

(19) World Intellectual Property  
Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
5 August 2004 (05.08.2004)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 2004/064795 A1**

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **A61K 7/16**

3/a, I-26841 Casalpusterlengo (IT). **RAVIDA, Nunziatino** [IT/IT]; Lever Faberge Italia S.R.L., Via Lever Gibbs 3/a, I-26841 Casalpusterlengo (IT).

(21) International Application Number:  
PCT/EP2004/000145

(22) International Filing Date: 9 January 2004 (09.01.2004)

(74) Agent: **NEWBOULD, Frazer, A.**; Unilever NV, Patent Department, Olivier van Noortlaan 120, NL-3133 AT Vlaardingen (NL).

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:  
03075233.1 22 January 2003 (22.01.2003) EP

(81) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(71) Applicant (*for AL, AM, AT, AZ, BA, BE, BF, BG, BJ, BR, BY, CF, CG, CH, CI, CM, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, FR, GA, GE, GN, GQ, GR, GW, HR, HU, ID, IS, IT, JP, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LR, LT, LU, LV, MA, MC, MD, MG, MK, ML, MR, MX, MZ, NA, NE, NI, NL, NO, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SE, SI, SK, SN, SY, TD, TG, TJ, TM, TN, TR, UA, UZ, VN, YU only*): **UNILEVER N.V.** [NL/NL]; Weena 455, NL-3013 AL Rotterdam (NL).

(84) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available*): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(71) Applicant (*for AE, AG, AU, BB, BW, BZ, CA, CY, EG, GB, GD, GH, GM, IE, IL, KE, LC, LK, LS, MN, MW, NZ, OM, PG, SC, SD, SG, SL, SZ, TT, TZ, UG, VC, ZA, ZM, ZW only*): **UNILEVER PLC** [GB/GB]; UNILEVER HOUSE, Blackfriars, London, Greater London EC4P 4BQ (GB).

**Published:**  
— with international search report  
— before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments

(71) Applicant (*for IN only*): **HINDUSTAN LEVER LIMITED** [IN/IN]; Hindustan Lever House, 165/166 Backbay Reclamation, 400 020\_Mumbai (IN).

(72) Inventors; and  
(75) Inventors/Applicants (*for US only*): **LEFORT, Stephane** [FR/IT]; Lever Faberge Italia S.R.L., Via Lever Gibbs

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

(54) Title: ORAL COMPOSITION

(57) Abstract: An oral composition comprising perlite characterised in that the oral composition comprises chalk.

WO 2004/064795 A1

Oral Composition

The invention provides an oral composition with improved abrasive effect.

5

WO 02/45676 (Hawe Neos) discloses a cleaning agent with an abrasive component for cleaning delicate surfaces especially teeth. This is preferably achieved through the use of components such as glass flakes.

10

EP 0528756 (Hawe Neos) discloses a dental care and cleaning composition which is substantially free from water. This composition comprises a finely divided rock which may be in the form of perlite and 1,2-propanediol and at least one wetting agent for the rock particles. This requires finely divided rock that has been put through an expensive sieving technique to ensure that it is a uniform composition, and that they are small enough to effectively clean while not causing excessive abrasion.

20

US 5124143 (Muhlemann) discloses a dentrifice comprising silicic acid and perlite. This combination provides for unexpectedly high abrasiveness in order to allow for a paste with preventative dental hygiene properties for use by a dental hygienist.

25

US 6355227 (Unilever) discloses an oral composition comprising 0.01 to 0.9% perlite w/w.

30

WO 99/31184 (Crosfield) discloses a liquid product incorporating a material in the form of granules of high

- 2 -

density inorganic particles co-agglomerated with particles having a density lower than water in order to tailor the density of the granules and in order to aid their buoyancy.

5 Despite the presence in the prior art of such oral compositions as outlined above, there is still a need for an oral composition which is capable of providing improved polishing while not increasing the abrasivity, or relative dental abrasion (RDA) of such an oral composition.

10

It has been found that the provision of perlite and chalk together in an oral composition aids in the polishing of teeth. This combination increases the oral composition's ability to remove adherent soiling matter, to free  
15 accessible plaque, to dislodge accessible debris and to remove superficial stain from the teeth which in turn leads to improved mouthfeel and teeth whitening benefits.

According to the present invention there is provided an oral  
20 composition comprising chalk characterised in that the oral composition comprises perlite.

Perlite comprises flat or relatively planar particles which, when ground consist of jagged-edged, eggshell-shaped  
25 fragments which are broken down during their use into smaller fragments.

The physical structure of the perlite means that when the perlite particles are subjected to pressure, for example by  
30 a toothbrush during brushing, their planar structure ensures that they will orientate themselves parallel to the surface

- 3 -

that is being worked on. This effect is enhanced with increasing pressure. The flat particles sliding between the surface and the deposits remove the latter with care and along a broad surface, thus reducing the abrasive effect.

5

Yet this effect is impeded by the creation of spandrels between single fused perlite which do not break down. As they are three-dimensional, the build up of such spandrels can lead to more scratching on the surfaces that they contact, and problematically these do not fall flat under load. Hence this will increase the abrasivity of formulations incorporating such particles.

Surprisingly we have found that perlite can be added to an oral composition comprising chalk, and do not increase the composition's overall abrasivity. This is achieved through a surprising interaction between the perlite and the chalk. In an oral composition the chalk binds to and smoothens any jagged-edges of the perlite spandrels. Consequently the addition of perlite to an oral composition comprising chalk increases the polishing capabilities of that oral composition while not increasing its abrasivity.

Therefore the perlite particles in combination with the chalk particles in the oral composition are far less likely to damage surfaces such as teeth and soft tissue that they contact in the oral cavity. Perlite particles in combination with the chalk particles in the oral composition significantly enhance the cleaning potential of the oral composition so that in combination they provide for improved polishing without causing damage due to excessive abrasion.

- 4 -

The term oral composition is known in the art and encompasses a dentrifice, toothpaste, pastille, gum, lozenge, mouthwash and others.

5 The perlite in the oral composition according to the invention may be any perlite or mixtures of perlite commonly known in the art. It can be crude or non-expanded perlite. Preferably it is expanded perlite which has been quickly heated to above 871°C in order to allow for expansion and  
10 then subsequently cooled.

The perlite preferably has an abrasion value of 125 to 150 using RDA, (J Dent Res 37: 1060-1068, 1958 or J Dent Res 55:563 to 573, 1976.). Preferably the perlite is E 50  
15 perlite available from Worldminerals Italia srl., Alzaia Trento 6, 20094 Corsico, Milano, Italy.

The weight median particle diameter of the perlite can be from 10 µm to 50 µm. Preferably the weight median particle  
20 diameter is from 20 µm to 45 µm. Most preferably the weight median particle diameter of the perlite is from 30 µm to 40 µm.

Preferably 90% by weight of the perlite has a particle  
25 diameter less than 70 µm to 110 µm. Preferably 10% by weight of the perlite has a particle diameter less than 7 µm to 10 µm. The particle size distribution of the perlite is determined using laser light scattering (Malvern 3600E, 300mm Lens).

30

- 5 -

According to another embodiment of the invention there is provided an oral composition whereby the perlite is 0.01% to 20% by weight of the oral composition.

5 The perlite comprises 0.5% to 15% by weight and preferably from 0.7% to 10% by weight of the oral composition. Most preferably the perlite comprises 1% to 5% by weight of the oral composition.

10 The perlite composition preferably contains SiO<sub>2</sub> 70-75% w/w, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 10-15% w/w, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 0.5-1% w/w, Na<sub>2</sub>O 4-5% w/w, K<sub>2</sub>O 7-9 % w/w, CaO 0.2-0.4% w/w, MgO 0.05-1.5% w/w, TiO<sub>2</sub> 0.05-1.5% w/w.

Surprisingly the addition of chalk to an oral composition  
15 comprising perlite reduces the negative impact perlite can have on an oral composition. Perlite comprises a number of different metals which would ordinarily compromise the stability of some of the important ingredients in an oral composition. Ingredients such as sodium monofluorophosphate  
20 which is the fluoride of choice with chalk pastes. Yet surprisingly the stability of the sodium monofluorophosphate is not compromised by the presence of the various metals in the perlite.

25 The oral composition also comprises chalk at from 5% to 60% by weight of the composition, preferably 15% to 55% by weight of the oral composition. More preferably the chalk is 20% to 50% by weight of the oral composition. Most preferably the chalk is 25% to 45% by weight of the oral  
30 composition.

- 6 -

Chalk comprises calcium carbonate, the calcium carbonate in an oral composition leaches carbonate ions. These carbonate ions in turn react with, and as a consequence, mop up metal ions leached from the perlite. Surprisingly this  
5 interaction reduces the negative impact the use of relatively high levels of perlite has on an oral composition.

Chalk is also chemically more reactive than alternative  
10 abrasives that can be used in oral compositions, such as silica. Therefore these properties of chalk prevent the leached metal ions from exerting a negative effect on an oral composition comprising perlite and chalk.

15 According to another embodiment of the invention there is provided an oral composition whereby the chalk is fine ground natural chalk.

Fine ground natural chalk can be obtained from naturally  
20 occurring limestone or marble deposits, which has formed over a period of millions of years before being milled. The milling used may be ball milling followed by sieving followed by a selection of those characteristics which are desired. Fine ground natural chalk may also be modified  
25 chemically or physically by coating during milling or after milling, by heat treatment. Typical coatings include magnesium stearate or oleate. The morphology of the fine ground natural chalk may also be modified by the milling process by using different milling techniques, for example  
30 ball milling, air-classifier milling and spiral jet milling.

- 7 -

By fine ground natural chalk is meant chalk which is obtained by milling limestone or marble deposits and not chalk which has been synthetically precipitated.

5 Fine ground natural chalk is free from hard and soft clumps of chalk which can interfere with the polishing effect of the perlite. Therefore fine ground natural chalk can smooth the jagged-edges of the perlite in a way that is unobtainable with other abrasives such as for example  
10 silica. Surprisingly the use of smaller particles results in less interference with the perlite edges that have the beneficial polishing qualities.

Preferably the supplier of the chalk is Omya and the product  
15 is preferably 5AV. Specifically the product may be Omya 10 micron. Preferably 90% by weight of the chalk has a particle diameter less than 20  $\mu\text{m}$ . Preferably 50% by weight of the perlite has a particle diameter less than 4  $\mu\text{m}$  to 8  
20  $\mu\text{m}$ .

Alternatively the supplier of chalk is Wolkchem and the product is Addon 1015. Preferably 90% by weight of the chalk has a particle diameter less than 20  $\mu\text{m}$ . Preferably  
25 50% by weight of the perlite has a particle diameter less than 4  $\mu\text{m}$  to 8  $\mu\text{m}$ . The BET surface area of the fine ground natural chalk may range from 0.5 to 3  $\text{m}^2/\text{g}$ .

According to another embodiment of the invention there is provided an oral composition as claimed in any preceding  
30 claim whereby the pH of the oral composition is 8 to 14.



- 8 -

In a preferred embodiment the pH of the oral composition is 9 to 13, preferably from 10 to 12. Most preferably the pH of the oral composition is 11.

5 Increasing the pH in an oral composition comprising perlite reduces the leaching of metal ions from the perlite. This means that the negative impact that these ions can have on the oral composition such as reaction with sodium monofluorophosphate, colouration, etc. is reduced.

10

According to another embodiment of the invention there is provided an oral composition as claimed in any preceding claim, which has a relative dental abrasion (RDA) of 140 to 170.

15

The relative dental abrasion is 150 to 160, preferably from 152 to 158. Most preferably the relative dental abrasion is 155.

20 The abrasivity of a toothpaste is measured according to a protocol described in the Journal of Dental Research (1976) 55 (4), 563. This describes how the Relative Dental Abrasion (RDA) is evaluated.

25 According to another embodiment of the invention there is provided an oral composition as claimed in any preceding claim, which has a percentage polish of 60 to 95.

Preferably the percentage polish is 70 to 90. Most  
30 preferably the percentage polish is 75 to 85.

- 9 -

By percentage polish is meant the percentage polishing of each disk. The procedure whereby the percentage polishing of each disk is calculated is discussed in the following paragraphs.

5

Tests to assess the polishing efficacy are known in the academic literature for example J.G. Masters, A Zaccagnino, R. Heu and M. Stranick. *J Dent. Res.* 81 Spec Iss A Abs. 2152 (2002). The polishing test used is conducted on

10 hydroxyapatite (HAP) discs. This material was selected as it has similar properties to enamel and is available in a suitable disc format. Prior to brushing with the test toothpaste, the discs were pre-polished to a set gloss value using abrasive papers. The test assesses the polishing  
15 properties of the paste by measuring the gloss value of the HAP tile following brushing. Gloss measurements are taken using a Sheen Tri-microgloss meter set at 60deg/60deg (incident/measured angle).

20 Four HAP discs were used per product tested and each tile was brushed on the WIRA brushing machine (Goodbrand Jeffrys Mark III abrasion tester), using Lissajous figures. A weight of 375g was applied to each disc, brushing speed used was 150rpm, and a standard medium head toothbrush was used.  
25 Gloss measurements were taken at 1000, 5000, 10,000 and 15,000 strokes. Four measurements were taken per tile at each time point, using a template so that the measurements were taken at the same point each time.

30 Toothpaste slurry was made up for each product tested as follows, with 1 part paste, 1 part distilled water

- 10 -

(Millipore water) and 1 part SCMC diluent. Whereby the SCMC diluent contained 5% Glycerol, 0.5% SCMC 7m, 0.01% Formalin, and 94.49% Millipore water.

- 5 The slurry was mixed using the heidolph end over mixer for 30 minutes ensuring that all paste was thoroughly mixed before use in the study.

The percentage polishing for each disk was calculated by  
10 dividing the average gloss value for the disk after brushing by the average baseline gloss value for the same disk and multiplying by 100. This then results in the figures as detailed in Experiment 2 or the percentage polish. The results are detailed in Experiment 2 as polishing  
15 percentages per disk. Statistical analysis was done using Excel software, and showed significant differences using Student's t-test to  $p < 0.05$ .

According to another aspect of the invention there is  
20 provided the use of perlite in an oral composition as claimed in any preceding claim for polishing teeth.

The oral composition according to the invention comprise  
25 further ingredients which are common in the art, such as:

antimicrobial agents, e.g. Triclosan, chlorhexidine, copper-, zinc- and stannous salts such as zinc citrate, zinc sulphate, zinc glycinate, sodium zinc citrate and stannous pyrophosphate, sanguinarine extract, metronidazole,  
30 quaternary ammonium compounds, such as cetylpyridinium chloride; bis-guanides, such as chlorhexidine digluconate,

- 11 -

hexetidine, octenidine, alexidine; and halogenated bisphenolic compounds, such as 2,2' methylenebis-(4-chloro-6-bromophenol);

5 anti-inflammatory agents such as ibuprofen, flurbiprofen, aspirin, indomethacin etc.;

anti-carries agents such as sodium- and stannous fluoride, aminefluorides, sodium monofluorophosphate, sodium trimeta  
10 phosphate and casein;

plaque buffers such as urea, calcium lactate, calcium glycerophosphate and strontium polyacrylates;

15 vitamins such as Vitamins A, C and E;

plant extracts;

desensitising agents, e.g. potassium citrate, potassium  
20 chloride, potassium tartrate, potassium bicarbonate, potassium oxalate, potassium nitrate and strontium salts;

anti-calculus agents, e.g. alkali-metal pyrophosphates, hypophosphite-containing polymers, organic phosphonates and  
25 phosphocitrates etc.;

biomolecules, e.g. bacteriocins, antibodies, enzymes, etc.;

flavours, e.g. peppermint and spearmint oils;  
30

proteinaceous materials such as keratin and collagen;

- 12 -

preservatives;

opacifying agents;

5 colouring agents;

pH-adjusting agents;

sweetening agents;

10

pharmaceutically acceptable carriers, e.g. starch, sucrose, water or water/alcohol systems etc.;

15 surfactants, such as anionic, nonionic, cationic and zwitterionic or amphoteric surfactants;

particulate abrasive materials such as silicas, aluminas, dicalciumphosphates, dicalciumphosphate dihydrates, calcium pyrophosphates, hydroxyapatites, trimetaphosphates, 20 insoluble hexametaphosphates and so on, including agglomerated particulate abrasive materials, usually in amounts between 3 and 60% by weight of the oral care composition.

25 humectants such as glycerol, sorbitol, propyleneglycol, xylitol, lactitol etc, the sorbitol may be present at levels up to 50% by weight of the oral composition;

binders and thickeners such as sodium carboxymethyl- 30 cellulose, xanthan gum, gum arabic etc. as well as synthetic

- 13 -

polymers such as polyacrylates and carboxyvinyl polymers such as Carbopol®;

polymeric compounds which can enhance the delivery of active ingredients such as antimicrobial agents can also be included. Examples of such polymers are copolymers of polyvinylmethylether with maleic anhydride and other similar delivery enhancing polymers, e.g. those described in DE-A-3,942,643 (Colgate);

10

buffers and salts to buffer the pH and ionic strength of the oral care composition; and

other optional ingredients that may be included are e.g. bleaching agents such as peroxy compounds e.g. potassium peroxydiphosphate, effervescing systems such as sodium bicarbonate/citric acid systems, colour change systems, antioxidants for example alpha lipoic acid and so on.

20 Liposomes may also be used to improve delivery or stability of active ingredients.

The invention will now be detailed with reference to the following non-limiting experiments.

25

Experiment 1

The following oral composition is an example of the present invention.

5

<u>Ingredients</u>	<u>Percentage of Total Weight</u>
Chalk	40
Thickening Silica	3
SLS	2.6
Perlite	0.7
Sodium mono-fluoro phosphate	0.76
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.5
Formalin	0.1
Flavour	1.1
Saccharine	0.23
Water	Up to 100

Experiment 2Relative Dental Abrasion (RDA)

10

<u>Ingredients</u>	<u>RDA</u>
Fine Ground Natural Chalk 40% of total weight	150
Fine ground natural chalk 40% of total weight plus perlite 0.7% of total weight	155

- 15 -

Polishing

Ingredients	Polishing Percentage per Disk
Fine Ground Natural Chalk 40% of total weight	49.5
Fine Ground natural chalk 40% of total weight plus perlite 0.7% of total weight	83.4

5 Fine ground natural chalk in an oral composition has a  
specific relative dental abrasion. This is not  
significantly increased when perlite is added to the fine  
ground natural chalk. Yet the combination of fine ground  
natural chalk and perlite provides for significantly  
10 enhanced polishing. These results demonstrate that the  
combination of fine ground natural chalk with perlite  
provides for improved polishing while not increasing the  
relative dental abrasion.



Claims

1. An oral composition comprising chalk characterised in that the oral composition comprises perlite.  
5
2. An oral composition as claimed in claim 1, whereby the perlite is 0.01% to 20% by weight of the oral composition.
- 10 3. An oral composition as claimed in any preceding claim, whereby the chalk is 5% to 60% by weight of the oral composition.
4. An oral composition as claimed in any preceding claim,  
15 whereby the chalk is fine ground natural chalk.
5. An oral composition as claimed in any preceding claim, whereby the pH of the oral composition is 8 to 14.
- 20 6. An oral composition as claimed in any preceding claim, which has a Relative Dental Abrasion of 140 to 170.
7. An oral composition as claimed in any preceding claim, which has a 'percentage polish' of 60 to 95.  
25
8. Use of perlite in an oral composition as claimed in any preceding claim for polishing teeth.  
30

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

International Application No  
PCT/EP2004/000145

<b>A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b> IPC 7 A61K7/16				
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC				
<b>B. FIELDS SEARCHED</b>				
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 A61K				
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched				
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ				
<b>C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT</b>				
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
X	US 5 976 506 A (P. VERNON) 2 November 1999 (1999-11-02) * Example 5, agglomerate 3 * column 6, line 20 - line 22 column 2, line 60 - column 3, line 2	1, 3, 5-8		
X	US 6 355 227 B1 (I. DI-DRUSCO ET AL.) 12 March 2002 (2002-03-12) column 1, line 19 - line 20 column 2, line 29 - line 30 column 3, line 10 - line 11; claims; examples	1, 2, 5-8		
X	US 5 597 553 A (G. BAFFELLI ET AL.) 28 January 1997 (1997-01-28) column 3, line 39 - line 40; claims ----- -/--	1, 2, 5-8		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Patent family members are listed in annex.				
° Special categories of cited documents :				
<table style="width:100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width:50%; border: none; vertical-align: top;">                     *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance                      *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date                      *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)                      *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means                      *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed                 </td> <td style="width:50%; border: none; vertical-align: top;">                     *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention                      *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone                      *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.                      *&amp;* document member of the same patent family                 </td> </tr> </table>			*A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. *&* document member of the same patent family
*A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. *&* document member of the same patent family			
Date of the actual completion of the international search  <p align="center">7 June 2004</p>		Date of mailing of the international search report  <p align="center">16/06/2004</p>		
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016		Authorized officer  <p align="center">Boeker, R</p>		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No  
PCT/EP2004/000145

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 6 432 387 B1 (K. KAIZUKA) 13 August 2002 (2002-08-13) claims	1-8
A	EP 1 051 962 A (WEISSENFLUH HAWE NEOS) 15 November 2000 (2000-11-15) page 3, line 31 - line 32; claims	1-8

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No  
PCT/EP2004/000145

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5976506	A	02-11-1999	AU 692609 B2 11-06-1998
			AU 3650895 A 09-04-1996
			BR 9508844 A 04-05-1999
			CA 2199027 A1 28-03-1996
			CZ 9700853 A3 15-10-1997
			DE 69518331 D1 14-09-2000
			DE 69518331 T2 14-12-2000
			WO 9609034 A1 28-03-1996
			EP 0782438 A1 09-07-1997
			ES 2150584 T3 01-12-2000
			HU 0002216 A2 28-12-2000
			JP 3001114 B2 24-01-2000
			JP 10506885 T 07-07-1998
			KR 249441 B1 01-04-2000
			PL 319355 A1 04-08-1997
			RU 2139034 C1 10-10-1999
			SK 35497 A3 06-08-1997
ZA 9507980 A 24-03-1997			
US 6355227	B1	12-03-2002	AT 232081 T 15-02-2003
			AU 4925200 A 28-12-2000
			BR 0011261 A 19-03-2002
			CN 1353597 T 12-06-2002
			DE 60001366 D1 13-03-2003
			DE 60001366 T2 03-07-2003
			WO 0074638 A1 14-12-2000
			EP 1183006 A1 06-03-2002
			ES 2191625 T3 16-09-2003
			HU 0201605 A2 28-09-2002
			PL 352104 A1 28-07-2003
			ZA 200108728 A 23-10-2002
			US 5597553
BR 9403529 A 15-06-1999			
CA 2132014 A1 15-07-1994			
WO 9415577 A1 21-07-1994			
DE 59408102 D1 20-05-1999			
DK 630227 T3 25-10-1999			
EP 0630227 A1 28-12-1994			
ES 2131664 T3 01-08-1999			
JP 7504688 T 25-05-1995			
US 6432387	B1	13-08-2002	NONE
EP 1051962	A	15-11-2000	EP 1050293 A1 08-11-2000
			EP 1051962 A1 15-11-2000
			US 6576225 B1 10-06-2003