

Oversættelse af ændret europæisk patentskrift

Patent- og Varemærkestyrelsen

(51) Int.Cl.: A 61 K 39/095 (2006.01) A 61 K 39/385 (2006.01) A 61 P 31/04 (2006.01) C 12 P 19/04 (2006.01) C 12 R 1/36 (2006.01)

(45) Oversættelsen bekendtgjort den: 2020-03-02

(80) Dato for Den Europæiske Patentmyndigheds bekendtgørelse om opretholdelse af patentet i ændret form: 2019-12-25

(86) Europæisk ansøgning nr.: 10007477.2

(86) Europæisk indleveringsdag: 2002-06-20

(87) Den europæiske ansøgnings publiceringsdag: 2010-12-22

(30) Prioritet: 2001-06-20 GB 0115176

(62) Stamansøgningsnr: **06075175.7**

(84) Designerede stater: AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU MC NL PT SE TR

(73) Patenthaver: GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals S.A., Rue de l'Institut 89, 1330 Rixensart, Belgien

(72) Opfinder: Costantino, Paolo, Novartis Vaccines, Via Fiorentina 1, 53100 Siena, Italien

(74) Fuldmægtig i Danmark: AWA Denmark A/S, Strandgade 56, 1401 København K, Danmark

(54) Benævnelse: KOMBINATIONSVACCINER MOD NEISSERIA MENINGITIDIS

(56) Fremdragne publikationer:

EP-B1- 1 741 442

WO-A-98/58670

WO-A-02/058737

WO-A2-00/56360

WO-A2-01/41800

WO-A2-02/00249

PELTOLA H: "Meningococcal vaccines. Current status and future possibilities." DRUGS, vol. 55, no. 3, March 1998 (1998-03), pages 347-366, XP008022620 ISSN: 0012-6667

ANDERSON E L ET AL: "Safety and immunogenicity of meningococcal A and C polysaccharide conjugate vaccine in adults." INFECTION & IMMUNITY, vol. 62, no. 8, August 1994 (1994-08), pages 3391-3395, XP002080208 ISSN: 0019-9567

LEI Q P ET AL: "Quantification of free polysaccharide in mening ococcal polysaccharide-diphtheria toxoid conjugate vaccines." DEVELOPMENTS IN BIOLOGICALS, vol. 103, 2000, pages 259-264, XP002989234 ISSN: 1424-6074

LAMB D H ET AL: "Capillary electrophoretic analysis of meningococcal polysaccharide-diphtheria toxoid conjugate vaccines." DEVELOPMENTS IN BIOLOGICALS. 2000, vol. 103, 2000, pages 251-258, XP002989235 ISSN: 1424-6074

LIEBERMAN J M ET AL: "Safety and immunogenicity of a serogroups A/C Neisseria meningitidis oligosaccharide-protein conjugate vaccine in young children. A randomized controlled trial." JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION (JAMA), vol. 275, no. 19, 15 May 1996 (1996-05-15), pages 1499-1503, XP000884379 ISSN: 0098-7484

DESCRIPTION

TECHNICAL FIELD

[0001] This invention is in the field of vaccines, particularly against meningococcal infection and disease.

BACKGROUND ART

[0002] Neisseria meningitidis is a Gram negative human pathogen. It colonises the pharynx, causing meningitis and, occasionally, septicaemia in the absence of meningitis. It is closely related to *N.gonorrhoeae*, although one feature that clearly differentiates meningococcus is the presence of a polysaccharide capsule that is present in all pathogenic meningococci.

[0003] Based on the organism's capsular polysaccharide, twelve serogroups of *N.meningitidis* have been identified (A, B, C, H, I, K, L, 29E, W135, X, Y and Z). Group A is the pathogen most often implicated in epidemic disease in sub-Saharan Africa. Serogroups B and C are responsible for the vast majority of cases in USA and in most developed countries. Serogroups W135 and Y are responsible for the remaining cases in USA and developed countries.

[0004] Capsular polysaccharides from *N.meningitidis* are typically prepared by a process comprising the steps of polysaccharide precipitation (e.g. using a cationic detergent), ethanol fractionation, cold phenol extraction (to remove protein) and ultracentrifugation (to remove LPS) [e.g. ref. 1].

[0005] A tetravalent vaccine of capsular polysaccharides from serogroups A, C, Y and W135 has been known for many years [2, 3] and has been licensed for human use. Although effective in adolescents and adults, it induces a poor immune response and short duration of protection and cannot be used in infants [e.g. 4]. This is because polysaccharides are T cell-independent antigens that induce a weak immune response that cannot be boosted. The polysaccharides in this vaccine are not conjugated and are present at a 1:1:1:1 ratio [5]. MENCEVAX ACWY™ contains 50µg of each purified polysaccharide once reconstituted from its lyophilised form.

[0006] Conjugated serogroup C oligosaccharides have also been approved for human use *[e.g.* Menjugate[™]; ref. 6]. There remains, however, a need for improvements in conjugate vaccines against serogroups A, W135 and Y, and in their manufacture.

DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

[0007] Disclosed herein is a process for purifying a bacterial capsular polysaccharide, comprising the steps of (a) precipitation of said polysaccharide, followed by (b) solubilisation of the precipitated polysaccharide using ethanol. The polysaccharide can be used to prepare vaccines, such as conjugate vaccines, in particular against *N.meningitidis* serogroups A, W135 and Y.

Precipitation and ethanol solubilisation

[0008] Many techniques for precipitating soluble polysaccharides are known in the art. Preferred methods use one or more cationic detergents. The detergents preferably have the following general formula:

$$R_1$$
 \downarrow
 $R_4 - N^+ - R_2$
 \downarrow
 R_4

wherein:

 R_1 , R_2 and R_3 are the same or different and each signifies alkyl or aryl; or R_1 and R_2 together with the nitrogen atom to which these are attached form a 5- or 6-membered saturated heterocyclic ring, and R_3 signifies alkyl or aryl; or R_1 , R_2 and R_3 together with the nitrogen atom to which these are attached form a 5- or 6-membered heterocyclic ring, unsaturated at the nitrogen atom,

R₄ signifies alkyl or aryl, and

X⁻ signifies an anion.

[0009] Particularly preferred detergents for use in the method are tetrabutylammonium and cetyltrimethylammonium salts (e.g. the bromide salts). Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide ('CTAB') is particularly preferred [8]. CTAB is also known as hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide, cetrimonium bromide, Cetavlon and Centimide. Other detergents include hexadimethrine bromide and myristyltrimethylammonium salts.

[0010] Capsular polysaccharides are released into media during culture. Accordingly, the starting material for precipitation will typically be the supernatant from a centrifuged bacterial culture or will be a concentrated culture.

[0011] The precipitation step may be selective for polysaccharides, but it will typically also coprecipitate other components (*e.g.* proteins, nucleic acid *etc.*).

[0012] Precipitated polysaccharide may be collected by centrifugation prior to solubilisation.

[0013] After precipitation, the polysaccharide (typically in the form of a complex with the cationic detergent) is re-solubilised. It is preferred to use a solvent which is relatively selective for the polysaccharide in order to minimise contaminants (e.g. proteins, nucleic acid etc.). Ethanol has been found to be advantageous in this respect, and it is highly selective for the CTAB-polysaccharide complex. Other lower alcohols may be used (e.g. methanol, propan-1-ol, propan-2-ol, butan-1-ol, butan-2-ol, 2-methyl-propan-1-ol, 2-methyl-propan-2-ol, diols etc.)

[0014] The ethanol is preferably added to the precipitated polysaccharide to give a final ethanol concentration (based on total content of ethanol and water) of between 50% and 95% (e.g. around

55%, 60%, 65%, 70%, 75%, 80%, 85%, or around 90%), and preferably between 75% and 95%. The optimum final ethanol concentration may depend on the serogroup of the bacterium from which the polysaccharide is obtained.

[0015] The ethanol may be added to the precipitated polysaccharide in pure form or may be added in a form diluted with a miscible solvent (e.g. water). Preferred solvent mixtures are ethanol:water mixtures, with a preferred ratio of between around 70:30 and around 95:5 (e.g. 75:25, 80:20, 85:15, 90:10).

[0016] Compared with conventional processes for preparing capsular polysaccharides, the two-step process of precipitation followed by ethanol extraction is quicker and simpler.

[0017] In contrast to the process described in ref. 9, the process uses cationic detergent rather than anionic detergent. Unlike the process of ref. 10, the polysaccharide is re-solubilised using ethanol, rather than by cation exchange using calcium or magnesium salts. Unlike the process of ref. 11, precipitation does not require an inert porous support. Furthermore, unlike prior art processes, alcohol is used to re-solubilise the polysaccharide rather than to precipitate it.

[0018] The bacterial capsular polysaccharide will usually be from *Neisseria*. Preferably it is from *N.meningitidis*, including serogroups A, B, C, W135 & Y. Preferred serogroups are A, W135 & Y.

[0019] The process is also suitable for preparing capsular polysaccharide from *Haemophilus influenzae* (particularly type B, or 'Hib') and from *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (pneumococcus).

Further processing of the solubilised polysaccharide

[0020] After re-solubilisation, the polysaccharide may be further treated to remove contaminants. This is particularly important in situations where even minor contamination is not acceptable (e.g. for human vaccine production). This will typically involve one or more steps of filtration.

[0021] Depth filtration may be used. This is particularly useful for clarification.

[0022] Filtration through activated carbon may be used. This is useful for removing pigments and trace organic compounds. It can be repeated until, for example, $OD_{275nm} < 0.2$.

[0023] Size filtration or ultrafiltration may be used.

[0024] Once filtered to remove contaminants, the polysaccharide may be precipitated for further treatment and/or processing. This can be conveniently achieved by exchanging cations (*e.g.* by the addition of calcium or sodium salts).

[0025] The polysaccharide may be chemically modified. For instance, it may be modified to replace one or more hydroxyl groups with blocking groups. This is particularly useful for MenA [12]. Polysaccharides from serogroup B may be N-propionylated [13].

[0026] The (optionally modified) polysaccharide will typically be hydrolysed to form oligosaccharides. This is preferably performed to give a final average degree of polymerisation (DP) in the oligosaccharide of less than 30 (e.g. between 10 and 20, preferably around 10 for serogroup A; between 15 and 25 for serogroups W135 and Y, preferably around 15-20; etc.). Oligosaccharides are preferred to polysaccharides for use in vaccines. DP can conveniently be measured by ion exchange chromatography or by colorimetric assays [14].

[0027] If hydrolysis is performed, the hydrolysate will generally be sized in order to remove short-length oligosaccharides. This can be achieved in various ways, such as ultrafiltration followed by ion-exchange chromatography. Oligosaccharides with a degree of polymerisation of less than or equal to about 6 are preferably removed for serogroup A, and those less than around 4 are preferably removed for serogroups W135 and Y.

[0028] To enhance immunogenicity, polysaccharides or oligosaccharides of the invention are preferably conjugated to a carrier (Figure 18). Conjugation to carrier proteins is particularly useful for paediatric vaccines [e.g. ref. 15] and is a well known technique [e.g. reviewed in refs. 16 to 24, etc.].

[0029] Preferred carrier proteins are bacterial toxins or toxoids, such as diphtheria or tetanus toxoids. The CRM₁₉₇ diphtheria toxoid [25, 26, 27] is particularly preferred. Other suitable carrier proteins include the *N.meningitidis* outer membrane protein [28], synthetic peptides [29, 30], heat shock proteins [31, 32], pertussis proteins [33, 34], cytokines [35], lymphokines [35], hormones [35], growth factors [35], artificial proteins comprising multiple human CD4⁺ T cell epitopes from various pathogen-derived antigens [36, protein D from *H.influenzae* [37], toxin A or B from *C.difficile* [38], etc. It is possible to use mixtures of carrier proteins.

[0030] Conjugates with a saccharide:protein ratio (w/w) of between 0.5:1 (*i.e.* excess protein) and 5:1 (*i.e.* excess saccharide) are preferred, and those with a ratio between 1:1.25 and 1:2.5 are more preferred.

[0031] A single carrier protein may carry multiple different saccharides [39]. Conjugates may be used in conjunction with free carrier protein [40].

[0032] Any suitable conjugation reaction can be used, with any suitable linker where necessary.

[0033] The saccharide will typically be activated or functionalised prior to conjugation. Activation may involve, for example, cyanylating reagents such as CDAP (*e.g.* 1-cyano-4-dimethylamino pyridinium tetrafluoroborate [41, 42, *etc.*]). Other suitable techniques use carbodiimides, hydrazides, active esters, norborane, p-nitrobenzoic acid, N-hydroxysuccinimide, S-NHS, EDC, TSTU; see also the introduction to reference 22).

[0034] Linkages via a linker group may be made using any known procedure, for example, the procedures described in references 43 and 44. One type of linkage involves reductive amination of the polysaccharide, coupling the resulting amino group with one end of an adipic acid linker group, and then coupling a protein to the other end of the adipic acid linker group [20, 45, 46]. Other linkers include B-propionamido [47], nitrophenyl-ethylamine [48], haloacyl halides [49], glycosidic linkages [50], 6-aminocaproic acid [51], ADH [52], C₄ to C₁₂ moieties [53] *etc.* As an alternative to using a

linker, direct linkage can be used. Direct linkages to the protein may comprise oxidation of the polysaccharide followed by reductive amination with the protein, as described in, for example, references 54 and 55.

[0035] A process involving the introduction of amino groups into the saccharide (*e.g.* by replacing terminal =O groups with -NH₂) followed by derivatisation with an adipic diester (*e.g.* adipic acid N-hydroxysuccinimido diester) and reaction with carrier protein is preferred.

[0036] After conjugation, free and conjugated saccharides can be separated. There are many suitable methods, including hydrophobic chromatography, tangential ultrafiltration, diafiltration *etc.* [see also refs. 56 & 57, *etc.*].

Mixtures and compositions comprising the saccharides

[0037] The oligosaccharides, polysaccharides and conjugates disclosed herein may be mixed with other biological molecules. Mixtures of saccharides from more than one serogroup of *N.meningitidis* are preferred *e.g.* compositions comprising saccharides from serogroups A+C, A+W135, A+Y, C+W135, C+Y, W135+Y, A+C+W135+Y, C+W135+Y, A+C+W135+Y, *etc.* It is preferred that the protective efficacy of individual saccharide antigens is not removed by combining them, although actual immunogenicity (*e.g.* ELISA titres) may be reduced.

[0038] Where a saccharide from serogroup C is used, this preferably has from \sim 12 to \sim 22 repeating units.

[0039] Saccharides from different serogroups of *N.meningitidis* may be conjugated to the same or different carrier proteins.

[0040] Where a mixture comprises capsular saccharides from both serogroups A and C, it is preferred that the ratio (w/w) of MenA saccharide:MenC saccharide is greater than 1 (e.g. 2:1, 3:1, 4:1, 5:1, 10:1 or higher). Surprisingly, improved immunogenicity of the MenA component has been observed when it is present in excess (mass/dose) to the MenC component.

[0041] Where a mixture comprises capsular saccharides (e.g. oligosaccharides) from serogroup W135 and at least one of serogroups A, C and Y, it has surprisingly been found that the immunogenicity of the MenW135 saccharide is greater when administered in combination with the saccharide(s) from the other serogroup(s) than when administered alone (at the same dosage etc.) [cf. ref. 58]. Thus the capacity of the MenW135 antigen to elicit an immune response is greater than the immune response elicited by an equivalent amount of the same antigen when delivered without association with the antigens from the other serogroups. Such enhanced immunogenicity can be determined by administering the MenW135 antigen to control animals and the mixture to test animals and comparing antibody titres against the two using standard assays such as bactericidal titres, radioimmunoassay and ELISAs etc. Vaccines comprising synergistic combinations of saccharides from serogroup W135 and other serogroups are immunologically advantageous. They allow enhanced anti-W135 responses and/or lower W135 doses.

[0042] Where a mixture comprises capsular saccharides from serogroup Y and one or both of serogroups C and W135, it is preferred that the ratio (w/w) of MenY saccharide:MenW135 saccharide is greater than 1 (e.g. 2:1, 3:1, 4:1, 5:1, 10:1 or higher) and/or that the ratio (w/w) of MenY saccharide:MenC saccharide is less than 1 (e.g. 1:2, 1:3, 1:4, 1:5, or lower).

[0043] Preferred ratios (w/w) for saccharides from serogroups A:C:W135:Y are: 1:1:1:1; 1:1:1:2; 2:1:1:1; 4:2:1:1; 8:4:2:1; 4:2:1:2; 8:4:1:2; 4:2:2:1; 2:2:1:1; 4:4:2:1; 2:2:1:2; 4:4:1:2; and 2:2:2:1.

[0044] The mixtures may also comprise proteins. It is preferred to include proteins from serogroup B of *N.meningitidis* [e.g. refs. 59 to 64] or OMV preparations [e.g. refs. 65 to 68 etc.].

[0045] Non-meningococcal and non-neisserial antigens, preferably ones that do not diminish the immune response against the meningococcal components, may also be included. Ref. 69, for instance, discloses combinations of oligosaccharides from *N.meningitidis* serogroups B and C together with the Hib saccharide. Antigens from pneumococcus, hepatitis A virus, hepatitis B virus, *B.pertussis*, diphtheria, tetanus, *Helicobacter pylori*, polio and/or *H.influenzae* are preferred. Particularly preferred non-neisserial antigens include:

- antigens from *Helicobacter pylori* such as CagA [70 to 73], VacA [74, 75], NAP [76, 77, 78], HopX [e.g. 79], HopY [e.g. 79] and/or urease.
- a saccharide antigen from Streptococcus pneumoniae [e.g. 80, 81, 82].
- an antigen from hepatitis A virus, such as inactivated virus [e.g. 83, 84].
- an antigen from hepatitis B virus, such as the surface and/or core antigens [e.g. 84, 85], with surface antigen preferably being adsorbed onto an aluminium phosphate [86].
- a saccharide antigen from *Haemophilus influenzae* B [e.g. 87], preferably non-adsorbed or adsorbed onto an aluminium phosphate [88].
- an antigen from hepatitis C virus [e.g. 89].
- an antigen from *N.gonorrhoeae* [e.g. 59 to 62].
- an antigen from Chlamydia pneumoniae [e.g. refs. 90 to 96].
- an antigen from Chlamydia trachomatis [e.g. 97].
- an antigen from Porphyromonas gingivalis [e.g. 98].
- polio antigen(s) [e.g. 99, 100] such as IPV.
- rabies antigen(s) [e.g. 101] such as lyophilised inactivated virus [e.g. 102, RabAvert™].
- measles, mumps and/or rubella antigens [e.g. chapters 9, 10 & 11 of ref. 103].
- influenza antigen(s) [e.g. chapter 19 of ref. 103], such as the haemagglutinin and/or neuraminidase surface proteins.
- an antigen from Moraxella catarrhalis [e.g. 104].
- an antigen from Streptococcus agalactiae (group B streptococcus) [e.g. 105, 106].
- an antigen from Streptococcus pyogenes (group A streptococcus) [e.g. 106, 107, 108].
- an antigen from Staphylococcus aureus [e.g. 109].
- antigen(s) from a paramyxovirus such as respiratory syncytial virus (RSV [110, 111]) and/or parainfluenza virus (PIV3 [112]).
- an antigen from Bacillus anthracis [e.g. 113, 114, 115].
- an antigen from a virus in the flaviviridae family (genus flavivirus), such as from yellow fever virus, Japanese encephalitis virus, four serotypes of Dengue viruses, tick-borne encephalitis virus, West Nile virus.
- a pestivirus antigen, such as from classical porcine fever virus, bovine viral diarrhoea virus,

and/or border disease virus.

- a parvovirus antigen e.g. from parvovirus B19.
- a tetanus toxoid [e.g. ref. 116].
- pertussis holotoxin (PT) and filamentous haemagglutinin (FHA) from *B.pertussis*, optionally also in combination with pertactin and/or agglutinogens 2 and 3 [e.g. refs. 117 & 118].
- · cellular pertussis antigen.

[0046] The mixture may comprise one or more of these further antigens, which may be detoxified where necessary (e.g. detoxification of pertussis toxin by chemical and/or genetic means).

[0047] Where a diphtheria antigen is included in the mixture it is preferred also to include tetanus antigen and pertussis antigens. Similarly, where a tetanus antigen is included it is preferred also to include diphtheria and pertussis antigens. Similarly, where a pertussis antigen is included it is preferred also to include diphtheria and tetanus antigens.

[0048] Antigens in the mixture will typically be present at a concentration of at least 1µg/ml each. In general, the concentration of any given antigen will be sufficient to elicit an immune response against that antigen.

[0049] As an alternative to using proteins antigens in the mixture, nucleic acid encoding the antigen may be used. Protein components of the mixture may thus be replaced by nucleic acid (preferably DNA e.g. in the form of a plasmid) that encodes the protein.

Multivalent saccharide vaccines

[0050] Also disclosed are vaccines and immunogenic compositions comprising capsular saccharides from at least two (*i.e.* 2, 3 or 4) of serogroups A, C, W135 and Y of *N.meningitidis*, wherein said capsular saccharides are conjugated to carrier protein(s) and/or are oligosaccharides. Where the vaccine has only two conjugated oligosaccharides or polysaccharides from serogroups A, C, W135 and Y, these are preferably not from serogroups A and C (*cf.* refs. 6, 119 & 120). Preferred compositions comprise saccharides from serogroups C and Y. Other preferred compositions comprise saccharides from serogroups C, W135 and Y.

[0051] Also disclosed is an immunogenic composition comprising a serogroup A oligosaccharide conjugate and a serogroup C oligosaccharide conjugate, and further comprising (i) an aluminium phosphate or an aluminium hydroxide adjuvant and (ii) a buffer. Where the composition comprises an aluminium phosphate adjuvant, the buffer is preferably a phosphate buffer; where it comprises an aluminium hydroxide adjuvant, the buffer is preferably a histidine buffer.

[0052] Where the vaccine comprises capsular saccharide from serogroup A, it is preferred that the serogroup A saccharide is combined with the other saccharide(s) shortly before use, in order to minimise its hydrolysis (*cf.* Hib saccharides). This can conveniently be achieved by having the serogroup A component in lyophilised form and the other serogroup component(s) in liquid form, with the liquid component being used to reconstitute the lyophilised component when ready for use.

The liquid component preferably comprises an aluminium salt adjuvant, whereas the lyophilised serogroup A component may or may not comprise an aluminium salt adjuvant.

[0053] Also disclosed is a kit comprising: (a) capsular saccharide from *N.meningitidis* serogroup A, in lyophilised form; and (b) capsular saccharide(s) from one or more (e.g. 1, 2, 3) of *N.meningitidis* serogroups C, W135 and Y, in liquid form. The saccharides are preferably conjugated to carrier protein(s) and/or are oligosaccharides. The kit may take the form of two vials.

[0054] Also disclosed is a method for preparing a vaccine composition disclosed herein, comprising mixing a lyophilised capsular saccharide from *N.meningitidis* serogroup A with capsular saccharide(s) from one or more (e.g. 1, 2, 3) of *N.meningitidis* serogroups C, W135 and Y, wherein said one or more saccharides are in liquid form.

[0055] The invention provides a kit comprising: (a) conjugated capsular oligosaccharide from *N.meningitidis* serogroup A, in lyophilised form; and (b) one or more further antigens in liquid form, wherein component (b) comprises a saccharide antigen from *Haemophilus influenzae* B and/or wherein the further antigen in component (b) is conjugated capsular oligosaccharide from *N.meningitidis* serogroup C. The further antigen may or may not be conjugated capsular oligosaccharide from *N.meningitidis* serogroup C.

Immunogenic compositions and vaccines

[0056] Polysaccharides, oligosaccharides and conjugates disclosed herein are particularly suited to inclusion in immunogenic compositions and vaccines. A process may therefore include the step of formulating the polysaccharide, oligosaccharide or conjugate as an immunogenic composition or vaccine. Disclosed herein is a composition or vaccine obtainable in this way.

[0057] Immunogenic compositions and vaccines disclosed herein will, in addition to the meningococcal saccharides, typically comprise 'pharmaceutically acceptable carriers', which include any carrier that does not itself induce the production of antibodies harmful to the individual receiving the composition. Suitable carriers are typically large, slowly metabolised macromolecules such as proteins, polysaccharides, polylactic acids, polyglycolic acids, polymeric amino acids, amino acid copolymers, trehalose [121], lipid aggregates (such as oil droplets or liposomes), and inactive virus particles. Such carriers are well known to those of ordinary skill in the art. The vaccines may also contain diluents, such as water, saline, glycerol, *etc.* Additionally, auxiliary substances, such as wetting or emulsifying agents, pH buffering substances, and the like, may be present. A thorough discussion of pharmaceutically acceptable excipients is available in ref. 122.

[0058] Immunogenic compositions used as vaccines comprise an immunologically effective amount of saccharide antigen, as well as any other of the above-mentioned components, as needed. By 'immunologically effective amount', it is meant that the administration of that amount to an individual, either in a single dose or as part of a series, is effective for treatment or prevention. This amount varies depending upon the health and physical condition of the individual to be treated, age, the taxonomic group of individual to be treated (e.g. non-human primate, primate, etc.), the capacity of the individual's immune system to synthesise antibodies, the degree of protection desired, the

formulation of the vaccine, the treating doctor's assessment of the medical situation, and other relevant factors. It is expected that the amount will fall in a relatively broad range that can be determined through routine trials. Dosage treatment may be a single dose schedule or a multiple dose schedule (e.g. including booster doses). The vaccine may be administered in conjunction with other immunoregulatory agents.

[0059] The vaccine may be administered in conjunction with other immunoregulatory agents.

[0060] The vaccine may include an adjuvant. Preferred adjuvants to enhance effectiveness of the composition include, but are not limited to: (1) aluminium salts (alum), such as aluminium hydroxides (including oxyhydroxides), aluminium phosphates (including hydroxyphosphates), aluminium sulfate, etc [Chapters 8 & 9 in ref. 123]; (2) oil-in-water emulsion formulations (with or without other specific immunostimulating agents such as muramyl peptides [Muramyl peptides include N-acetyl-muramyl-L-threonyl-D-isoglutamine (thr-MDP), N-acetyl-normuramyl-L-alanyl-D-isoglutamine (nor-MDP), Nacetylmuramyl-L-alanyl-D-isoglutaminyl-L-alanine-2-(1'-2'-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3hydroxyphosphoryloxy)-ethylamine MTP-PE), etc.] or bacterial cell wall components), such as for example (a) MF59™ [Chapter 10 in ref. 123; 124, 125], containing 5% Squalene, 0.5% Tween 80, and 0.5% Span 85 (optionally containing MTP-PE) formulated into submicron particles using a microfluidizer, (b) SAF, containing 10% Squalane, 0.4% Tween 80, 5% pluronic-blocked polymer L121, and thr-MDP either microfluidized into a submicron emulsion or vortexed to generate a larger particle size emulsion, and (c) Ribi™ adjuvant system (RAS), (Ribi Immunochem, Hamilton, MT) containing 2% Squalene, 0.2% Tween 80, and one or more bacterial cell wall components from the group consisting of monophosphorylipid A (MPL), trehalose dimycolate (TDM), and cell wall skeleton (CWS), preferably MPL + CWS (Detox[™]); (3) saponin adjuvants [chapter 22 of ref. 123], such as QS21 or Stimulon[™] (Cambridge Bioscience, Worcester, MA), either in simple form or in the form of particles generated therefrom such as ISCOMs (immunostimulating complexes; chapter 23 of ref. 123), which ISCOMS may be devoid of additional detergent e.g. ref. 126; (4) Complete Freund's Adjuvant (CFA) and Incomplete Freund's Adjuvant (IFA); (5) cytokines, such as interleukins (e.g. IL-1, IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-7, IL-12 [127], etc.), interferons (e.g. gamma interferon), macrophage colony stimulating factor (M-CSF), tumor necrosis factor (TNF), etc.; (6) monophosphoryl lipid A (MPL) or 3-O-deacylated MPL (3dMPL) e.g. refs. 128 & 129, optionally in the substantial absence of alum when used with pneumococcal saccharides e.g. ref. 130; (7) combinations of 3dMPL with, for example, QS21 and/or oil-in-water emulsions e.g. refs. 131, 132 & 133; (8) oligonucleotides comprising CpG motifs (Roman et al., Nat. Med., 1997, 3, 849-854; Weiner et al., PNAS USA, 1997, 94, 10833-10837; Davis et al., J. Immunol., 1998, 160, 870-876; Chu et al., J. Exp. Med., 1997, 186, 1623-1631; Lipford et al., Eur. J. Immunol., 1997, 27, 2340-2344; Moldoveanu et al., Vaccine, 1988, 16, 1216-1224, Krieg et al., Nature, 1995, 374, 546-549; Klinman et al., PNAS USA, 1996, 93, 2879-2883; Ballas et al., J. Immunol., 1996, 157, 1840-1845; Cowdery et al., J. Immunol., 1996, 156, 4570-4575; Halpern et al., Cell. Immunol., 1996, 167, 72-78; Yamamoto et al., Jpn. J. Cancer Res., 1988, 79, 866-873; Stacey et al., J. Immunol., 1996, 157, 2116-2122; Messina et al., J. Immunol., 1991, 147, 1759-1764; Yi et al., J. Immunol., 1996, 157, 4918-4925; Yi et al., J. Immunol., 1996, 157, 5394-5402; Yi et al., J. Immunol., 1998, 160, 4755-4761; and Yi et al., J. Immunol., 1998, 160, 5898-5906; International patent applications WO96/02555, WO98/16247, WO98/18810, WO98/40100, WO98/55495, WO98/37919 and WO98/52581) i.e. containing at least one CG dinucleotide, with 5-methylcytosine optionally being used in place of cytosine; (8) a polyoxyethylene ether or a polyoxyethylene ester e.g. ref. 134; (9) a polyoxyethylene sorbitan ester surfactant in

combination with an octoxynol [135] or a polyoxyethylene alkyl ether or ester surfactant in combination with at least one additional non-ionic surfactant such as an octoxynol [136]; (10) a saponin and an immunostimulatory oligonucleotide (e.g. a CpG oligonucleotide) [137]; (11) an immunostimulant and a particle of metal salt e.g. ref. 138; (12) a saponin and an oil-in-water emulsion e.g. ref. 139; (13) a saponin (e.g. QS21) + 3dMPL + IL-12 (optionally + a sterol) e.g. ref. 140; (14) E.coli heat-labile enterotoxin ("LT"), or detoxified mutants thereof, such as the K63 or R72 mutants [e.g. Chapter 5 of ref. 141]; (15) cholera toxin ("CT"), or detoxified mutants thereof [e.g. Chapter 5 of ref. 141]; (16) liposomes [chapters 13 & 14 of ref. 123]; (17) chitosan [e.g. ref. 142]; (18) double-stranded RNA; (19) microparticles (i.e. a particle of ~100nm to ~150µm in diameter, more preferably ~200nm to ~30µm in diameter, and most preferably ~500nm to ~10µm in diameter) formed from materials that are biodegradable and non-toxic (e.g. a poly(α-hydroxy acid) such as poly(lactide-co-glycolide), a polyhydroxybutyric acid, a polyorthoester, a polyanhydride, a polycaprolactone etc.). optionally treated to have a negatively-charged surface (e.g. with SDS) or a positively-charged surface (e.g. with a cationic detergent, such as CTAB); or (20) other substances that act as immunostimulating agents to enhance the effectiveness of the composition [e.g. chapter 7 of ref. 123].

[0061] Aluminium salts (especially aluminium phosphates and/or hydroxides) and MF59 are preferred for use with the saccharide antigens disclosed herein. Where an aluminium phosphate it used, it is possible to adsorb one or more of the saccharides to the aluminium salt, but it is preferred not to adsorb the saccharides to the salt, and this is favoured by including free phosphate ions in solution (e.g. by the use of a phosphate buffer). Where an aluminium hydroxide is used, it is preferred to adsorb the saccharides to the salt. The use of aluminium hydroxide as adjuvant is particularly advantageous for saccharide from serogroup A.

[0062] It is possible in compositions disclosed herein to adsorb some antigens to an aluminium hydroxide but to have other antigens in association with an aluminium phosphate. For tetravalent *N.meningitidis* serogroup combinations, for example, the following permutations are available:

Serogroup				umir				_				-	-	-		************
Α	Ρ	Н	Ρ	Н	Н	Н	Р	Р	Р	Н	Н	Н	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	Н
С	Ρ	Н	Н	Р	Н	Н	Ρ	Н	Н	Р	Ρ	Н	Ρ	Н	Ρ	Р
W135	Ρ	Н	Н	Н	Р	Н	Н	Р	Н	Н	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	Н	Р
Υ	Р	Н	Н	Н	Н	Р	Н	Н	Р	Ρ	Н	Ρ	Н	Р	Р	Р

[0063] For trivalent *N.meningitidis* serogroup combinations, the following permutations are available:

Serogroup	Aluminium salt (H = a hydroxide; P = a phosphate)							
С	Р	Н	Н	Н	Р	Р	Р	Н
W135	Р	Н	Н	Р	Н	Р	Н	Р
Υ	Р	Н	Р	Н	Н	Н	Р	Р

[0064] Once formulated, the compositions can be administered directly to the subject. The subjects to be treated can be animals; in particular, human subjects can be treated. The vaccines are

particularly useful for vaccinating children and teenagers. They may be delivered by systemic and/or mucosal routes.

[0065] Typically, the immunogenic compositions are prepared as injectables, either as liquid solutions or suspensions; solid forms suitable for solution in, or suspension in, liquid vehicles prior to injection may also be prepared. The preparation also may be emulsified or encapsulated in liposomes for enhanced adjuvant effect. Direct delivery of the compositions will generally be parenteral (*e.g.* by injection, either subcutaneously, intraperitoneally, intravenously or intramuscularly or delivered to the interstitial space of a tissue). The compositions can also be administered into a lesion. Other modes of administration include oral and pulmonary administration, suppositories, and transdermal or transcutaneous applications (*e.g.* see ref. 143), needles, and hyposprays. Dosage treatment may be a single dose schedule or a multiple dose schedule (*e.g.* including booster doses).

[0066] Vaccines disclosed herein are preferably sterile. They are preferably pyrogen-free. They are preferably buffered *e.g.* at between pH 6 and pH 8, generally around pH 7. Where a vaccine comprises an aluminium hydroxide salt, it is preferred to use a histidine buffer [144].

[0067] The vaccines may comprise detergent (e.g. a Tween, such as Tween 80) at low levels (e.g. <0.01%). The vaccines may comprise a sugar alcohol (e.g. mannitol) or trehalose e.g. at around 15mg/ml, particularly if they are to be lyophilised.

[0068] Optimum doses of individual antigens can be assessed empirically. In general, however, saccharide antigens will be administered at a dose of between 0.1 and 100µg of each saccharide per dose, with a typical dosage volume of 0.5ml. The dose is typically between 5 and 20µg per saccharide per dose. These values are measured as saccharide.

[0069] Vaccines disclosed herein may either be prophylactic (*i.e.* to prevent infection) or therapeutic (*i.e.* to treat disease after infection), but will typically be prophylactic.

[0070] The vaccine may be for use in a method of raising an immune response in a patient, comprising administering to a patient the vaccine. The immune response is preferably protective against meningococcal disease, and may comprise a humoral immune response and/or a cellular immune response. The patient is preferably a child.

[0071] The method may raise a booster response, in a patient that has already been primed against *N.meningitidis*.

[0072] Also envisaged is the use of a polysaccharide, oligosaccharide or conjugate disclosed herein in the manufacture of a medicament for raising an immune response in an animal. The medicament is preferably an immunogenic composition (e.g. a vaccine). The medicament is preferably for the prevention and/or treatment of a disease caused by a *Neisseria* (e.g. meningitis, septicaemia, gonorrhoea etc.), by *H.influenzae* (e.g. otitis media, bronchitis, pneumonia, cellulitis, pericarditis, meningitis etc.) or by pneumococcus (e.g. meningitis, sepsis, pneumonia etc). The prevention and/or treatment of bacterial meningitis is thus preferred.

Vaccines can be tested in standard animal models (e.g. see ref. 145).

[0073] Also disclosed is a process for solubilising a precipitated bacterial capsular polysaccharide,

wherein ethanol is used as a solvent.

Definitions

[0074] The term "comprising" means "including" as well as "consisting" e.g. a composition "comprising" X may consist exclusively of X or may include something additional e.g. X + Y.

[0075] The term "about" in relation to a numerical value x means, for example, x±10%.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

[0076]

Figure 1 shows the effect of varying ethanol:water ratios on polysaccharide solubilisation.

Figures 2 to 4 show IgG titres obtained in mice against oligosaccharide antigens: Figure 2 shows results using serogroup A oligosaccharide; Figure 3 shows results for serogroup Y; and Figure 4 shows results for serogroup W135.

Figure 5 shows post-II IgG titres obtained in mice with a mixture of oligosaccharide conjugates for serogroups A and C: Figure 5a shows the anti-serogroup A responses; and Figure 5b shows anti-serogroup C responses.

Figures 6 to 8 show IgG titres obtained in mice with a mixture of oligosaccharide conjugates for serogroups C, W135 and Y: Figure 6 shows the anti-serogroup W135 responses; Figure 7 shows anti-serogroup Y responses; and Figure 8 shows anti-serogroup C responses.

Figures 9 to 11 show post-II IgG titres obtained in mice with a mixture of oligosaccharide conjugates for serogroups A, C, W135 and Y: Figure 9 shows the anti-serogroup W135 responses; Figure 10 shows anti-serogroup Y responses; and Figure 11 shows anti-serogroup A responses.

Figure 12 is a calibration curve obtained using test MenA polysaccharide samples at different hydrolysis times. The curve shows the linear relationship between the reciprocal of the degree of polymerisation and optical rotatory power.

Figure 13 is a calibration curve obtained using test MenY polysaccharide samples at different hydrolysis times. The curve shows the linear relationship between the log of the degree of polymerisation and KD (distribution coefficient).

Figures 14 to 16 show post-II IgG titres, split by IgG subclass, obtained in mice after immunisation with oligosaccharide conjugates for serogroups: (14) A; (15) C; (16) W135 and (17) Y.

Figure 17 shows post-II IgG titres, split by IgG subclass, obtained in mice after immunisation with a tetravalent mixture of oligosaccharide conjugates.

Figure 18 illustrates the preparation of an oligosaccharide conjugate.

Figure 19 shows (A) anti-MenA and (B) anti-MenC GMT (± 95% confidence intervals) obtained in a

guinea pig model. Values above bars are serum bactericidal assay (SBA) titres *i.e.* the reciprocal of the sera dilution yielding the 50 % of killing.

MODES FOR CARRYING OUT THE INVENTION

A. Production and purification of meningococcal polysaccharides

[0077] Meningococci of serogroups A, W135 and Y were grown in 500 ml flasks containing 150 ml of Franz A as medium, for 12 hours at 35±1°C. Agitation was set at 150 rpm using a 35 mm throw Shaker. 85 ml of the culture was then inoculated in 20 L fermentor containing Watson as medium.

[0078] After 18.5 hours (W135 and Y) or 16.5 hours (A), when OD=10 was reached, the fermentation was interrupted by adding 300 ml of formalin and then, after 2 hours of incubation, and the fermentor was cooled to 10° C. The supernatant was collected by centrifugation followed by filtration (0.22 µm), and ultrafiltration with a 30 kDa membrane.

[0079] The crude concentrated polysaccharide was then precipitated by addition of CTAB as a 100 mg/ml water solution. The volumes added are shown in the following table. After 12 hours at room temperature, the CTAB complexes were recovered by centrifugation. The CTAB complex was extracted by adding a 95% ethanol solution at room temperature for 16-20 hrs under vigorous stirring. The volume of ethanol added is shown in the following table:

Serogroup	CTAB volume (ml)	Volume of 95% ethanol (litres per kg wet paste)
Α	475	3.5 to 6
W135	200	4 to 6
Υ	650	3.4

[0080] The resulting suspensions were filtered through a CUNO 10 SP depth filter. The filtrate was recirculated through a CUNO zetacarbon™ cartridge until OD_{275nm}<0.2. The Z carbon filtrate was then collected and filtered through a 0.22µm filter. The polysaccharide was eventually precipitated from the ethanol phase by addition of a CaCl₂ 2M water solution (10-12 ml/l of EtOH final solution). The purified polysaccharide was then collected by centrifugation, washed with 95% ethanol and dried under vacuum.

[0081] In other experiments, the final concentration of ethanol used for extraction was varied (Figure 1). For serogroup A polysaccharide, a range of between 80 and 95% ethanol was most effective, with extraction efficiency decreasing at lower percentages. For serogroup W135, good extraction was achieved with between 75% and 90% ethanol, with 95% being less effective. For serogroup Y, the best results were achieved with between 75% and 85% ethanol, with higher percentages (e.g. 90%, 95%) being less effective. In general, it was noted that ethanol percentages below those reported here tended to increase the co-extraction of contaminants such as proteins. Ethanol

percentages given in this paragraph are expressed as a final concentration (ethanol as percentage of total volume of ethanol + water) and are based on a water content in the CTAB-polysaccharide pastes recovered by centrifugation of about 50% (*i.e.* 500g H₂O per kg wet paste). This value was determined empirically in small scale-up experiments.

B. Conjugation of serogroup A polysaccharides

a) Hydrolysis

[0082] The serogroup A meningococcal polysaccharide was hydrolysed in 50mM sodium acetate buffer, pH 4.7 for about 3 hrs at 73°C. The hydrolysis was controlled in order to obtain oligosaccharides with an average degree of polymerisation (DP) of approximately 10, as determined by the (w/w) ratio between the total organic phosphorus and the monoester phosphate.

[0083] The DP ratio of (total organic phosphorus) to (phosphorus monoester) is inversely proportional to optical rotatory power (α), as shown in Figure 12. This relationship can be used to monitor the extent of hydrolysis more conveniently than direct phosphorus measurements.

b) Sizing

[0084] This step removes short-length oligosaccharides generated during the hydrolysis process. The hydrolysate obtained above was ultrafiltered through a 30kDa cut-off membrane (12 diafiltration volumes of 5 mM acetate buffer, pH 6.5). The retentate, containing the high Mw species, was discarded; the permeate was loaded onto a onto a Q-Sepharose Fast Flow column equilibrated in acetate buffer 5 mM, pH 6.5. The column was then washed with 5 column volumes (CV) of equilibrating buffer, then with 10 CV of 5 mM acetate buffer/125 mM NaCl pH 6.5 in order to remove oligosaccharides with DP≤6. The sized oligosaccharide was then eluted with 5 CV of 5mM acetate buffer/0.5 M NaCl pH 6.5.

[0085] The eluted oligosaccharide population has an average DP of about 15.

c) Introduction of a primary amino group at the reducing terminus

[0086] Ammonium salt (acetate or chloride) was added to the sized oligosaccharide solution for a final concentration ranging from 49-300 g/L, then sodium-cyano-borohydride was added to a final concentration ranging from 12-73 g/L. After adjusting the pH to between 6-7.3, the mixture was incubated at 37°C for 5 days.

[0087] The amino-oligosaccharides were then purified by tangential flow ultrafiltration with a 1kDa or 3kDa cut-off membrane using 13 diafiltration volumes of 0.5 M NaCl followed by 7 diafiltration volumes of 20mM NaCl. The purified amino-oligosaccharide solution was analysed for phosphorus

content (one chemical activity of the antigen) by the procedure of ref. 146 and the amount of introduced amino groups by the procedure of ref. 147.

[0088] The purified oligosaccharides were then dried with rotary evaporator to remove water.

d) Derivatisation to active ester

[0089] The dried amino-oligosaccharides were solubilised in distilled water at a 40mM amino group concentration, then 9 volumes of DMSO were added followed by triethyl-amine at a final concentration of 200mM. To the resulting solution, adipic acid N-hydroxysuccinimido diester was added for a final concentration of 480 mM.

[0090] The reaction was maintained under stirring at room temperature for 2 hours, then the activated oligosaccharide was precipitated with acetone (80% v/v final concentration). The precipitate was collected by centrifugation and washed several times with acetone to remove unreacted adipic acid N-hydroxysuccinimido diester and by-products. Finally the activated oligosaccharide was dried under vacuum.

[0091] The amount of active ester groups introduced into the oligosaccharide structure was determined by a colorimetric method as described in ref. 148.

e) Conjugation to CRM₁₉₇

[0092] The dried activated oligosaccharide was added to a 45 mg/ml solution of CRM₁₉₇ in 0.01M phosphate buffer pH 7.2 for an active ester/protein (mole/mole) ratio of 12:1. The reaction was maintained under stirring at room temperature overnight. After this period, the conjugate was purified by hydrophobic chromatography or tangential flow ultrafiltration. The purified MenA-CRM₁₉₇ conjugate was sterile filtered and stored at -20°C or -60°C until vaccine formulation.

[0093] The conjugate was analysed for: protein content (microBCA Protein Assay), MenA saccharide content (colorimetric analysis of phosphorus), free saccharide content, HPLC profile (on TSKgel G4000SW 7.5mm IDx30cm), and SDS-PAGE. Characteristics of typical preparations are shown in the following table:

Lot Code	Saccharide (mg/ml)	protein (mg/ml)	Glycosylation	KD
210201/A	0,257	0,864	0,3	0,489
210201/BS	0,308	1,354	0,23	0,503
210201/BL	0,28	1,482	0,19	0,501
351230595	0,138	0,3	0,46	
010900	0,092	0,337	0,27	
DP29	0,105	0,245	0,43	
A1 (UNSIZED)	0,08	0,291	0,27	
A2 (SIZED)	0,446	2,421	0,18	

hormonia in	, announcement of the second o	mannamanaman	hammanamanamana	mmmmmm	3
Lot Code	Saccharide (mg/ml)	protein (mg/ml)	Glycosylation	KD	-
3	·	***************************************	***************************************	***************************************	ž .

C. Conjugation of serogroup W135 polysaccharides

a) Hydrolysis

[0094] The group W meningococcal polysaccharide was hydrolysed in acetic 50 mM sodium acetate buffer, pH 4.7 for about 3 hours at 80°C. This resulted in oligosaccharides with an average DP of about 15 to 20 as determined by ratio between sialic acid (SA) and reduced terminal SA.

[0095] The DP ratio of (total SA) to (reduced terminal SA) is related to the KD of the as determined by HPLC-SEC, as shown in Figure 13. This relationship can be used to monitor the extent of hydrolysis more conveniently than direct SA measurements.

b) Sizing

[0096] The hydrolysate was ultrafiltered through a 30kDa cut-off membrane (12 to 20 diafiltration volumes of 5mM acetate buffer /15-30 mM NaCl pH 6.5). The retentate, containing the high MW species, was discarded while the permeate was loaded onto a Q-Sepharose Fast Flow column equilibrated in 5 mM acetate buffer/15 mM NaCl pH 6.5. The column was then washed with 10 CV equilibrating buffer, in order to remove oligosaccharides with DP \leq 3-4 and eluted with 3 CV 5 mM acetate buffer/500 mM NaCl pH 6.5.

c) Introduction of a primary amino group at the reducing terminus

[0097] Ammonium chloride or ammonium acetate was added to the sized oligosaccharide solution to a final concentration of 300g/L, then sodium-cyano-borohydride was added to 49g/L or 73g/L final concentration. The mixture was incubated at 50 °C for 3 days.

[0098] The amino-oligosaccharides were then purified by tangential flow ultrafiltration as described for serogroup A. The purified material was analysed for its content of sialic acid (colorimetric method according to ref. 149 and/or galactose (HPLC) (chemical activities of the MenW135 antigen). The purified oligosaccharides were then dried with rotary evaporator to remove water.

d) Derivatisation to active ester

[0099] The dried amino-oligosaccharides were derivatised as described above for serogroup A.

e) Conjugation to CRM₁₉₇

[0100] Conjugation was performed as described above for serogroup A but, to purify the conjugate, diafiltration with a 30 kDa membrane was used (50 diafiltration volumes of 10 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.2). The purified conjugate was sterile filtered and stored at -20°C or -60°C until vaccine formulation.

[0101] The conjugate was analysed for the same parameters as described above for serogroup A. MenW saccharide content was assayed by colorimetric sialic acid determination:

Lot code	saccharide (mg/ml)	protein (mg/ml)	Glycosylation	KD
lot 1	5,73	3,52	1,63	0,296
lot 2/4,5	3,51	2,88	1,22	0,308
lot 3S	2,49	2,25	1,11	0,380
lot 3Sd	2,03	2,24	0,91	0,394
lot 3L	2,32	2,3	1,01	0,391
lot 3Ld	1,94	2,29	0,85	0,383
Lot 3S/pr. Glic6	0,363	0,82	0,44	0,498
Lot 3S/pr. Glic9	0,424	0,739	0,57	0,447
Lot 3S/pr. Glic12	0,479	0,714	0,671	0,414

D. Conjugation of serogroup Y polysaccharides

a) Hydrolysis

[0102] The group Y meningococcal polysaccharide was hydrolysed as described above for serogroup W135. This gave oligosaccharides with an average DP of about 15 to 20 as determined by ratio between SA and reduced terminal SA (conveniently measured indirectly as described under C(a) above).

b) Sizing, c) Introduction of amino group, d) Derivatisation to active ester and e) Conjugation

[0103] These steps were performed as described above for serogroup W135. The purified conjugate was sterile filtered and stored at -20°C or -60°C until vaccine formulation.

[0104] The conjugate was analysed in the same way as described above for serogroup W135:

Lot Code	saccharide (mg/ml)	protein (mg/ml)	Glycosylation	KD
lot 1A	1,16	0,92	1,26	0,303
lot 1B	4,57	3,55	1,29	0,339

Lot Code	saccharide (mg/ml)	protein (mg/ml)	Glycosylation	KD
Lot 2/4,5	2,32	6,1	0,38	0,467
lot 2/6	1,75	5,73	0,3	0,498

E. Immunogenicity of individual conjugates

[0105] The frozen bulk conjugates were thawed. Each was diluted, under stirring, to a final concentration of 20µg saccharide/ml, 5mM phosphate, 9 mg/ml NaCl, aluminium phosphate (to give an Al³⁺ concentration of 0.6mg/ml), pH 7.2. The mixtures were then kept, without stirring, at 2-8°C overnight and further diluted with saline to 4µg saccharide/ml for mouse immunisation.

[0106] A second set of vaccines was prepared for each serogroup in the same way, but the addition of aluminium phosphate was replaced with same volume of water.

[0107] Ten Balb/c mice for each immunisation group were injected s.c. twice with 0.5 ml vaccine at weeks 0 and 4. Bleedings were performed before immunisation, the day before the second dose and 2 weeks after the second dose. Immunisations were performed with (a) the conjugate vaccine with or without alum, (b) saline control and (c) unconjugated polysaccharide control.

[0108] Specific anti-polysaccharide IgG antibodies were determined in the sera of immunised animals essentially as described in ref. 150. Each individual mouse serum was analysed in duplicate by a titration curve and GMT was calculated for each immunisation group. Titres were calculated in Mouse Elisa Units (MEU) using 'Titerun' software (FDA). Anti-polysaccharide titre specificity was determined by competitive ELISA with the relevant polysaccharide as competitor.

[0109] As shown in Figure 2, the MenA conjugate induced high antibody titres in animals. As expected, the unconjugated polysaccharide was not immunogenic. The conjugate formulation with an aluminium phosphate as adjuvant induced a higher level of antibodies compared to the titre obtained by the conjugate alone. Similar results were seen for MenY (Figure 3) and MenW135 (Figure 4).

[0110] The IgG subclass of the post-II immune responses was measured for various groups. Specific subclasses were determined using the same ELISA method as used for the determination of the total IgG titer in section E above, but using alkaline phosphatase-anti mouse -IgG1, -IgG2a, -IgG2b or -IgG3 (Zymed) as the secondary antibody. Titres were expressed as OD_{405nm} obtained after 30 minutes of substrate development using serum diluted 1:3200, and are shown in Figures 14 (MenA), 15 (MenW135) and 16 (MenY). Responses are primarily in subclass IgG1, which is the subclass predominantly induced in mice by T-dependent antigens. Because polysaccharides are inherently T-independent antigens which are not able to induce immunological memory, these data show that conjugation has had the desired effect.

[0111] Post-II sera were also tested for bactericidal activity using an in vitro assay to measure complement-mediated lysis of bacteria. Post-II sera were inactivated for 30 minutes at 56°C before

the use in the assay, and 25% baby rabbit complement was used as source of complement. Bactericidal titre was expressed as the reciprocal serum dilution yielding 50% killing of bacteria against the following strains: MenA G8238, A1, F6124; MenW135 5554(OAc+) and 242317(OAc-); MenY 242975(OAc-) and 240539(OAc+).

Results for MenA included:

Carrier	Poly/oligo saccharide	Approx. αDP	Aluminium adjuvant	GMT	Bactericidal activity
CRM ₁₉₇	Ο	15	-	461	F8238: 2048-4096; F6124: 2048-4096
CRM ₁₉₇	0	15	phosphate	920	F8238: 4096; F6124: 4096
-	Р	-	phosphate	3	F8238: 8; F6124: 128
CRM ₁₉₇	0	15	-	290	F8238: 512-1024
-	Р	-	-	2	F8238: <4
CRM ₁₉₇	0	15	-	155	F8238: 512-1024
CRM ₁₉₇	Ο	15	-	393	F8238: 1024
CRM ₁₉₇	Ο	15	-	396	-
CRM ₁₉₇	О	15	phosphate	1396	F8238: 4096
CRM ₁₉₇	Ο	15	phosphate	1461	F8238: 2048-4096
CRM ₁₉₇	0	15	phosphate	1654	F8238: 2048
CRM ₁₉₇	0	29	phosphate	1053	F8238: 2048
CRM ₁₉₇	unsized O	10	phosphate	1449	F8238: 2048
CRM ₁₉₇	0	15	phosphate	626	F8238: 2048-4096
CRM ₁₉₇	Ο	15	-	742	-
CRM ₁₉₇	O	15	_	2207	-
CRM ₁₉₇	0	29	_	1363	-
CRM ₁₉₇	unsized O	10	-	615	-
CRM ₁₉₇	0	15	phosphate	1515	-
CRM ₁₉₇	O	15	phosphate	876	-
CRM ₁₉₇	Ο	15	phosphate	1232	-
CRM ₁₉₇	Ο	15	phosphate	852	-
CRM ₁₉₇	Ο	15	phosphate	863	F8238: 2048; A1: 2048; F6124: >2048
CRM ₁₉₇	O	27	phosphate	1733	F8238: 4096-8192; F6124: 4096-8192
CRM ₁₉₇	Ο	15	phosphate	172	F8238: 1024; A1: 1024- 2048; F6124: 2048
CRM ₁₉₇	Ο	15	hydroxide	619	F8238: 1024; A1: 2048; F6124: 2048

Results for MenW135 included:

Carrier	Poly/oligo saccharide	OAc	Aluminium adjuvant	GMT	Bactericidal activity
CRM ₁₉₇	0	+	-	14	5554: 256-512
CRM ₁₉₇	0	+	phosphate	23	5554: 256-512
-	Р		-	-	5554: 4
CRM ₁₉₇	0	+	-	45	5554: 1024
CRM ₁₉₇	Ο	+	_	101	5554: 64-128
CRM ₁₉₇	О	+	_	80	5554: 256-512
CRM ₁₉₇	O	+	phosphate	221	5554: 1024-2048; 242317: 1024-2048
CRM ₁₉₇	Ο	-	-	52	5554: 512-1024
CRM ₁₉₇	О	-	phosphate	329	5554: 1024-2048; 242317: 1024-2048
CRM ₁₉₇	0	+	-	41	5554: 256-512
CRM ₁₉₇	О	+	phosphate	24	5554: 1024; 242317: 128- 256
CRM ₁₉₇	Ο	-	-	116	5554: 256-512
CRM ₁₉₇	О	-	phosphate	185	5554: 1024; 242317: 512- 1024
CRM ₁₉₇	0	+	phosphate	565	5554: 2048
CRM ₁₉₇	Ο	+	phosphate	328	5554: 512-1024
CRM ₁₉₇	Ο	+	phosphate	490	5554: 1024-2048
CRM ₁₉₇	О	+	hydroxide	189	5554: 512-1024; 242317: 512-1024
CRM ₁₉₇	Ο	+	phosphate	80	5554: 512-1024; 242317: 512-1024
CRM ₁₉₇	0	+	hydroxide	277	5554: 512-1024; 242317: 1024-2048

Results for MenY included:

Carrier	Poly/oligo saccharide	αDP	Aluminium adjuvant	GMT	Bactericidal activity
CRM ₁₉₇	0	>15	-	751	242975: 8192
CRM ₁₉₇	О	>15	phosphate	1190	242975: 8192-16384; 240539: 8192-16384
CRM ₁₉₇	Ο	>15	_	284	242975: 2048-4096
CRM ₁₉₇	Ο	>15	phosphate	775	242975: 2048-4096
-	P	-	_	-	242975: 256
CRM ₁₉₇	0	>15	_	1618	242975: 4096-8192

Carrier	Poly/oligo saccharide	αDP	Aluminium adjuvant	GMT	Bactericidal activity
CRM ₁₉₇	0	>15	-	2123	242975: 2048
CRM ₁₉₇	Ο	<10	_	253	242975: 512-1024
CRM ₁₉₇	0	<10	-	1060	242975: 256-512
CRM ₁₉₇	0	>15	hydroxide	1167	242975: 8192; 240539: 8192- 16384
CRM ₁₉₇	0	>15	phosphate	665	242975: 8192; 240539: 8192- 16384
CRM ₁₉₇	Ο	>15	phosphate	328	242975: 4096; 240539: 2048- 4096
CRM ₁₉₇	0	>15	hydroxide	452	242975: 2048; 240539: 1024- 2048

F. Immunogenicity of MenA conjugate in combination with MenC conjugate

[0112] CRM-MenC concentrated bulk (from Chiron Vaccines, Italy) was mixed with CRM-MenA concentrated bulk (obtained as described above) were diluted and mixed by stirring. Three different preparations were made. Each contained 20µg saccharide/ml for MenA, but different amounts of MenC conjugate were included: (i) 20µg saccharide/ml (ii) 10µg saccharide/ml; (iii) 5µg saccharide/ml. Ratios of MenA:MenC (w/w) were thus: (i) 1:1; (ii) 2:1; (iii) 4:1.

[0113] Each preparation also contained 5mM sodium phosphate, 9 mg/ml NaCl, aluminium phosphate (to give an Al³⁺ concentration of 0.6mg/ml), pH 7.2. Each mixture was then kept, without stirring, at 2-8°C overnight and further diluted 1:5 with saline before mice immunisation.

[0114] A second set of vaccines was prepared in the same way, but the addition of aluminium phosphate was replaced with same volume of water.

[0115] For each of the six vaccines, ten Balb/c mice were immunised as described above. Control groups received saline or MenA conjugate alone.

[0116] Anti-polysaccharide antibodies for MenA and MenC were determined as described above.

[0117] The results obtained with the mixture of MenA+MenC conjugates clearly indicate that the ratio (w/w) between A and C components plays a crucial role for MenA immunogenicity.

[0118] The specific anti-MenApS titre obtained with the MenA conjugate control was higher (with or without alum adjuvant) than for the MenA+MenC combination at the same dosage (Figure 5a). When a lower amount of MenC conjugate is used in the combination, a better anti-MenApS titre is induced by the MenA conjugate component. At the same time, the anti-MenC titre remains acceptable (Figure 5b).

[0119] Experiments were also performed using a guinea pig model. Three different preparations were made, using the same aluminium phosphate adjuvant as before (amorphous hydroxyphosphate, PO₄/Al molar ratio between 0.84 and 0.92, 0.6mg Al³⁺/ml):

Preparation	- ;		MenA : MenC ratio				
А	20 μg/ml	20 μg/ml	1 : 1				
В	40 μg/ml	20 μg/ml	2 : 1				
С	20 μg/ml	10 μg/ml	1 : ½				
* Expressed as saccharide							

[0120] These preparations were diluted 1 : 2 with saline and used to immunise guinea pigs. Five guinea pigs (Hartelley strain, female, 450-500 grams) for each immunisation group were injected s.c. twice with 0.5 ml vaccine at days 0 and 28. Bleedings were performed before the first immunisation and then at day 42. Sera were stored at -70°C prior to analysis by ELISA and serum bactericidal assay (against MenA strain MK 83/94 or .MenC strain C11). Results are shown in Figure 19.

G. Combination vaccine for serogroups C, W135 and Y

[0121] Conjugates of polysaccharides from serogroups C, W135 and Y were mixed as described above to give a final concentration of 20µg saccharide/ml for each conjugate. The vaccine contained a final concentration of 5mM sodium phosphate and 9 mg/ml NaCl, pH 7.2. After overnight storage, the mixture was diluted to contain 4µg saccharide/ml for each conjugate for immunisation.

[0122] Immunisations and analysis took place as before.

[0123] The results show that the immunogenicity of MenW135 conjugate is enhanced when administered in combination with MenC and MenY conjugates, when compared to that obtained with the MenW135 conjugate alone (Figure 6). MenY immunogenicity was comparable in the combination to that obtained with the individual conjugate (Figure 7) and was also comparable to the immunogenicity of the MenC conjugate (Figure 8).

H. Combination vaccine for serogroups A, C, W135 and Y

[0124] Conjugates of polysaccharides from serogroups A, C, W135 and Y were mixed as described above to give a final concentration of 20μg saccharide/ml for the serogroup A, W135 and Y conjugates and 5μg saccharide/ml for the serogroup C conjugate. The vaccine contained a final concentration of 5mM sodium phosphate, 9 mg/ml NaCl, aluminium phosphate (to give an Al³⁺ concentration of 0.6mg/ml), pH 7.2. The mixture was then kept, without stirring, at 2-8°C overnight and further diluted with saline to give 4μg saccharide/ml for the A, W135 and Y conjugates and 1μg saccharide/ml for the C conjugate. This diluted mixture was used for immunisation.

[0125] Immunisations and analysis took place as before, with controls including the individual

conjugates except for serogroup C.

[0126] Figure 9 shows that, as before, the immunogenicity of the MenW135 conjugate was enhanced when administered in combination with the MenA, MenC and MenY conjugates. Figure 10 shows that the immunogenicity of the MenY conjugate is not significantly different when delivered in combination with the MenA, MenC and MenW135 conjugates. Figure 11 shows that the immunogenicity of the MenA conjugate decreases markedly in the combination, even with the MenC conjugate administered at a lower dosage (¼). This antigenic competition is not seen in the nonconjugated tetravalent (ACWY) polysaccharide vaccine [5].

I. Lyophilised serogroup A antigen

[0127] The capsular polysaccharide of serogroup A *N.meningitidis* is particularly susceptible to hydrolysis. Conjugates of MenA capsular oligosaccharide were therefore prepared in lyophilised form, ready for re-constitution at the time of administration. The lyophilised form was prepared to have components which give the following composition after reconstitution into a unit dose:

Component	Concentration
CRM-MenA	20μg saccharide/ml
Potassium phosphate buffer	5 mM
Mannitol	15 mg/ml

[0128] This composition has no adjuvant. Two adjuvants were prepared for its reconstitution:

Component	Concentration	Concentration				
Aluminium hydroxide	0.68 mg Al ³⁺ /ml	-				
Aluminium phosphate*	-	0.6mg Al ³⁺ /ml				
Sodium phosphate buffer	-	10 mM				
Histidine buffer	10 mM	_				
Sodium chloride	9 mg/ml	9 mg/ml				
Tween 80	0.005%	0.005%				
PH	7.2±0.05	7.2±0.05				
* amorphous hydroxyphosphate, PO ₄ /Al molar ratio between 0.84 and 0.92						

[0129] When reconstituted with water for injection, stability of the saccharide component was as follows:

	S	tored at 2-8°C		Stored at 36-38°C			
Time (days)	Total saccharide (µg/ml)	Free saccharide (µg/ml)	Free saccharide %	Total saccharide (µg/ml)	Free saccharide (µg/ml)	Free saccharide %	
0	17.72	1.04	5.9	17.72	1.04	5.9	

	S	tored at 2-8°(Stored at 36-38°C			
Time (days)	Total saccharide (µg/ml)	Free saccharide (µg/ml)	Free saccharide %	Total saccharide (µg/ml)	Free saccharide (µg/ml)	Free saccharide %	
15	17.01	0.88	5.2	16.52	2.26	13.7	
30	17.82	0.89	5.0	17.29	2.64	15.3	

[0130] Over the same 4 week time scale, pH was stable at 7.2 both at 2-8°C and at 36-38°C, protein content was stable at around 24.5µg/ml, and moisture content was below 2.5%.

[0131] When reconstituted with the aluminium phosphate adjuvant solution at and stored at 2-8°C, stability was as follows:

Time (hours)	Total saccharide (µg/ml)	Free saccharide (µg/ml)	Free saccharide %
0	16.62	1.09	6.6
24	16.51	0.98	5.9
48	16.83	0.99	5.9

J. Combination vaccine for serogroups A, C, W135 and Y (lyophilised serogroup A conjugate)

[0132] A trivalent mixture of the MenC, W135 and Y components either adsorbed onto an aluminium hydroxide adjuvant (2mg/ml) or mixed with an aluminium phosphate adjuvant (amorphous hydroxyphosphate, PO₄/Al molar ratio between 0.84 and 0.92, 0.6mg/ml Al³⁺, in presence of 10mM phosphate buffer) was prepared. The compositions of the two trivalent mixtures were as follows:

Component	Concentration	Concentration						
Aluminium hydroxide	0.68 mg Al ³⁺ /ml	-						
Aluminium phosphate*	-	0.6mg Al ³⁺ /ml						
CRM-MenC	20µg saccharide/ml	20µg saccharide/ml						
CRM-MenY	20μg saccharide/ml	20µg saccharide/ml						
CRM-MenW135	20μg saccharide/ml	20µg saccharide/ml						
Sodium phosphate buffer	-	10 mM						
Histidine buffer	10 mM	-						
Sodium chloride	9 mg/ml	9 mg/ml						
Tween 80	0.005%	0.005%						
* amorphous hydroxyphosphate,	amorphous hydroxyphosphate, PO ₄ /Al molar ratio between 0.84 and 0.92							

[0133] For the hydroxide mixture, stability of the saccharide components were as follows:

Time	Stored at	2-8°C	Stored at 36-38°C			
(days)	Free saccharide (µg/ml)	Free saccharide %	Free saccharide (µg/ml)	Free saccharide %		
MenC bulk						
0	<1.2	<6	<1.2	<6		
15	<1.2	<6	<1.2	<6		
30	<1.2	<6	<1.2	<6		
MenC vials						
0	<1.2	<6	<1.2	<6		
15	<1.2	<6	<1.2	<6		
30	<1.2	<6	1.3	6.6		
MenW135 I	oulk					
0	2.5	12.5	2.5	12.5		
15	2.3	11.4	3.4	16.8		
30	2.3	11.5	3.5	17.3		
MenW135	vials					
0	2.1	10.6	2.1	10.6		
15	2.3	11.7	2.7	13.3		
30	20.	10.2	3.3	16.3		
MenY bulk						
0	1.7	8.3	1.7	8.3		
15	<1.3	<6.3	2.0	10.2		
30	1.3	6.3	2.4	12.2		
MenY vials						
0	1.4	7.1	1.4	7.1		
15	1.5	7.6	2.1	10.7		
30	1.3	6.3	2.9	14.3		

[0134] Over the same 4 week time scale, pH was stable at 7.15±0.05 both at 2-8°C and at 36-38°C.

[0135] For the phosphate mixture, stability of the saccharide components were as follows:

		tored at 2-8°C)	Stored at 36-38°C					
Time (days)	Total saccharide (µg/ml)	Free saccharide (µg/ml)	Free saccharide %	Total saccharide (µg/ml)	Free saccharide (µg/ml)	Free saccharide %			
3	MenC bulk								
0	22.8	<1.0	<5	22.8	<1.0	<5			

	S	tored at 2-8°0		Sto	ored at 36-38°	°C
Time (days)	Total saccharide (µg/ml)	Free saccharide (µg/ml)	Free saccharide %	Total saccharide (µg/ml)	Free saccharide (µg/ml)	Free saccharide %
MenC b						
15	17.2	<1.0	<5	18.6	<1.0	<5
30	18.9	<1.0	<5	20.5	<1.0	<5
MenC v	vials					
0	20.5	<1.0	<5	20.5	<1.0	<5
15	18.3	<1.0	<5	23.4	<1.0	<5
30	18.0	<1.0	<5	20.5	<1.0	<5
MenW1	35 bulk					
0	20.7	2.0	10.4	20.7	2.0	10.4
15	21.9	2.3	11.6	21.2	2.1	10.3
30	19.6	2.1	10.6	21.0	2.4	11.8
MenW1	35 vials					
0	23.4	1.7	8.4	23.4	1.7	8.4
15	21.2	1.9	9.5	20.1	2.2	11.1
30	20.1	2.2	11.2	21.3 3.2		16.1
MenY b	ulk					
0	19.1	<1.1	<5.3	19.1	<1.1	<5.3
15	20.1	1.4	6.8	18.7	1.3	6.4
30	18.6	1.4	7.6	19.2	1.7	8.3
MenY v	rials	***************************************	***************************************	***************************************	***************************************	
0	21.4	<1.1	<5.3	21.4	<1.1	<5.3
15	19.6	1.4	6.8	19.0	1.5	7.4
30	17.7	1.2	6.2	18.4	1.9	9.4

[0136] Over the same 4 week time scale, pH was stable at 7.05±0.05 both at 2-8°C and at 36-38°C.

[0137] The trivalent liquid compositions wer diluted and 0.5ml used to reconstitute the lyophilised MenA conjugate. The resulting tetravalent mixture was administered to ten Balb/c mice (female 6-8 weeks old) per group by subcutaneous injection at day 0 and 28. The mixture contained 2µg of each saccharide conjugate per dose, which represents 1/5 of the single human dose (SHD). Controls were saline or unconjugated homologous polysaccharides. Bleedings were performed before immunization and then at day 42, with sera stored at -70°C. IgG was determined as described above.

[0138] All the conjugates used were safe and immunogenic in the animals. GMT post-II ELISA titres (with 95% confidence intervals) were as follows:

Vaccine	Adjuvant	Α	Υ	W135	С	
	Aluminium	172	_	_	_	
MenA (lyophilised and	phosphate	(69-439)	_	_		
resuspended)	Aluminium	619				
	hydroxide	(419- 906)	-	-	-	
	Aluminium		328			
MenY	phosphate	-	(147- 731)	-	-	
TVI CIT I	Aluminium		452			
	hydroxide	-	(344- 593)	-	-	
	Aluminium	_	_	80	_	
	phosphate	_		(28-225)	-	
MenW	Aluminium			277		
	hydroxide	-	-	(185- 411)	-	
	Aluminium				317	
MenC	phosphate	_	-	-	(152- 659)	
IVIGITO	Aluminium				723	
	hydroxide	-	-	-	(615- 851)	
	Aluminium	32	397	99	114	
MenA (lyophilized) +	phosphate	(15-68)	(252- 627)	(35-288)	(53-246)	
MenC,W135,Y	Aluminium	206	141	139	163	
	hydroxide	(112- 372)	(97-205)	(76-251)	(122- 218)	

Figure 17 shows the results of IgG subclass analysis for: (17A) MenA; (17B) MenC; (17C) MenW135; and (17D) MenY. IgG1 is clearly the most prominent subclass.

[0139] Serum bactericidal titres were as follows:

Vaccine	Adjuvant	Anti-MenA		Anti-MenY		Anti- MenW135		Anti- MenC	
		F8238	A1	F6124	242975	240539	5554	242317	C11
MenA	Aluminium phosphate	1		1	-	-	-	-	-
(lyophilised)	illised) Aluminium 1024- 1024- 2048 hydroxide 2048 2048	-	-	-	-	-			

Vaccine	Adjuvant	Anti-MenA		Anti-MenY		3	nti- nW135	Anti- MenC	
		F8238	A 1	F6124	242975	240539	5554	242317	C11
MenY	Aluminium phosphate	-	-	-	4096	2048- 4096	-	-	-
IVICIII	Aluminium hydroxide	-	-	-	2048	1024- 2048	-	-	-
MenW	Aluminium phosphate	-	-	-	-	-	512	512- 1024	-
IVICITV	Aluminium hydroxide	-	-	-	-	-	1024	1024- 2048	-
MenC	Aluminium phosphate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2048- 4096
IVICIIO	Aluminium hydroxide	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4096
MenA (lyophilized) +	Aluminium phosphate	128- 256	1024	1024- 2048	2048	-	256- 512	1024	512
MenC,W135,Y	Aluminium hydroxide	512	1024- 2048	1024- 2048	2048- 4096	-	256- 512	1024	512- 1024

K. Combination vaccine for serogroups A, C, W135 and Y (different dosages)

[0140] Mice were immunised as described above, but the vaccine compositions contained different ratios of the various oligosaccharide conjugates. Doses were variously 0.5, 1, 2 or 4 μ g/dose. Lyophilised MenA oligo-conjugate was used in all experiments.

[0141] ELISA titres were as follows:

An	Antigen quantity (µg/dose)			Aluminium	GMT ELISA (95% confidence interval)					
Α	С	W135	Υ	adjuvant	Α	С	W135	Y		
4	2	2	2	Phosphate	177 (107- 291)	367 (263- 510)	239 (135- 424)	239 (184- 311)		
4	2	2	2	Hydroxide	390 (313- 486)	494 (345- 706)	338 (266- 430)	158 (96- 260)		
2	2	2	2	Phosphate	132 (59- 296)	582 (268- 1155)	143 (75- 272)	247 (152- 400)		
2	2	2	2	Hydroxide	337 (239- 476)	569 (462- 679)	171 (117- 251)	100 (59- 169)		
4	2	1	1	Phosphate	137 (47- 397)	192 (88- 421)	18 (4-75)	315 (174- 571)		
4	2	1	0.5	Phosphate	152 (85- 271)	207 (100- 428)	51 (21- 125)	220 (125- 388)		

An	Antigen quantity (µg/dose)			Aluminium	GMT ELISA (95% confidence interval)					
Α	С	W135	Υ	adjuvant	Α	С	W135	Y		
4	2	1	2	Phosphate	113 (49- 263)	230 (98- 540)	23 (6-91)	267 (81- 877)		
4	2	0.5	1	Phosphate	267 (109- 656)	504 (300- 847)	46 (15- 134)	583 (330- 1030)		
4	2	2	1	Phosphate	87 (49- 155)	118 (51- 278)	24 (8-72)	214 (140- 326)		
2	2	1	1	Phosphate	217 (132- 355)	514 (332- 796)	110 (66- 183)	206 (141- 300)		
2	2	1	0.5	Phosphate	105 (40- 279)	381 (180- 808)	90 (34- 236)	206 (96- 445)		
2	2	1	2	Phosphate	155 (71- 339)	374 (196- 713)	53 (28- 100)	502 (335- 752)		
2	2	0.5	1	Phosphate	224 (125- 400)	358 (223- 577)	43 (14- 128)	624 (426- 914)		
2	2	2	1	Phosphate	180 (113- 288)	306 (190- 492)	70 (34- 146)	423 (258- 696)		

[0142] Serum bactericidal titres were as follows:

Aı	Antigen quantity (µg/dose)			Aluminium adjuvant	Bactericidal antibody titre					
Α	С	W135	Υ	aujuvani	Α	С	W135	Y		
4	2	2	2	Phosphate	256-512	1024- 2048	1024- 2048	4096-8192		
4	2	2	2	Hydroxide	1024- 2048	256-512	1024- 2048	1024-2048		
2	2	2	2	Phosphate	512-1024	1024- 2048	128-256	8192- 16384		
2	2	2	2	Hydroxide	256	1024- 2048	256	512-1024		
4	2	1	1	Phosphate	512-1024	2048	128	2048-4096		
4	2	1	0.5	Phosphate	512-1024	1024- 2048	128	2048-4096		
4	2	1	2	Phosphate	512-1024	2048- 4096	128	8192- 16384		
4	2	0.5	1	Phosphate	1024- 2048	8192	256-512	8192- 16384		
4	2	2	1	Phosphate	-	2048- 4096	128	4096-8192		
2	2	1	1	Phosphate	1024- 2048	1024- 2048	256	4096-8192		

Antigen quantity (µg/dose)			ity	Aluminium adjuvant	Bactericidal antibody titre					
Α	С	W135	Υ	aujuvani	Α	С	W135	Υ		
2	2	1	0.5	Phosphate	1024- 2048	2048- 4096	256-512	2048-4096		
2	2	1	2	Phosphate	512-1024	1024- 2048	128	8192- 16384		
2	2	0.5	1	Phosphate	1024- 2048	2048	256-512	4096-8192		
2	2	2	1	Phosphate	128-256	512-1024	64-128	1024-2048		

[0143] A second set of experiments was performed using a dosage of 2 μ g/ml saccharide for MenA and MenC, half that dosage for MenY, and a quarter dosage for MenW135. ELISA titres were as follows:

Ar	Antigen quantity (µg/dose)			Aluminium	GMT ELISA (95% confidence interval)					
Α	С	W135	Υ	adjuvant	Α	С	W135	Υ		
2	2	2	2	Phosphate	32 (15-68)	114 (53- 246)	99 (35- 288)	397 (252- 627)		
		2	Hydroxide	206 (112- 372)	163 (122- 218)	139 (76- 251)	141 (97- 205)			
2	2	1	0.5	Phosphate	96 (49- 187)	238 (101- 561)	42 (20- 89)	315 (114- 867)		
<u> </u>	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	I	0.5	Hydroxide	293 (144- 597)	267 (158- 451)	83 (43- 163)	244 (152- 392)		

[0144] Serum bactericidal titres were as follows:

Antigen quantity (µg/dose)		Aluminium adjuvant	Α			С	W	135	Υ												
Α	С	W	Υ	-	F8238	A1	F6124	C11	5554	242317	242975										
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	Phosphate	128- 256	1024	1024- 2048	512	256- 512	1024	2048				
	۷	2								_		۷	~		_	Hydroxide	512	1024- 2048	1024- 2048	512- 1024	256- 512
2	2	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0 5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	Phosphate	256	-	1024- 2048	512	256- 512	1024	2048- 4096
_	2	l		Hydroxide	128	-	512- 1024	512- 1024	512- 1024	1024	1024										

L. MenA, W135 and Yoligosaccharide conjugates

[0145] The following table shows data relating to MenA, MenW135 and MenY conjugates sui	table
for making combination compositions disclosed herein:	

	Α	W135	Y
DP after sizing	16,6	21,9	21,1
Saccharide/protein ratio		1,1	0,7
KD	0,44	0,36	0,41
Free saccharide		10%	5%
Free protein		<2%	<2%

[0146] It will be understood that the invention has been described by way of example only and modifications may be made whilst remaining within the scope of the invention, which is defined in the appended claims.

[0147] REFERENCES (the contents of which are hereby incorporated in full)

- 1. [1] Frash (1990) pp.123-145 of Advances in Biotechnological Processes vol. 13 (eds. Mizrahi & Van Wezel)
- 2. [2] Armand et al. (1982) J. Biol. Stand. 10:335-339.
- 3. [3] Cadoz et al. (1985) Vaccine 3:340-342.
- 4. [4] MMWR (1997) 46(RR-5) 1-10.
- 5. [5] Baklaic et al. (1983) Infect. Immun. 42:599-604.
- 6. [6] Costantino et al. (1992) Vaccine 10:691-698.
- 7. [7] WO02/00249.
- 8. [8] Inzana (1987) Infect. Immun. 55:1573-1579.
- 9. [9] WO98/32873.
- 10. [10] US patent 4,753,796.
- 11. [11] European patent 0072513.
- 12. [12] UK patent application 0207117.3.
- 13. [13] Pon et al. (1997) J Exp Med 185:1929-1938.
- 14. [14] Ravenscroft et al. (1999) Vaccine 17:2802-2816.
- 15. [15] Ramsay et al. (2001) Lancet 357(9251):195-196.
- 16. [16] Lindberg (1999) Vaccine 17 Suppl 2:S28-36.
- 17. [17] Buttery & Moxon (2000) J R Coll Physicians Lond 34:163-168.
- 18. [18] Ahmad & Chapnick (1999) Infect Dis Clin North Am 13:113-133, vii.
- 19. [19] Goldblatt (1998) J. Med. Microbiol. 47:563-567.
- 20. [20] European patent 0477508.
- 21. [21] US patent 5,306,492.
- 22. [22] WO98/42721.
- 23. [23] Dick et al. in Conjugate Vaccines (eds. Cruse et al.) Karger, Basel, 1989, Vol. 10, pp. 48-114.
- 24. [24] Hermanson Bioconjugate Techniques, Academic Press, San Diego (1996) ISBN: 0123423368.
- 25. [25] Anonymous (Jan 2002) Research Disclosure, 453077.

- 26. [26] Anderson (1983) Infect Immun 39(1):233-238.
- 27. [27] Anderson et al. (1985) J Clin Invest 76(1):52-59.
- 28. [28] EP-A-0372501.
- 29. [29] EP-A-0378881.
- 30. [30] EP-A-0427347.
- 31. [31] WO93/17712
- 32. [32] WO94/03208.
- 33. [33] WO98/58668.
- 34. [34] EP-A-0471177.
- 35. [35] WO91/01146
- 36. [36] Falugi et al. (2001) Eur J Immunol 31:3816-3824.
- 37. [37] WO00/56360.
- 38. [38] WO00/61761.
- 39. [39] WO99/42130
- 40. [40] WO96/40242
- 41. [41] Lees et al. (1996) Vaccine 14:190-198.
- 42. [42] WO95/08348.
- 43. [43] US patent 4,882,317
- 44. [44] US patent 4,695,624
- 45. [45] Mol. Immunol., 1985, 22, 907-919
- 46. [46] EP-A-0208375
- 47. [47] WO00/10599
- 48. [48] Gever et al., Med. Microbiol. Immunol, 165: 171-288 (1979).
- 49. [49] US patent 4,057,685.
- 50. [50] US patents 4,673,574; 4,761,283; 4,808,700.
- 51. [51] US patent 4,459,286.
- 52. [52] US patent 4,965,338
- 53. [53] US patent 4,663,160.
- 54. [54] US patent 4,761,283
- 55. [55] US patent 4,356,170
- 56. [56] Lei et al. (2000) Dev Biol (Basel) 103:259-264.
- 57. [57] WO00/38711; US patent 6,146,902.
- 58. [58] McLeod Griffiss et al. (1981) Infect. Immun. 34:725-732.
- 59. [59] WO99/24578.
- 60. [60] WO99/36544.
- 61. [61] WO99/57280.
- 62. [62] WO00/22430.
- 63. [63] Tettelin et al. (2000) Science 287:1809-1815.
- 64. [64] Pizza et al. (2000) Science 287:1816-1820.
- 65. [65] WO01/52885.
- 66. [66] Bjune et al. (1991) Lancet 338(8775):1093-1096.
- 67. [67] Fukasawa et al. (1999) Vaccine 17:2951-2958.
- 68. [68] Rosenqvist et al. (1998) Dev. Biol. Stand. 92:323-333.
- 69. [69] WO96/14086.
- 70. [70] Covacci & Rappuoli (2000) J. Exp. Med. 19:587-592.
- 71. [71] WO93/18150.
- 72. [72] Covacci et al. (1993) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 90: 5791-5795.

- 73. [73] Tummuru et al. (1994) Infect. Immun. 61:1799-1809.
- 74. [74] Marchetti et al. (1998) Vaccine 16:33-37.
- 75. [75] Telford et al. (1994) J. Exp. Med. 179:1653-1658.
- 76. [76] Evans et al. (1995) Gene 153:123-127.
- 77. [77] WO96/01272 & WO96/01273, especially SEQ ID NO:6.
- 78. [78] WO97/25429.
- 79. [79] WO98/04702.
- 80. [80] Watson (2000) Pediatr Infect Dis J 19:331-332.
- 81. [81] Rubin (2000) Pediatr Clin North Am 47:269-285, v.
- 82. [82] Jedrzejas (2001) Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 65:187-207.
- 83. [83] Bell (2000) Pediatr Infect Dis J 19:1187-1188.
- 84. [84] Iwarson (1995) APMIS 103:321-326.
- 85. [85] Gerlich et al. (1990) Vaccine 8 Suppl:S63-68 & 79-80.
- 86. [86] WO93/24148.
- 87. [87] Costantino et al. (1999) Vaccine 17:1251-1263.
- 88. [88] WO97/00697.
- 89. [89] Hsu et al. (1999) Clin Liver Dis 3:901-915.
- 90. [90] WO02/02606.
- 91. [91] Kalman et al. (1999) Nature Genetics 21:385-389.
- 92. [92] Read et al. (2000) Nucleic Acids Res 28:1397-406.
- 93. [93] Shirai et al. (2000) J. Infect. Dis. 181(Suppl 3):S524-S527.
- 94. [94] WO99/27105.
- 95. [95] WO00/27994.
- 96. [96] WO00/37494.
- 97. [97] WO99/28475.
- 98. [98] Ross et al. (2001) Vaccine 19:4135-4142.
- 99. [99] Sutter et al. (2000) Pediatr Clin North Am 47:287-308.
- 100. [100] Zimmerman & Spann (1999) Am Fam Physician 59:113-118, 125-126.
- 101. [101] Dreesen (1997) Vaccine 15 Suppl:S2-6.
- 102. [102] MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1998 Jan 16;47(1):12, 19.
- 103. [103] Vaccines (1988) eds. Plotkin & Mortimer. ISBN 0-7216-1946-0.
- 104. [104] McMichael (2000) Vaccine 19 Suppl 1:S101-107.
- 105. [105] Schuchat (1999) Lancet 353(9146):51-6.
- 106. [106] WO02/34771.
- 107. [107] Dale (1999) Infect Dis Clin North Am 13:227-43, viii.
- 108. [108] Ferretti et al. (2001) PNAS USA 98: 4658-4663.
- 109. [109] Kuroda et al. (2001) Lancet 357(9264):1225-1240; see also pages 1218-1219.
- 110. [110] Anderson (2000) Vaccine 19 Suppl 1:S59-65.
- 111. [111] Kahn (2000) Curr Opin Pediatr 12:257-262.
- 112. [112] Crowe (1995) Vaccine 13:415-421.
- 113. [113] J Toxicol Clin Toxicol (2001) 39:85-100.
- 114. [114] Demicheli et al. (1998) Vaccine 16:880-884.
- 115. [115] Stepanov et al. (1996) J Biotechnol 44:155-160.
- 116. [116] Wassilak & Orenstein, Chapter 4 of Vaccines (eds. Plotkin & Mortimer), 1988.
- 117. [117] Gustafsson et al. (1996) N. Engl. J. Med. 334:349-355.
- 118. [118] Rappuoli et al. (1991) TIBTECH 9:232-238.
- 119. [119] WO97/28273.

- 120. [120] Lieberman et al. (1996) JAMA 275:1499-1503.
- 121. [121] WO00/56365.
- 122. [122] Gennaro (2000) Remington: The Science and Practice of Pharmacy. 20th ed ISBN: 0683306472
- 123. [123] Vaccine Design... (1995) eds. Powell & Newman. ISBN: 030644867X. Plenum.
- 124. [124] WO90/14837.
- 125. [125] US patent 6,299,884.
- 126. [126] WO00/07621.
- 127. [127] WO99/44636.
- 128. [128] GB-2220221.
- 129. [129] EP-A-0689454.
- 130. [130] WO00/56358.
- 131. [131] EP-A-0835318.
- 132. [132] EP-A-0735898.
- 133. [133] EP-A-0761231.
- 134. [134] WO99/52549.
- 135. [135] WO01/21207.
- 136. [136] WO01/21152.
- 137. [137] WO00/62800.
- 138. [138] WO00/23105.
- 139. [139] WO99/11241.
- 140. [140] WO98/57659.
- 141. [141] Del Giudice et al. (1998) Molecular Aspects of Medicine, vol. 19, number 1.
- 142. [142] WO99/27960.
- 143. [143] WO98/20734.
- 144. [144] UK patent application 0118249.2.
- 145. [145] WO01/30390.
- 146. [146] Chen et al. (1956) Anal. Chem. (1956) 28:1756-1758.
- 147. [147] Habeeb et al. (1966) Anal. Biochem. 14:328-336.
- 148. [148] Miron & Wilchek (1982) Anal. Biochem. 126:433-435.
- 149. [149] Svennerholm (1957) Biochem. Biophys. Acta 24:604-611.
- 150. [150] Carlone et al (1992) J. Clin. Microbiol. 30:154-159.

REFERENCES CITED IN THE DESCRIPTION

This list of references cited by the applicant is for the reader's convenience only. It does not form part of the European patent document. Even though great care has been taken in compiling the references, errors or omissions cannot be excluded and the EPO disclaims all liability in this regard.

Patent documents cited in the description

DK/EP 2263688 T4

- WO9602555A [0060]
- WO9816247A [0060]
- WO9818810A [0060]
- WO9840100A [0060]
- WO9855495A [0060]
- WO9837919A [0060]
- WO9852581A [0060]
- WO0200249A [0147]
- WO9832873A [0147]
- US4753796A [0147]
- EP0072513A [0147]
- GB0207117A [0147]
- EP0477508A [0147]
- US5306492A [0147]
- WO9842721A [0147]
- EP0372501A [0147]
- EP0378881A [0147]
- EP0427347A [0147]
- WO9317712A [0147]
- WO9403208A [0147]
- WO9858668A [0147]
- EP0471177A [0147]
- WO9101146A [0147]
- WO0056360A [0147]
- WO0061761A [0147]
- WO9942130A [0147]
- W09640242A [0147]
- WO9508348A [0147]
- <u>US4882317A</u> [0147]
- US4695624A [0147]
- EP0208375A [0147]
- WO0010599A [0147]
- US4057685A [0147]
- US4673574A [0147]
- US4761283A [0147] [0147]
- US4808700A [0147]
- US4459286A [0147]
- US4965338A [0147]
- US4663160A [0147]
- US4356170A [0147]
- WO0038711A [0147]
- US6146902A [0147]
- WO9924578A [0147]
- WO9936544A [0147]
- WO9957280A [0147]
- WO0022430A [0147]
- WO0152885A [0147]

- WO9614086A [0147]
- WO9318150A [0147]
- WO9601272A [0147]
- WO9601273A [0147]
- WO9725429A [0147]
- WO9804702A [0147]
- WO9324148A [0147]
- WO9700697A [0147]
- WO0202606A [0147]
- WO9927105A [0147]
- WO0027994A [0147]
- WO0037494A [0147]
- W09928475A [0147]
- WO0234771A [0147]
- WO9728273A [0147]
- WO0056365A [0147]
- WO9014837A [0147]
- <u>US6299884B</u> [0147]
- <u>WO0007621A</u> [0147]
- WO9944636A [0147]
- GB2220221A [0147]
- EP0689454A [0147]
- WO0056358A [0147]
- EP0835318A [0147]
- EP0735898A [0147]
- EP0761231A [0147]
- WO9952549A [0147]
- WO0121207A [0147]
- WO0121152A [0147]
- WO0062800A [0147]
 WO0023105A [0147]
- WO9911241A [0147]
- WO9857659A [0147]
- WO9927960A [0147]
- WO9820734A [0147]
 GB0118249A [0147]
- WO0130390A [0147]

Non-patent literature cited in the description

- ROMAN et al.Nat. Med., 1997, vol. 3, 849-854 [0060]
- WEINER et al.PNAS USA, 1997, vol. 94, 10833-10837 [0060]
- DAVIS et al.J. Immunol., 1998, vol. 160, 870-876 [0060]


- CHU et al.J. Exp. Med., 1997, vol. 186, 1623-1631 [0060]
- LIPFORD et al.Eur. J. Immunol., 1997, vol. 27, 2340-2344 [0060]
- MOLDOVEANU et al. Vaccine, 1988, vol. 16, 1216-1224 [0060]
- KRIEG et al.Nature, 1995, vol. 374, 546-549 [0060]
- KLINMAN et al. PNAS USA, 1996, vol. 93, 2879-2883 [0060]
- BALLAS et al.J. Immunol., 1996, vol. 157, 1840-1845 [0060]
- COWDERY et al.J. Immunol., 1996, vol. 156, 4570-4575 [0060]
- HALPERN et al. Cell. Immunol., 1996, vol. 167, 72-78 [0060]
- YAMAMOTO et al.Jpn. J. Cancer Res., 1988, vol. 79, 866-873 [0060]
- STACEY et al.J. Immunol., 1996, vol. 157, 2116-2122 [0060]
- MESSINA et al.J. Immunol., 1991, vol. 147, 1759-1764 [0060]
- YI et al.J. Immunol., 1996, vol. 157, 4918-4925 [0060]
- YI et al.J. Immunol., 1996, vol. 157, 5394-5402 [0060]
- YI et al.J. Immunol., 1998, vol. 160, 4755-4761 [0060]
- YI et al.J. Immunol., 1998, vol. 160, 5898-5906 [0060]
- FRASHAdvances in Biotechnological Processes19900000vol. 13, 123-145 [0147]
- ARMAND et al.J. Biol. Stand., 1982, vol. 10, 335-339 [0147]
- CADOZ et al. Vaccine, 1985, vol. 3, 340-342 [0147]
- MMWR, 1997, vol. 46, RR-51-10 [0147]
- BAKLAIC et al.Infect. Immun., 1983, vol. 42, 599-604 [0147]
- COSTANTINO et al. Vaccine, 1992, vol. 10, 691-698 [0147]
- INZANAInfect. Immun., 1987, vol. 55, 1573-1579 [0147]
- PON et al.J Exp Med, 1997, vol. 185, 1929-1938 [0147]
- RAVENSCROFT et al. Vaccine, 1999, vol. 17, 2802-2816 [0147]
- RAMSAY et al.Lancet, 2001, vol. 357, 9251195-196 [0147]
- LINDBERGVaccine, 1999, vol. 17, 228-36 [0147]
- BUTTERYMOXONJ R Coll Physicians Lond, 2000, vol. 34, 163-168 [0147]
- AHMADCHAPNICKInfect Dis Clin North Am, 1999, vol. 13, 113-133 [0147]
- GOLDBLATTJ. Med. Microbiol., 1998, vol. 47, 563-567 [0147]
- DICK et al.Conjugate Vaccines19890000vol. 10, 48-114 [0147]
- HERMANSONBioconjugate TechniquesAcademic Press19960000 [0147]
- ANONYMOUSResearch Disclosure, 2002, 453077- [0147]
- ANDERSONInfect Immun, 1983, vol. 39, 1233-238 [0147]
- ANDERSON et al.J Clin Invest, 1985, vol. 76, 152-59 [0147]
- FALUGI et al. Eur J Immunol, 2001, vol. 31, 3816-3824 [0147]
- LEES et al. Vaccine, 1996, vol. 14, 190-198 [0147]
- Mol. Immunol., 1985, vol. 22, 907-919 [0147]
- GEVER et al.Med. Microbiol. Immunol, 1979, vol. 165, 171-288 [0147]
- LEI et al. Dev Biol (Basel), 2000, vol. 103, 259-264 [0147]
- MCLEOD GRIFFISS et al.Infect. Immun., 1981, vol. 34, 725-732 [0147]
- TETTELIN et al. Science, 2000, vol. 287, 1809-1815 [0147]
- PIZZA et al. Science, 2000, vol. 287, 1816-1820 [0147]
- BJUNE et al.Lancet, 1991, vol. 338, 87751093-1096 [0147]
- FUKASAWA et al. Vaccine, 1999, vol. 17, 2951-2958 [0147]
- ROSENQVIST et al.Dev. Biol. Stand., 1998, vol. 92, 323-333 [0147]
- COVACCIRAPPUOLIJ. Exp. Med., 2000, vol. 19, 587-592 [0147]
- COVACCI et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 1993, vol. 90, 5791-5795 [0147]


- TUMMURU et al.Infect. Immun., 1994, vol. 61, 1799-1809 [0147]
- MARCHETTI et al. Vaccine, 1998, vol. 16, 33-37 [0147]
- TELFORD et al.J. Exp. Med., 1994, vol. 179, 1653-1658 [0147]
- EVANS et al.Gene, 1995, vol. 153, 123-127 [0147]
- WATSONPediatr Infect Dis J, 2000, vol. 19, 331-332 [0147]
- RUBINPediatr Clin North Am, 2000, vol. 47, 269-285 [0147]
- JEDRZEJASMicrobiol Mol Biol Rev, 2001, vol. 65, 187-207 [0147]
- BELLPediatr Infect Dis J, 2000, vol. 19, 1187-1188 [0147]
- IWARSONAPMIS, 1995, vol. 103, 321-326 [0147]
- GERLICH et al. Vaccine, 1990, vol. 8, 63-6879-80 [0147]
- COSTANTINO et al. Vaccine, 1999, vol. 17, 1251-1263 [0147]
- HSU et al.Clin Liver Dis, 1999, vol. 3, 901-915 [0147]
- KALMAN et al. Nature Genetics, 1999, vol. 21, 385-389 [0147]
- READ et al. Nucleic Acids Res, 2000, vol. 28, 1397-406 [0147]
- SHIRAI et al.J. Infect. Dis., 2000, vol. 181, 3524-527 [0147]
- ROSS et al. Vaccine, 2001, vol. 19, 4135-4142 [0147]
- SUTTER et al. Pediatr Clin North Am, 2000, vol. 47, 287-308 [0147]
- ZIMMERMANSPANNAm Fam Physician, 1999, vol. 59, 113-118125-126 [0147]
- DREESENVaccine, 1997, vol. 15, [0147]
- MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep, 1998, vol. 47, 112-19- [0147]
- Vaccines19880000 [0147]
- MCMICHAEL Vaccine, 2000, vol. 19, 1101-107 [0147]
- SCHUCHATLancet, 1999, vol. 353, 914651-6 [0147]
- 1999Infect Dis Clin North Am, vol. 13, 227-43 [0147]
- FERRETTI et al. PNAS USA, 2001, vol. 98, 4658-4663 [0147]
- KURODA et al.Lancet, 2001, vol. 357, 92641225-1240 [0147]
- ANDERSONVaccine, 2000, vol. 19, 159-65 [0147]
- KAHNCurr Opin Pediatr, 2000, vol. 12, 257-262 [0147]
- CROWEVaccine, 1995, vol. 13, 415-421 [0147]
- J Toxicol Clin Toxicol, 2001, vol. 39, 85-100 [0147]
- DEMICHELI et al. Vaccine, 1998, vol. 16, 880-884 [0147]
- STEPANOV et al. J Biotechnol, 1996, vol. 44, 155-160 [0147]
- WASSILAKORENSTEINVaccines19880000 [0147]
- GUSTAFSSON et al.N. Engl. J. Med., 1996, vol. 334, 349-355 [0147]
- RAPPUOLI et al. TIBTECH, 1991, vol. 9, 232-238 [0147]
- LIEBERMAN et al.JAMA, 1996, vol. 275, 1499-1503 [0147]
- GENNARORemington: The Science and Practice of Pharmacy20000000 [0147]
- Vaccine DesignPlenum19950000 [0147]
- DEL GIUDICE et al. Molecular Aspects of Medicine, 1998, vol. 19, 1 [0147]
- CHEN et al. Anal. Chem. (1956), 1956, vol. 28, 1756-1758 [0147]
- HABEEB et al. Anal. Biochem., 1966, vol. 14, 328-336 [0147]
- MIRONWILCHEKAnal. Biochem., 1982, vol. 126, 433-435 [0]47]
- SVENNERHOLMBiochem. Biophys. Acta, 1957, vol. 24, 604-611 [0147]
- CARLONE et al.J. Clin. Microbiol., 1992, vol. 30, 154-159 [0147]


PATENTKRAV

- Sæt omfattende: (a) et konjugeret kapsel-oligosaccharid fra N. meningitidis sero-gruppe A i lyofiliseret form; og (b) ét eller flere yderligere antigener i flydende form, hvor komponent (b) omfatter et saccharidantigen fra Haemophilus influenzae B og/eller hvor det yderligere antigen i komponent (b) er konjugeret kapsel-oligosaccharid fra N. meningitidis serogruppe C.
 - 2. Sæt ifølge krav 1, hvor kapsel-oligosaccharidet fra *N. meningitidis* serogruppe A er konjugeret til et bakterietoxin eller -toxoid.
- 3. Sæt ifølge krav 2, hvor bakterietoxinet eller -toxoidet er difteri-toxoid, tetanus-10 toxoid eller CRM₁₉₇-difteri-toxoid.
 - 4. Sæt ifølge et hvilket som helst af de foregående krav, hvor konjugationen foregår ved en fremgangsmåde, der indebærer indføring af aminogrupper i saccharidet efterfulgt af derivatisering med en adipinsyrediester og omsætning med bærerproteinet.
- 5. Sæt ifølge et hvilket som helst af de foregående krav, hvor det konjugerede kapsel-oligosaccharid fra *N. meningitidis* serogruppe A har et saccharid/protein-forhold efter vægt mellem 0,5:1 og 5:1.
 - 6. Sæt ifølge et hvilket som helst af de foregående krav, hvor det konjugerede kapsel-oligosaccharid fra *N. meningitidis* serogruppe A er absorberet på et aluminiumhydroxidadjuvans.
- 7. Sæt ifølge et hvilket som helst af de foregående krav, hvor komponent (b) omfatter et aluminiumsalt-adjuvans.
 - 8. Sæt ifølge et hvilket som helst af de foregående krav, hvor saccharidet fra serogruppe A har en gennemsnitlig polymerisationsgrad mellem 10 og 20.
 - 9. Sæt ifølge et hvilket som helst af de foregående krav i form af to hætteglas.
- 25 10. Sæt ifølge et hvilket som helst af de foregående krav, hvor dosen udgør mellem 5 og 20 μg pr. saccharid pr. dosis.

DRAWINGS















FIG. 5a




















FIG, 12









FIG. 13











Hydroxide


□ lgG3


☐ lgG2b

Phosphate

■ lgG1

IIIgG2a








FIG. 18 Polysaccharide 1 Hydrolysis 2 Ion-Exchange "Sizing" Reductive Amination 4 Activation ······NHADOSu (5) Coupling CRM

FIG. 19A




FIG. 19B

