



US00D326952S

# United States Patent [19]

[11] Patent Number: **Des. 326,952**

Smith, III

[45] Date of Patent: **\*\* Jun. 16, 1992**

- [54] **SHOE MIDSOLE SIDE**
- [75] Inventor: **Wilson W. Smith, III**, Portland, Oreg.
- [73] Assignee: **Nike, Inc.**, Beaverton, Oreg.
- [\*\*] Term: **14 Years**
- [21] Appl. No.: **673,665**
- [22] Filed: **Mar. 22, 1991**
- [52] U.S. Cl. .... **D2/314**
- [58] Field of Search ..... **D2/264, 265, 271, 308-314, D2/317-322; 36/25 R, 28, 29, 30 R, 32 R, 132, 83-92, 99-107, 112-116, 132, 136**

|           |         |                    |         |
|-----------|---------|--------------------|---------|
| 4,694,591 | 9/1987  | Banich et al. .... | 36/102  |
| 4,785,557 | 11/1988 | Kelley et al. .... | 36/32 R |
| 4,798,010 | 1/1989  | Sugiyama ....      | 36/30 R |
| 4,918,841 | 4/1990  | Turner et al. .... | 36/114  |

### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Pages 8, 44 and 46 of the Spring 1990 Nike Catalog.  
*Primary Examiner*—Louis S. Zarfes  
*Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Banner, Birch, McKie & Beckett

### CLAIM

[57] The ornamental design for a shoe midsole side, as shown and described.


### DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is an elevational view of one side of a shoe midsole showing my new design;  
 FIG. 2 is an elevational view of the other side of the shoe midsole;  
 FIG. 3 is an elevational view of the front side of the shoe midsole;  
 FIG. 4 is an elevational view of the rear of the shoe midsole;  
 FIG. 5 is a cross sectional view taken along line 5—5 of FIG. 2; and,  
 FIG. 6 is a bottom plan cross-sectional view taken generally along line 6—6 of the midsole shown in FIG. 2. The broken line showing the remaining portion of the sole and shoe upper in FIGS. 1-4 forms no part of the claimed design.

### References Cited

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

|            |         |                   |        |
|------------|---------|-------------------|--------|
| D. 128,617 | 8/1941  | Brady .....       | D2/311 |
| D. 129,487 | 9/1941  | Kardo-Sessoeff .  |        |
| D. 264,394 | 5/1982  | Hlustik .....     | D2/312 |
| D. 265,017 | 6/1982  | Vermonet .        |        |
| D. 283,463 | 4/1986  | Chassaing .....   | D2/310 |
| D. 296,150 | 6/1988  | Diaz .            |        |
| D. 296,952 | 8/1988  | Brown et al. .... | D2/314 |
| D. 297,885 | 10/1988 | Tong .            |        |
| D. 299,681 | 2/1989  | Miller et al. .   |        |
| D. 310,442 | 9/1990  | Hatfield .....    | D2/314 |
| D. 311,447 | 10/1990 | Hatfield .....    | D2/320 |
| D. 311,810 | 11/1990 | Hatfield .....    | D2/320 |
| D. 312,917 | 12/1990 | Hatfield .....    | D2/314 |
| D. 312,918 | 12/1990 | Aveni .....       | D2/314 |
| D. 313,113 | 12/1990 | Aveni .....       | D2/320 |



**FIG. 1**






FIG. 5



FIG. 2



**FIG. 4**



**FIG. 3**




FIG. 6

