

(12) United States Design Patent (10) Patent No.:

Mercer

US D652,374 S

(45) **Date of Patent:**

** Jan. 17, 2012

(54) FLOAT MOUNTED SOLAR CHLORINE **GENERATOR**

(76)	Inventor:	Richard	D Mercer.	Spring.	TX	(US)	
------	-----------	---------	-----------	---------	----	------	--

Term: 14 Years

(21) Appl. No.: 29/392,613

(22) Filed: May 24, 2011

(51) LOC (9) Cl. 13-02

(52) U.S. Cl. D13/102 (58) Field of Classification Search D13/102,

D13/101, 118, 184, 199; D23/207, 208; 136/200, 206, 244-251, 256; 204/229.8, 204/267, 271, 272, 273; 244/172.7, 172.8

See application file for complete search history.

References Cited (56)

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,097,356 A	4	6/1978	Yates	
4,217,331	4	8/1980	Schaub	
4,248,715	A	2/1981	Olivier	
4,250,126 A	A	2/1981	Yates	
4,256,552	A	3/1981	Sweeney	
D261,415 S	S	10/1981	Yates	
4,321,416	A	* 3/1982	Tennant	136/24
4,337,136	4	6/1982	Dahlgren	
4,630,634	4	12/1986	Sasaki	
4,724,059 A	A	2/1988	Collier	
5,037,519	A	8/1991	Wiscombe	
5,053,205	4	10/1991	Taylor	
5,055,183	A	10/1991	Buchan	
5,059,296	A	10/1991	Sherman	
5,085,753	4	2/1992	Sherman	

5,362,368	A	11/1994	Lynn
5,795,459	A	8/1998	Sweeney
D451,979	S	12/2001	VanErdewyk
6,508,929	B1	1/2003	Mercer
6,730,509	B2	5/2004	VanErdewyk
D534,488	S *	1/2007	Rosene et al D13/102
D541,138	S	4/2007	Whalen
D559,943	S	1/2008	Mercer
7,388,146	B2 *	6/2008	Fraas et al 136/246
D600,200	S *	9/2009	Dimov et al D13/102

^{*} cited by examiner

Primary Examiner — Derrick Holland

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Kenneth L Nash

CLAIM

The ornamental design for a float mounted solar chlorine generator, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a float mounted solar chlorine generator showing my new design.

FIG. 2 is a front elevational view thereof.

FIG. 3 is a rear elevational view thereof.

FIG. 4 is a left side elevational view thereof.


FIG. 5 is a right side elevational view thereof.

FIG. 6 is a top plan view thereof; and,

FIG. 7 is a bottom plan view thereof.

The broken lines shown represent unclaimed subject matter only and form no part of the claim. Where broken lines abut a claimed surface it is understood that those broken lines represent an unclaimed boundary between claimed and unclaimed surfaces. None of the broken lines form any part of the claimed design.

1 Claim, 4 Drawing Sheets






FIG. 1

Jan. 17, 2012

