

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
26 June 2003 (26.06.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
WO 03/051338 A1

- (51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: A61K 9/20, 9/14, 9/16, 9/50
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/US02/40506
- (22) International Filing Date:  
17 December 2002 (17.12.2002)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:  
60/341,366 17 December 2001 (17.12.2001) US  
10/274,227 18 October 2002 (18.10.2002) US

(74) Agents: BEARDELL, Louis, W. et al.; Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP, 1701 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103 (US).

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(71) Applicant (*for all designated States except US*): SPI PHARMA, INC. [US/US]; 321 Cherry Lane, New Castle, DE 19720 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (*for US only*): NORMAN, Gary, T. [GB/US]; 23 Canary Court, Middletown, DE 19709 (US). NUGURU, Kalyan, S. [IN/US]; 22 Heather Drive South, Mantua, NJ 08051 (US). AMIN, Arun, F. [US/US]; 1904 Walmsley Drive, Wilmington, DE 19808 (US). CHANDAR, Sarath [US/US]; 205 Country Club Drive, Moorestown, NJ 08057 (US).

**Published:**

- with international search report
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

(54) Title: CO-PROCESSED CARBOHYDRATE SYSTEM AS A QUICK-DISSOLVE MATRIX FOR SOLID DOSAGE FORMS

(57) Abstract: The present invention comprises a co-processed carbohydrate system, and formulations produced therefrom, which formulations are directly compressible into solid dosage forms, some of which rapidly and completely dissolve or disintegrate in the oral cavity within 60 seconds. The invention also comprises the solid dosage forms produced by directly compressing the co-processed carbohydrate system, some of which, when placed in the oral cavity, shall dissolve or disintegrate, preferably within about 60 seconds.



WO 03/051338 A1

## TITLE

Co-Processed Carbohydrate System as a  
Quick-Dissolve Matrix for Solid Dosage Forms

5

## FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to co-processed carbohydrate systems that produce formulations that are directly compressible into solid dosage forms, some of which rapidly and completely dissolve and/or disintegrate in the oral cavity, preferably within about 60 seconds. The invention also relates to solid dosage forms produced by directly compressing a co-processed carbohydrate system, along with other ingredients, some of which when placed in the oral cavity, dissolves or disintegrates, preferably within about 60 seconds.

The present invention also relates to co-processed carbohydrates that produce formulations that are directly compressible into solid dosage forms, which formulations, when co-processed, form particles having a non-filamentous microstructure.

15

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

U.S. Patent No. 6,221,392 which was issued on April 24, 2001 and U.S. Patent No. 6,024,981 which was issued on February 15, 2000 both to Khankari et al., describe a hard tablet that is said to dissolve rapidly in the mouth of the patient with a minimum of grit. The tablet is created from an active ingredient mixed into a matrix of a nondirect compression filler and a relatively high lubricant level.

25

U. S. Patent No. 5,576,014 which was issued on November 19, 1996 to Mizumoto et al., describes intrabuccally dissolving compressed moldings including a saccharide having low moldability having been granulated with a saccharide having high moldability. The moldings are said to show quick disintegration and dissolution in the buccal cavity and have an adequate hardness.

30

U. S. Patent No. 5,720,974 which was issued on February 24, 1998 to Mikano et al., describes a method of producing a fast dissolving tablet including compression-molding a composition having an active ingredient, a carbohydrate and a barely sufficient amount of water to moisten the surface of particles of the

carbohydrate into a tablet form and a fast dissolving tablet obtainable by the method. The active ingredient may, for example, be a vitamin, a gastrointestinal function conditioning agent or an anti-pyretic-analgesic-anti-inflammatory agent. The carbohydrate includes, but is not limited to sugar, starch, lactose, honey, sugar alcohols and tetroses. The amount of water to be added is about 0.3% to 10% by weight. The fast dissolving tablet is said to have a porous structure with excellent disintegratability and solubility as well as adequate strength.

U.S. Patent No. 5,958,471, which was issued on September 28, 1999 to Schwarz, et al., describes a spray-dried composition including two or more polyols, such as sorbitol, mannitol, and xylitol, where mannitol is present in a quantity less than 10 percent by weight.

U.S. Patent No. 6,165,511, which was issued on December 26, 2000 to Schwarz, et al., describes a process for preparing a spray-dried composition including a polyol. The process includes preparing an aqueous solution of more than 80% of one or more non-hygroscopic polyols and spraying the resulting mixture into an air stream. The resulting composition of the spray-drying process contains a filamentous structure.

International Publication No. WO00/57857 (PCT/KR00/00242), published October 5, 2000, to Yuhan Corporation discloses a rapidly disintegrable tablet which includes an active ingredient, spray-dried mannitol, crospovidone, and at least one pharmaceutically acceptable excipient. Other additives, such as a lubricant and a sweetening agent may also be included in the tablet composition.

All patents referenced in this application are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety.

## BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In one embodiment, the present invention relates to a method for producing a directly compressible composition having a nonfilamentous microstructure, preferably that quickly dissolves in the buccal cavity in less than 60 seconds. The present invention also relates to a method for producing a quick-dissolving composition. Compositions prepared from the methods taught herein are also described. Finally, tablets prepared from the compositions are disclosed.

In one embodiment the present invention includes a method for producing a directly compressible and highly compactible composition.

5 In one embodiment of the method of the invention, the method includes dissolving mannitol powder and sorbitol powder into a solution, drying the solution in an air stream, and forming a composition that completely dissolves in the oral cavity within about 60 seconds.

10 In one embodiment of the method of the invention, the method includes dissolving mannitol powder and sorbitol powder into a solution, drying the solution in an air stream, and forming a particle having a nonfilamentous microstructure from the solution.

In another embodiment of the method of the present invention, the method includes dissolving mannitol powder and sorbitol powder into a solution, drying the solution in an air stream, forming a particle having a nonfilamentous microstructure from the solution, and forming a composition that completely  
15 dissolves in the oral cavity, preferably within about 60 seconds.

In an embodiment of the method of the present invention, the method produces a composition having a moisture content of less than about 8%. Preferably, the moisture content is less than about 5%, and more preferably, less than about 2%.

20 In another embodiment of the method of the present invention, the method includes adding a disintegrant to the composition. In one embodiment, the disintegrant is selected from crospovidone, croscarmellose, sodium starch glycolate, or combinations thereof.

25 In one embodiment of the method of the present invention, the method also includes adding a glidant to the composition. In one embodiment, the glidant is selected from colloidal silica, silica gel, precipitated silica, or combinations thereof.

In one embodiment of the method of the present invention, an active ingredient is added to the composition. The active ingredient is coated or uncoated.

30 In another embodiment of the method of the present invention, the range of mannitol present in the solution is from about 60% to about 99.5%, preferably about 70% to 95%, and more preferably about 80% to 90%. The range

of sorbitol present in the solution is from about 0.5% to 40%, preferably about 5% to 30%, and more preferably about 10% to 20%.

In another embodiment of the method of the present invention, the method includes dry feeding a blend of dry mannitol powder and sorbitol powder into the spray-drying chamber.

In another embodiment of the method of the present invention, the method includes diluting the resulting composition with a dry mixture of mannitol and a disintegrant. In one embodiment, the disintegrant is selected from croscarmellose, crospovidone, or sodium starch glycolate, or mixtures thereof. In another embodiment, the dry mixture includes about 90% mannitol and about 10% disintegrant.

In another embodiment of the method of the present invention, the method includes forming a tablet from the resulting composition.

In another embodiment of the present invention, a quick-dissolving composition having at least co-spray-dried mannitol and sorbitol and a disintegrant is also described. In an embodiment, the mannitol is present in a range of from about 60 to about 99.5 percent and said sorbitol is present in a range of from about 0.5 to about 40 percent of the co-spray dried material. In another embodiment, the range of mannitol is about 70% to 95% and the range of sorbitol is about 5% to 30%. In another embodiment, the range of mannitol is about 80% to 90% and the range of sorbitol is about 10% to 20%. The quick-dissolving composition can be directly compressible.

In another embodiment of the composition of the present invention, the composition further includes a glidant. In one embodiment, the glidant is selected from colloidal silica, silica gel, precipitated silica, or combinations thereof.

In another embodiment, the composition further includes at least one active ingredient. In one embodiment, the active ingredient is coated. In another embodiment, the active ingredient is not coated. In another embodiment, the composition includes coated and uncoated active ingredients.

In one embodiment of the present invention, the composition further includes one or more disintegrating agents. The disintegrating agent includes crospovidone, croscarmellose, sodium starch glycolate, or combinations thereof.

In another embodiment of the present invention, the composition is highly compactible and includes particles having a nonfilamentous microstructure including co-spray dried mannitol and sorbitol. In one embodiment, the mannitol is present in a range of from about 60 to about 99.5 percent and said sorbitol is present in a range of from about 0.5 to about 40 percent of the co-spray dried material. In another embodiment, the range of mannitol is about 70% to 95% and the range of sorbitol is about 5% to 30%. In another embodiment, the range of mannitol is about 80% to 90% and the range of sorbitol is about 10% to 20%. The quick-dissolving composition can be directly compressible.

The invention also encompasses tablets comprising any of the compositions of the present invention.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The foregoing summary, as well as the following detailed description of the invention, will be better understood when read in conjunction with the appended drawings. For the purpose of illustrating the invention, there are shown in the drawings preferred embodiment(s). It should be understood, however, that the invention is not limited to the precise arrangements and instrumentalities shown. In the drawings:

Figure 1 is a graph depicting tablet hardness versus compaction force for each of Formulation A (triangle), Formulation B (square), Formulation C ("x"), and Formulation D (circle).

Figure 2 is a graph depicting friability values versus tablet hardness for each of Formulation A (triangle), Formulation B (square), Formulation C ("x"), and Formulation D (circle).

Figure 3 is a graph depicting disintegration times versus tablet hardness for each of Formulation A (triangle), Formulation B (square), Formulation C ("x"), and Formulation D (circle). The large asterisk at about 1.5 KP represents an over-the-counter quick dissolve product.

Figure 4A is a scanning electron micrograph (SEM) of Formulation A after it is dried in the spray dryer (magnification 1000X).

Figure 4B is a 2000X magnification of the SEM in Figure 4A.

Figure 5, comprising Figures 5A-5H, is a set of scanning electron micrographs (SEMs) of different commercially available mannitol compositions after they are dried in the spray dryer.

5 Figure 5A represents an SEM (magnification 100X) of spray-dried mannitol produced by SPI Pharma, Inc. (New Castle, DE). The moisture was measured to be 1.0% KF (as measured by Karl Fischer (KF) AF8).

Figure 5B represents Figure 5A at 1000X magnification.

Figure 5C represents an SEM (magnification 100X) of mannitol produced by Cerestar (France). The moisture was 0.96% KF

10 Figure 5D represents Figure 5C at 1000X magnification..

Figure 5E represents an SEM (magnification 100X) of mannitol produced by GETEC (Brazil). The moisture was 0.82% KF

Figure 5F represents Figure 5E at 1000X magnification.

15 Figure 5G represents mannitol produced by SPI Pharma, Inc., as in Figure 5A (magnification 100X); however, the mannitol in Figure 5G is seeded with dry mannitol particles during the drying process. The moisture was 0.33% KF.

Figure 5H represents Figure 5G at 1000X magnification.

20 Figure 6 is a flow diagram depicting a process for co-sprayed fluid-bed spray drying.

Figure 7 is a graph illustrating the compactibility of a placebo formulation of co-spray dried mannitol:sorbitol mixtures seeded with dry blends of mannitol:sorbitol in the same ratio at varying feed rates. Data is represented as follows: co-spray dried mannitol:sorbitol placebo having a feed rate of 12.5 kg/hr (circle); co-spray dried mannitol:sorbitol placebo having a feed rate of 50 kg/hr (triangle); co-spray dried mannitol:sorbitol placebo having a feed rate of 75 kg/hr ("X"); dry blend of spray-dried mannitol and crystalline sorbitol placebo (square).

Figure 8 is a graph illustrating in-vivo disintegration times for the formulations in the description for Figure 7. The data is represented according to the legend in Figure 7.

30 Figure 9, including Figures 9A, 9B, and 9C, is a set of SEMs illustrating the morphology of the particles produced by co-spray drying a mannitol:sorbitol mixture combined with seeding with a dry blend of

mannitol:sorbitol in the same ratio during the spray-drying process according to one aspect of the present invention. The dry-feed rate used to produce the particles in Figure 9 was 12.5 kg/hr. Figure 9A represents the particle morphology at 100X magnification; Figure 9B represents the particle morphology at 1000X magnification; Figure 9C represents the particle morphology at 2000X. No filamentous structure on the particles is observed.

Figure 10, including Figures 10A, 10B, and 10C, is a set of SEMs illustrating the morphology of the particles produced by co-spray drying a mannitol:sorbitol mixture combined with seeding with a dry blend of mannitol:sorbitol in the same ratio during the spray-drying process according to one aspect of the present invention. The dry-feed rate used to produce the particles in Figure 10 was 75 kg/hr. Figure 10A represents the particle morphology at 100X magnification; Figure 10B represents the particle morphology at 1000X magnification; Figure 10C represents the particle morphology at 2000X. No filamentous structure on the particles is observed.

Figure 11 is a graph depicting the in-vivo disintegration time for different placebo formulations of co-spray dried mannitol:sorbitol mixtures seeded with dry blends of mannitol:sorbitol in the same ratio at varying feed rates, and optionally, followed by a dilution of the product with a percentage of a mixture of MANGOEM EZ™ (SPI Polyols, Inc., New Castle, DE) and POLYPLASDONE XL™ (ISP Technologies, Wayne, NJ; "EZ/XL"). Data is represented as follows: co-spray dried mannitol:sorbitol placebo having a feed rate of 50 kg/hr (triangle); co-spray dried mannitol:sorbitol placebo having a feed rate of 12.5 kg/hr (circle, dashed line); co-spray dried mannitol:sorbitol placebo having a feed rate of 75 kg/hr ("X" dashed line); co-spray dried mannitol:sorbitol placebo having a feed rate of 37.5 kg/hr and diluted with 20% EZ/XL (asterisk, solid line); co-spray dried mannitol:sorbitol placebo having a feed rate of 37.5 kg/hr and diluted with 40% EZ/XL (circle, solid line); and dry blend of spray-dried mannitol and crystalline sorbitol placebo (square).

Figure 12 is a graph depicting compactibility for different placebo formulations as described in Figure 11. The legend for the graph is the same as the legend described in Figure 11.



Figure 13 is a graph depicting friability for different placebo formulations as described in Figure 11. The legend for the graph is the same as the legend described in Figure 11.

5 Both the foregoing general description and the following detailed description are exemplary and explanatory and are intended to provide further explanation of the invention as claimed. Other objects, advantages, and novel features will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art from the following detailed description of the invention.

## 10 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present invention includes quick dissolving solid dosage forms produced from quick dissolving formulations including a co-processed carbohydrate system. In one embodiment, the solid dosage forms of the present invention are prepared by direct compression. The solid dosage forms rapidly  
15 dissolve or disperse in the oral cavity of a patient, thus releasing any active ingredient contained within the formulation.

The present invention also includes a co-processed carbohydrate system, and formulations produced therefrom that are directly compressible into solid dosage forms which rapidly and completely dissolve and/or disintegrate in the  
20 oral cavity, preferably within about 60 seconds.

The present invention also includes co-processed carbohydrates, and formulations produced therefrom, which co-processed carbohydrates and formulations comprise particles having a non-filamentous microstructure. The co-processed carbohydrates and the formulations produced therefore are directly  
25 compressible into solid dosage forms.

The present invention is described herein using several definitions, as set forth below and throughout the application.

### Definitions

30 The term "about" will be understood by persons of ordinary skill in the art and will vary to some extent on the context in which it is used. If there are uses of the term which are not clear to persons of ordinary skill in the art given the context in which it is used, "about" shall mean up to plus or minus 10% of the particular value.

The phrase “completely dissolve or disintegrate” used in the context of the present invention, means that the solid dosage form dissolves or disintegrates to an extent that the patient believes the solid dosage form to be completely dissolved or disintegrated. That is, the patient can no longer detect any significant lumps or large particles of the original solid dosage form. Instead, at the point in time when the solid dosage form has completely dissolved or disintegrated in the oral cavity of the patient, the solid dosage form preferably has a creamy and pleasant mouthfeel that is conducive to swallowing.

The terms “solid dosage form,” “tablet,” and “solid preparation” are used synonymously within the context of the present invention. These terms should be construed to include a compacted or compressed powder composition obtained by compressing or otherwise forming the composition to form a solid having a defined shape.

The term “directly compressible” means that the composition can be compressed to tablet form on standard tableting machines (including, but not limited to high speed tableting machines) using standard (i.e., without any specially machined, shaped or coated surfaces) punches and dies, without any significant amount of the composition adhering to the punches and dies.

The term “oral cavity” should be construed to include, but should not be limited to the buccal cavity.

The term “co-processed carbohydrate” means the processing of at least two polyols together to make a single product. For example, mannitol and sorbitol may be co-spray dried by first preparing a single solution of mannitol and sorbitol. Another example includes the co-granulation of mannitol and sorbitol.

The term “co-processed carbohydrate system” shall be construed to include a co-processed carbohydrate plus a disintegrant and a glidant.

The term “co-processed carbohydrate system formulation or composition” shall be construed to include the co-processed carbohydrate system plus an active ingredient to be formed into a tablet.

It has been discovered that the existing processes, products, or systems directed towards rapid disintegration or dissolution in the mouth have limitations in certain aspects. Specifically, until now it has been difficult to produce a tablet that is robust (e.g., low friability, low ejection forces, sufficient

hardness) enough to be processed in high speed tableting machines and shipped in low cost packages, and at the same time retain rapid disintegration or dissolution properties. This is especially obvious when producing a tablet having high doses of active ingredients (AIs) or when producing a tablet having AIs coated with different polymers, waxes, and the like for taste-masking purposes.

An advantage of the formulations of the present invention is that they can be formed into high quality tablets on standard tableting machines (including high speed tableting machines such as those made by Killian or Korsh, capable of producing at least 75,000 tablets per hour) using standard punches and dies. The "standard" punches and dies referred to above are far less expensive to produce and maintain than the coated (e.g., teflon-coated) punches and dies used to produce tablets from formulations that are sticky or difficult to compress.

In one embodiment, the present invention overcomes these limitations by utilizing a co-processing technology that ultimately produces a formulation that is compressible into a tablet. This tablet is robust enough to withstand stress of handling during production, packaging and transportation, without special processing or handling, while retaining rapid disintegration or dissolution properties, in the oral cavity.

In one embodiment, a co-processed carbohydrate system formulation according to the present invention includes, but is not limited to at least two co-processed carbohydrates, one or more disintegrating agents, and one or more glidants. It is thought that the co-processed carbohydrate is the ingredient of the formulation that provides compactibility of the composition and a pleasant mouthfeel to the patient. The disintegrating agent aids in achieving maximal rapid disintegration of the solid dosage form. Finally, the glidant functions as an anti-caking agent and flow aid, and also aids in minimizing adherence of the individual materials of the formulation to the punches and dies of the tableting machinery.

Co-processing of carbohydrates includes, but is not limited to co-granulating at least two granular or crystalline polyols, co-granulating at least two spray-dried polyols, or co-granulating a spray dried polyol and a granular or crystalline polyol. Co-processing also includes, but is not limited to co-spray drying at least two polyols.

Carbohydrates useful in the present invention include, but are not limited to polyols, which are sugar alcohols of the general formula  $\text{CH}_2\text{OH}-(\text{CHOH})_n-\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$ , where  $n$  is 2 to 6, and preferably 3 to 6, and their dimeric anhydrides. Preferably, the polyols include, but are not limited to sorbitol, mannitol, erythritol, maltitol, lactitol, isomalt, and mixtures thereof, and sugars such as lactose, fructose, dextrose, sucrose, maltose, and mixtures thereof.

In one embodiment of the present invention, a co-processed carbohydrate system formulation is prepared using mannitol and sorbitol as the carbohydrates as follows. First, mannitol is prepared from a 55 percent high fructose corn syrup, which is hydrogenated by feeding the syrup into an autoclave in the presence of a catalyst. The liquid obtained from this hydrogenation step is a mixture of about 40 percent mannitol and about 60 percent sorbitol. The mannitol is crystallized out of liquid solution by chilling the solution, and the mannitol is collected using centrifugation. The precipitated mannitol is then transferred to a belt for washing and then dried to form a powder mannitol. Typically, the prepared mannitol powder contains about 98 percent mannitol and up to about 2 percent sorbitol.

The prepared mannitol powder, and a sorbitol powder are then dissolved in hot water to form a solution, and the temperature of the solution is maintained at about 80 to 85 degrees Celsius. The ratio of mannitol to sorbitol can vary. In one embodiment, the mannitol:sorbitol ratio ranges from about 99.5:0.5 to about 60:40. In one embodiment, the ratio of mannitol to sorbitol is about 99.5:0.5. In another embodiment, the ratio of mannitol to sorbitol is about 90:10. In another embodiment, the ratio of mannitol to sorbitol is about 80:20. In another embodiment, the ratio of mannitol to sorbitol is 88:12, and in a separate embodiment the ratio of mannitol to sorbitol is 92:8. In another embodiment, the ratio of mannitol to sorbitol is about 60:40. Preferably, the mannitol content is equal to or higher than the sorbitol content.

At this point, the polyol composition is spray-dried. Any spray dryer is useful in the present invention. In one embodiment of the invention, an S1 Spray Fluid Bed Dryer with a 2.1 meter diameter is used (DRYTEC; Tonbridge, Kent, ENGLAND). The spray dryer operates by atomizing the liquid feed material (the co-processed polyol composition) in a stream of air or other gas. The main use of

the spray drying equipment is drying but the equipment can also be used for agglomerating, congealing, encapsulation, cooling and/or conditioning the composition of the present invention. A flow diagram depicting the operation pattern of the fluid bed spray dryer is shown in Figure 6.

5 Referring to Figure 6, air for drying is heated by a heater 1 and enters the top of a drying chamber 4 through a hot air duct 8. A feed pump 2 delivers the liquid feed through feed line 3 to an atomizer which sprays the composition in fine droplets into a hot air stream entering the top of a drying chamber 4. This causes rapid drying due to the large liquid area exposed. In the  
10 present invention, one of several atomizers can be used. For example, a centrifugal driven atomizer, a two fluid nozzle using a jet of compressed air to atomize the feed, or a pressure nozzle atomizer can be used in the present invention.

An integrated fluid bed 10 is attached at the bottom of chamber 4. The fines and air leave from a side outlet 9 of the cone of drying chamber 4 to a  
15 cyclone 5. Cyclone 5 separates the fines from the air. The air is exhausted out through a bag filter 6. The fines are recycled to the top of drying chamber 4 into a wet zone 11 where agglomeration takes place, and drop into integrated fluid bed 10. The action of the fluidization by the hot air supplied to the fluid bed allows the coarser particles to dry further and the fines are taken away to cyclone 5.

20 The polyol solution is then fed into the integrated spray fluid bed drying chamber unit under sealed conditions and a controlled stream of hot air at a temperature of about 200 degrees Celsius dries the solution in the form of fine droplets. Once the desired particle size is achieved, the polyol (mannitol/sorbitol) product is collected. Particle size can range from about 0.1 to 500 microns. In one  
25 embodiment of the present invention, at least 85 percent of the particles are about 100 microns or greater. In another embodiment of the present invention, at least 50 percent of the particles are about 100 microns or greater. The smaller particles ("fines") generated during this process are recycled back to the top of drying chamber 4 for further agglomeration.

30 The moisture content of the resulting co-processed polyol particle is preferably less than about 8%, and even more preferably, less than about 5%, and even more preferably, the moisture content is less than 2%. In one embodiment of the present invention, the moisture content of the resulting particle is about 0.3%.

As shown in Figures 4A and 4B, the final co-spray dried polyol composition has an irregular and globular or crystalline-like structure when examined under scanning electron microscopy.

5 The final co-spray dried polyol composition may have a surface shape (i.e., smooth surface, spherical in nature, with agglomerated particles) as depicted in the SEMs in Figures 5A-5F.

In one embodiment of the present invention, the co-processed mannitol:sorbitol ratio may be diluted after the co-spray drying process with a percentage of a co-granulated blend of mannitol and a disintegrant. Preferably, the  
10 co-processed mannitol:sorbitol ratio is diluted with up to about 50% of a co-granulated blend of about 90% MANNOGEM EZ™ (SPI Pharma, Inc., New Castle, DE) and/or about 10% POLYPLASDONE-XL™ (ISP Technologies, Wayne, NJ). Preferably, the co-processed mannitol:sorbitol is diluted with about 20% to 40% of the co-granulated blend of MANNOGEM EZ™ and/or POLYPLASDONE-  
15 XL™. In another embodiment, the co-processed mannitol:sorbitol is diluted with about 20% of the co-granulated blend of MANNOGEM EZ™ and POLYPLASDONE-XL™, and in another embodiment, the co-processed mannitol:sorbitol is diluted with about 40% of the co-granulated blend of MANNOGEM EZ™ AND POLYPLASDONE-XL™.

20 In one preferred embodiment of the present invention, the spray-dried mannitol/sorbitol polyol composition may first be seeded with dry particles of mannitol/sorbitol in the same proportion as the aqueous solution prepared for co-spray drying. Referring to Figure 6, the dry particles are introduced into the fines recycle system of the spray dryer. In one embodiment, this is achieved using a  
25 vibratory feeder which is positioned at the inlet to a fines recycle fan 7. The dry particles are blended in a ribbon blender prior to loading the vibratory feeder. It is thought that introducing the dry particles into the fines recycle system may be advantageous in decreasing the moisture level of the final product.

The introduction of dry particles and/or the decrease in moisture  
30 level lead to a difference in morphology of the resulting co-spray dried particle. As shown in Figures 5G and 5H, the co-spray dried polyol composition that is first seeded with dry particles has a spherical particle shape without a filamentous structure on the surface, and has a decreased moisture content, from about 1% to

about 0.3% of the particle weight. It is hypothesized that the lack of filamentous structure on the spherical particle shape may increase the flowability and enhance the quick-dissolve aspects of the co-processed carbohydrate system (compare Figure 3 and Figure 8).

5                   The present invention also includes a composition which is not a quick-dissolve, may be produced by co-spray drying a polyol composition in an air stream, whereby the polyol composition is seeded with dry polyol particles. The resulting composition includes particles having a nonfilamentous microstructure.

10                   Dry particles may be introduced at a rate of from about 1 kg/hr to about 100 kg/hr. Preferably, the feed rate is about 12.5 kg/hr to about 75 kg/hr, and more preferably, the feed rate is about 50 kg/hr. More preferably, the dry feed rate is about 20 kg/hr. The feed rates apparently do not impact the morphology of the resulting product.

15                   By way of example and not by limitation, a mannitol/sorbitol solution may be prepared having a total mass of 511 kg, 230 kg of which is attributed to the mannitol and sorbitol. At a total feed rate of about 75 kg/hr, about 20 kg/hr of dry particles will be introduced and about 55 kg/hr of the mannitol/sorbitol solution will be introduced into the spray dryer.

20                   In another embodiment of the present invention, the solid preparation of the present invention comprises:

25                   65 – 92% by weight of a polyol or mixture of polyols;  
                      2 – 8% by weight of a cross-linked polyvinylpyrrolidone;  
                      2 – 6% by weight of sodium croscarmellose use;  
                      3 – 12% by weight of starch;  
                      0.05 – 0.5% by weight silica gel; and  
                      0.05 – 0.5% by weight colloidal silica.

30                   In addition to the components identified above, the preparation may optionally contain from 8 – 28% by weight of other components that are suitable for ingestion by humans and which do not adversely affect the ability of the final solid preparation to completely dissolve or disperse within 60 seconds of being placed in the buccal cavity of the user.

                      In another embodiment of the present invention, the formulation comprises:

75 – 90% by weight of a polyol or mixture of polyols;  
3 – 7% by weight of a cross-linked polyvinyl pyrrolidone;  
1 – 4% by weight of sodium croscarmellose;  
4 – 10% by weight of starch  
5 0.05 – 0.3% by weight silica gel; and  
0.05 – 0.3% by weight colloidal silica.

In another embodiment of the present invention, the formulation  
comprises:

80 – 88% by weight of a polyol or mixture of polyols;  
10 3.5 – 6% by weight of a cross-linked polyvinyl pyrrolidone;  
2.5 – 3.5% by weight of sodium croscarmellose;  
5 – 9% by weight of starch;  
0.05 – 0.25% by weight silica gel; and  
0.05 – 0.25% by weight of colloidal silica.

15 In another embodiment of the present invention, the formulation  
comprises:

84 – 85% by weight of a polyol or mixture of polyols;  
4 – 5% by weight of a cross-linked polyvinyl pyrrolidone;  
2.9 – 3.2% by weight of sodium croscarmellose;  
20 7 – 8% by weight of starch;  
0.15 – 0.20% by weight silica gel; and  
0.15 – 0.20% by weight of colloidal silica.

The polyols that are suitable for use in the formulation of the present  
invention are sorbitol, mannitol, maltitol, erythritol, xylitol and lactitol and mixtures  
25 of these polyols. In one embodiment of the present invention, the polyol or mixture  
of polyols used in the formulation includes at least one polyol selected from the  
group consisting of mannitol, maltitol and sorbitol.

In another embodiment of the present invention, the polyol  
component of the composition comprises spray-dried mannitol or a spray-dried  
30 mixture of mannitol and sorbitol or a spray-dried mixture of mannitol and xylitol.

In another embodiment of the present invention, two or more polyols  
or a polyol and a sugar (e.g., maltose) are coprocessed (e.g., by spray-drying or  
granulation techniques) to form the polyol component.



The compositions of the present invention provide the formulator with a flexible platform that can be used to prepare a variety of solid preparations that contain one or more active ingredients and will dissolve quickly in the buccal cavity of the user.

5 Many different disintegrating agents can be used to prepare the co-processed carbohydrate system. Such agents include, but are not limited to crospovidone, sodium croscarmellose, sodium starch glycolate, and mixtures thereof. The disintegrating agent is preferably present in the co-processed carbohydrate system in a range of from about 1 percent to about 20 percent of the  
10 total weight of the system. In one embodiment of the present invention, the disintegrating agent used to prepare the co-processed carbohydrate system is cross-linked polyvinyl pyrrolidone (crospovidone) and is present in the co-processed carbohydrate system at about 10 percent of the total weight of the system.

15 The cross-linked polyvinyl pyrrolidone, sodium croscarmellose and starch act to hasten the disintegration of the solid preparation by absorbing water.

Glidants useful in preparing the co-processed carbohydrate system include, but are not limited to silica gel, colloidal silica, precipitated silica, and mixtures thereof. The glidant component of the co-processed carbohydrate system preferably is present in a range of from about zero percent to about five percent of  
20 the total weight of the system. One embodiment of the present invention utilizes silica gel as the glidant in preparing the co-processed carbohydrate system, and the silica gel preferably is present in about 0.25 percent of the total weight of the system.

Preferred starches for use in the compositions of the present  
25 invention include pregelatinized starch, such as Starch 1500 (from Colorcon, West Point, PA) and National 1551 (National Starch & Chemical Co., Bridgewater, NJ).

The silica gel acts to improve the flow properties of the composition and minimize the amount of material that sticks to the punches and dies during  
30 tableting. The colloidal silica acts to improve the flow properties of the composition before it is tableted.

In addition to the carbohydrate(s), disintegrating agent, and glidant (i.e., the co-processed carbohydrate system), the tablet composition may also

comprise any of the following ingredients without affecting the quick-dissolve characteristic of the co-processed carbohydrate system:

- One or more coated and/or uncoated active ingredients (AIs).
- One or more flavors
- 5       • One or more colors
- One or more lubricants, including, but not limited to sodium stearyl fumarate, glyceryl behenate, and magnesium stearate (“flow aids”)
- Citric acid and/or ascorbic acid
- One or more sweetening agents including, but not limited to
- 10       sucralose, aspartame, and acesulfam-K
- Tableting aids.

There is no limitation to the active ingredient (AI) that can be used with the present invention. Active ingredients include, but are not limited to pharmaceutical ingredients and nutraceutical ingredients. Examples of

15       pharmaceutical ingredients that can be used include, but are not limited to gastrointestinal function conditioning agents, including, but not limited to bromopride, metoclopramide, cisapride, and domperidone; anti-inflammatory agents, including, but not limited to aceclofenac, diclofenac, flubiprofen, sulindac, and celecoxib; analgesics, including, but not limited to acetaminophen and aspirin;

20       agents for erectile dysfunction therapy, including, but not limited to sildenafil and apomorphine; anti-migraines, including, but not limited to sumatriptan and ergotamin; antihistaminic agents, including, but not limited to loratadine, fexofenadine, pseudoephedrine and cetirizine; cardiovascular agents, including, but not limited to nitroglycerine and isosorbide dinitrate; diuretics, including, but not

25       limited to furocemide and spironolactone; anti-hypertensive agents, including, but not limited to propranolol, amlodipine, felodipine, nifedipine, captopril, ramipril, atenolol, and diltiazem; anti-hyperlipidemic agents, including, but not limited to simvastatin, atorvastatin, and pravastatin; anti-ulcer agents, including, but not

30       limited to cimetidine, ranitidine, famotidine, omeprazole, and lansoprazol; anti-emetics, including, but not limited to meclizine hydrochloride, ondansetron, granisetron, ramosetron, and tropisetron; anti-asthmatic agents, including, but not limited to aminophylline, theophylline, terbutaline, fenoterol, formoterol, and ketotifen; anti-depressants, including, but not limited to fluoxetine and sertraline;

vitamins, including, but not limited to B1, B2, B6, B12 and C; anti-thrombotic agents, including, but not limited to sulfinpyrazone, dipyridamole, and ticlopidine; chemotherapeutic agents, including, but not limited to cefaclor, bacampicillin, sulfamethoxazole, and rifampicin; hormones, including, but not limited to  
5 dexamethasone and methyltestosterone; anti-thelmintic agents, including, but not limited to piperazine, ivermectine, and mebendazole; and anti-diabetic agents, including, but not limited to acarbose, gliclazid, and glipizid.

Preferable pharmaceutical ingredients which may be used in the present invention include, but are non limited to acetaminophen, pseudoephedrine  
10 hydrochloride, dextromethorphan hydrobromide, domperidone, famotidine, meclizine hydrochloride, scopolamine hydrobromide, ondansetron, cisapride, granisetron, sildenafil, loratadine, and amlodipine.

Examples of nutraceutical ingredients include, but are not limited to any ingredient that is thought to have a beneficial effect on human health. Such  
15 ingredients include coenzyme Q-10, chondroitin, echinacea, ephedra, glucosamine, garlic, ginkgo biloba, ginseng, grape seed extract, guarana, hawthorn, herbs, kava, kola nut, lutein, St. John's wort, vinpocetine, and yohimbe.

There is no limitation on color or flavor that is useful in the present invention, and these characteristics will likely be chosen based on the age of the  
20 patient consuming the solid dosage form. Those of skill in the art will know which colors and flavors are useful in the present invention. Color and flavor are inert ingredients and generally do not have any effect on the efficacy of the tablet.

In one embodiment of the present invention, the co-processed carbohydrate formulation is directly compressed into a solid dosage form (e.g., a  
25 tablet) using a standard compression equipment (e.g., a tableting press). One embodiment of the directly compressed solid dosage form of the present invention interacts with saliva in the oral cavity of a patient and completely dissolves or disintegrates in the oral cavity into an easily swallowable form, preferably within about 60 seconds.

30 In an embodiment of the invention, the solid dosage form completely dissolves or disintegrates into an easily swallowable form within about 25 to 50 seconds after placing the tablet in the oral cavity.

The tablets produced in the present invention preferably have a tablet hardness in the range of about 10 newtons to about 100 newtons and a friability (standard USP test method) in the range of about 0.0 percent to about 5 percent.

In one embodiment of the present invention, the tablets produced  
5 have a tablet hardness in the range of about 20 newtons to about 60 newtons and a friability (standard USP test method) in the range of about 0.0 percent to about 2 percent, and would dissolve or disintegrate in the oral cavity within about 45 seconds.

Thus, preferably, the present invention makes it possible to produce  
10 high quality, robust tablets that show rapid dissolution or disintegration properties in a cost effective manner. The cost savings are primarily due to the inexpensive ingredients and processes involved, as described herein.

The quick dissolving formulations of the present invention may be used as a delivery platform for one or more active ingredients. One or more active  
15 ingredients may be mixed with the quick dissolving co-processed carbohydrate system and formed into a solid preparation, such as a tablet. In another embodiment, additional ingredients such as a lubricant, flavor, color, or sweetening agent may also be added to the formulation and formed into a solid preparation.

When the solid preparation is placed in the oral cavity of a patient, it  
20 interacts with saliva and rapidly dissolves or disperses in the oral cavity of the patient. As the solid preparation dissolves in the oral cavity of the patient, it releases the one or more active ingredients contained in the solid preparation.

The following examples are given to illustrate the present invention. It should be understood, however, that the invention is not to be limited to the  
25 specific conditions or details described in these examples. Throughout the specification, any and all references to a publicly available document, including but not limited to a U.S. patent, are specifically incorporated by reference.

#### Example 1: Formulation A

30 A rapidly dissolving tablet in accordance with the present invention, was produced as follows. A mixture of 547.48 grams of co-processed carbohydrate system consisting of mannitol and sorbitol in a 90:10 ratio (SPI Pharma Inc., New Castle, DE), 61.00 grams of Polyplasdone-XL (ISP Technologies, Wayne, NJ) and

1.53 grams of Syloid®244 FP (W. R. Grace & Co., Columbia, MD) were blended in a Turbula Mixer for 10 minutes.

5 Formulation A (600.6 grams) was then blended in an 8-quart V-blender along with 113.48 grams of acetaminophen microcaps, 16.64 grams of pseudoephedrine HCl microcaps and 25.664 grams of dextromethorphan HBr microcaps (Eurand America Inc., Vandalia, OH), 16 grams of Grape Flavor #FAEB895 (Wild Flavors, Inc., Cincinnati, OH), 2 grams of sucralose (Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceuticals Raritan, NJ), 8 grams of anhydrous citric acid, and purple color #LB1868 (Colorcon, West Point, PA) for 10 minutes. Sixteen grams of sodium stearyl fumarate (Penwest Pharma, Patterson, NY) was added to the mixture and the mixture was blended for 6 minutes. The blend was then discharged and tableted using standard tableting procedures.

#### Example 2: Formulation B

15 A rapidly dissolving tablet was produced as follows. A mixture of 547.48 grams of spray-dried mannitol (about 80% of total formulation) blended with granular sorbitol (about 10% of total formulation), 61.00 grams of Polyplasdone-XL (ISP Technologies, Wayne, NJ) and 1.53 grams of Syloid®244 FP (W. R. Grace & Co., Columbia, MD) were dry-blended in a Turbula Mixer for 10 minutes.

20 Formulation B (600.6 grams) was then blended in an 8-quart V-blender along with 113.48 grams of acetaminophen microcaps, 16.64 grams of pseudoephedrine HCl microcaps and 25.664 grams of dextromethorphan HBr microcaps (Eurand America Inc., Vandalia, OH), 16 grams of Grape Flavor #FAEB895 (Wild Flavors, Inc., Cincinnati, OH), 2 grams of sucralose (Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceuticals Raritan, NJ), 8 grams of anhydrous citric acid, and purple color #LB1868 (Colorcon, West Point, PA) for 10 minutes. Sixteen grams of sodium stearyl fumarate (Penwest Pharma, Patterson, NY) was added to the mixture and the mixture was blended for 6 minutes. The blend was then discharged and tableted using standard tableting procedures.

Example 3: Formulation C

A rapidly dissolving tablet was produced as follows. A mixture of 547.48 grams of spray-dried mannitol (about 80% of total formulation) blended with spray-dried sorbitol (about 10% of total formulation; SPI Pharma Inc., New Castle, DE), 61.00 grams of Polyplasdone-XL (ISP Technologies, Wayne, NJ) and 1.53 grams of Syloid®244 FP (W. R. Grace & Co., Columbia, MD) were dry-blended in a Turbula Mixer for 10 minutes.

Formulation C (600.6 grams) was then blended in an 8-quart V-blender along with 113.48 grams of acetaminophen microcaps, 16.64 grams of pseudoephedrine HCl microcaps and 25.664 grams of dextromethorphan HBr microcaps (Eurand America Inc., Vandalia, OH), 16 grams of Grape Flavor #FAEB895 (Wild Flavors, Inc., Cincinnati, OH), 2 grams of sucralose (Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceuticals Raritan, NJ), 8 grams of anhydrous citric acid, and purple color #LB1868 (Colorcon, West Point, PA) for 10 minutes. Sixteen grams of sodium stearyl fumarate (Penwest Pharma, Patterson, NY) was added to the mixture and the mixture was blended for 6 minutes. The blend was then discharged and tableted using standard tableting procedures.

Example 4: Formulation D

A rapidly dissolving tablet in accordance with the present invention, was produced as follows. A mixture of 547.48 grams of co-processed carbohydrate system consisting of mannitol and sorbitol in an 80:20 ratio (SPI Pharma Inc., New Castle, DE), 61.00 grams of Polyplasdone-XL (ISP Technologies, Wayne, NJ) and 1.53 grams of Syloid®244 FP (W. R. Grace & Co., Columbia, MD) were blended in a Turbula Mixer for 10 minutes.

Formulation D (600.6 grams) was then blended in an 8-quart V-blender along with 113.48 grams of acetaminophen microcaps, 16.64 grams of pseudoephedrine HCl microcaps and 25.664 grams of dextromethorphan HBr microcaps (Eurand America Inc., Vandalia, OH), 16 grams of Grape Flavor #FAEB895 (Wild Flavors, Inc., Cincinnati, OH), 2 grams of sucralose (Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceuticals Raritan, NJ), 8 grams of anhydrous citric acid, and purple color #LB1868 (Colorcon, West Point, PA) for 10 minutes. Sixteen grams of sodium stearyl fumarate (Penwest Pharma, Patterson, NY) was added to the mixture

and the mixture was blended for 6 minutes. The blend was then discharged and tableted using standard tableting procedures.

Table 1 represents the composition of a tablet of any of the formulations:

5

Table 1: Composition of a Tablet

<b>Ingredient</b>	<b>mg/Tablet</b>
Formulation A, B, C or D	900.9
Coated Acetaminophen	170.22
Coated Pseudoephedrine HCl	24.96
Coated Dextromethorphan HBr	38.496
Flavor (grape)	24
Sweetener (sucralose)	3
Citric acid	12
Color (purple)	2.4
Lubricant (sodium stearyl fumarate)	24

Compaction profiles, that is, determination of maximum tablet hardness values, friability values, and disintegration time for each of the Formulations A, B, C and D were determined.

10

## Results

### Compaction Profile of the Formulations

Figure 1 shows that a 100 percent increase in tablet hardness is attained using the co-spray dried carbohydrate systems (Formulations A and D) compared to simple dry-blending of the ingredients (Formulations B and C). Formulation D achieved the highest tablet hardness at 11.5 KP, and capping was not observed.

15

At a compression force of 13 KN, Formulations A and D both display at least double the tablet hardness of either Formulation B or C. At a compression force of 15 KN, Formulation B caps at a tablet hardness value of 3.3, while Formulations A and D have tablet hardness values of about 6.2 and 7.9, respectively, almost double the tablet hardness of Formulation B. At a compression force of 17 KN, Formulation C caps at a tablet hardness of 3.85 KP, while Formulations A and D exhibit tablet hardness values of about 8 and 9.9, respectively. Thus, Formulations A and D exhibit a trend of averaging at least

20

25

about double the tablet hardness of Formulations B and C at any given compression force.

Therefore, the co-spray dried carbohydrate system is superior as compared to simply dry-blended ingredients, in preparing tablets with at least a 100 percent increase in tablet hardness over dry-blended ingredients.

Table 2: Maximum Hardness Values

Formulation	Maximum Attainable Hardness (KP) before Capping is observed
Formulation A	9.2 ± 1.2 KP
Formulation B	3.3 ± 0.3 KP
Formulation C	3.9 ± 0.8 KP
Formulation D	11.6 ± 0.5 KP (No capping observed)

#### Friability of the Formulations

A friability value of about 1 percent or less is desirable for tablets in order for them to withstand the stress of handling during production, packaging, and transport. Formulations A and D achieved low friability levels as shown in Figure 2, which friability remained low, and even decreased as tablet hardness increased. For example, at a tablet hardness of about 4 KP, friability of Formulations A and D were about 1 percent, while friability for Formulation C was at about 7.5 percent. Formulation B, at a tablet hardness of about 2.5 KP, had a friability of 5.5 percent, and was subsequently eliminated. Only Formulations A and D had tablet hardness of greater than 4 KP, and friability decreased steadily to about 0.1 percent at a tablet hardness 8 KP. At 11 KP, only Formulation D remained, and friability was essentially zero.

The overall trend shown in Figure 2 is that friability essentially decreases as tablet hardness increases. In order for a tablet to withstand stresses associated with handling and packaging, the need for tablets with increased hardness values (and therefore decreased friability values as Figure 2 indicates) is required. Only Formulations A and D, which comprise the co-processed carbohydrate system, attain higher tablet hardness values thereby resulting in decreased friability values.



Thus, the co-spray dried carbohydrate system achieves a much lower friability percent as compared with the same ingredients prepared as dry-blends.

#### Disintegration Times in Oral Cavity for Different Formulations

5                    Figure 3 shows disintegration times in the oral cavity, for the Formulations A, B, C, and D. Disintegration time ranged from about 30 seconds to about 60 seconds varying with tablet hardness up to about 8 KP. Over-the-counter quick-dissolve tablets provide a reference point (indicated by an arrow), having a tablet hardness of about 1.5 KP and a disintegration time of about 42 seconds.

10                    Formulation A tablet hardness ranged from about 2.5 KP to about 9.2 KP, and in-vivo disintegration times for Formulation A ranged from about 37 seconds to about 55 seconds, increasing steadily from a hardness of about 2.5 KP to about 8 KP. Disintegration time dropped off to about 49 seconds when measured at a tablet hardness of about 9.5 KP.

15                    Formulation B had a tablet hardness range of about 2 to about 3 KP. Disintegration times for Formulation B ranged from about 30 to about 35 seconds, with no discernible relationship to tablet hardness.

                          Formulation C displayed a tablet hardness range of from about 3 to about 4 KP. Disintegration times for Formulation C increased steadily as tablet  
20                    hardness increased, from about 32 seconds to about 40 seconds.

                          Formulation D exhibited a tablet hardness range of from about 3 KP to about 11.5 KP. From about 3 KP to about 8 KP, disintegration time increased steadily from about 42 seconds to about 58 seconds. From about 8 KP to about 11.5 KP, disintegration time increased more dramatically from about 58 seconds to  
25                    about 90 seconds.

                          At a tablet hardness of about 3 KP, all of the formulations have a disintegration time of between about 30 and 45 seconds, however, the error bars shown within each of the Formulations indicate that variance within this range is not substantially significant. At a tablet hardness of about 4 KP, Formulations A,  
30                    C, and D exhibited disintegration times of between about 40 and 45 seconds, also which variance is insignificant. Formulation B did not achieve a tablet hardness beyond about 3.3 KP.

At a tablet hardness of about 8, Formulations A and D exhibited disintegration times of about 55 and 58 seconds, respectively, and this difference, according to the standard error, is not significant.

Overall, disintegration times do not exceed 60 seconds over any tablet hardness up to about 9.2 KP. Disintegration time stayed fairly constant between tablet hardness values of about 4 KP and about 7 KP, and increased only slightly, by about 5 seconds between tablet hardness values of about 7 KP and 8 KP. Again, the error bars indicate that this slight increase in disintegration time is insignificant. Thus, it can be concluded that tablet hardness has no substantial effect on in vivo disintegration time (referring to disintegration time within the buccal cavity).

The co-spray dried carbohydrate system in Formulations A and D achieve lower friability and increased tablet hardness, but do not substantially affect the quick-dissolving disintegration properties of the tablet as compared with Formulations B and C.

The data from the above experiments clearly show that the co-spray dried carbohydrate system provides strong, high-quality tablets that are robust enough to withstand the stress of handling and transport while retaining rapid dissolution or disintegration properties.

#### Example 5: Preparation of a Mannitol:Sorbitol Co-processed Carbohydrate

The main objective of this experiment was to compare the quality of agglomerates produced from spray drying a mixture of mannitol and sorbitol in the proportion of about 88% Mannitol to about 12% Sorbitol, using mannitols supplied by SPI Pharma, Inc.(New Castle, DE), GETEC (Brazil), and Cerestar (France). The sorbitol was crystalline and was provided by SPI Pharma, Inc. (New Castle, DE). Another objective was to maintain a low moisture content, preferably less than 1%.

#### Materials and Methods

The following materials were used to carry out the present experiment: GETEC Mannitol Batch No. 10519387 (Item No. 10133), SPI Pharma Batch No. 3086E2 (MANNOGEM-EZ™ Powder Mannitol), Cerestar Batch No. GQ8641 (C\* MANNIDEX™ 16700), and Sorbitol Batch No. 4071C2

(SORBOGEM™, SPI Pharma, Inc). A S1 Spray Fluid Bed Dryer, capable of operating at up to 450°C inlet temperature was used to conduct the experiment.

The mannitol:sorbitol composition (“feed”) was prepared in a steam jacketed tank with deionized water at 85°C. 220 kilograms of mannitol powder and 30 kilograms of sorbitol were dissolved in the hot water. The feed solids concentration was calculated to be between 45 and 46% using an Infra Red Moisture Analyser. A 7” CSE disc was used to atomize the feed. The inlet temperature was varied between 190 and 210°C during the trials and the outlet temperature was varied, by adjusting the feed rate, to between 90 and 92°C.

Feed batch 1 was made up using MANNOGEM-EZ™. The initial operating parameters included a disc speed of 11,500 rpm, spray dryer outlet temperature of 89°C, and a fluid bed outlet temperature of 92°C. The product moisture of sample 1 was 1.03% with a particle size of 35 % greater than 125 microns.

In Sample 2, a slightly higher fluid bed outlet temperature of 96°C was used. This produced a product having a moisture content of 0.98%, with a particle size of 80.39 % greater than 125 microns.

Spray dryer operating parameters were adjusted to operate with a dryer inlet air temperature of 205°C and a dryer outlet air temperature of 92°C for Sample 3. The fluid bed air temperature rose to 99°C using these parameters. The product demonstrated a moisture content of 1.75 %. The product particle size was assessed as 79.45 % greater than 125 microns.

Sample 4 was assessed using alternative parameters. The spray dryer inlet temperature was adjusted to 210°C, with an outlet temperature of 95°C, and a fluid bed air temperature of 94°C. Sample 4 had a moisture of 1.35 %, and a total of 81.98 % of particles above 125 microns.

Finally, the dryer was adjusted to give an inlet air temperature of 190°C, outlet air temperature of 90°C, and a fluid bed air temperature of 94°C. Sample 5 showed a moisture level of 1.30 %, with 52.31% of particles above 125 microns.

Five samples of Feed batch 2, prepared from C\* MANNIDEX™ and SORBOGEM™ were tested using the same drying conditions as above. Product

moisture results averaged 0.96%, and particle size averaged about 55.3% above 125 microns.

Feed batch 3 was prepared from GETEC mannitol and SORBOGEM™. Trials were carried out under the same set of plant operating parameters as those for batch one, and no adverse conditions were observed. The products recovered ranged in moisture content from 0.83% to 0.97 %, with particle sizes between 48% and 61 % greater than 125 microns.

Feed batch 4 was prepared from the same materials as Feed batch 1, and in addition, a method of dry mix addition into the fines recycle system of the dryer was employed (“seeding”). This was achieved using a vibratory feeder which was positioned at the inlet to the fines recycle fan. The dry feed used in the feeder was a dry blend of a 88:12 ratio of GETEC mannitol to SORBOGEM™. The mannitol and sorbitol powders were blended in a ribbon blender prior to loading the vibratory feeder. The reason for the use of GETEC mannitol for the dry addition was due to insufficient stock of MANNOGEM-EZ™ being available.

The main spray dryer feed was prepared using MANNOGEM-EZ™ and SORBOGEM™. The feed rate for the dry addition vibratory feeder was set at 50 kilograms per hour in order to match the approximate wet feed rate of the spray dryer. Although each set of conditions was intended to be carried out in this experiment, only three of the four conditions were tested due to failure of the product discharge valve on the fluid bed. From the laboratory analysis of the samples produced, it would appear that the addition of dry material into the fines recycle system results in the production of an overall drier product. Moistures in the product of 0.3 – 0.6 % were recorded, and product particle sizes were slightly finer than those observed during the previous trials.

Dry solids addition into the fines recycle system appears to be beneficial to achieving lower residual product moistures.

Figure 5 illustrates the spherical nature of each of the spray-dried final products containing an 88:12 ratio of co-spray dried mannitol:sorbitol as demonstrated by scanning electron microscopy. Figure 5A is a SEM showing the co-processed particle resulting from use of the MANNOGEM-EZ™ in the co-spray drying process without seeding. Figure 5B is a 100X magnification of Figure 5A and shows a spherical structure having a somewhat filamentous structure on its

surface. The moisture content for this particle was determined to be 1.0%.

Figure 5C is a SEM showing the co-processed particle resulting from use of the C\* MANNIDEX™ in the co-spray drying process without seeding.

5 Figure 5D is a 100X magnification of Figure 5C and shows a spherical structure having a somewhat filamentous structure on its surface. The moisture content for this particle was determined to be 0.96%.

Figure 5E is a SEM showing the co-processed particle resulting from use of the GETEC mannitol in the co-spray drying process without seeding. Figure

10 Figure 5F is a 100X magnification of Figure 5E and shows a spherical structure having a somewhat filamentous structure on its surface. The moisture content for this particle was determined to be 0.82%.

Figure 5G is a SEM showing the co-processed particle resulting from use of the MANNOGEM-EZ™ in the co-spray drying process with seeding.

15 Figure 5H is a 100X magnification of Figure 5G and shows a spherical structure which is non-filamentous on its surface. The moisture content for this particle was determined to be 0.3%. It is thought that the addition of the seeding step significantly decreased the moisture content of the resulting co-processed particle. Further, with seeding, little or no filamentous morphology is exhibited on the surface of the resulting particle. As discussed above, lack of filamentous structure

20 on the surface of the resulting co-spray dried particle may lead to increased flowability and enhanced quick-dissolve properties.

Table 3, below, illustrates representative values for the results of the experiments detailed above.

Table 3

Batch No.	1	2	3	4
Sample No.	2	1	5	3
Disc Type (CSE)	7"	7"	7"	7"
Disc Speed (RPM)	11500	11500	13000	13000
Inlet Temp. (°C)	199	192	210	189
Outlet Temp. (°C)	90	87	93	91
Fluid Bed Inlet Temperature (°C)	116	118	115	115
Fluid Bed Outlet Temperature (°C)	96	98	95	94
Total Run Time (mins)	30	55	80	38
Prod. Weight (kg)	33.1	30	-	33.78
*Prod. Moisture (%)	0.98	0.96	0.83	0.33
+Particle Size Cum.				
> 250 $\mu$ (%)	2.2	1.2	1.4	7.9
> 200 $\mu$ (%)	9.6	4.9	2.6	15.9
>125 $\mu$ (%)	80.4	49.2	47.9	44.9
>100 $\mu$ (%)	96.9	87.3	90.0	78.0
> 90 $\mu$ (%)	99.6	98.7	98.21	91.0
< 90 $\mu$ (%)	100	100	100	100

- - Product Moisture measured by Karl Fisher AF8.
- + - Particle size measured with 200 mm sieves using the soft brush method after being on a sieve shaker.

Example 6: Differences in Morphology and Quick-dissolve Aspects based on Feed Rate of Dry Blend During Seeding

Experiments were performed as described above in Example 5 for “Batch 4”. Various dry feed rates for seeding were tested to determine whether the dry feed rate had an impact on morphology of the resulting particle. Feed rates of about 12.5 kg/hr, 50 kg/hr, and 75 kg/hr were tested on placebo formulations of co-spray dried 88:12 mannitol:sorbitol mixtures, which were seeded with a dry blend of an 88:12 mannitol:sorbitol mixture. A co-granulated blend of co-spray dried mannitol (MANNOGEM EZ™, SPI Pharma, Inc.) and crystalline sorbitol (SORBOGEM™, SPI Pharma, Inc.) in a ratio of 88:12 was run as a control.

Figure 7 illustrates the results of a compactibility study on placebo tablets prepared according to Example 5 and produced by co-spray drying with dry seeding using various dry-feed rates. Lower compression forces produce tablets with increased hardness, and the dry feed rate seemed to have no effect on tablet hardness. Each feed rate produced a similar, steep curve. For example, a feed rate of 12.5 kg/hr at a compression force of 8 KN produced a tablet having a hardness of about 8.0 KP. A feed rate of 50 kg/hr at the same compression force produced a tablet having a hardness of about 8.5 KP. A feed rate of 75 kg/hr also produced a tablet having a hardness of about 8 KP at the same compression force. Each of the formulations which were seeded with a dry blend produced a tablet having at least a hardness of 11 KP before reaching a compression force of 10 KN. The control formulation produced a tablet having a hardness of about 4 KP at a compression force of 8 KN, and at 10 KN produced a tablet having a hardness of about 6 KP.

Figure 8 illustrates the results of an in-vivo disintegration time study on tablets prepared according to Example 5 and produced by co-spray drying with dry seeding using various dry-feed rates. Again, dry-feed rates seemed to have no effect on in vivo disintegration times. As tablet hardness increased, disintegration time increased slightly. However, even with a tablet hardness of around 11 KP, disintegration times for each formulation were still less than 50 seconds. At all tablet hardness points, the control formulation without dry seeding disintegrated in less time, however, these differences in disintegration time do not seem to be significant as indicated by the error bars.

Comparing Figure 8 and Figure 3, the in vivo disintegration times

appear to be slightly enhanced by the addition of the dry-blend during the co-spray drying process. In both Formulation A (90:10 mannitol:sorbitol) and Formulation D (80:20 mannitol:sorbitol) of Figure 3 (no dry-blend addition), the in vivo disintegration time exceed 50 seconds at a tablet hardness of 8 KP, while in Figure 8, the in vivo disintegration times range between 38 and 44 seconds at 8 KP for each of the formulations with a dry-blend added at different dry feed rates.

Figures 9A-9C and 10A-10C are SEMs of the particles produced by seeding with a dry-blend of mannitol:sorbitol in the same ratio used for co-spray drying. The dry feed rate used in the experiment which produced the particles shown in Figures 9 and 10 were 12.5 kg/hr and 75 kg/hr, respectively. There was no significant difference in the morphology of these particles. The particles continue to be spherical and little or no filamentous structure is observed on the surface of the particles.

It has been concluded that the dry-feed rate does not impact the morphology of the resulting particle; the quick dissolve aspects of the tablets produced from particles seeded with dry-blends of mannitol:sorbitol have been enhanced by the addition of the dry-blend in the co-spray drying process, as indicated by the decrease in in-vivo disintegration time.

#### Example 7: Dilution of the Co-Processed Product with MANNOGEM EZ™ and POLYPLASDONE XL™

Formulations were prepared as in Experiment 6. Two additional formulations were prepared as described in Experiment 6, using a dry feed rate of about 37.5 kg/hr for “seeding.” These additional formulations were diluted after the co-spray drying process with either 20% or 40% of a mixture of about 90% MANNOGEM EZ™ and about 10% POLYPLASDONE-XL™ (“EZ/XL”). In-vivo disintegration time, compactibility, and friability were measured for each of the formulations.

Figure 11 graphs the results for in vivo disintegration times for each of the 5 formulations tested. The control formulation is indicated by the “square” line marker. Even at the highest tablet hardness value of about 11 KP, the disintegration time for any formulation did not exceed about 46 seconds. The formulations diluted with the EZ/XL mixture seemed to have slightly superior



disintegration properties, as indicated in Figure 11. For example, at a tablet hardness of about 8 KP, the disintegration times for both EZ/XL formulations were slightly lower (about 1 to 8 seconds lower) than the formulations which were not diluted. The variation in disintegration times is more pronounced at lower tablet hardness values. For example, at a tablet hardness of about 4 KP, the EZ/XL formulations disintegrated in about 26 to 28 seconds, while the other formulations took about 30 to 35 seconds to disintegrate. The control formulation disintegrated in about 24 seconds. Thus, it was concluded that the EZ/XL formulations increase the quick-dissolve properties of the basic formulations.

Figure 12 illustrates the compaction profile for each of the 5 formulations and the control. Each of the seeded formulations had a maximum compression force of about 8.5 KN to about 10 KN, which produced a tablet having a hardness of about 10.8 KP to 11.5 KP. The EZ/XL formulations had a maximum compression force of about 10 KN to 12 KN, which produced a tablet having a hardness of about 10.3 KN to about 10.5 KN. The control formulation displayed a maximum compression force of about 14 KN, which produced a tablet having a hardness of about 10 KN. Thus, it was concluded that the dilution process formed a tablet with superior compaction properties as compared with the control tablet.

Figure 13 illustrates the percent friability for each of the 5 formulations and the control. The percent friability for all of the formulations approached zero at a tablet hardness of about 6 KP. At a tablet hardness of about 4 KP, all of the formulations had a percent friability of about 0.5 to about 0.7, with the exception of the co-spray dried formulation seeded at a feed rate of about 50 kg/hr, which had a percent friability of about 0.25. It was concluded that the dilution of the co-spray dried formulations with the EZ/XL mixture had no significant effect on the friability of the tablet produced from those formulations. Thus, in vivo disintegration time can be decreased while having no effect on friability.

The dilution process represents a viable alternative to simply co-spray drying since it appeared to have little or no effect on friability, it decreases in vivo disintegration time, and the compaction profile is superior to the control profile.

Example 8: Quick Dissolve Preparations Comprising Spray-dried Mannitol

A quick dissolving preparation according to the present invention, in the form of tablets, was produced as set forth below.

5 A mixture of 2.0 kg of MANNOGEM™ EZ (SPI Pharma, New Castle, DE), 0.23 kg of silica gel (SYLOID®244FP, W.R. Grace & Co., Grace Davidson, Columbia, Maryland) and 0.23 kg of colloidal silica (Cab-O-Sil M-5, obtained from Cabot Corp., Tuscola, IL) was created by adding the components to two polyethylene bags which were then shaken for about five (5) minutes.

10 The mixture was then discharged to a 10 cubic foot V-blender (Patterson-Kelly 10 cubic foot) along with the following additional materials: 103.78 kg MANNOGEM EZ™ (added in two approximately equal parts), 5.63 kg of POLYPASDONE XL-10™ (ISP Technologies, 1361 Alps Road, Wayne, NJ 07470), 3.75 kg of sodium croscarmellose (Ac-Di-Sol®, FMC Bio polymer, Newark, DE), and 9.38 kg of Starch 1500 (Colorcon, West Point, PA). The blender  
15 was than started and the contents of the blender were blended for 20 minutes at a moderate speed (about 6 – 20 RPM) to form an initial mixture.

After the blending step, the contents of the blender were discharged into containers.

20 In some instances, it may be necessary to de-lump the ingredients, for example by passing the ingredients through a screening step (e.g., using 20 or 30 mesh screens) before they are added to the blender. This is especially true for the MANNOGEM EZ™, which should be checked for lumps or large agglomerates before it is added to the blender.

25 A tableting mixture was prepared by adding 1024.5 grams of the initial mixture, 226.1 grams of acetaminophen (Eurand Acetaminophen microcaps, Vandalia, Ohio), 13 grams of peppermint flavor (FONA 894.022, Carol Stream, Illinois), 6.5 grams of menthol flavor (FONA 875.008,5 Carol Stream, Illinois); 1.95 grams of aspartame (Aspartame, Nutrasweet, Augusta, GA) to a two liter glass vessel (Turbula T2C and blended for 15 minutes at 30 rpm. After the 15 minute  
30 blending step, 13 grams of magnesium stearate (Mallinckrodt, St. Louis, MO) and 13 grams of talc (Talc 140 from Mutchler, Westwood, NJ) are added to the blender and the blender is restarted for 5 minutes at 30 rpm to form the final tableting mixture.

The final tableting mixture is transferred to the hopper of 16 station tablet press with two punches fitted (Cadmach DC-60, Key Instruments, Englishtown, NJ) and processed into 500 mg tablets using one-half inch flat beveled edge tooling (D-Tooling half inch flat beveled edge (bisect)). The tablet press speed was 22 RPM resulting in 44 tablets per minute. The final tablets were cylindrical in shape and had the following dimensions: 12.89 mm circular diameter and 3.85 mm thick. Each tablet weighed about 500 mg and contained about 86.95 mg of acetaminophen as the active ingredient. The hardness of the tablets was about 40N and the friability of the tablets (standard USP method) was about 8%. These tablets would need to be packaged in protective packages (e.g., blister packs) so that the friability would be less than 1% (in the packaged form).

It will be apparent to those skilled in the art that various modifications and variations can be made in the methods and compositions of the present invention without departing from the spirit or scope of the invention. Thus, it is intended that the present invention cover the modifications and variations of the present invention provided they come within the scope of the appended claims and their equivalents.

## CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A method for producing a directly compressible and highly compactible composition, said method comprising:
  - a) dissolving mannitol powder and sorbitol powder into a solution;
  - b) drying the solution in an air stream, and
  - c) forming particles having a nonfilamentous microstructure from the solution.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the moisture content of said composition is less than about 8%.
3. The method of claim 1, wherein the moisture content of said composition is less than about 5%.
4. The method of claim 1, wherein the moisture content of said composition is less than about 2%.
5. The method of claim 1, further comprising adding a disintegrant to the composition.
6. The method of claim 5, wherein the disintegrant is selected from the group consisting of croscopvidone, croscarmellose, sodium starch glycolate, and combinations thereof.
7. The method of claim 5, further comprising adding a glidant to the composition.
8. The method of claim 7, wherein the glidant is selected from the group consisting of colloidal silica, silica gel, precipitated silica, and combinations thereof.

9. The method of claim 1, further comprising adding an active ingredient.
10. The method of claim 1, wherein said mannitol is present in a range of 60 to 99.5 percent and said sorbitol is present in a range of 0.5 to 40 percent.
11. The method of claim 10, wherein said mannitol is present in a range of 70 to 95 percent and said sorbitol is present in a range of 5 to 30 percent.
12. The method of claim 11, wherein said mannitol is present in a range of 80 to 90 percent and said sorbitol is present in a range of 10 to 20 percent.
13. The method of claim 1, further comprising in said step (b) dry feeding a blend of dry mannitol powder and dry sorbitol powder into the spray drying chamber.
14. The method of claim 1, further comprising diluting the resulting composition with a dry mixture of mannitol and a disintegrant.
15. The method of claim 14, wherein said mixture comprises about 90% mannitol and about 10% crospovidone.
16. The method of claim 1, further comprising forming a tablet.
17. A quick-dissolving composition comprising co-spray-dried mannitol and sorbitol, and a disintegrant, wherein said composition is highly compactible and dissolves in the oral cavity in less than 60 seconds.
18. The composition of claim 17, further comprising a glidant.
19. The composition of claim 17, wherein said disintegrant is selected from the group consisting of crospovidone, croscarmellose, sodium starch glycolate, and combinations thereof.

20. The composition of claim 18, wherein said glidant is selected from group consisting of colloidal silica, silica gel, precipitated silica, and combinations thereof.
21. The composition of claim 17, wherein said mannitol is present in a range of 60 to 99.5 percent and wherein said sorbitol is present in a range of 0.5 to 40 percent.
22. The composition of claim 21, wherein said mannitol is present in a range of 70 to 95 percent and wherein said sorbitol is present in a range of 5 to 30 percent.
23. The composition of claim 22, wherein said mannitol is present in a range of 80 to 90 percent and wherein said sorbitol is present in a range of 10 to 20 percent.
24. The composition of claim 17, further comprising an active ingredient.
25. The composition of claim 24, wherein said active ingredient is coated.
26. The composition of claim 24, wherein said active ingredient is uncoated.
27. The composition of claim 17, wherein said composition is directly compressible.
28. A tablet comprising the composition of claim 17.
29. A composition comprising particles including co-spray-dried mannitol and sorbitol, wherein said particles have a nonfilamentous microstructure and said composition is highly compactible.
30. The composition of claim 29, further comprising a disintegrant.
31. The composition of claim 29, further comprising a glidant.

32. The composition of claim 30, wherein said disintegrant is selected from the group consisting of crospovidone, croscarmellose, sodium starch glycolate, and combinations thereof.

33. The composition of claim 31, wherein said glidant is selected from group consisting of colloidal silica, silica gel, precipitated silica, and combinations thereof.

34. The composition of claim 29, wherein said mannitol is present in a range of 60 to 99.5 percent and wherein said sorbitol is present in a range of 0.5 to 40 percent.

35. The composition of claim 34, wherein said mannitol is present in a range of 70 to 95 percent and wherein said sorbitol is present in a range of 5 to 30 percent.

36. The composition of claim 35, wherein said mannitol is present in a range of 80 to 90 percent and wherein said sorbitol is present in a range of 10 to 20 percent.

37. The composition of claim 29, further comprising an active ingredient.

38. The composition of claim 37, wherein said active ingredient is coated.

39. The composition of claim 37, wherein said active ingredient is uncoated.

40. The composition of claim 29, said composition being directly compressible.

41. A tablet comprising the composition of claim 29.

42. A method for producing a directly compressible quick-dissolving composition, said method comprising:

- a) dissolving mannitol powder and sorbitol powder into a solution;
- b) drying the solution in an air stream; and
- c) adding a disintegrant,

thereby forming a directly compressible quick-dissolving composition.

43. The composition of claim 42, further comprising a glidant.

44. The composition of claim 42, wherein said disintegrant is selected from the group consisting of crospovidone, croscarmellose, sodium starch glycolate, and combinations thereof.

45. The composition of claim 43, wherein said glidant is selected from group consisting of colloidal silica, silica gel, precipitated silica, and combinations thereof.

46. The composition of claim 42, wherein said mannitol is present in a range of 60 to 99.5 percent and wherein said sorbitol is present in a range of 0.5 to 40 percent.

47. The composition of claim 46, wherein said mannitol is present in a range of 70 to 95 percent and wherein said sorbitol is present in a range of 5 to 30 percent.

48. The composition of claim 47, wherein said mannitol is present in a range of 80 to 90 percent and wherein said sorbitol is present in a range of 10 to 20 percent.

49. The composition of claim 42, further comprising an active ingredient.

50. The composition of claim 49, wherein said active ingredient is coated.

51. The composition of claim 49, wherein said active ingredient is uncoated.

52. The composition of claim 42, said composition being directly compressible.

53. A tablet comprising the composition of claim 42.



54. A composition comprising:
- (a) particles including co-spray dried mannitol and sorbitol, wherein the particles have a nonfilamentous microstructure;
  - (b) a disintegrant selected from the group consisting of crospovidone, croscarmellose, sodium starch glycolate, and combinations thereof;
  - (c) a glidant selected from the group consisting of silica gel, colloidal silica, precipitated silica, and combinations thereof; and
  - (d) an active ingredient.
55. A quick-dissolving composition comprising:
- (a) particles including co-spray dried mannitol and sorbitol;
  - (b) a disintegrant selected from the group consisting of crospovidone, croscarmellose, sodium starch glycolate, and combinations thereof;
  - (c) a glidant selected from the group consisting of silica gel, and colloidal silica, precipitated silica, and combinations thereof; and
  - (d) an active ingredient.




FIG. 1




FIG. 2

3/25




FIG. 3

4/25




FIG. 4.


5/25



FIG.4B

6/25

FIG. 5A



7/25




FIG.5B




8/25

FIG.5C



9/25

FIG.5D



10/25




FIG.5E

11/25





FIG. 5F

12/25

FIG.5G






FIG.5H

14/25




FIG. 6

15/25




FIG. 7






FIG. 8

17/25



FIG.9A

18/25




FIG.9B

19/25




FIG.9C




FIG.10A

21/25




FIG.10E

22/25



FIG.10C




FIG. 11



24/25




FIG. 12

25/25




FIG. 13

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

International application No.  
PCT/US02/40506

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**  
 IPC(7) : A61K 9/20, 9/14, 9/16, 9/50  
 US CL : 424/489, 490, 464, 493, 496, 497, 499  
 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
 U.S. : 424/489, 490, 464, 493, 496, 497, 499

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  
 EAST

**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 4,990,537 A (OKUYAMA et al) 5 February 1991 (05.02.1991), column 5, lines 24-46.	1-55
Y	US 6,115,369 A (TANIKAWA et al) 5 September 2000 (05.09.2000), column 41, lines 18-30.	1-55

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.       See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"&" document member of the same patent family
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search 06 March 2003 (06.03.2003)	Date of mailing of the international search report <b>28 APR 2003</b>
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230	Authorized officer <i>Debra D. Roberts for</i> Amy Pulliam Telephone No. 703-308-1235