A 11-06-2002
			CA 2430036 AI 06-06-2002
			EP 1345673 A2 24-09-2003
			US 7001518 B1 21-02-2006
			WO 0243842 A2 06-06-2002

EP 2371973	AI	05-10-2011	AU 2009325467 AI 07-07-2011
			CA 2746504 AI 17-06-2010
			CN 102639722 A 15-08-2012
			EP 2371973 AI 05-10-2011
			JP 4770987 B2 14-09-2011
			KR 20110094005 A 19-08-2011
			SG 172038 AI 28-07-2011
			US 2011250637 AI 13-10-2011
			WO 2010067785 AI 17-06-2010

WO 2007048879	AI	03-05-2007	CA 2626275 AI 03-05-2007
			CA 2627405 AI 03-05-2007
			EP 1941063 AI 09-07-2008
			EP 1941064 AI 09-07-2008
			FI 20055581 A 29-04-2007
			US 2009014386 AI 15-01-2009
			US 2009173339 AI 09-07-2009
			WO 2007048879 AI 03-05-2007
			WO 2007048880 AI 03-05-2007

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No

PCT/EP2012/074490

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
		ZA 200803317 A	25-02-2009
		ZA 200803318 A	25-02-2009
