




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : F04D 29/44	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 99/56022 (43) International Publication Date: 4 November 1999 (04.11.99)
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB98/01215</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 24 April 1998 (24.04.98)</p> <p>(71) Applicants (for all designated States except US): EBARA CORPORATION [JP/JP]; 11-1, Haneda Asahi-cho, Ohta-ku (JP). UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON [GB/GB]; Torrington Place, London WC1E 7JE (GB).</p> <p>(72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): GOTO, Akira [JP/JP]; Ebara Research Co., Ltd., 2-1, Honfujisawa 4-chome, Fujisawa-shi, Kanagawa-ken 251-8502 (JP). ASHIHARA, Kosuke [JP/JP]; Ebara Research Co., Ltd., 2-1, Honfujisawa 4-chome, Fujisawa-shi, Kanagawa-ken 251-8502 (JP). SAKURAI, Takaki [JP/JP]; Ebara Corporation, 11-1, Haneda Asahi-cho, Ohta-ku, Tokyo 144-8510 (JP). SUZUKI, Masatoshi [JP/JP]; Ebara Corporation, 11-1, Haneda Asahi-cho, Ohta-ku, Tokyo-144-8510 (JP). ZANGENEH, Mehrdad [GB/GB]; University College London, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, Torrington Place, London WC1E 7JE (GB).</p> <p>(74) Agent: GILL JENNINGS & EVERY; Broadgate House, 7 Eldon Street, London EC2M 7LH (GB).</p>	<p>(81) Designated States: CN, JP, KR, US, European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).</p> <p>Published With international search report.</p>	

(54) Title: MIXED FLOW PUMP

(57) Abstract

A highly efficient mixed flow pump can prevent flow separation which is likely to occur in the corner portion of the flow passage of the diffuser section. The mixed flow pump comprises a casing having an axis and defining an impeller section and a diffuser section disposed downstream of the impeller section with stationary diffuser blades protruding from a hub. The diffuser blades are formed so that an angular difference, between a hub blade angle and a casing blade angle, is chosen to conform to a specific distribution pattern along a flow passage of the diffuser section.



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon			PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

MIXED FLOW PUMP

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of the Invention

5 The present invention relates in general to a mixed flow pump having a diffuser section with diffuser blades for guiding flow therein.

Description of the Related Art

 A conventional mixed flow pump, shown in a cross sectional
10 view in Figure 12, is comprised of a casing 16 housing an impeller 12 rotating about an axis of a rotation shaft 10, and a stationary diffuser section 14, disposed downstream of the impeller 12. The flow passage P in the diffuser section 14 is formed as a three-dimensionally curved spaces in a ring-shaped space formed
15 between the casing 16 and a hub 18, separated by diffuser blades 20. A fluid medium taken through a pump inlet 22 is given a kinetic energy by the rotating impeller 12, and is reduced of its circumferential velocity as the fluid enters into the stationary diffuser section 14, and the kinetic energies at
20 impeller exit is recovered as a static pressure in the pumping system.

 The shape of the flow passage P in the diffuser section 14 is defined according to the shape of the meridional (axisymmetrical) surfaces of the hub 18 and the casing 16 and
25 the geometrical shape of the diffuser blades 20. Of these three, the shape of the blades is determined by choosing a distribution pattern of blade angle β which is an angle between a direction M tangential to a center line of the blade on the axisymmetrical

surface of the hub 18 or the casing 16 at any given point along the blade length and the tangent L in the circumferential direction at that point, as illustrated in Figure 13A.

The blade angle β is given by an equation relating the meridional distance m (defined by the distance along the line of intersection of a plane containing the rotation axis of the impeller 12 and the axisymmetrical surface) and a circumferential coordinate θ and a radial coordinate r for the blade center line as follows (refer to Figure 13C):

$$\tan \beta = dm/d(r\theta) \quad (1)$$

The blade angle β of the diffuser blade 20 at the entrance-side of the diffuser section 14 is chosen to coincide with the direction of the stream flow at the exit of the impeller 12, and the blade angle β of the diffuser blade 20 at the exit-side of the diffuser section 14 is chosen so that the exiting flow is produced primarily in the axial direction after being eliminated of the circumferential velocity component of the flow. In the flow passage that lies between the entry and exit regions of the diffuser section 14, it is a general practice in the conventional design technology to adopt a smooth transition of blade angles resulting that, as shown in Figure 14A, the blade angle distribution pattern is similar along the hub surface and along the casing surface. In the illustration shown in Figure 14A, the non-dimensional distance m^* is defined by normalizing the meridional distance m by the distance l from the leading edge to the trailing edge of a blade along either the hub surface or the casing surface. Figure 15 shows the blade angle distribution pattern of the blade angle difference $\Delta\beta$ between the hub blade

angle and the casing blade angle in a conventional diffuser section operating in a specific speed range between 280~700 (m, m³/min, rpm) with respect to the non-dimensional distance m^* . It can be seen that, in either case, the absolute value of the blade angle difference $|\Delta\beta|$ in the distribution pattern is less than 10 degrees, indicating that the blade angle distribution patterns at the hub surface and at the casing surface of a blade are substantially similar along any blade.

However, actual flow fields in the diffuser section in an operating pump are composed of complex three-dimensional flow patterns, and the frictional effects along the walls on the flow passage produce low-energy fluids which tend to accumulate at the corner regions of the suction surface and the hub surface due to the secondary flows action. In the conventional designs, a smooth merging of flow passage is produced by choosing the blade angle distribution as described above, however, because the three-dimensional flow fields are not taken into consideration, it has been difficult to prevent a large-scale flow separation to be generated at the corner or blade root regions where the hub surface meets with the suction surface of the blade.

Figure 16 is a schematic plan view of secondary flows generated on the suction surface of the blade, while Figure 17 is a schematic plan view of the secondary flow patterns generated on the hub surface in the conventional technology. The low-energy fluids accumulated at the blade root regions of the diffuser section do not have sufficient kinetic energy to overcome the pressure rise in the diffuser section, and as a result, flow separation and reverse flow occur in these blade

root regions as illustrated in Figure 17.

In the following, the problems encountered in the conventional diffuser section designs will be explained in further detail with reference to a three-dimensional viscous flow analysis. Figure 18A shows contour lines of the static pressure distribution diagram on the suction surface of the blade, and Figure 18B shows the contour lines of the total pressure distribution diagram in the flow passage section at a non-dimensional distance $m^*=0.59$, and Figures 19A and 19B show the predicted velocity vectors close to the suction surface and the hub surface.

As shown in Figure 18A, in the conventional diffuser section, the contour lines in the entry section of the suction surface (region A) are roughly parallel to the flow passage P. The flow streams having lost its kinetic-energy through the frictional effects along the blade wall are not able to resist the adverse pressure gradient, and generates secondary flows along the contour lines in the static pressure distribution diagram, as shown in Figure 19A.

Because the flow velocity is high in the diffuser entry section, especially near the suction surface, a large friction loss is generated on the blade walls, and the low-energy fluids are drawn by the secondary flows on the suction surface and accumulate in the corner regions (region B) formed between the downstream hub section and the suction surface.

As can be understood from the dense distribution of the contour lines shown in Figure 18A, the adverse pressure gradient is high at the corner region B, thus generating a large-scale

flow separation as illustrated in Figure 19 thereby causing a significant loss in the pumping efficiency. This situation becomes more acute, especially when the pump is made compact, because the loading on the blade increases and leads to an increase in the adverse pressure gradient, so the pump becomes even more sensitive for the separation phenomenon. These are some of the basic reasons that have prevented the conventional technology from making compact and high efficiency pumps.

10

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of the present invention to provide a highly efficient mixed flow pump by optimizing secondary flows in the diffuser section so as to prevent flow separation which is likely to occur in the corner region of the flow passage of the diffuser section.

The object has been achieved in a mixed flow pump comprising a casing having an axis and defining an impeller section and a diffuser section disposed downstream of the impeller section, the impeller section comprising an impeller rotating about the axis, the diffuser section having a hub and stationary diffuser blades, wherein the diffuser blades are formed so that an angular difference, between a hub blade angle and a casing blade angle, is chosen to conform to a specific distribution pattern along a flow passage of the diffuser section. Accordingly, by choosing appropriate design of the blade angle of the diffuser blades, a suitable pressure distribution pattern along the flow passage in the diffuser section is obtained by optimizing secondary flows.

20

25

In the mixed flow pump presented, the blade angle may be defined in terms of an angle between a circumferential tangent line at a point on the blade surface at a level of hub surface or casing surface and a tangent line of a center line of a cross section of the blade along the hub surface or casing surface,
5 and the specific distribution pattern is such that a hub blade angle is greater than a casing blade angle in a wide range of the flow passage. Accordingly, the pressure rise along the hub surface is completed before the pressure rise along the casing
10 surface so that the flow speed reduction along the hub surface is completed before the flow speed reduction on the casing side, thereby enabling the static pressure recovery on the hub side to supersede the recovery on the casing side of the pump.

15

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is a perspective drawing of the essential parts of an embodiment of the mixed flow pump of the present invention;

Figure 2 is a graph showing a blade angle distribution pattern in the diffuser section of the pump of the present
20 invention;

Figure 3 is a graph showing a comparison of the differences in the blade angles along the flow passage in the pump according to an embodiment of the present invention and the conventional pump;

25 Figure 4A shows the contour lines of the pressure distribution on the suction surface of the blade in the flow passage in the diffuser section in the pump according to an embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 4B shows the contour lines of the total pressure distribution diagram in a circumferential cross section of the flow passage section at a non-dimensional distance $m^*=0.59$ in the diffuser section in the pump according to an embodiment of the present invention;

Figures 5A and 5B are velocity vectors of the flow fields in the diffuser section in the pump according to an embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 6A shows the contour lines of the pressure distribution in a mixed flow pump of the conventional design;

Figure 6B shows the contour lines of the pressure distribution in a mixed flow pump of the present invention;

Figures 7A and 7B are graphs to show the performance of the mixed flow pump of the present invention in comparison with the conventional one;

Figures 8A-8F are graphs showing the differences in the diffuser blade angles along the flow passage of the present invention from the entry to exit sections at different specific speeds;

Figure 9A is a graph showing distribution of blade angle difference $\Delta\beta$ before amendment for the mixed flow pumps of the present invention;

Figure 9B is a graph showing distribution of blade angle difference $\Delta\beta^*$ after amendment for the mixed flow pumps of the present invention;

Figure 10 is a graph showing the relationship between the specific speeds and the non-dimensional distance of the location of the maximum blade angle difference for the mixed flow pumps

shown in Figures 8A-8F;

Figure 11 is a graph showing the maximum blade angle difference as a function of the specific speed for the mixed flow pumps shown in Figures 8A-8F;

5 Figure 12 is a schematic cross sectional view of a conventional mixed flow pump;

Figure 13A is a drawing to illustrate the definition of the blade angle β on a casing surface of the diffuser blade;

10 Figure 13B is a drawing to illustrate definition of the coordination on a meridional surface of the diffuser blade;

Figure 13C is a drawing to illustrate the coordination and the blade angle β on an axisymmetrical surface of the diffuser blade section;

15 Figure 13D is a drawing to illustrate the definition of the amended blade angle β^* of the diffuser blade when it is slanted;

Figure 14A is a graph showing a distribution pattern of blade angles in the diffuser section of a conventional mixed flow pump;

20 Figure 14B is a graph showing a distribution pattern of average blade angles in the diffuser section of the mixed flow pump of the present invention compared with a conventional one;

25 Figure 15 is a graph showing the blade angle difference $\Delta\beta$ as a function of the non-meridional distance m^* in the conventional mixed flow pump;

Figures 16 is an illustration of the secondary flow patterns on the suction surfaces of the diffuser blade in the conventional mixed flow pump;

Figure 17 is a plan view of the secondary flow patterns on the hub surface of the diffuser section in the conventional mixed flow pump;

Figure 18A shows the contour lines of the pressure distribution on the suction surface of the blade in the flow passage in the diffuser section in the conventional mixed flow pump;

Figure 18B shows the contour lines of the total pressure distribution diagram in a circumferential cross section of the flow passage section at a non-dimensional distance $m^*=0.59$ in the diffuser section in the conventional mixed flow pump; and

Figures 19A and 19B show velocity vector patterns in the diffuser section of the conventional mixed flow pump.

15

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Figure 1 shows the essential components of a mixed flow pump of an embodiment according to the present invention. The essential feature of the invention resides in a configuration of the diffuser blades 20 in the diffuser section 14. The blade angles of the blades 20 of the pump are distributed along the meridional surfaces as shown in Figure 2 in which the horizontal axis relates to the non-dimensional distances along the flow passage, and the vertical axis relates to the blade angle β as defined in Figure 13A. As can be understood from this, the blade angle β_h of the blade 20 on the hub surface increases gently to a vicinity of a point given by a non-dimensional distance $m^*=0.5$, but thereafter it increases rather sharply. On the other hand, the blade angle β_c on the casing surface increases gently at about

20

25

the same rate as β_h to a non-dimensional distance $m^*=0.4$ and continues to increase at about the similar rate to a non-dimensional distance $m^*=0.75$, and thereafter increases quite sharply.

5 The result is that, as shown in a comparative diagram in Figure 3, the blade angle difference $\Delta\beta$ between the hub blade angle β_h and the casing blade angle β_c is about the same in the front half of the diffuser flow passage P, but in the rear half of the diffuser flow passage P, the hub blade angle β_h is larger
10 than the casing blade angle β_c . In this example, the blade angle difference $\Delta\beta$ increases rapidly from a point at $m^*=0.5$, and the difference reaches a peak value of about 30 degrees at $m^*=0.75$. It can be recognized that this angular distribution pattern is significantly different from the conventional distribution
15 pattern shown in Figure 15.

 Figures 4A, 4B and 5A, 5B show predicted pressure distribution patterns and velocity vectors in the flow passage P in the diffuser section 14 of the present mixed flow pump, computed by using a three-dimensional viscous flow analysis.
20 The contour lines of the static pressures in the entry section (region A') shown in Figure 4A are formed about perpendicular to the passage P, and the secondary flows flowing along the contour lines flow towards the hub surface as shown in Figure 5A. Therefore, due to the changes in the secondary flow pattern,
25 the high-loss fluid which would have been accumulated in the corner region of the diffuser section in the conventionally designed diffuser is passed over the corner region and is accumulated in a region D' on the hub side in the mid-pitch

location of the flow passage. The high-energy fluid flowing in the casing-side flows into the corner region (region C', refer to Figure 4B), and because the adverse pressure gradient in this region is small (region B', refer to Figure 4A), the flow separation generated on the hub surface is shrank, as can be confirmed in Figure 5B, thereby improving the flow fields significantly.

In the present distribution pattern of the blade angles, the increases in the blade angle β_h on the hub surface precedes that on the casing surface. The result is that the pressure increase on the hub-side is completed before the pressure increase is completed on the casing-side, and accordingly, the present diffuser enables to establish static pressure contour lines which are nearly perpendicular to the flow passage P as illustrated in a comparative flow pattern shown in Figure 6B, compared with a conventional flow pattern shown in Figure 6A. Furthermore, because the pressure increase is completed in the front half of the blade where the boundary layer thickness is small and the resistance to flow separation is high, the present flow fields enable to moderate the adverse pressure gradient in the region B' where the boundary layer thickness is large and the resistance to flow separation is low, thereby realizing a suppression effect of the flow separation phenomenon.

Figures 7A and 7B show a performance comparison of a mixed flow pump with the present blade design with an equivalent mixed flow pump with the conventional blade design with a specific speed 280 (m, m³/min, rpm). It can be seen that the present design of the blade angle distribution has produced significant

performance improvements over the blade angle distribution used in the conventional design. The specific speed N_s is given by the following equation:

$$N_s = NQ^{0.5}/H^{0.75} \quad (2)$$

5 where N is a rotational speed of the impeller in rpm, Q is a design flow rate in m^3/min and H is the total head of the pump in meter at the design flow rate.

Figures 8A-8F show examples of the present design diffuser of specific speeds ranging from 280 to 1,000 ($m, m^3/min, rpm$).
10 Each drawing shows three or four distribution curves of the blade angle difference $\Delta\beta$ of the diffuser blades 20 having different meridional surface shapes. Although differences in the maximum blade angles caused by the differences in the meridional surface shapes can be observed, the characterizing feature of the present
15 diffuser design, that generally the blade angle difference increases sharply along the flow passage, from the entry side to the exit side of the diffuser section, is clearly visible in each example.

It can be seen that the peak point, where the blade angle
20 difference $\Delta\beta$ is a maximum, shifts from the rear half of the flow passage to the front half of that, as the specific speed increases. It will also be noted that the maximum blade angle difference decreases at higher specific speeds. Also, the rise point, where the blade angle difference begins to increase, is where non-
25 dimensional distance $m^*=0.4$ at a specific speed of 280 while at the specific speeds of over 400, the blade angle difference begins to increase near the leading edge of the diffuser section. As the specific speed decreases, the load on the diffuser blades

increases, therefore, in order to prevent the flow separation phenomenon at low specific speeds, it is necessary that a larger blade angle difference $\Delta\beta$ is realized. At all specific speeds, after the blade angle difference reaches a maximum, the difference diminishes quickly towards the trailing edge where non-dimensional distance m^* is 1, and at the trailing edge of the diffuser section 14, the difference is almost zero.

The circumferential coordinates θ_{TE} at the trailing edge location of the diffuser section is often made to be identical, from the viewpoint of ease in manufacturing, on the hub ($\theta_{TE} = \theta_{TE,h}$) and on the casing ($\theta_{TE} = \theta_{TE,c}$) so that the trailing edges are oriented in the radial direction. If the blades at the trailing edges are slanted in the circumferential direction (i.e., $\theta_h \neq \theta_c$), performance improvements can be obtained if the distribution of the blade angle difference is amended into an equivalent one satisfying $\theta_h = \theta_c$ condition. Such amendment is conducted according to the following equations:

$$\theta^*_h = \theta_h + m^* \cdot \Delta\theta_{TE} \quad (3)$$

$$\tan \beta^*_h = dm/d(r\theta^*_h) \quad (4)$$

$$\Delta\beta^* = \beta^*_h - \beta_c \quad (5)$$

where θ_h is a circumferential coordinate of the center line on the hub surface of a blade; $\Delta\theta_{TE}$ is the difference in the circumferential angles at the trailing edge between the hub and the casing ($\theta_{TE,c} - \theta_{TE,h}$); θ^*_h is circumferential coordinate of the center line of the hub surface after the amendment; β^*_h is the blade angle on the hub surface after the amendment; and $\Delta\beta^*$ is the blade angle difference after the amendment (refer to Figure 13D).

Figures 9A and 9B show the effects of varying the blade slant angle $\Delta\theta_{TE}$ from about -6 to 17 degrees in an embodiment of a mixed flow pump with a specific speed of 400 (m, m³/min, rpm). The distribution of the blade angle difference $\Delta\beta$ before the amendment is different in different blade slant angles $\Delta\theta_{TE}$ as shown in Figure 9A, but after the amendment process according to the above equations, the distribution of the blade angle difference $\Delta\beta^*$ becomes substantially the same, thereby confirming the fact that the amendment process for $\Delta\beta^*$ is universally applicable. It should be clear from Equation (1), when $\theta_h = \theta_c$, i.e., $\Delta\theta_{TE} = 0$, then $\Delta\beta^* = \Delta\beta$.

Figure 10 summarizes non-dimensional distance, designated as m^*_p , where the blade angle difference $\Delta\beta^*$ shows a maximum value in various examples as a function of the specific speeds, and Figure 11 summarizes the maximum values of the blade angle difference $\Delta\beta^*$. In the figures, the solid circles ● refer to the cases of slanted blades ($\theta_h \neq \theta_c$) at the trailing edges of the diffuser section.

As shown by the solid lines in the figures, the lower limit $m^*_{p,min}$ and the upper limit $m^*_{p,max}$ for the non-dimensional distance maximizing the values of the blade angle difference $\Delta\beta^*$; and the lower limit $\Delta\beta^*_{min}$ and the upper limit $\Delta\beta^*_{max}$ for the maximum blade angle difference; are given by the following equations:

$$m^*_{p,min} = 0.683 - 0.0333 \cdot (Ns/100) \quad (6)$$

$$m^*_{p,max} = 1.12 - 0.0666 \cdot (Ns/100) \quad (7)$$

$$\Delta\beta^*_{min} = 30.0 - 2.50 \cdot (Ns/100) \quad (8)$$

$$\Delta\beta^*_{max} = 53.3 - 3.33 \cdot (Ns/100) \quad (9)$$

Figure 14B shows an example of a pump with a specific speed

of 280 (m, m³/min, rpm), and compares the distribution patterns of the average blade angles at mid-span location in the present diffuser section (refer to Figure 2) and those in the conventional diffuser section (refer to Figure 14A, case N). Clearly demonstrated, although the two cases share roughly similar distribution patterns of the average blade angles, the conventional pump shows a large degree of flow separation as shown in Figures 19A and 19B, whereas the present pump shows suppression of flow separation as shown in Figures 5A and 5B, and the pump performance is significantly improved as shown in Figures 7A and 7B. These results demonstrate convincingly that what is important is not the average blade angle distribution pattern but it is the difference in the blade angle on the hub and casing that determines the pump performance. It can be understood that a major cause of degradation in the pump performance is that the conventional diffusers has placed emphasis on smooth transition of the blade angle distribution pattern from the entry to the exit, and no special consideration has been given to the important role of the changes in the blade angle difference distribution pattern between the hub surface and the casing surface of the blades from the entry to the exit of the diffuser section, as in the present invention.

In brief summary, the present invention has demonstrated that an efficient mixed flow pump can be produced by designing the diffuser blade so that the difference in the blade angle, at the hub and at the casing, changes according to a specific distribution pattern, along the flow passage from the entry-side to the exit-side in the diffuser section. The distribution

pattern is determined by the criteria to optimize the generation of secondary flows and to prevent separation at the corners of the flow passage cross section in the diffuser section.

What is claimed is:

1. A mixed flow pump comprising a casing having an axis and defining an impeller section and a diffuser section disposed downstream of said impeller section, said impeller section comprising an impeller rotating about said axis, said diffuser section having a hub and stationary diffuser blades,

wherein said diffuser blades are formed so that an angular difference, between a hub blade angle and a casing blade angle, is chosen to conform to a specific distribution pattern along a flow passage of said diffuser section.

2. A mixed flow pump according to claim 1, wherein said blade angle is defined in terms of an angle between a circumferential tangent line at a point on said blade surface at a level of hub surface or casing surface and a tangent line of a center line of a cross section of said blade along said hub surface or casing surface, and said specific distribution pattern is such that an increase in the blade angle on the hub surface precedes that on the casing surface along said flow passage.


3. A mixed flow pump according to one of claims 1 and 2, wherein a maximum value in a distribution pattern of amended blade angle differences $\Delta\beta^*$, defined by a difference $(\beta^*_h - \beta_c)$ between an amended blade angle β^*_h on a hub of a blade and a blade angle β_c on a casing of said blade, is located on an exit-side of a location with a non-dimensional distance $m^*_{p,min}$ represented by an equation: $m^*_{p,min} = 0.683 - 0.0333 \cdot (Ns/100)$.

4. A mixed flow pump according to claim 3, wherein a maximum value in a distribution pattern of said amended blade angle differences $\Delta\beta^*$ is located on an entry-side of a location with
5 a non-dimensional distance $m_{p,max}^*$ represented by an equation:
$$m_{p,max}^* = 1.12 - 0.0666 \cdot (Ns/100).$$

5. A mixed flow pump according to one of claims 1 and 2, wherein a maximum value in a distribution pattern of amended blade
10 angle differences $\Delta\beta^*$, defined by a difference $(\beta_{h}^* - \beta_c)$ between an amended blade angle β_{h}^* on a hub of a blade and a blade angle β_c on a casing of said blade, is not less than a value given by an expression: $\Delta\beta_{min}^* = 30.0 - 2.50 \cdot (Ns/100)$.

15 6. A mixed flow pump according to claim 5, wherein a maximum value of said amended blade angle differences $\Delta\beta^*$ is not more than a value given by an expression: $\Delta\beta_{max}^* = 53.3 - 3.33 \cdot (Ns/100)$.

FIG. 1



2/19

FIG. 2





FIG. 3



4/19

FIG. 5A





FIG. 5B



5/19

FIG. 6A





FIG. 6B



6/19

FIG. 7A





FIG. 7B



7/19

FIG. 8A





FIG. 8B



8/19

FIG. 8C





FIG. 8D



9/19

FIG. 8E





FIG. 8F



10/19

FIG. 9A





FIG. 9B



11/19

FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12




FIG. 13A




FIG. 13B




FIG. 13C





FIG. 13D



$$\theta_{h^*} = \theta_h + m \cdot \Delta \theta_{TE}$$

$$\tan \beta_{h^*} = dm / d(r \theta_{h^*})$$

$$\Delta \beta^* = \beta_{h^*} - \beta_c$$

FIG. 14A




FIG. 14B




FIG. 15




FIG. 16





FIG. 17



19/19
FIG. 19A





FIG. 19B



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/GB 98/01215

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 6 F04D29/44

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 F04D

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	GB 604 121 A (THE BRITISH THOMSON-HOUSTON COMPANY LIMITED) 23 September 1948 see claims; figures ---	1
A	GB 1 016 097 A (SUMO PUMPS LIMITED) 5 January 1966 see page 1, line 9 - line 11 see page 2, line 15 - line 35; figures ---	1
A	FR 2 665 224 A (INST FRANCAIS DU PETROL) 31 January 1992 see page 15, line 16 - page 16, line 6; figures 8,9 --- -/--	1



Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.



Patent family members are listed in annex.

° Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

23 October 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

30/10/1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl.
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Zidi, K

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/GB 98/01215

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 4 865 519 A (DIANKUI LIU ET AL) 12 September 1989 see abstract see column 6, line 62 - column 7, line 3; figures 4A,4B,5C,5D -----	1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/GB 98/01215

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
GB 604121	A	NONE	
GB 1016097	A	NONE	
FR 2665224	A	31-01-1992	
		CA 2047975 A	28-01-1992
		DE 69101953 D	16-06-1994
		DE 69101953 T	13-10-1994
		DK 468877 T	11-07-1994
		EP 0468877 A	29-01-1992
		NO 300469 B	02-06-1997
		US 5375976 A	27-12-1994
US 4865519	A	12-09-1989	
		CN 1040073 A	28-02-1990