

INVENTOR RICHARD L. HANDY

 $b\gamma$ Dawson, Tilton, Fallon and Lungmus

1

3,610,035
SYSTEM FOR DETERMINING SHEAR STRENGTH
OF SOIL INCLUDING EXPANDABLE PROBE OF SOL INCLUDING EXPANDABLE PROBE Richard L. Handy, Des Moines, Iowa, assignor to Iowa State University Research Foundation, Inc., Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa Filed Dec. 29, 1969, Ser. No. 888,734
Int. CI. G01n 3/24
73—101 6 Claims U.S. Cl. 73-101 5

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

A probe having elongated, separable side pressure
plates is inserted into the soil under test, separating the
soil as it penetrates. After the probe is inserted, a lateral ¹⁵
force is applied to the pressure plates to co contact pressure. The exterior surfaces of the pressure plates are provided with metal barbs or teeth to cause shearing within the soil as the probe is removed under 20 force. As the probe is removed, measurements are recorded of the resisting force required for shearing and the displacement of the probe. The segments of the pres sure plates extending above the surface of the soil relax 25 so that the entire lateral force causing expansion of the plates is exerted on that section which is embedded in the soil. The probe is pulled out in increments, thus. decreasing the area of the pressure plates in contact with the soil. However, the lateral pressure exerted on the soil increases correspondingly—thus forming a new shear plane and allowing independent determination of the cohesion and internal friction of the soil without having to form a bore hole.

BACKGROUND

The present invention relates to measuring shear strength of loose or sandy soil; more particularly, it re lates to in situ determination of shear strength of Such 40 soil.

The determination of the soil parameters of cohesion and internal friction is essential for the application of classical soil mechanics theory to construction and stability problems.

45

Two distinct approaches have been developed for meas uring soil shear strength. One of these approaches encom passes triaxial and direct shear tests conducted in a labor-
atory on undisturbed samples of soft material, and is a difficult task. Conventional recovery apparatus includes 50 piston samplers and freezing chambers.

One commonly used method of obtaining a sample is
by pushing a thin-wall cylindrical tube called a Shelby by pushing a thin-wall cylindrical tube called a Shelby tube into the soil. Several types of laboratory tests may be performed, in which the soil is subjected to a pressure and then a load is applied to cause shearing.

In a known direct shear test, a short cylinder of the undisturbed sample is loaded axially with a vertical load, and sheared on a plane normal to the load in a shear slide laterally with respect to the bottom half; and the necessary force to effect shear is measured. box. That is, the top half of the shear box is made to 60

A more accurate laboratory test is the triaxial test in which a cylinder of soil is sealed in a flexible membrane and confined laterally with fluid pressure. A vertical load 65 is applied until the sample fails or breaks. In this test, the orientation of the shear plane must be determined, and cohesion and internal friction must be calculated us ing Mohr theory.

In either of these cases of laboratory testing, normal 70 procedure requires taking two or three samples and de termining shear strength at different values of confining

pressure in order to define the Coulomb relationship from

Normally, these laboratory tests may take at least two to three days before results are achieved, and they are relatively expensive. In situ shearing tests have the advantage that the results may be determined almost im mediately, further, the cost per test is reduced.

10 loose soil raises additional problems. Obtaining sub-aque In addition to the problems in obtaining samples of firm soil, the recovery of undisturbed samples of soft or ous samples is still more difficult because the original sedi ment is in a high pressure environment; and the difference
in elastic rebound between the sample and the recovery apparatus will cause disturbances in the recovered sample. Further, gases dissolved in the sample will escape from solution and expand and thus further disturb the sample. The sample could, of course, be enclosed within a pressure vessel; but this unduly complicates the recovery equipment as well as the laboratory tests on the sample.
Thus, in testing soft soil or subaqueous sediment, it is highly desirable to conduct the tests in situ.

Two commonly used types of in situ testing include probing and vane shear. Heretofore, most in situ testing methods have had the disadvantage that cohesion and internal friction were not capable of being separately measured.

30 base for reaction. It cannot be applied through a cable In the vane shear test, a bladed vane is pushed into sediment and a torque is applied to cause circumferential shearing. However, application of a torque requires a without some type of laterally disposed anchor. Although
in subaqueous applications a limited torque might be ap-
plied through the use of a large-diameter vane "messenger" and a maximum resisting torque could be re-35 corded by instrumentation, the vane shear test suffers from another limitation-namely, that it principally measures cohesion. Attempts have been made to measure inter nal friction angle, but these have had limited success.

proach is to register maximum depth of penetration for a given insertion force. If the test is rapid, for example by using an impact force, the penetration resistance is a function of viscosity, pore-water pressure, and inertia effects-all of which combine to resist penetration. Thus, the resistance force due to cohesion and internal friction tend to be obscured and is not capable of being separated from the combined resistance force.

55 The penetration resistance test yields an empirical measurement of soil-bearing capacity, and the exact rela tionship depends upon the kind of soil. That is, a standard penetration test blow count of 40 in a clay indicates a substantially different bearing capacity than a count of 40 in sand. The reason for this is that the penetration test results are influenced by both cohesion and internal friction.

If an inserted probe is pulled, a value may be determined for skin friction on the probe; however, there is generally no determination on the lateral pressure of the soil on the probe; and, hence, the internal friction of the soil cannot be determined.

U.S. Pat. No. 3,427,871 of Handy and Fox entitled Bore-Hole Soil Testing Apparatus discloses apparatus for The apparatus of that application includes a device which is lowered into a preformed bore-hole, and the device includes two pressure surfaces which are movable relative to each other for engaging opposite sides of the bore-hole wall in response to a pressurized fluid being transmitted to cylinder and piston rod units within the device. The piston end of the unit is connected to one pressure sur face, and the cylinder end is connected to the other pres sure surface.

 $\frac{3}{3}$
With a constant radial pressure exerted on the pressure legs surfaces, a shearing force is applied by pulling the device axially of the bore-hole. After a first shearing force is measured, the hydraulic pressure extending the pressure surfaces is increased so that a second shearing force may surfaces is increased so that a second shearing force may $5₅$ be applied and measured. The data points relating shearing stress at failure to the normal pressure on the shear plane (that is, the pressure exerted by the hydraulic fluid) defines the classical Coulomb relationship from which cohesion and internal friction may be determined, as O described in that patent.

SUMMARY

The present invention contemplates inserting an elon gated probe into soft or sandy soil or subaqueous sedi ment. The probe includes separable pressure plates which are inserted in retracted position and which separate the soil as the probe is inserted. After the probe has been inserted, a force is transmitted to the pressure plates moving them laterally apart thereby compressing and consolidating soil contacted by the pressure plates of the probe with a lateral contact pressure. 20

A pulling force is exerted on the probe to remove it. The pressure plates are provided with metal barbs or teeth to cause shearing within the sediment as the probe is removed. The maximum force required to remove the probe a given increment (i.e. to cause shearing) is recorded. As one alternative of the invention, the segments of the pressure plates which extend above the soil surface relax so that the entire expansion force is exerted on the 30 segment of the probe buried beneath the soil. In other words, as the probe is retracted incrementally, the net force expanding the pressure plates remains constant whereas the area of the pressure plates decreases thereby whereas the area of the pressure plates decreases thereby increasing the expansion pressure on the plates and com- 35 pressing the soil to form a new shear plane. Cohesion and internal friction of the sediment may 25

be obtained from the record of the exerted retraction force, as explained in more detail herein.

tical method of determining in situ soil shear strength in soft soil while allowing independent determination of cohesion and internal friction, which have been a major shortcoming of prior testing methods. Thus, the present invention provides a simple and prac- 40

Further, the present invention greatly facilitates the in situ determination of soil shear strength by obviating the need for drilling a bore-hole (which, of course, is difficult to do in most sandy soil or soft sediments beneath water). Further, one set of recorded data points, as Will be clear from the detailed description below, yields suffi cient information to define different strata that exist be bore-holes, a problem would be encountered in relocating the hole in order to insert a device. 45 50

Other features and advantages of the instant invention will be apparent to persons skilled in the art from the following detailed description of a preferred embodiment accompanied by the attached drawings. 55

THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a partially schematic view of a system used 60 for the practice of the present invention;

FIGS. 2 and 3 are vertical and horizontal cross section views of the probe of FIG. 1 in a closed position;

FIGS. 4 and 5 are vertical and horizontal cross section views of the probe of FIG. 1 in an open position; and 65

FEGS. 6-9 are shear diagrams of resultant measure ments illustrating the determination of cohesion and internal friction in typical soils according to the present invention.

DETALED DESCRIPTION

70

Turning now to FIG. 1, the illustrated embodiment comprises two principal components-tripod supporting means, generally designated 10 and including legs 10a, and the penetrating probe generally designated 11. The 75 16 and 17. Thus, the side pressure plates 16 and 17 are

legs 10a are supported on a base plate 10b adapted to be placed on the surface of the soil to be treated and defining a central aperture 10c through which the probe 11 is urged to penetrate the soil.
Secured to the top of the legs $10a$ there is a cylindrical

15 of the depth to which the probe is designed to penetrate. member 10d. Transverse plates 10 e and 10 f are secured to the cylindrical member 10d to define a cylindrical cavity $10g$ which receives a piston $10h$ to which is attached a rod 10*i*. An O ring 10*j* seals the periphery of the piston 10*h* with the interior surface of the cylinder wall 10*d*; and the piston is adapted for reciprocation therein. The chamber-defining wall 10*d* and rod 10*i* form a hydraulic cylinder unit which has a stroke approximately equal the length of the probe 11, or at least Fluid (preferably oil) under pressure is forced into the cylinder cavity $10g$ through a conduit $10k$ from a source including an oil pump and means for measuring the volume of the pump, schematicaly designated by the block 10L. Fluid forced from the pump through conduit $10k$ will force the piston head $10h$ and its associated rod 10*i* downwardly. Rod 10*i* is received in suitable apertures in the transverse plates $10e$ and $10f$ and it reciprocates through these plates as the piston moves. The volume of the fluid is measured and recorded by any suitable means, but preferably, by a strip chart recorder 10n. A return conduit $10m$ communicates the lower section of the cylinder with the suction port of the pump to re turn the liquid to the pump when the piston is lowered. If the pump is reversed, conduit $10m$ becomes the feed conduit and $10k$ the return conduit. The hydraulic unit

is thus a double-acting cylinder and piston rod unit.
The probe 11 is attached to the lower end of the rod 10 i by means of a threaded connection with a second cylinder-defining member 19 having generally inverted cup shape. The rod $10i$ is hollow to provide a conduit which communicates with the interior of the member 19. The upper edge of rod $10i$ is connected by means of a flexible conduit $12a$ to a second pump mechanism schematically designated $12b$ and provided with a conventional pressure release valve (not shown) to insure that the pressure of the oil in conduit $10i$ remains constant.

Turning now to the friction 11, it includes first and second pressure side plates 16 and 17 which are elongated vertically and which provide the lateral contacting surfaces which engage the soil under tests and exert a lateral pressure thereon.

The pressure side plates 16 and 17 are provided at their lowermost ends with tapered shoe portions $16a$ and $17a$ respectively for facilitating penetration of the soil under test in a stabbing or piercing action in which the soil is separated and consolidated laterally-as distinguished from compressing or compacting the lowermost soil in a vertical direction, as would be the case with a blunt end or cylindrically-shaped probe.

As seen slightly exaggerated in FIG. 1 for purposes of illustration, when the side pressure plates 16 and 17 which are embedded in the soil, they are closed as seen in solid. But the side pressure plates are opened (chain line) when the probe is drawn above the level of the soil. Further, the side pressure plates 16 and 17 are laterally flexible so that when the lateral restraining force caused by the soil
is released, the entire force causing expansion of these side pressure plates is transmitted only to that portion of the probe buried in the soil. Thus, as the probe is withdrawn, the pressure plates will separate for that portion
which is above the soil. The plates 16 and 17 are provided with barbs $16b$ and $17b$ respectively for engaging
the soil.
Referring now to FIGS. 2 and 3, the s

16 and 17 are attached adjacent to the lower open edge of the cup member 19 by means of horizontal rods 18 secured to the interior sides of that member and extending through receiving apertures in the side pressure plates $\overline{5}$

free to slide along the rods 18; however, when the mem ber 19 is raised or lowered, the side pressure plates 16 and 17 are raised or lowered with it. As best seen in FIGS. 3 and 5, the cross section of the plates 16 and 17 has a crescent shape to maximize the contact area while mini mizing the volume of soil displaced upon insertion.

The second pneumatic cylinder and piston rod unit 19 includes a housing 19a which defines a piston chamber 19b which sealingly receives a piston 19c. To the lower end of the piston 19c there is secured a piston rod 19d extending centrally of and downward into the probe 11 . 10 The lower portion of the piston rod $19d$ is connected to the upper portion of a ladder 21 to move the same downwardly when oil under pressure is forced into the piston chamber 19b via input conduits 10i from the source of constant pressure oil 12b. 15

The ladder 21 acts as a force-transmission member; and it extends in a plane which is parallel to the direction of lateral expansion of the side plates 16 and 17. A top rung on the ladder 21 is designated by reference numeral 22; and mounted beneath the rung 22 and extending perpendicular to the plane of the page of FIG. 2 is an arm 23 having a wedge-shaped cross section providing inclined bearing surfaces $23a$ and $23b$. As seen more clearly in FIG. 5, the wedge-shaped arm 23 extends beyond the transverse width of the ladder 21. A first shoulder member 25 25 is secured to the concave surface of side plate 15, and it defines a vertical central aperture 26 for receiving the the ladder 21. First and second rollers 28 and 29 are journalled in the shoulder member 25 for engaging the bearing surface 23b of the arm 23 on each side of ladder 30 21. 20

A second shoulder member 30 similar to the shoulder 25, is attached to the interior surface of the side plate 25, is attached to the interior surface of the side plate 16; and it too, defines a center aperture 31 for receiving the ladder 21 and first and second rollers 32 and 33 for 35 engaging the bearing surface $23a$ of the arm 23 on each

side of the ladder 21.
First and second end plates identified in FIG. 3 by reference numerals 35 and 36 are coupled to the sides of the shoulders 25 and 30 by means of horizontally-elongated slots (see reference numerals 37 in FIG. 2) for receiving studs 39 thereby permitting lateral expansion of the side plates 16 and 17 while defining a limit to this lateral expansion FIG. 5 shows the side plates opened and 40

the limiting function of slots 37.
It will be appreciated that as the ladder 21 is forced downward, the transverse wedge-shaped arm 23 will be forced between the associated pair of rollers mounted within the shoulders 25 and 30 and thereby force the pres tions. As schematically illustrated in FIG. \hat{I} , there are a number of these same arrangements of transverse wedgeshaped arms (23) engaging rollers within supporting shoulders $(25 \text{ and } 30)$ attached to the side plates 16 and 17 and spaced vertically along the probe 11. within the shoulders zo and ou and thereby force the pres-
sure plates 16 and 17 from each other in opposite direc- 50^{+10}_{-10} reversed to force oil into line 10m to withdraw 55

Since the ladder 21 is a rigid force-transmitting men ber, it will urge each of the shoulder-pairs apart (see FIG. 4) if a constant distributed restraining force is exerted on the sides of the probe; however, if the restraining force is greater along one section of the probe (as in the case 60 when a portion of the probe embedded in soil) the flex-ibility of the side plates 16 and 17 will cause a lateral yielding of the probe at the portion not embedded in soil; and hence, the full expansion force will be applied to the position of the pressure plates which is embedded in the 65 soil. In practice, when pressurized gas expands the piston and cylinder unit 19, that portion of the probe 11 which is embedded in the soil will have a constant force (determined by the regulated pressure of the $CO₂$ in chamber 19b) forcing the pressure plates in lateral expansion. The corresponding pressure exerted by the plates on the sedi ment, of course, is a function of the contacting surface area which depends on the depth to which the probe pene trates. Further, as the probe is retracted, it will be noted 75 tinue until built-up pressures in the line to cylinder 10g 70

 $\frac{6}{1}$ that the normal pressure will increase since the expansion force remains a constant and the total contacting area of the pressure plates decreases.

OPERATION

With the above-described apparatus, the present inven tion contemplates embedding the probe 10 in soil so that it penetrates and separates the soil as it penetrates. Al though the illustrated embodiment is designed for tests to be taken on land, it will be appreciated by persons skilled in the art that the method presently to be described is equally suitable for subaqueous measurements. The main features of the disclosed apparatus will also be suitable for an underwater environment, and in such case it is contemplated that the probe would be provided with a cylindrical shroud to stabilize it during descent to insure a perpendicular insertion relative to the horizontal. Fur ther, a line would be secured to the top of the rod $10i$ for recovery of the apparatus.

 45 of course). After the bottom plate $10b$ of the tripod support is resting on the soil to be tested, the pump of means 10L is energized to force oil into line $10k$ to force piston $10h$ downward to insert the probe. During insertion, the depth of penetration is determined by the volume of oil pumped
by the means $10L$, knowing the volume of line $10k$ and
cylinder $10g$. The cylinder and piston rod unit 19 is then actuated to exert a predetermined force on the ladder 21. As the ladder 21 is forced downwardly, the wedge-shaped members 23 will bear against their associated rollers 28 , 29, 32 and 33, to urge the pressure plates 16 and 17 laterally apart. Thus, the downward force of the piston rod $19d$ is transmitted into a lateral force to urge the pressure plates apart and to compact the soil adjacent their outer surfaces. Since there are a number of these structures spaced along the direction of elongation of the probe 11, the two pressure plates will be urged apart in substantially
parallel relationship, and those portions of the pressure
plates which are retracted from the soil will not be re-
strained laterally by the soil so they will re cylinder 19 will be transmitted as a lateral pressure onto
the compacted soil as long as the probe is even partially
embedded in the soil (until the very tip alone is embedded,

The lateral pressure may be calculated by observing
the penetration depth and knowing the contact area of
the pressure plates. Next the pressure in the inserting hy-
draulic cylinder and piston rod unit is released, and th the probe. This causes pressure in the cylinder $10g$ to be built up to a point sufficient to cause shearing of the soil. The pressure in the line $10m$ is recorded on the recorder $10n$ in relation with the volume measurement of means 10L. Vertical displacement or movement of the probe is monitored by knowledge of the volume of oil forced from the time the pump is energized and the constant rate at which it pumps. The use of a constant rate pump produces a linear strain rate. Thus, strain is noted directly on the strip recorder 10L since pressure in line 10m is

directly related.
The metal teeth or barbs 16b and 17b cause shearing within the sediment as the probe 10 is removed. Further, water pore pressure is dissipated (through the use of porous pressure plates 16 and 17, if necessary) sufficiently to allow consolidation and formation of a dense layer of soil on the pressure plates. As the probe 11 is withdrawn, the force generated by the hydraulic unit 19 causing lateral expansion of the pressure plates 16 and 17 (by means of the transverse wedge-shaped arms 23 connected to the rungs of the ladder 21 and bearing against shoulders fas tened to the pressure plates) is transmitted solely to that portion of the probe which is buried beneath the soil.

It will be noted that once shear has started it will con

are dissipated, after which shear will cease until pressures have built up sufficiently to re-initiate movement. Hence, the retraction of the probe 11 is intermittent (or incremental) rather than a constant, steady withdrawal. Thus, a shearing occurs and then the probe is halted whereupon $\overline{5}$ the portion of the side plates 16 and 17 emerging from the soil expand so that the entire expansion force is trans mitted to the portion of the side plates beneath the soil thereby increasing the pressure exerted by the probe pressure plates on the sediment in forming a new shear 10 plane.

THEORY OF OPERATION

The fundamental equation for determining the shear strength of soil, as derived by Coulomb, is as follows: 15

$$
S = c + (N - u) \tan \phi
$$

where

S is the shearing strength,

c is cohesion (or cohesion shearing strength),

 u is the pore water pressure, and

tangent ϕ is the coefficient of internal friction.

S, c, and N all have units of force per unit area, such as pounds per square inch. The term c represents the previously-described cohesion, and tan ϕ is the internal friction. 25

On land, the above equation forms the basis for calcu lations of parameters such as stability of slopes, founda tion bearing capacity, bearing capacity of piles, etc. In tions of slope stability, pile bearing capacity and depth of sinking and force for retrieval of objects on the bottom. In order to perform such calculations, three soil param eters must be known-cohesion, internal friction and if the test loading conditions approximate those anticipated in the field, as in the case of in situ testing. The shear strength of soft soil and bottom sediments is of direct engineering importance for founding stable marine cables structures, retrieving objects, etc. 30 pore water pressure. Pore water pressure is often ignored 35 40

In an ideal clay, pore water pressures dissipate so slowly there is no apparent internal friction. That is, u equals N in the equation. Hence, the force resisting shear is directly proportional to the contact area regardless of the applied normal pressure N. An idealized plot of the 45 contact area A vs. resisting force F, for this case is illus trated in FIG. 6. It will be appreciated that the contact area of the probe is directly related to the depth of the is incomplete, the maximum contact area A_0 , may be ob-50 tained from an inspection of probe side plates, or there may be an accessory provided with the probe for marking the top level of the sediment. Data points 41 are plotted on the graph, with the initial data point $41a$ being obtained with knowledge of the maximum depth of penetration of the probe, and subsequent data points being obtained from a knowledge of the amount of probe move ment between two successive applications of peak re sisting force, for example, between the data points $41a$ and 41b in FIG. 6. It will be observed that the line de-
fined by the data points 41, 41a, and 41b is a straight 60 line passing through the origin and defining an angle, τ , with the ordinant. The cohesion is equal to the maximum resisting force F_c divided by the initial contact area A_0 . Hence, tan $\tau = c$ (cohesion). 65

An idealized shear diagram for the case in which the sediment is sand is illustrated in FIG. 7. In this case, the cohesion is zero; and, as the device is retracted, the re duction in contact area will be compensated by an increase in the normal pressure, and the total shearing resistance remains constant, as illustrated by the vertical straight line 43 defined by the data points $43a$. 70

In the case of a sand, since cohesion equals zero, the total restraining force is equal to the normal force times friction equals the normal force divided by the lateral expansion force W, supplied by the pneumatic unit 19 and a source of regulated pressure for the apparatus shown. shown. \blacksquare

In FIG. 8, the data points 45 define a line 46 which does not pass through the origin and which is not verti cal. Hence, the total restraining force is composed partly of a friction force and partly of a cohesion force, that is to say, the sediment is a sand-clay. The component of the total resisting force caused by cohesion is indicated F. in FIG. 8; and that component of the total force which is caused by internal friction is designated F_e . These values are obtained by extending line 46 until it intersects with the abscissa, and then constructing a vertical line 47 to intersect the horizontal line 48 representative of the total resisting force. The cohesion is then:

and internal friction $c=F_{c}/A_{0}$

tan $\phi = F_{\phi}/W$

EXAMPLE

FIG. 9 illustrates an idealized shear diagram for the case of a layered sediment wherein the upper layer is denoted layer 1 and the lower layer as layer 2. In the example, it is assumed that the initial contact area is 50 sq. in. per foot of probe length; and the expansion force W, is 200 pounds. The data points 49 define a line 50, the tangent of which is F_{c1}/A_0 . The initial contact area is the depth of the probe times the contact area per unit length of the probe.

It will be observed that the shear diagram of FIG. 9 is divided into the top layer and the lower layer, but the inventive concept need not be limited to two layers. It will be appreciated that the beginning of each successive layer is marked by its own shear diagram defined by a point of maximum contact area and its maximum re straining force. The two points illustrating this in FIG. 9 are denoted 51 and 52. To complete the calculation, tan $\varphi_2 = r_{\varphi_2} / 200 = 130 / 200$; hence $\varphi = 33^\circ$. The cohesion for the lower layer, $160/(12)(50)=0.3$ p.s.i.

For the top layer, the internal friction is zero since the line 52 defined by the data points 53 passes through the origin, and cohesion $c=45/(8)(50)=0.1$ p.s.i. Since the probe is actually inserted into the sediment,

55 Expansion of the probe causes a further lateral compressome disturbance is inevitable, and the extent of the dis turbance will depend upon the volume displaced by the instrument in relation to the area tested. Hence, the probe is preferably designed with a crescent or diamond-shaped. cross section to minimize volume displacement while maximizing plate contact area. This is the reason for the above-described insertion or penetrating motion being more of a cutting or piercing action with lateral compressions and displacements of the soil rather than a "punching" in to cause vertical compression and displacement.
Expansion of the probe causes a further lateral compression and consolidation at the probe surfaces which is d sirable. Simultaneously, tension occurs at the edges, but this is insignificant as long as water flows through the cen ter of the probe to equalize pressure.

PORE PRESSURE

the coefficient of the internal friction or, the internal 75 pressure, and to measure it. A correction should be made The sequence of application of normal pressure is a stepwise increase; and as disclosed in the above-identified patent of Handy and Fox, if pore pressure becomes ex cessive, it may be measured as described therein. How ever, measurement of pore pressure is probably less important in marine soil mechanics than in land investigations where excess pore pressure during testing will more or less dissipate during the slower loading of construc tion. In other words, in foundation engineering the "con solidation drained" test may be very pertinent, whereas in a marine landslide, an undrained test condition appears justifiable and may give more meaningful data. Two methods of correcting for pore pressure are to minimize pore

20

if only the maximum and minimum excess pore pressure are measured and recorded by pressure transducers and instruments fitted near the top and bottom of the probe, thus avoiding use of electrical cables. Alternatively, transducers and electrical lines might be used to give a con-

ducers and electrical lines might be used to give a con-
tinuous record of pore pressure.
Stress-strain curves may be drawn either by recording
the maximum penetration of the probe and assigning
strain increments to each t FIG. 7.

In the example of FIG. 9, allowing 5 minutes per point, a total testing time would be one hour plus the time for lowering and raising the instrument.

I hus, with the inventive principle and apparatus a_{15} closed herein, a complete shearing diagram for a layered sediment beneath a body of water may be obtained in a relatively short time as compared to the heretofore long laboratory testing times. Further, all the advantages of in situ testing are obtained without the need for drilling a 20 separate borehole and placing an instrument within it. In addition, a complete shearing diagram as a function of depth is obtained thereby identifying a sublayer within the sediment.

rod until could replace the dead weight messenger in forcing the ladder down, but this would require the addition of hydraulic feed lines, whereas in its simplest form, the illustrated embodiment has only one cable connected be-
tween the probe and the ship.

Having thus described a preferred method and apparatus for carrying out my invention, it will be obvious to persons skilled in the art that various other probes (for instance, expandable packer types) may be substituted for that which has been described while continuing to practhat which has been described while continuing to prac- 35 tice the method. Further, the particular probe described may be used to accomplish other purposes. It is, there fore, intended that all such equivalents and substitutions be covered as they are embraced within the spirit and scope of the appended claims. 40

I claim:

1. In a method of determining cohesion and internal friction of a soil in situ, the steps comprising: inserting a probe into the soil without a borehole and with a mini probe including two elongated separable pressure plates frictionally engaging said soil throughout the inserted length of the probe; urging said pressure plates apart with a constant force to exert a known pressure on soil en gaged by said pressure plates, thereby compacting said 50 soil laterally; inducing a retraction force on said probe sufficient to cause a shearing of said soil; partially removing said probe from the soil during the exertion of said retraction force to thereby reduce the contact area of said force increases the lateral pressure applied to said soil; measuring said retraction force; then inducing a second retraction force on said probe sufficient to cause a second shearing of the soil; and measuring said second retrac tion force. pressure plates against said soil so that the expansion 55

2. A System for determining the shear strength of soil comprising: a probe insertable in the soil under test with-
out a borehole and including first and second elongated, laterally separatable pressure plates for penetrating the soil, each plate including an outer surface for frictionally engaging soil under test; a first set of bearing members connected in longitudinal space relation along one of said pressure plates; a second set of bearing members con nected in longitudinal space relation along the other of said pressure plates, a bearing member from each of said sets forming an opposing pair of bearing members; forcing means engaging said bearing members for forcing opposing pairs of said bearing members laterally apart, thereby compacting the soil in contact with said pressure plates, said probe being adapted to transmit all of said applied force to the soil engaged by said pressure plates, thereby in creasing the normal pressure applied to the soil as said pressure plates are withdrawn from the soil; means for retracting said probe to cause shearing of the soil; and means for measuring the shearing force.

It will be apparent that a hydraulic piston and cylinder 25 ing member mounted on the interior of the other pressure 30 direction, said wedge members urge said pairs of bearing 3. The system of claim 2, wherein said bearing mem bers each include roller members, rotatable about a hori zontal axis and rotatably mounted to the interior of an associated pressure plate in opposing relation to a bear plate, and wherein said forcing means includes a frame, and a plurality of wedge members rigidly connected to said frame and arranged between opopsing pairs of said roller members, whereby as said frame is forced in one members apart in a transverse direction to exert lateral pressure on said soil.

4. The system of claim 3, wherein said forcing means includes fluid pressure means in said probe and having a rod connected to said frame to urge the same in said one direction upon the appliction of fluid pressure.

5. The system of claim 2, further comprising hydraulic means for inserting said probe into soil under pressure.

6. The system of claim 2, wherein the cross section of said pressure plates transverse of the direction of in displacement of soil laterally of said probe as said probe enters said soil while maximizing the lateral contact area

References Cited

UNITED STATES PATENTS

U.S. Cl. X.R.

RICHARD C. QUEISSER, Primary Examiner

E. J. KOCH, Assistant Examiner

 $73 - 84$

60