PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 3: WO 83/ 03544 (11) International Publication Number: **A1** A61K 31/54, 45/00; A61L 9/04 (43) International Publication Date: 27 October 1983 (27.10.83) PCT/US83/00484 Published (21) International Application Number: With international search report. 5 April 1983 (05.04.83) (22) International Filing Date: (31) Priority Application Number: 365,539 5 April 1982 (05.04.82) (32) Priority Date: (33) Priority Country: (71) Applicant: ALCON LABORATORIES, INC. [US/US]; 6201 South Freeway, Fort Worth, TX 76101 (US). (72) Inventor: YORK, Jr., Billie, Murray; 3828 Winifred, Fort Worth, TX 76133 (US). (74) Agents: WATT, Phillip, H. et al.; Fitch, Even, Tabin & Flannery, Room 900, 135 South La Salle Street, Chicago, IL 60603 (US). (81) Designated States: DK, JP.

(54) Title: PERFLUOROHYDROCARBONS AS VEHICLES FOR ADMINISTERING DRUGS

(57) Abstract

Perfluorohydrocarbons are used as vehicles for administering therapeutic drugs.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	LI	Liechtenstein
. AU	Australia	· LK	Sri Lanka
BE	Belgium	LU	Luxembourg
BR	Brazil	MC	Monaco
CF	Central African Republic	MG	Madagascar
CG	Congo	MR	Mauritania
CH	Switzerland	MW	Malawi
CM	Cameroon	NL	Netherlands
DE	Germany, Federal Republic of	NO	Norway
DK	Denmark	RO	Romania
FI	Finland	SE	Sweden
FR	France	SN	Senegal
GA	Gabon	SU	Soviet Union
GB	United Kingdom	TD	Chad
HU	Hungary	TG	Togo
JP	Japan	US	United States of America
KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea		

15

20

· 25

30

PERFLUOROHYDROCARBONS AS VEHICLES FOR ADMINISTERING DRUGS

This invention relates to compositions for administration of drugs, and, more particularly, this invention relates to compositions containing perfluorohydrocarbon vehicles for drugs for ocular or dermatological application.

Many therapeutic drugs have the disadvantage of being relatively unstable in an aqueous medium. Examples of this category of drugs include cephaloridine, cefamandole, cefamandole nafate, cefazolin, cefoxitin, cephacetrile sodium, cephalexin, cefoperazone sodium, cephaloglycin, cephalosporin C, cephalothin, nafcillin sodium, cephamycins, cephapirin sodium, cephradine, penicillin BT, penicillin N, penicillin O, phenethicillin potassium, pivampicillin, amoxicillin, ampicillin, thienamycin, moxalactam, and cefatoxin.

Many therapeutic drugs are relatively water-insoluble. Examples of this category of drugs include vidarabine, prednisolone, prednisolone acetate, hydrocortisone, hydrocortisone acetate, hydrocortisone valerate, fluorometholone, fluocinolone acetonide, triamcinolone acetonide, dexamethasone, dexamethasone acetate, indomethacin, ibuprofen, and oxyphenbutazone.

rypically, oils or ointments have been used as vehicles for therapeutic drugs which are not stable in an aqueous medium or are relatively insoluble in an aqueous medium. These vehicles often are messy, leave a greasy afterfeel, and are particularly undesirable from a patient's perspective for topical application to the eye. Further, because of their nature, oils and ointments do not readily provide a metered dose to the area of application.

A need exists, therefore, for an improved vehicle for the topical ocular or dermatological application of therapeutic drugs which are



water-unstable, or relatively insoluble in an aqueous medium.

It has been found that perfluorohydrocarbons serve as ideal inert, nontoxic vehicles to provide a metered dose of ocular or dermatological drugs. The perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle is an ideal vehicle to provide a metered dose of ocular or dermatological drugs which are unstable in an aqueous medium.

The perfluorohydrocarbons useful as vehicles are perfluorocycloalkanes, perfluoroalkanes, and 10 perfluorotrialkylamines such as perfluorotripropylamine, perfluorotributylamine, perfluorotripentylamine, and mixtures thereof having a vapor pressure about 1 to about 16 mm Hg. Although the perfluorohydrocarbon may be in the form of an aqueous microemulsion, in the 15 preferred form of the invention, the perfluorohydrocarbon may form the entire vehicle. Specific examples of perfluorohydrocarbons include perfluorodecalin, $C_{10}F_{18}$ which has a vapor pressure of 12.7 mm Hg at 37°C., perfluorotributylamine, 20 $\text{N(CF}_2\text{CF}_2\text{CF}_2\text{CF}_3)_3$ which has a vapor pressure of 1.14 mm Hg at 37°C.; perfluoromethyldecalin which has a vapor pressure of 4.8 mm Hg at 37°C.; perfluorocyclohexyldiethylamine which has a vapor pressure of 8.7 mm Hg at 37°C.; perfluoroisopentylpyran '25 which has a vapor pressure of 9.9 mm Hg at 37°C.; perfluorodibutylmethylamine which has a vapor pressure of 16.0 mm Hg at 37°C.; and perfluorobutyltetrahydrofuran. These compounds are known for their use in blood substitutes, are nontoxic, are approved for human 30 systemic use in various countries including the United States, generally are transparent and colorless and leave no stains, are relatively inert, and are easily prepared from commercially available chemicals, or are commercially available. 35

The inert nature and the vapor pressure of the



25

30

35

fluorohydrocarbons of the invention are an important aspect of the invention. The inert nature of perfluorohydrocarbons permits them to be used by the patient with little or no toxic danger. The vapor pressure of the perfluorohydrocarbons of the invention permits the perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle to vaporize to provide a metered dose of a therapeutic drug, yet in ocular use not cause discomfort to the eye as would be the case with an ointment or cream. Further, the vapor pressure of the perfluorohydrocarbons of the invention 10 do not create messy conditions with nonaqueous ocular or dermatological use which are normally associated with drugs used with nonaqueous vehicles.

The perfluorohydrocarbons of the invention may be used as a vehicle for therapeutic drugs which are not 15 stable or soluble in water. Where therapeutic drugs are not compatible with aqueous vehicles, the drugs may be suspended or emulsified in the perfluorohydrocarbons of the invention. Vaporization of the perfluorohydrocarbons meters the dosage of the 20 therapeutic drug suspended in the perfluorohydrocarbons.

The effective amount of perfluorohydrocarbons used in the invention will depend upon whether the use is ocular or dermatological, the metered dose desired, and the selection as well as strength of the drug to be applied. Generally, an effective amount of perfluorohydrocarbons is provided for ocular use when the ophthalmic composition contains between about 98 percent and about 99.99 percent by weight perfluorohydrocarbons. For dermatological use, generally an effective amount of perfluorohydrocarbons is provided when the composition contains between about 97 percent and about 99.99 percent by weight perfluorohydrocarbons.

The following examples typify the manner by



10

25

30

35

which the present invention can be practiced. The examples should be construed as illustrative, and not as a limitation upon the overall scope of the invention.

Example I

Sodium cefamandole powder (1.0g supplied by Eli Lilly Co.) is added to perfluorotributylamine (200cc supplied by Pfaltz and Bauer), is manually or mechanically shaken and a uniform 0.5 percent w/v suspension results. On standing, the sodium cefamandole will float to the top of the vehicle; however manual shaking will readily resuspend the sodium cefamandole antibiotic uniformly.

Example II

Indomethacin powder (1.0g) is added to

perfluorotributylamine (200cc supplied by Pfaltz and
Bauer) and is manually shaken to yield a uniform 0.5

percent w/v suspension. On standing, the indomethacin
will float to the top of the vehicle; however, manual
shaking will readily resuspend the indomethacin
antiinflammatory agent uniformly.

In Vitro Evaluation Of Stability

Sodium cefamandole is stable only for hours at room temperature in aqueous solution. In the perfluorotributylamine vehicle at room temperature, however, sodium cefamandole was found to be chemically stable for five months. Further, after the five months the sodium cefamandole lost no antibacterial activity when evaluated in standard microbiological in vitro assays.

In Vivo Evaluation Of Formulation

In a study involving eight groups of six albino rabbits (12 eyes per group), sodium cefamandole as formulated in Example I of the invention was found to be as effective as freshly prepared 0.5 percent w/v aqueous sodium cefamandol in eradicating ocular infection and more effective than 0.5% w/v chloramphenicol ophthalmic



30

35

solution, U.S.P., which is a commerically recognized ocular drug product.

The study was conducted as follows:

- Twenty-four albino rabbits were inoculated in their corneas (48 eyes) with Staphylococcus aureus. 5 At random, six of these inoculated rabbits became treatment Group A, six became treatment Group B, six became treatment Group C, and six became the untreated control Group D.
- Twenty-four albino rabbits were inoculated 10 in their corneas (48 eyes) with Streptococcus pneumoniae (formerly Diplococcus pneumoniae). At random, six of these inoculated rabbits became treatment Group E, six became treatment Group F, six became treatment Group G, and six untreated became the control Group H. 15

Groups A and E were treated with an Example I formulation (0.5% w/v) of the sodium defamandole in perfluorotributylamine. Groups B and F were treated with freshly prepared (0.5% w/v) aqueous sodium cefamandole. Groups C and G were treated with a solution of (0.5% w/v) chloramphenicol ophthalmic solution, U.S.P.

The study was conducted as follows:

Group A was treated with one drop (100 ul) of 0.5% w/v sodium cefamandole in perfluorotributylamine 25 one hour post inoculation and then once an hour thereafter for a total of nine doses. Then the eyes were graded for signs of infection 24 hours thereafter. At that time the eyes were examined with a slit lamp microscope. All eyes were found without signs of infection and all eyes (12) were found to be normal.

Group B was treated with one drop (100 ul) of freshly prepared 0.5% w/v aqueous sodium cefamandole one hour post inoculation and then once an hour thereafter for a total of nine doses. The ocular observations were as that stated for Group A. All eyes were found without



25

30

signs of infection at 24 hours. All eyes (12) were found to be normal.

Group C was treated with drops (100 ul each) of 0.5% w/v chloramphenical ophthalmic solution, U.S.P., as in the protocol of Groups A and B. In this case, the eyes showed signs of infection particularly at 24 hours. Eleven of twelve eyes showed signs of infection.

Group D was untreated and all eyes (12) showed 10 signs of infection at 24 hours.

Group E was treated with one drop (100 ul) of 0.5% w/v sodium cefamandole in perfluorotributylamine one hour post inoculation and then once an hour thereafter for a total of nine doses. Then the eyes were graded for signs of infection at 24, 48 and 72 hours with gross and slit lamp microscopic observation. Most eyes (11/12) were found without signs of infection and normal at 72 hours.

Group F was treated with drops (100 ul each) of 0.5% w/v of sodium cefamandole as in the protocol of Group E. As in the case of Group E most eyes (10/12) were found to be without infection and normal at 72 hours.

Group G was treated with drops (100 ul each) of 0.5% chloramphenical ophthalmic solution, U.S.P., as in the protocol of Group E. In this case, most eyes (10/12) at 72 hours showed signs of infection.

Group H was untreated and all eyes (12) showed signs of infection at 72 hours.

The conclusion is that sodium cefamandole in trifluorotributylamine is as effective as soluble sodium cefamandole in aqueous vehicle except that the cefamandole is stable for substantially longer periods than water-solubilized sodium cefamandole. In the perfluorotributylamine, the B-lactam ring of cefamandole is not subject to either acid-catalyzed or base-promoted hydrolysis because water is not present to react.

10

-7-

In Vivo Ocular Tolerance Of The Perfluorotributylamine Vehicle

In one day, multiple (12) topical ocular dose (50 ul drops) regiments of neat perfluorotributylamine vehicle were applied to albino rabbit eyes. There were no detectable untoward ocular side-effects.

It should be understood that while certain preferred embodiments of the present invention have been illustrated and described, various modifications thereof will become apparent to those skilled in the art.

Accordingly, the scope of the present invention should be defined by the appended Claims and equivalents thereof.

Various features are set forth in the following claims.



30

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

- 1. A composition for use in pharmaceutics
 comprising:
- a perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle having a vapor pressure at 37°C. from about 1 to about 16 mm Hg.; and a therapeutic drug.
 - 2. A composition for topically administering therapeutic drugs comprising:
- a perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle having a vapor 10 pressure at 37°C. of from about 1 to about 16 mm Hg.; and a therapeutic drug.
 - 3. A composition as recited in Claims 1 or 2 wherein said perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle comprises a perfluoroalkane.
- 4. A composition as recited in Claims 1 or 2 wherein said perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle comprises a perfluorocycloalkane.
 - 5. A composition as recited in Claims 1 or 2 wherein said perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle comprises a perfluorotrialkylamine having from 9 to 15 carbon atoms.
 - 6. A composition as recited in Claims 1 or 2 wherein perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle comprises perfluorodecalin.
- 7. A composition as recited in Claims 1 or 2 wherein said perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle comprises perfluorotributylamine.
 - 8. A composition as recited in Claims 1 or 2 wherein perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle comprises perfluoromethyldecalin.
 - 9. A composition as recited in Claims 1 or 2 wherein said perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle comprises perfluorocyclohexyldiethylamine.
 - 10. A composition are recited in Claims 1 or 2



25

wherein said perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle is perfluoroisopentylpyran.

- 11. A composition as recited in Claims 1 or 2 wherein said perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle comprises perfluorodibutylmethylamine.
- 12. A composition as recited in Claims 1 or 2 wherein perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle comprises perfluorobutyltetrahydrofuran.
- 13. A method of applying therapeutic drugs

 10 comprising administering a composition comprising an

 effective amount of a therapeutic drug; and a

 perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle having a vapor pressure at

 37°C. from about 1 to about 16 mm Hg.
- 14. A method as recited in Claim 13 wherein said perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle comprises a perfluoroalkane.
 - 15. A method as recited in Claim 13 wherein said perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle comprises perfluorocycloalkane.
- 20 16. A method as recited in Claim 13 wherein said perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle comprises a perfluorotrialkylamine having from 9 to 15 carbon atoms.
 - 17. A method as recited in Claim 13 wherein said perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle comprises perfluorodecalin.
 - 18. A method as recited in Claim 13 wherein said perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle comprises perfluorotributylamine.
- 19. A method as recited in Claim 13 wherein 30 said perfluorochyrocarbon vehicle comprises perfluoromethyldecalin.
 - 20. A method as recited in Claim 13 wherein said perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle comprises perfluorocyclohexyldiethylamine.
- 35 21. A method as reicted in Claim 13 wherein said perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle comprises



perfluoroisopentylpyran.

- 22. A method as recited in Claim 13 wherein said perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle comprises perfluorodibutylmethylamine.
- 23. A method as recited in Claim 13 wherein said perfluorohydrocarbon vehicle comprises perfluorobutyltetrahydrofuran.



International Application No PCT/US83/00484

According to International Patient Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC Int. C1.3 - A61L - 9/04 II. FIELDS SEARCHED Manimum Documentation Searched* Classification System Classification Symbols				
Minimum Documentation Searched				
Classification System Classification Symbols Classification System Classification Symbols U.S. 424/246, 45, 366 Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Estent that such Documents are included in the Fields Searched*				
Classification System Classification Symbols U.S. 424/246, 45, 366 Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched* Lexis Computer Search Chemical Abstract – perfluorocarbons – 1962–present III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT:* Category* Citation of Document, 15 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 17 Relevant to Claim No. 15 Y US,A 3,929,662, published December 30, 1975 1–23 Boucher-(See Table II) A US,A 3,282,781, published November 1, 1966 1–23 Macek et al. Y Merck, 9th Ed., pp 247–250 1–23 Y US,A 3,490,923, published January 20, 1970 1,2,4,13&15 Eiseman A US,A 4,252,827, published February 24, 1981 1–23 Yokoyama et al. A US,A 3,958,014, published May 18, 1976 1–23 Watanabe et al. A US,A 2,968,628, published January 17, 1961 1–23 Reed A US,A 3,823,091, published July 9, 1974 1–23 Samejima et al. A US,A 4,110,474, published August 29, 1978 1–23 Lagow et al. *Special categories of cited documents: 13 Table document published start the international filing date and conflict with the application but not conflict with the application not conflict with the application not conflict with the application not				
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Estent that such Documents are included in the Fields Searched. Lexis Computer Search Chemical Abstract - perfluorocarbons - 1962-present III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT!* Category Citation of Document, 15 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 15 Relevant to Claim No. 15 Y US, A 3,929,662, published December 30, 1975 1-23 Boucher-(See Table II) A US, A 3,282,781, published November 1, 1966 1-23 Macek et al. Y Merck, 9th Ed., pp 247-250 1-23 Y US, A 3,490,923, published January 20, 1970 1,2,4,13&15 Eiseman A US, A 4,252,827, published February 24, 1981 1-23 Yokoyama et al. A US, A 3,958,014, published May 18, 1976 1-23 Watanabe et al. A - US, A 2,968,628, published January 17, 1961 1-23 Reed A US, A 3,823,091, published July 9, 1974 1-23 Samejima et al. A US, A 4,110,474, published August 29, 1978 1-23 Lagow et al. *Special categories of cited documents: 13 Table document published after the international filing date on restrict date and not in conflict with the application but or restrict date and not in conflict with the application but or conflict with application but or conflict with application but or conflict with				
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched. Lexis Computer Search Chemical Abstract - perfluorocarbons - 1962-present III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT: Category Citation of Document, 15 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 17 Relevant to Claim No. 14 Y US,A 3,929,662, published December 30, 1975 1-23 Boucher-(See Table II) A US,A 3,282,781, published November 1, 1966 1-23 Macek et al. Y Merck, 9th Ed., pp 247-250 1-23 Y US,A 3,490,923, published January 20, 1970 1,2,4,13&15 Eiseman A US,A 4,252,827, published February 24, 1981 1-23 Yokoyama et al. A US,A 3,958,014, published May 18, 1976 1-23 Watanabe et al. A - US,A 2,968,628, published January 17, 1961 1-23 Reed A US,A 3,823,091, published July 9, 1974 1-23 Samejima et al. A US,A 4,110,474, published August 29, 1978 1-23 Lagow et al. **Special categories of cited documents: 13 17 tated document published safet the international filing date on the relevant published safet the international filing date or relevant date and not in conflict with the application but				
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Estent that such Documents are included in the Fields Searched. Lexis Computer Search Chemical Abstract – perfluorocarbons – 1962-present III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT!* Category* Citation of Document, 1* with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 1* Relevant to Claim No.1* Y US, A 3,929,662, published December 30, 1975 1-23 Boucher-(See Table II) A US, A 3,282,781, published November 1, 1966 1-23 Macek et al. Y Merck, 9th Ed., pp 247-250 1-23 Y US, A 3,490,923, published January 20, 1970 1,2,4,13&15 Eiseman A US, A 4,252,827, published January 24, 1981 1-23 Yokoyama et al. A US, A 3,958,014, published May 18, 1976 1-23 Watanabe et al. A US, A 2,968,628, published January 17, 1961 1-23 Reed A US, A 3,823,091, published January 17, 1961 1-23 Samejima et al. A US, A 4,110,474, published August 29, 1978 1-23 Lagow et al. **Special categories of cited documents: 13 1 later document published after the international filing date or incriptive date and not in conflict with the application but				
Lexis Computer Search Chemical Abstract - perfluorocarbons - 1962-present III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT 14 Calegory* Citation of Document, 14 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 17 Relevant to Claim No. 14 Y US, A 3,929,662, published December 30, 1975 1-23 Boucher-(See Table II) A US, A 3,282,781, published November 1, 1966 1-23 Macek et al. Y Merck, 9th Ed., pp 247-250 1-23 Y US, A 3,490,923, published January 20, 1970 1,2,4,13&15 Eiseman A US, A 4,252,827, published February 24, 1981 1-23 Yokoyama et al. A US, A 3,958,014, published May 18, 1976 1-23 Watanabe et al. A US, A 2,968,628, published January 17, 1961 1-23 Reed A US, A 3,823,091, published July 9, 1974 1-23 Samejima et al. A US, A 4,110,474, published August 29, 1978 1-23 Lagow et al. **Special categories of cited documents: 13 12 later document published after the international filing date or original water and not in conflict with the application but the propriets of a published after the international filing date or original water and not in conflict with the application but the propriets of a published and not in conflict with the application but the propriets of a published and not in conflict with the application but the propriets of a published and not in conflict with the application but the published atter the international filing date or original and not in conflict with the application but the published atter the international filing date and not in conflict with the application but the published atter the international filing date and not in conflict with the application but the published atter the international filing date and not in conflict with the application but the published and not in conflict with the application but the propriet with the application but the published and not in conflict with the application but the published and not in conflict with the application but the published and not in conflict with the application but the published and not in conflict with the application b				
Chemical Abstract - perfluorocarbons - 1962-present III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT!* Calegory* Citation of Document, 16 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 17 Y US,A 3,929,662, published December 30, 1975 1-23 Boucher-(See Table II) A US,A 3,282,781, published November 1, 1966 1-23 Macek et al. Y Merck, 9th Ed., pp 247-250 1-23 Y US,A 3,490,923, published January 20, 1970 1,2,4,13&15 Eiseman A US,A 4,252,827, published February 24, 1981 1-23 Yokoyama et al. A US,A 3,958,014, published May 18, 1976 1-23 Watanabe et al. A - US,A 2,968,628, published January 17, 1961 1-23 Reed A US,A 3,823,091, published July 9, 1974 1-23 Samejima et al. A US,A 4,110,474, published August 29, 1978 1-23 Lagow et al. **Special categories of cited documents: 15				
Category Citation of Document, 16 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 17 Y US,A 3,929,662, published December 30, 1975 Boucher-(See Table II) A US,A 3,282,781, published November 1, 1966 Macek et al. Y Merck, 9th Ed., pp 247-250 Y US,A 3,490,923, published January 20, 1970 Eiseman A US,A 4,252,827, published February 24, 1981 Pokoyama et al. A US,A 3,958,014, published May 18, 1976 Watanabe et al. A - US,A 2,968,628, published January 17, 1961 Reed A US,A 3,823,091, published July 9, 1974 Samejima et al. A US,A 4,110,474, published August 29, 1978 Lagow et al. **Special categories of cited documents: 13 **Tater document published after the international filing date of principly date and not in conflict with the application but the special categories of cited documents: 13				
Y US,A 3,929,662, published December 30, 1975 Boucher-(See Table II) A US,A 3,282,781, published November 1, 1966 Macek et al. Y Merck, 9th Ed., pp 247-250 Y US,A 3,490,923, published January 20, 1970 Eiseman A US,A 4,252,827, published February 24, 1981 A US,A 3,958,014, published May 18, 1976 Watanabe et al. A US,A 2,968,628, published January 17, 1961 A - US,A 2,968,628, published January 17, 1961 A - US,A 3,823,091, published July 9, 1974 Samejima et al. A US,A 4,110,474, published August 29, 1978 Lagow et al. *Special categories of cited documents: 13 *To later document published after the international filing date are griefly date and not in conflict with the application but				
Boucher-(See Table II) A US,A 3,282,781, published November 1, 1966 1-23 Macek et al. Y Merck, 9th Ed., pp 247-250 1-23 Y US,A 3,490,923, published January 20, 1970 1,2,4,13&15 Eiseman A US,A 4,252,827, published February 24, 1981 1-23 Yokoyama et al. A US,A 3,958,014, published May 18, 1976 1-23 Watanabe et al. A - US,A 2,968,628, published January 17, 1961 1-23 Reed A US,A 3,823,091, published July 9, 1974 1-23 Samejima et al. A US,A 4,110,474, published August 29, 1978 1-23 Lagow et al. *Special categories of cited documents: 13 1-23 Lagow et al. *To later document published after the international filing date or inconflict with the application but application application but application but application but application application but application application application but application appl				
Macek et al. Y Merck, 9th Ed., pp 247-250 1-23 Y US,A 3,490,923, published January 20, 1970 Liseman A US,A 4,252,827, published February 24, 1981 Yokoyama et al. A US,A 3,958,014, published May 18, 1976 Watanabe et al. A US,A 2,968,628, published January 17, 1961 Reed A US,A 3,823,091, published July 9, 1974 Samejima et al. A US,A 4,110,474, published August 29, 1978 Lagow et al. *Talate document published after the international filing date or original date and not in conflict with the application but				
Y US,A 3,490,923, published January 20, 1970 1,2,4,13&15 Eiseman A US,A 4,252,827, published February 24, 1981 1-23 Yokoyama et al. A US,A 3,958,014, published May 18, 1976 1-23 Watanabe et al. A US,A 2,968,628, published January 17, 1961 1-23 Reed A US,A 3,823,091, published July 9, 1974 1-23 Samejima et al. A US,A 4,110,474, published August 29, 1978 1-23 Lagow et al. *Special categories of cited documents: 15 Talget document published after the international filing date of conflict with the application but				
Eiseman A US,A 4,252,827, published February 24, 1981 1-23 Yokoyama et al. A US,A 3,958,014, published May 18, 1976 1-23 Watanabe et al. A US,A 2,968,628, published January 17, 1961 1-23 Reed A US,A 3,823,091, published July 9, 1974 1-23 Samejima et al. A US,A 4,110,474, published August 29, 1978 1-23 Lagow et al. *Special categories of cited documents: 13 1-23 Lagow et al. To later document published after the international filing date of prigrity date and not in conflict with the application but				
Yokoyama et al. A US,A 3,958,014, published May 18, 1976 Watanabe et al. A US,A 2,968,628, published January 17, 1961 Reed A US,A 3,823,091, published July 9, 1974 Samejima et al. A US,A 4,110,474, published August 29, 1978 Lagow et al. *Special categories of cited documents: 13 To later document published after the international filing date of priority date and not in conflict with the application but				
Watanabe et al. A · US,A 2,968,628, published January 17, 1961 1-23 Reed A US,A 3,823,091, published July 9, 1974 1-23 Samejima et al. A US,A 4,110,474, published August 29, 1978 1-23 Lagow et al. **Special categories of cited documents: 15 Talter document published after the international filing date of originity date and not in conflict with the application but				
Reed A US,A 3,823,091, published July 9, 1974 1-23 Samejima et al. A US,A 4,110,474, published August 29, 1978 1-23 Lagow et al. **Special categories of cited documents: 15 Talter document published after the international filing date of originity date and not in conflict with the application but				
Samejima et al. A US,A 4,110,474, published August 29, 1978 1-23 Lagow et al. **Special categories of cited documents: 15 T later document published after the international filing date of originity date and not in conflict with the application but				
Lagow et al. "T later document published after the international filing date or oriority date and not in conflict with the application but				
or priority date and not in conflict with the application but				
considered to be of a setrouber religion on the art which is not cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the				
"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or involve an inventive step				
which is cried to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled				
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "&" document member of the same patent family				
IV. CERTIFICATION				
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search? Date of Mailing of this International Search Report? Date of Mailing of this International Search Report? 09 AUG 1983				
Signature of Authorized Officer 30 4 5 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
ISA/US				

International Application No. PCT/US83/00484 III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT (CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET) Category * Citation of Document, 14 with Indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 17 Relevant to Claim No 18 Chemical Abstracts, Vol. 96, issued 1980 Beloyartsev (USSR), Perfluorinated Carbons Α in Biology and Medicine. Abstract No.74540e A | Chemical Abstracts, Vol.96, issued 1980, Koho (Japan), Ointments containing fluorinated organic compounds for improvement of skin respiration. See page 377. Abstract No. 223266z

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET				
	1-23			
A US, A 4,187,252, published February 5, 1980 Lagow et al.	1-23			
nayow et al.				
A Chemical Abstracts, Vol. 94, 1979 25 June,				
White (USA) Use of perfluorocarbon as a				
burn treatment. See page 377, column 1,				
Abstract No. 145366z				
A Chemical Abstracts, Vol. 96, issued 1980,				
Beloyartsev (USSR) Perfluorinated Carbons in				
Biology and Medicines, See page 406.				
Abstract No. 91627f				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
V. OBSERVATIONS WHERE CERTAIN CLAIMS WERE FOUND UNSEARCHABLE 19				
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2) (a) for t	he following reasons:			
- table to publish matter 12 and required to be searched by this Author	ority, namely:			
1. Claim numbers . because they relate to subject matter . not required to be scalable by	·			
	-			
·				
2. Claim numbers , because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply will	h the prescribed require-			
ments to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out 13, specifically:				
·				
· ·				
VI. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING 11				
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application as follows:				
·				
,				
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report cove	rs all searchable claims			
of the international application.				
2. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international se those claims of the international application for which fees were paid, specifically claims:	arch teport covers o,			
those claims of the international approach of the international ap				
3. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international searc	h report is restricted to			
the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claim numbers:				
4. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, the International Sea	rching Authority did not			
invite payment of any additional fee.				
Remark on Protest				
The additional search fees were accompanied by applicant's protest.				
The second accompanied the payment of additional search fees.				

بدار فريمة