

Office de la Propriété Intellectuelle du Canada

Un organisme d'Industrie Canada

Canadian Intellectual Property Office

An agency of Industry Canada

CA 2418578 A1 2003/08/14

(21) 2 418 578

(12) DEMANDE DE BREVET CANADIEN CANADIAN PATENT APPLICATION

(13) **A1**

(22) Date de dépôt/Filing Date: 2003/02/06

(41) Mise à la disp. pub./Open to Public Insp.: 2003/08/14

(30) Priorité/Priority: 2002/02/14 (10/073,986) US

(51) Cl.Int.⁷/Int.Cl.⁷ B01D 53/64

(71) Demandeur/Applicant: HAZELMERE RESEARCH LTD., CA

(72) Inventeur/Inventor: OEHR, KLAUS H., CA

(74) Agent: VERMETTE & CO.

(54) Titre: CONTROLE A REDUCTION DE LA POLLUTION PAR LE MERCURE DANS LES CENTRALES THERMIQUES A CHARBON

(54) Title: ENHANCED MERCURY CONTROL IN COAL-FIRED POWER PLANTS

(57) Abrégé/Abstract:

A method of treating a coal combustion flue gas, which includes injecting a molecular halogen or thermolabile molecular halogen precursor able to decompose to form molecular halogen at flue gas temperature. The molecular halogen coverts elemental mercury to mercuric halide adsorbable by alkaline solids such as subbituminous or lignite coal ash, alkali fused bituminous coal ash capturable in whole or part by electrostatic precipitators (ESPs), baghouses (BHs), fabric filters (FFS), dry flue gas desulphurization solids, with or without subsequent adsorption by a liquid such as a flue gas desulphurization scrubbing liquor.





ABSTRACT

A method of treating a coal combustion flue gas, which includes injecting a molecular halogen or thermolabile

5 molecular halogen precursor able to decompose to form molecular halogen at flue gas temperature. The molecular halogen coverts elemental mercury to mercuric halide adsorbable by alkaline solids such as subbituminous or lignite coal ash, alkali fused bituminous coal ash

10 capturable in whole or part by electrostatic precipitators (ESPs), baghouses (BHs), fabric filters (FFs), dry flue gas desulphurization solids, with or without subsequent adsorption by a liquid such as a flue gas desulphurization scrubbing liquor.

ENHANCED MERCURY CONTROL IN COAL-FIRED POWER PLANTS FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a method of reducing mercury emissions for coal-fired power plants.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The United States Environmental Protection Agency

(EPA) in its Utility Air Toxins Report to Congress-February

1998 concluded that "mercury from coal-fired power plants

is a serious concern. Power plants account for about 52

tons of annual manmade mercury emissions in the country."

The report stated that the EPA has been unable to identify

any currently feasible, commercially available technology

for reducing these emissions. It recommends further

evaluation of "potential control strategies" (see

www.epa.gov/region2/health/mercury.htm).

20

1)

The United States Department of Energy (DOE) indicated that it "wants to develop a wider array of mercury control options for power plants that can reliably reduce emissions by 50 to 70% by 2005 and 90% by 2010." (see www.netl.doe.gov/publications/press/2001/tl_mercurysel2.htm

A variety of previous attempts have been made to capture mercury.

Ide et al. describe the conversion of mercury into mercuric chloride via addition of acidic chlorine containing material, especially hydrogen chloride, into mercury-containing gaseous emissions (see Ide, Akiro et al. 1988, "Process for Cleaning Mercury-Controlled Gaseous Emissions", United States Patent No. 4,729,882). This mercury removal technique requires massive hydrogen 10 chloride injection into mercury containing flue gas, at HCl levels of 500 to 1500 ppm, which would result in excessive corrosion of coal fired power plant steel components. Furthermore, combination of this technique with subsequent hydrogen chloride/mercuric chloride containing flue gas in 15 a wet flue gas desulphurization system (FGD) containing alkali for purposes of sulphur dioxide adsorption from flue gas as a sulphite, bisulphate, bisulphate, or sulphate would result in unacceptable consumption of alkali scrubbing chemicals by the adsorbed hydrogen chloride. 20 Therefore this technique for mercury control is not believed to be commercially viable in coal-fired power plants.

Felsvang et al. describe mercury absorption between 110-170°C in the presence of chlorides, especially hydrogen chloride and alkaline "basic absorbent" introduced as an aqueous aerosol suspension (Felsvang, K. et al. 1995, "Method for Improving the Hg-Removing Capability of a Flue Gas Cleaning Process", United States Patent No. 5,435,980). This technique requires the use of substantial expensive capital equipment foreign to conventional coal fired power 10 plants. It does not utilize existing flue gas desulphurization equipment. Furthermore, alkaline sorbent designed to remove mercuric chloride is partially wasted by hydrogen chloride unreacted with mercury. Finally, its excessive use of hydrogen chloride, especially at 110-170°C, is certain to cause highly undesirable corrosion of 15 steel containing surfaces in coal-fired power plants to iron chlorides.

especially gold, to catalytically convert elemental mercury Hg° to mercuric chloride HgCl₂ in flue gas (Meischen, S. and Van Pelt, J. 2000, "Method to Control Mercury Emissions from Exhaust Gas", United States Patent No. 6,136,281).

This process is limited to oxidation of mercury to mercuric

chloride in flue gas below 300°C due to undesirable loss of gold as volatile gold trichloride. This technique requires the use of substantial expensive capital equipment foreign to conventional coal fired power plants plus the use of very expensive precious metal catalysts.

Biswas and Wu describe the irradiation of solid particles with light, especially ultraviolet light, to induce catalytic photo-oxidation to mercury to forms adsorbable by the solid particles (Biswas, P. and Wu, C. 2001, "Process for the Enhanced Capture of Heavy Metal Emissions", United States Patent No. 6,248,217). Unfortunately, this technique requires the use of substantial expensive capital equipment foreign to conventional coal fired power plants plus the use of consumable metal catalysts.

10

15

20

Amrhein describes the use of existing wet flue gas desulphurization systems to capture oxidized mercury in a manner which inhibits undesirable partial conversion of adsorbed oxidized mercury back to volatile elemental mercury (Amrhein, Gerald T. 2001, "Mercury Removal in Utility Wet Scrubber Using a Chelating Agent", United States Patent No. 6,328,939). The disadvantage of this

method is that it requires effective but expensive chelating agents with potential problems due to chelation of scrubber metal components with chelates.

Previous publications have indicated that alkaline fly ash containing solids have an affinity for mercury capture. For instance, Galbreath and Zygarlicke have shown that subbituminous coal ash can capture a portion of mercury in a flue gas (Galbreath, Kevin C. and Zygarlicke, Christopher 10 2000, "Mercury Transformations in Coal Combustion Flue Gas", Fuel Processing Technology 65-66, pages 289-310 @ page 304). They also indicated that hydrogen chloride spiking of flue gas inhibited mercury capture by alkaline solids, such as calcium hydroxide or alkaline fly ash, by 15 neutralizing the alkaline sites able to complex mercury, especially oxidized mercury. United States Patent No. 6,250,235 issued to Oehr and Yao describes the addition of a fossil fuel and additive in a combustion zone to achieve the following results alone or in combination: accelerated combustion, desulphurization, nitrogen oxides emission 20 reduction, pozzolanic or cementitious products production or combustor anti-fouling (Oehr, Klaus H. and Yao, Felix Z. 2001, "Method and Product for Improved Fossil Fuel

Combustion, United States Patent No. 6,250,235). This is achieved by fusing alkali, such as calcium oxide, to coal ash and sulphur, while the coal is burning, via a flux.

Full scale testing of this patented method, using

5 bituminous coal in a 100-megawatt power plant, resulted in 45% reduction of mercury emissions as compared to testing without the use of above fuel additive. X-ray analysis of the resulting fly ash indicated that the normally acidic bituminous fly ash had been converted to an alkaline form containing alkaline cementitious crystals not unlike those found in subbituminous or lignite based fly ash as well as containing small amounts of alkaline calcium oxide and calcium hydroxide.

15 It is also well known that elemental mercury (Hg°) can be absorbed by activated carbon. Adsorption improves as the temperature of the carbon is reduced or if the carbon is impregnated with halogen species, such as iodine or chlorides and/or sulphides. Unfortunately, the use of activated carbon requires extremely high carbon to mercury ratios e.g. 3000 - 100,000 to 1 carbon to mercury weight ratios. Injection of activated carbon into the cool zones of coal combustors ahead of the ESPs, FFs or BHs, results

in unacceptable contamination of coal ash with carbon for purposes of ash recycling into cement/concrete applications.

Previous publications have described the capture of mercury by scrubbing solutions containing oxidants. instance, Mendelsohn describes contacting flue gas containing elemental mercury with oxidizing solutions of halogens to effect mercury capture as a mercuric halide (Mendelsohn, M.H. 1999, "Method for the Removal of 10 Elemental Mercury from a Gas Stream", United States Patent No. 5,900,042). Caldwell et al. describe contacting elemental mercury in a flue gas with chlorine gas to effect its oxidation to mercuric chloride, followed by adsorption of the resulting mercuric chloride in a water solution of pH 7 or less, followed by precipitation of the adsorbed mercuric chloride as mercuric iodide (Caldwell et al. 2002, "Mercury Removal from Flue Gas", United States Patent No. 6,447,740). These techniques are not believed to be commercially viable for any or all of the following 20 reasons:

 Mercury capture is inadequate. A maximum of 71.1% and 69.6% mercury removal was demonstrated for bromine and chlorine containing solutions respectively (see Mendelsohn, supra).

5

20

air blowers.

- Halogen reagents are wasted due to the undesirable consumption of oxidant by sulphur dioxide in the flue gas.
- Mercury capture does not utilize existing capital
 equipment including ESPs, FFs, BHs or FGDs. Expensive
 additional capital equipment is required in the form
 of scrubbers (Mendelsohn) or scrubbers plus a filter
 (Caldwell). Addition of "bubblers" or liquid
 scrubbers into a coal-fired power plant would
 substantially increase pressure drops through the
 system thereby increasing equipment sizing
 requirements (e.g. air blowers). This would increase
 both capital and operating costs for the operation of
 - Mercury capture, with the least expensive chlorine containing solutions, is reduced in the absence of nitric oxide. Clearly NOx removal by technology

upstream of the bubbler such as selective catalytic reduction (SCR) or selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR) would dramatically reduce mercury removal further.

5

10

• The scrubbing liquor requires addition of iodide to effect precipitation of mercuric chloride as mercuric iodide. Otherwise spent mercuric chloride containing scrubbing liquor must be ultimately undesirably discharged to the environment.

Previously, existing systems including ESPs, FGDs, FFs and BHs for mercury control have been underutilized. Such systems have suffered from a variety of mercury control related problems such as the following:

20

• Injection of excessive levels of acid hydrogen chloride into real or simulated coal combustion flue gas resulting in destruction of alkaline solids able to capture mercury, especially oxidized mercury species such as mercuric chloride, and potential corrosion metal surfaces such as steel surfaces found in power plants.

- Use of excessive levels of expensive supplementary
 capital equipment and/or chemicals (e.g. sulphides,
 iodides, chelates, activated carbon, precious metals)
 including wet scrubbers, photocatalysts, ultraviolet
 light generators, filters, precipitators, etc.
 - Inadequate conversion of mercury to forms, such as mercuric halides (e.g. mercuric chloride), adsorbable by alkaline solids such as alkaline fly ash or alkali fused acidic fly ash to render it alkaline (e.g. bituminous coal fly ash).

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

10

The present invention relates to the enhanced capture of mercury in coal combustion systems via enhanced conversion of mercury to mercuric halide species adsorbable by alkaline solids such as fly ash, alkali fused acidic ash (e.g. bituminous ash), dry FGD solids such as calcium oxide, calcium hydroxide or calcium carbonate in ESPs, FFs or BHs in the presence or absence of liquids such as wet FGD scrubber solutions. The present invention further relates to producing mercury containing fly ash, suitable for use in cementitious applications, thereby eliminating

undesirable discharge of mercury to landfills from a fly ash source.

According to the invention, there is provided a method

of treating coal combustion flue gas, preferably that
obtained after the "superheater" section of a coal-fired
plant, for example the economizer inlet (Singer, Joseph G.
editor. 1991. Combustion Fossil Power. Combustion
Engineering, Inc. Windsor, Connecticut, page 5-10), with a

source of molecular halogen, such as chlorine gas or a
thermolabile alkali metal hypohalite, for example, calcium
hypochlorite solution, able to convert mercury rapidly to
mercuric chloride, a Lewis acid, easily adsorbable by
alkaline solids such as subbituminous fly ash, lignite fly
sah, alkali fused bituminous fly ash or alkaline dry FGD
solids capturable by ESPs, FFs, BHs alone or ahead of a wet
FGD scrubbing liquor.

Either a molecular halogen such as chlorine gas, and/or bromine gas and/or iodine can be injected, or a molecular halogen precursor such as calcium hypochlorite can be injected into the flue gas. Molecular halogen precursors such as calcium hypochlorite can be used as a whole or partial source of the alkaline solids desirable

for oxidized mercury absorption e.g. a mercuric halide such as mercuric chloride. Molecular halogen precursors containing calcium are particularly desirable in cases where the fly ash or alkali fused fly ash is intended to be used for cementitious applications e.g. in concrete or blended cements.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

10 The invention consists of a method of treating coal combustion flue gas containing mercury, comprising: injecting a molecular halogen and/or a thermolabile molecular halogen into the flue gas to effect oxidation of elemental mercury to a mercuric halide. The method also includes the provision of liquid and/or alkaline solid particles in the flue gas in order to adsorb at least a portion of the mercuric halide. Molecular halogen sources such as chlorine gas, bromine gas or iodine can be used alone or in combination with molecular halogen precursors.

20 Due to cost, chlorine gas is a preferred molecular halogen source.

Non-limiting examples of thermolabile halogen species, able to decompose thermally at flue gas temperatures,

typical of coal-fired power plants, are shown in Table 1

below (value for calcium hypochlorite from Dean, John A.

1992, Lange's Handbook of Chemistry, McGraw-Hill, Inc. (New York), other values from The Merck Index, 1996, Twelfth

Edition, Merck & Co. (New Jersey)):

5

Table 1

Thermolabile Halogen Precursor	Decomposition Temperature °C
Calcium hypochlorite	100
Magnesium bromide	165
Potassium tri-iodide	225

10 hypochlorite Ca(OCl)₂ quantitatively (Urano, Saburo, 1928,

"Studies on Bleaching Powder, VII. The Decomposition of
Calcium Hypochlorite by Heat in the Presence of Calcium
Chloride", Journal of the Society of Chemical Industry of
Japan, Volume 31, pages 46-52). Urano analyzed the

15 products (in solid and gaseous states) after heating

mixtures of calcium hypochlorite and calcium chloride. The
decomposition of calcium hypochlorite in the presence of
sufficient calcium chloride was chiefly according to the
following reaction:

$$Ca(OCl)_2 + CaCl_2 = 2CaO + 2Cl_2$$
 (1) and partly to:

$$Ca(OCl)_2 = CaCl_2 + O_2$$
 (2)

The evolution of chlorine was caused by the mutual reaction of calcium hypochlorite with calcium chloride.

5 Molecular bromine can be generated by thermal decomposition of a dehydrated bromide salt solution in the presence of oxygen, for example magnesium bromide solution. Molecular iodine can be generated by thermal decomposition of a dehydrated iodide containing salt solution such as a potassium tri-iodide solution.

is thermodynamically favoured at temperatures typical of coal combustor flue gas, especially coal combustor flue gas between economizer inlets and ESPs, FGDs, BHs or FFs, as indicated by the negative values for the free energy of formation of mercuric halides from elemental mercury and molecular halogen, in kilojoules/mole as a function of Celsius temperature as shown in Table 2 below (Chase, M.W. et al. 1985, "JANAF Thermochemical Tables", Third Edition, Parts I and II, Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data, American Chemical Society and the American Institute of Physics for the Natural Bureau of Standards, Volume 14, Supplements I and II):

Table 2

	127°C	227°C	327°C	427°C	527°C
Mercuric bromide	-140	-126	-111	-91	-68
Mercuric chloride	-169	-148	-137	-120	-101
Mercuric iodide	-101	-92	-81	-58	-35

The larger the negative free energy of formation, the more likely the reaction is to proceed. From Table 2, it can be seen that the formation of mercuric chloride from elemental mercury and molecular chlorine is particularly favoured between 127 and 527°C, which are typical temperatures for post-superheater coal combustor flue gas zones. This is fortunate, because the costs of molecular chlorine and molecular chlorine precursors are lower than their bromine or iodine counterparts.

The following examples illustrate the flexibility of the current invention and a rational, non-limiting basis for controlling mercury emissions via enhanced conversion of mercury to alkali adsorbable mercuric halide, especially mercuric chloride.

Example 1: Enhancement of Mercury Capture by Subbituminous
Coal Fly Ash

Senior et al have estimated a rate constant k for the Hg° + Cl₂ = HgCl₂ reaction of 1.07 * 10⁻¹⁵ cm³ molecule⁻¹ second⁻¹ at 500°C for an isothermal plug flow reactor (Senior, C.I. et al. 2000, "Gas-Phase Transformations of Mercury in Coal-Fired Power Plants", Fuel Processing Technology, 63:197-213). The room temperature rate for the same reaction is estimated at 4*10⁻¹⁶ cm³ molecule⁻¹ second⁻¹. The activation energy for the reaction was estimated at 3.7 kilojoules/mole.

10

20

Galbreath and Zarlicke describe mercury species derived from combustion of subbituminous Absaloka PRB coal (see Galbreath et al., supra). This coal had 50 \pm 10 ppm chloride and 0.052 \pm 0.005 ppm mercury content with 0.57% sulphur. Absaloka coal combustion flue gas composition was 410 ppmv SO₂, 960 ppmv NO_x and 3 ppmv HCl. Baseline flue gas mercury emissions for this coal in $\mu g/m^3$ were 2.28 Hg°, 1.06 HgX₂ (includes mercuric chloride), 2.26 Hg particulate and 5.53 mercury total. 100 ppmv HCl spiking of Absaloka coal combustion flue gas resulted in 1.21 HgX₂. Senior et al have provided correlations between chloride content of coal, HCl and Cl₂ emissions during coal combustion (Senior et al supra). Typically, 1% of the HCl injected converts

to Cl₂, which means 0.5 ppmv Cl₂ would create 0.15 μ g/m³ HgCl₂. Table 3 below illustrates mercury emissions from subbituminous Absaloka coal (Galbreath, supra). The upper part of the table shows measured mercury emissions derived 5 from combustion of the coal. The bottom part of the table estimates elemental mercury conversion to mercuric chloride using 5 ppmv molecular chlorine injection into flue gas starting at 500°C. Of particular interest is the fact that 40% of the total mercury emission is unoxidized i.e. lo elemental mercury (2.21/5.53=40%) in the absence of the molecular chlorine spike. Reaction rate k is derived from data discussed previously (see Senior et al., supra). Note that elemental mercury is estimated at only 2.9% of the baseline case (0.16/5.53=2.9%). Spiking of the flue gas of 15 this subbituminous coal combustion, even at the low molecular chlorine level of 5 ppmv, should clearly result in substantial conversion of elemental mercury into the highly desirable, easily adsorbable, mercuric chloride Use of a thermolabile molecular chlorine precursor form. 20 such as a solution of calcium hypochlorite and calcium chloride, able to generate alkaline solids such as calcium oxide CaO, will enhance mercury capture in ESPs, FFs and

BHs, for all of the reasons cited previously. Clearly,

this method of mercury oxidation via molecular halogen sources, such as thermolabile calcium hypochlorite/calcium chloride aqueous mixtures, can be adjusted in numerous advantageous ways e.g. by varying (i) droplet size during injection into flue gas, (ii) concentration of thermolabile species, (iii) dosing level, etc. This technique complements current mercury capturing devices having an alkaline subbituminous fly ash, including ESPs, FFs or BHs.

Table 3

Absaloka PRB	Baseline
Hg total µg/m ³	5.53
Hg as HgX ₂ µg/m ³	1.06
Hg as particulate μg/m³	2.26
Hg° μg/m³	2.21
% Hg elemental	40.0%

With Cl ₂ spike		
at 5 ppmv		
5.53		
3.11		
2.26		
0.16		
2.9%		
0.052		

Time seconds	°F	°C	°K	k	Hg ^o initial molecules/cm ³	Cl ₂ initial molecules/cm ³	Hg° reacted molecules/cm³	% Hg° reacted
0.000	1000	538	811	1.09E-15	6.63E+09	1.34E+14		
0.100	964	518	791	1.08E-15	5.66E+09	1.34E+14	9.75E+08	15%
0.200	928	498	771	1.06E-15	4.84E+09	1.34E+14	8.20E+08	27%
0.300	892	478	751	1.05E-15	4.15E+09	1.34E+14	6.91E+08	37%
0.400	85 6	458	731	1.03E-15	3.56E+09	1.34E+14	5.83E+08	46%
0.500	820	438	711	1.01E-15	3.07E+09	1.34E+14	4.93E+08	54%
0.600	784	4 18	6 91	9.95E-16	2.65E+09	1.34E+14	4.18E+08	60%
0.700	748	398	671	9.76E-16	2.30E+09	1.34E+14	3.54E+08	65%
0.800	712	378	651	9.5 6E-1 6	2.00E+09	1.34E+14	3.01E+08	70%
0.900	676	358	631	9.35E-16	1.74E+09	1.34E+14	2.56E+08	74%
1.000	640	338	611	9.14E-16	1.52E+09	1.34E+14	2.19E+08	77%
1.100	604	318	591	8.92E-16	1.33E+09	1.34E+14	1.87E+08	80%
1.200	568	298	571	8.69E-16	1.17E+09	1.34E+14	1.60E+08	82%
1.300	53 2	278	5 51	8.44E-16	1.04E+09	1.34E+14	1.37E+08	84%
1.400	496	258	531	8.19E-16	9.20E+08	1.34E+14	1.18E+08	86%
1.500	460	238	511	7.93E-16	8.18E+08	1.34E+14	1.01E+08	88%
1.600	424	218	491	7.65E-16	7.31E+08	1.34E+14	8.72E+07	89%
1.700	388	198	471	7.36E-16	6.56E+08	1.34E+14	7.52E+07	90%
1.800	352	178	451	7.06E-16	5.91E+08	1.34E+14	6.49E+07	91%
1.900	316	158	431	6.74E-16	5.35E+08	1.34E+14	5.61E+07	92%
2.000	280	138	411	6.41E-16	4.87E+08	1.34E+14	4.85E+07	93%

Example 2: Enhancement of Mercury Capture by a Cementitious Fly Ash Derived from Fusion of Alkali with Bituminous Coal Ash During Combustion

Table 4 below illustrates mercury emissions in lbs/hour as measured after an ESP, in a bituminous coalfired combustor, with and without the addition of lime plus a lime flux as described in United States Patent No. 6,250,235 (Oehr et al.). In this case the "additive" to coal ash ratio ranged from 0.81-0.85. Lime and flux represented 91% and 9% respectively of the additive formula. X-ray diffraction analysis of the fly ash resulting from the use of the "additive" indicated that the fly ash was cementitious and alkaline.

15

Table 4

Average Mercury Emissions by type with and without the Additive in lbs/hour

20

	Particulate	Oxidized	Elemental	Total
Baseline Average	3.15E-05	2.13E-03	3.93E-03	6.28E-03
% Mercury by type	1	34	63	100
Additive/Ash 0.81-0.85	1.55E-05	5.72E-04	2.86E-03	3.45E-03
% Mercury by type	0	17	83	100
% Mercury drop due to Additive	51	73	28	45

The results in the above table indicate two key factors:

• The cementitious alkaline fly ash resulting from the use of the additive is a good sorbent for oxidized

mercury, because oxidized mercury emissions were reduced by (2.13-0.57)/2.13=73% due to use of the additive.

• The flue gas lacked sufficient molecular halogen to effect substantial oxidation of elemental mercury

(i.e. only 28% drop from baseline case).

source, such as calcium hypochlorite/calcium chloride
aerosol or chlorine gas, at an appropriate dose, in the
economizer/ESP section of this combustor, in similar
fashion to Example 1, would effect substantial conversion
of elemental mercury to easily adsorbable mercuric halide
such as mercuric chloride. For instance, a 97.6% reduction
in elemental mercury via its conversion to adsorbable
mercuric chloride, in similar fashion to Example 1, without
a corresponding increase in particulate and oxidized
mercury would result in the following levels of mercury
control vs. the baseline case shown in Table 5 below:

Table 5

Average Mercury Emissions by type with and without the Additive in lbs/hour

	Particulate	Oxidized	Elemental	Total
Baseline Average	3.15E-05	2.13E-03	3.93E-03	6.28E-03
% Mercury by type	1	34	63	100
Additive/Ash 0.81-0.85	1.55E-05	5.72E-04	6.86E-05	6.56E-04
% Mercury by type	2	87	10	100
% Mercury drop due to Additive	51	73	98	90

This 90% reduction in mercury emissions would satisfy EPA requirements without destroying the fly ash recyclability, caused by inappropriate injection of a sorbent such as activated carbon. The value of the method in United States Patent No. 6,250,235 is clearly enhanced via the present invention. The technique described in the current example could also be applied ahead of other solids collection devices such as FFs and BHs.

10

15

Example 3: Enhancement of Mercury Capture from Bituminous

Coal Combustion by FGDs

A Canadian power plant burning high sulphur United States bituminous coal and equipped with a wet FGD reported the following mercury emissions at the ESP outlet and wet FGD outlet in $\mu g/m^3$ shown in Table 6 below:

Table 6

Bituminous Coal	Baseline ESP outlet	Baseline FGD outlet
Hg total µg/m³	5.40	2.00
Hg as HgX ₂ µg/m ³	4.06	0.54
Hg as particulate µg/m ³	0.03	0.01
Hg° μg/m³	1.05	1.45
% Hg removal via FGD		63%
% HgX ₂ removal via FGD		87%

The data indicates that the FGD reduced mercury
emissions 63% versus the ESP alone and reduced oxidized
mercury emissions by 87%. Data indicates that (1.451.05)/4.06 = 10% of oxidized mercury input to the FGD was
reconverted to elemental mercury. Using a similar 5 ppmv
molecular Cl₂ spike in analogous fashion to Example 1 above
results in the following simulation shown in Table 7 below:

Table 7

Bituminous Coal	Simulated ESP outlet	Simulated FGD outlet
Hg total µg/m ³	5.40	1.24
Hg as HgX ₂ µg/m ³	5.03	0.65
Hg as particulate µg/m³	0.03	0.01
Hg ^o µg/m ³	0.08	0.58*
% Hg removal via FGD		77%
% HgX ₂ removal via FGD		87%

*assumes 10% of oxidized mercury input to FGD reconverted to elemental mercury.

If elemental mercury formation inside the FGD is prevented using prior art methods (e.g. Amrhein, Gerald et al.) then emissions are as shown in Table 8 below:

5 Table 8

Bituminous Coal	Simulated ESP outlet	Simulated FGD outlet
Hg total µg/m³	5.40	0.74
Hg as HgX ₂ µg/m ³	5.03	0.65
Hg as particulate μg/m³	0.03	0.01
Hg ^o μg/m ³	0.08	0.08*
% Hg removal via FGD		86%
% HgX ₂ removal via FGD		87%

^{*}assumes 100% inhibition of oxidized mercury conversion to elemental mercury.

10

15

20

Note that the techniques described above might be used in combination to further enhance performance. For instance, the lime+flux ash fusion additive described in Example 2 above could be combined with the FGD system in Example 3 above plus the molecular halogen or thermolabile molecular halogen precursor of the current invention. This particular combination should easily achieve the 90% EPA mercury reduction requirement by trapping oxidized mercury in an alkaline fly ash thereby reducing oxidized mercury release. Alternatively, a small amount of subbituminous or lignite coal also capable of creating alkaline fly ash

could be blended with bituminous coal to increase oxidized mercury capture in the ESP and subsequently reduce elemental mercury discharge from the FGD with or without techniques such as those described in Amrhein, supra.

5

10

The above examples show that it is possible to achieve dramatic mercury emission reduction through appropriate use of conventional installed equipment and techniques in combination with the teachings of the present invention.

The above examples are believed capable of achieving highly desirable conversion of elemental mercury to a mercuric halide, especially mercuric chloride, easily captured by existing pollution control devices including ESPs, BHs, FFs and wet or dry FGDs burning a variety of coals.

15

20

The above techniques can be used alone or supplemented with other techniques to enhance mercury capture in a cost effective way that eliminates undesirable contamination of fly ash with debris (e.g. activated carbon) rendering it unsuitable for recycling in cementitious applications.

Accordingly, while this invention has been described with reference to illustrative embodiments, this description is not intended to be construed in a limiting

sense. Various modifications of the illustrative
embodiments, as well as other embodiments of the invention,
will be apparent to persons skilled in the art upon
reference to this description. It is therefore contemplated
that the appended claims will cover any such modifications
or embodiments as fall within the true scope of the
invention.

I CLAIM:

- 1. A method of treating coal combustion flue gas containing mercury, comprising: injecting one of molecular halogen and a thermolabile molecular halogen into said flue gas to effect oxidation of elemental mercury to a mercuric halide and providing one of a liquid and solid alkaline particles in said flue gas in order to adsorb at least a portion of said mercuric halide.
 - The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein said molecular halogen and/or thermolabile molecular halogen precursor contains chlorine, bromine or iodine.
 - The method as claimed in claim 2, wherein said thermolabile molecular halogen precursor contains a hypohalite.

20

- The method as claimed in claim 3, in which said hypohalite is a hypochlorite.
- 5. The method as claimed in claim 4 in which said hypochlorite is calcium hypochlorite.

- 6. The method as claimed in claim 5 in which the calcium hypochlorite is in aqueous solution.
- 7. The method as claimed in claim 6 in which calcium chloride is a component of the calcium hypochlorite containing solution.

5

- 8. The method as claimed in claim 1 in which the solid alkaline particles are alkaline coal fly ash particles.
- The method as claimed in claim 8 in which the coal fly ash particles are those derived from combustion of subbituminous or lignite coal.
- 10. The method as claimed in claim 1 in which the solid alkaline particles are those derived from the fusion of coal ash with alkali and an alkali flux.
- 20 11. The method as claimed in claim 1 in which the solid alkaline particles are those derived from the decomposition of a thermolabile halogen precursor.
- 12. A method as claimed in claim 1 in which the solid
 alkaline particles are those derived from flue gas
 desulphurization solids.

- 13. The method as claimed in claim 1 in which the resulting treated flue gas containing solid alkaline particles is passed through an electrostatic precipitator.
 - 14. The method as claimed in claim 1 in which the resulting treated flue gas containing solid alkaline particles is passed through a baghouse.
- 15. The method as claimed in claim 1 in which the resulting treated flue gas containing solid alkaline particles is passed through a fabric filter.
- 16. The method as claimed in claim 1 in which the resulting treated flue gas is passed through a flue gas desulphurization system (FGD) containing a liquid.
- 17. The method as claimed in claim 11 in which the alkaline particles contain lime.
 - 18. The method as claimed in claim 1 in which the mercuric halide containing alkaline solids are used as a cementitious product.