

(12) PATENT
(19) AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE

(11) Application No. AU 199896018 B2
(10) Patent No. 749592

(54) Title
I/O forwarding in a cache coherent shared disk computer system

(51)⁶ International Patent Classification(s)
G06F 012/08 G06F 009/46

(21) Application No: 199896018 (22) Application Date: 1998 .10 .05

(87) WIPO No: W099/18510

(30) Priority Data

(31) Number	(32) Date	(33) Country
08/946084	1997 .10 .07	US

(43) Publication Date : 1999 .04 .27
(43) Publication Journal Date : 1999 .06 .24
(44) Accepted Journal Date : 2002 .06 .27

(71) Applicant(s)
Oracle Corporation

(72) Inventor(s)
Roger J Bamford; Boris Klots

(74) Agent/Attorney
FREEHILLS CARTER SMITH BEADLE,Level 43,101 Collins Street,MELBOURNE VIC 3000

(56) Related Art
US 5535116
EP 518639

OPI DATE 27/04/99 APPLN. ID 96018/98
 AQJP. DATE 24/06/99 PCT NUMBER PCT/US98/20947



AU9896018


(CT)

<p>(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : G06F 12/08, 9/46</p>	<p>A1</p>	<p>(11) International Publication Number: WO 99/18510 (43) International Publication Date: 15 April 1999 (15.04.99)</p>
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/US98/20947 (22) International Filing Date: 5 October 1998 (05.10.98) (30) Priority Data: 08/946,084 7 October 1997 (07.10.97) US (71) Applicant: ORACLE CORPORATION [US/US]; 500 Oracle Parkway, MS Sop7, Redwood Shores, CA 94065 (US). (72) Inventors: BAMFORD, Roger, J.; 2430 Hyde Street, San Francisco, CA 94109 (US). KLOTS, Boris; 1566 Winding Way, Belmont, CA 94002 (US). (74) Agents: WOLFF, Jason, W. et al.; Lyon & Lyon LLP, Suite 4700, 633 West Fifth Street, Los Angeles, CA 90071-2066 (US).</p>	<p>(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).</p> <p>Published <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i></p>	

(54) Title: I/O FORWARDING IN A CACHE COHERENT SHARED DISK COMPUTER SYSTEM

(57) Abstract

A method and apparatus for I/O forwarding in a cache coherent shared disk computer system is provided. According to the method, a requesting node transmits a request for requested data to a managing node. The managing node receives the read request from the requesting node and grants a lock on the requested data. The managing node then forwards data that identifies the requested data to a disk controller. The disk controller receives the data that identifies the requested data from the managing node and reads a data item, based on the data that identifies the requested data, from a shared disk. After reading the data item from the shared disk, the disk controller transmits the data item to the requesting node. In one embodiment, an I/O destination handle is generated that identifies a read request and a buffer cache address to which the data item should be copied. The I/O destination handle is transmitted to the disk controller to facilitate transmission and processing of the data item from the disk controller to the requesting node. As a result of forwarding data that identifies the requested data directly from the managing node to the disk controller ("I/O forwarding"), the duration of a stall is reduced, contention on resources of the system is reduced and a context switch is eliminated.



DESCRIPTIONI/O FORWARDING IN A CACHE COHERENT SHARED DISK COMPUTER
SYSTEM

5

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to shared disk computer systems, and more specifically to cache coherency management in a cache coherent shared disk computer system.

10 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

In a cache coherent shared disk computer system, one or more persistent disks are shared among a plurality of nodes, where each node contains memory and one or more processors that share the memory. A portion of the memory of each node may be used as a "buffer cache" which temporarily stores disk resident data accessed by the processors of
15 the node.

Because data on the disk is shared among nodes, the system needs to manage the shared data in a way that ensures each processor or device reading from or writing to the shared data does so in a way that preserves the data in a consistent state. Consider a situation where two nodes are executing separate processes that share a data item, where
20 a copy of the data item currently resides in each node. If a first node modifies its copy of the data item and the second node isn't notified of the modification, then the second node may supply an outdated version of the data item to its process, causing an error. However, if a resource management system is established that maintains the data item in a way that makes each copy of the data item appear to be a single, "consistent" data item (e.g., by
25 updating or invalidating the data item in the second node in response to the update in the first node), then that data item is said to be in a "consistent" or "coherent" state.

Each buffer cache is managed by a cache coherency manager. The cache coherency manager for a given buffer cache controls access to the buffer cache and maintains the data in one or more buffer caches in a coherent, or consistent state. In
30 addition, the each buffer cache can create "locality", which will be explained in greater detail below.

A shared disk computer system is frequently employed in computing environments, such as database systems, where a number of users and processes may require access to a common database that is persistently stored on one or more shared disks.

5 Figure 1 depicts a cache coherent shared disk computer system 100. In Figure 1, a disk 150, comprising two data blocks 152 and 154, is connected to a disk controller 140 by a local bus 145. The disk controller 140, is connected to a first node 190 and a second node 192 by an I/O network 135.

10 First node 190 comprises a processor 102, a buffer cache 104 and a cache coherency manager 106. Buffer cache 104 has in it a copy of data block 154 (represented as a cached data block 154'). Processor 102, buffer cache 104 and cache coherency manager 106 are interconnected by a local bus 108.

15 Similarly, second node 192 comprises a processor 112, a buffer cache 114 and a cache coherency manager 116. Buffer cache 114 has in it a copy of data block 154 (represented as a cached data block 154'). Processor 112, buffer cache 114 and cache coherency managers 116 are interconnected by a local bus 118.

The first node 190 and the second node 192 in the cache coherent shared disk computer system depicted in Figure 1 are interconnected by a system area network 130. For example, system area network 130 interconnects processors 102 and 112, as well as
20 cache coherency managers 106 and 116.

Various configurations may be used to interconnect processor 102 to buffer cache 104 and a cache coherency manager 106 (e.g. local bus 108). Similarly, various configurations may be used to interconnect first node 190 to second node 192 (e.g. system area network 130). Likewise, various configurations may be used to connect first node
25 190, second node 192 and disk controller 140 (e.g. I/O network 135). The interconnection configurations shown in Figure 1 are exemplary and are intended to simplify the description of a shared disk computer system.

Locality in a computer system takes a number of different forms, such as spatial locality, temporal locality and processor locality. Spatial locality is said to exist when
30 contemporaneous memory references are likely to access adjacent or nearby memory addresses. Temporal locality is said to exist when a recent memory reference is likely to

be accessed again. Further, parallel computing can create another form of locality called processor locality. Processor locality is said to exist when contemporaneous memory references are likely to come from a single multiprocessor (instead of many different ones).

5 The use of a buffer cache can create locality between the disk 150 and a process initiated in an interconnected processor by increasing the chances that data required by a processor in the future will be located near the processor. Using cache coherency manager 116, a local process initiated on processor 102 can exploit the temporal locality of accesses to cached data block 154' while it is in adjacent buffer cache 104, instead of being delayed
10 by processing and communication latencies that would result from continually re-reading data block 154 from the disk 150.

In Figure 1, each cache coherency manger maintains a data block from disk 150 in a consistent state by using a cache coherency protocol. The cache coherency protocol ensures that each processor 102 and 112 has access to a similar, or consistent copy of data
15 block 154, even though the cached data block 154' is distributed in multiple buffer caches. For example, cache coherency manager 106 maintains data block 152 in a consistent state while a copy exists in buffer caches 104 and 114. Likewise, cache coherency manager 116 maintains data block 154 in a consistent state while it is distributed in buffer caches 104 and 114.

20 The cache coherency managers 106 and 116 in the shared disk computer system depicted in Figure 1 help to create locality between a buffer caches 104 and 114, processors 102 and 112, and a data blocks 152 and 154 in disk 150.

CACHE COHERENCY MANAGEMENT

The communication sequence for a typical cache coherency management protocol
25 is depicted in Figure 2. Assume in Figure 2 that a process, initiated by processor 102, has requested a read of data block 154. Additionally, assume that a copy of data block 154 is not presently cached in buffer cache 104. Further, assume that the cache coherency management system has chosen node 192 as the cache coherency manager for data block 154.

30 In order for the process to read data block 154, a copy of data block 154 must be placed in buffer cache 104. First, the first node 190 passes a lock request to the second

node 192. Second node 192 receives the lock request from the first node 190 and, if a lock is available, passes a lock grant back to first node 190. First node 190 receives the lock grant and initiates a process that prepares buffer cache 104 for a copy of data block 154. First node 190 then passes a read request to disk controller 140. Next, disk controller 140
5 reads data block 154 from disk 150 and then sends a copy of data block 154 to first node 190. First node 190 receives the copy of data block 154 and then stores a copy of data block 154, as cached data block 154', into buffer cache 104.

A problem with the protocol described above is that the process on node 190 that requires data block 154 (the "requesting process") is stalled while waiting for a copy of
10 data block 154. Stalling the requesting process under these conditions can lead to significant performance problems in an application program. Further, a synchronous context switch is required by first node 190 between path 2 and path 3. The problem described above is further exacerbated when large numbers of nodes have access to data on the same shared disk. For example, thousand nodes could share disk 150, disk 150 may
15 have millions of data blocks and each node may request a thousand data blocks every minute. Under these conditions, communication latencies, processor stalls and context switches would comprise a significant amount of wasted processing time.

POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS

One approach to solving the problem of stalling a requesting process is addressed
20 in Cache Considerations for Multiprocessor Programmers, M.D. Hill and J.R. Larus, *Communications of the ACM*, Vol. 33, No. 8, August 1990, p. 97-102, ~~which is incorporated herein by reference.~~ In their article, Hill and Larus suggest that the stalling problem can be at least partially mitigated by programming techniques that pay special attention to the buffer cache so as to avoid any extra accesses (reads) of the shared disk(s).
25 Four memory models are proposed and rules are suggested for single processor and multiprocessor programming.

A problem with the Hill et al approach is that informed programming models may reduce the frequency of stalls, but they do not address the underlying problem, namely, the duration of the stalls.

In Mohan C.: "Efficient locking and caching of data in the multisystem shared disks transaction environment", March 23, 1992, pages 453 to 468, XP-002055779, a method for locking using global and local lock managers is disclosed.

30 Another approach is suggested in Techniques for Reducing Consistency-Related Communication in Distributed Shared-Memory Systems, J.B. Carter, J.K. Bennett and W



AMENDED SHEET

Zwaenepoel, *ACM Transactions on Computer Systems*, Vol. 13, No. 3, August 1995, p. 205-243, which is incorporated herein by reference. In their paper, Carter et al suggest that buffering and merging updates in a process will mask the latency of writes to a shared datum (i.e., the disk 150, or data block 154 distributed among buffer caches 104 and 114) and will effectively reduce the total overhead for update operations. The Carter et al approach is geared toward reducing the frequency of communication and, thereby, the frequency of the stalls. Whereas Carter et al's approach reduces the effective cost of the stall (if the stall is amortized over the number of "batched" updates), the individual cost of the stall is likely to be greater. For example, if a read request is needed immediately, then the Carter et al approach is insufficient because the duration of the stall is greater as result of queuing up the read requests until a sufficient number of requests are collected.

Thus, there is a need for an improved method and apparatus for implementing a cache coherent shared disk computer system.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A method and apparatus for I/O forwarding in a cache coherent shared disk computer system is provided.

According to the method, a requesting node transmits a request for requested data. A managing node receives the read request from the requesting node and grants a lock on the requested data. The managing node then forwards data that identifies the requested data to a disk controller. The disk controller receives the data that identifies the requested data from the managing node and reads a data item, based on the data that identifies the requested data, from a shared disk. After reading the data item from the shared disk, the disk controller transmits the data item to the requesting node.

In one embodiment, an I/O destination handle is generated that identifies a read request and a buffer cache address to which the data item should be copied. The I/O destination handle is transmitted to the disk controller to facilitate transmission and processing of the data item from the disk controller to the requesting node.

As a result of forwarding data that identifies the requested data directly from the managing node to the disk controller ("I/O forwarding"), the duration of a stall is reduced, contention on resources of the system is reduced and a context switch is eliminated.



AMENDED SHEET

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The present invention is illustrated by way of example, and not by way of limitation, in the figures of the accompanying drawings and in which like reference numerals refer to similar elements and in which:

5 Figure 1 is a block diagram of a conventional cache coherent shared disk computer system;

Figure 2 is a flowchart illustrating the communication path for a conventional cache coherency protocol;

10 Figure 3 is a block diagram of a cache coherent shared disk computer system according to an embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 4 is a block diagram of a cache coherent shared disk computer system according to an alternative embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 5 is a flow diagram illustrating the communication path for a cache coherency protocol according to an embodiment of the present invention; and

15 Figure 6 is a flow chart depicting the steps for handling a request for data according to an embodiment of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

A method and apparatus for I/O forwarding in a cache coherent shared disk computer system is described. In the following description, for the purposes of
20 explanation, numerous specific details are set forth in order to provide a thorough understanding of the present invention. It will be apparent, however, to one skilled in the art that the present invention may be practiced without these specific details. In other instances, well-known structures and devices are shown in block diagram form in order to avoid unnecessarily obscuring the present invention.

25 HARDWARE OVERVIEW

Figure 3 depicts a cache coherent shared disk computer system 300 according to an embodiment of the invention.

30 First node 302 comprises processors 304 and 306, a network driver 308, an I/O controller 310 and a buffer cache 312. A local bus 316 interconnects processors 304 and 306, network driver 308, I/O controller 310 and buffer cache 312.

Second node 322 comprises processors 324 and 326, a network driver 328, an I/O

controller 330 and a buffer cache 332. A local bus 336 interconnects processors 324 and 326, network driver 328, I/O controller 330 and buffer cache 332.

5 First node 302 is attached to second node 322 by a system area network 350 which interconnects network driver 308 to network driver 328. An I/O destination handle 314 in buffer cache 312 comprises data that identifies a destination memory address in buffer cache 312. Likewise, I/O destination handle 334 in buffer cache 332 comprises data that identifies a destination memory address in buffer cache 332.

10 System 300 comprises a disk controller 360. Disk controller 360 is attached to disk 364 and disk 366 by local bus 362. Similarly, disk controller 370 is attached to disk 374 and disk 376 by local bus 372. Disks 364, 366, 374 and 376 each contain a data block (368, 369, 378 and 379 respectively). Disk controllers 360 and 370 are connected by an I/O network 355. I/O network 355 also interconnects first node 302 and second node 322 via I/O controllers 310 and 330 respectively.

15 The task of cache coherency management in system 300 is a set of processes executed by the processors in each node. For example, processes executed by processors 304 and 306 in first node 302 manage data blocks 368 and 369. Likewise, processes executed by processors 324 and 326 in second node 322 manage data blocks 378 and 379.

20 Figure 4 depicts an alternative embodiment for a cache coherent shared disk computer system 400. In system 400, disk 150, comprising two data blocks 152 and 154, is interconnected to disk controller 140 by a local bus 145. The disk controller 140, is interconnected to a first node 190 and second node 192 by I/O network 135.

First node 190 comprises processor 102, buffer cache 104 and cache coherency manager 106. Buffer cache 104 has in it I/O destination handle 314. A local bus 108 interconnects processor 102, buffer cache 104 and cache coherency manager 106.

25 Similarly, second node 192 comprises processor 112, buffer cache 114 and cache coherency manager 116. Buffer cache 114 has in it I/O destination handle 334. A local bus 118 interconnects processor 112, buffer cache 114 and cache coherency manager 116.

30 Nodes in system 400 are interconnected by system area network 130. For example, first node 190 and second node 192 are attached by system area network 130 which interconnects to processors 102 and 112, as well as cache coherency managers 106 and 116.

Although similar to system 300, system 400 differs from system 300 in that system 300 is a software based cache coherency management system, meaning the cache coherency management is a series of processes executed by the processors associated with each node, whereas system 400 has dedicated hardware that is used expressly for cache
5 coherency management.

In order to simplify the description that follows, the terms "requesting node" and "managing node" will be used interchangeably with the terms "first node" and "second node". "Requesting node" functionally identifies the node which has initiated a read request, whereas "managing node" functionally identifies the node which is responsible for
10 the cache coherency management of the requested data item. However, it will be obvious to one skilled in the art that any node in the cache coherent shared disk computer system described herein could be a requesting node, or a sending node.

OPERATIONAL OVERVIEW

According to one embodiment of the invention, a process, executing in a
15 requesting node, allocates memory to receive a data item before requesting the data item. Next, the requesting node sends data that identifies the location of the allocated memory ("I/O destination handle") with the request for the data item to the node that manages the requested data item. The managing node then causes the disk containing the data item to send the data item directly to the location identified by the I/O destination handle.

20 In one embodiment, the requesting node transforms a logical address of the requested data item (e.g. a resource name) into a physical address of the requested data item. In another embodiment, the managing node transforms the logical address of the requested data item to the physical address. In still another embodiment, both the requesting node and the managing node transform the logical address of the requested data
25 item to the physical address. In yet another embodiment, the disk controller ~~could~~ transform the logical address to the physical address. In any of the above embodiments, the step of transforming ^{can} ~~could~~ be initiated by an operating system call, an I/O subsystem call or another process.

GENERATING AN I/O DESTINATION HANDLE

30 I/O destination handles 314 and 334, depicted in Figure 3 and Figure 4, each comprise data that identifies the destination memory address for a requested data block in



AMENDED SHEET

the buffer cache (e.g. buffer caches 312, 332, 104 or 114) to which a data block is to be copied. For example, I/O destination handle 314 could identify requesting node 302 and the destination memory address E200 F000 in buffer cache 312, with the data "0001E200F000". In the previous example, the first two bytes identify the requesting
5 node and the next four bytes identify the specific memory address.

In an alternative embodiment, the I/O destination handles 314 and 334 comprise the destination memory address and status information. The status information ~~could~~^{can} comprise a time stamp or other information used to uniquely identify a particular I/O request. For example, the previous I/O destination handle 314, "0001E200F000" ~~could~~^{can} have appended to the end of it the three bytes "2A0234" to represent a point in time or a sequence number for the read request. In addition, the I/O destination handle ~~could~~^{can} comprise a checksum to verify the authenticity or accuracy of the I/O destination handle.

According to one embodiment, the I/O destination handles 314 and 334 are generated by an operating system call or an I/O subsystem call. In one embodiment,
15 generation of an I/O destination handle is implicitly performed upon the occurrence of an event. In another embodiment, generation of the I/O destination handle is explicitly performed by a function call. For example, if a process is initiated on processor 304 and the process requests to read data block 379, then the read request in the process triggers an operating system call that generates I/O destination handle 314 for a particular destination
20 memory address in buffer cache 312.

In an alternative embodiment, the I/O destination handle (e.g. I/O destination handle 314) is generated by a local device responsible for the cache coherency management (e.g., cache coherency manager 106 or processor 304). The local device would make an operating system call or an I/O subsystem call that is either explicit or
25 implicit in the read request. The I/O destination handle could have data (e.g. status information) appended and removed as it passes the managing node and disk controller.

In another embodiment, a bank of p memory addresses, where p is the result of the amount of memory reserved for data blocks in buffer cache (e.g. buffer cache 312) divided by a maximum size of a data block (e.g. data block 379), ~~could~~^{can} be used to generate the I/O
30 destination handle. The I/O destination handle would point to a block of memory in the buffer cache of a particular size (at least the size of a data block). When the I/O



destination handle is generated, it is selected from the bank of p memory addresses which do not correspond to an outstanding I/O request. A status flag ^{can} could be used to identify outstanding or currently unallocated memory addresses in the bank of p memory addresses. In this way, upon arrival of a data block with a particular I/O destination
5 handle, the data block can be copied into the appropriate location in buffer cache. When the process that initiated the read request is finished, the memory address would be returned to the bank of available memory addresses.

I/O FORWARDING

Referring to the communication flow diagram depicted in Figure 5, a first message,
10 comprising a request for data, is passed from a requesting node that is executing the requesting process to a managing node that is responsible for managing the requested data. The managing node receives the first message from the requesting node and grants a lock for the requested data to the requesting node. The managing node forwards a second
message to a disk controller. The disk controller receives the second message and then
15 copies the requested data from a shared disk to the location in the requesting node that is identified by the I/O destination handle.

The I/O destination handle can be appended to the requested data, or it may be sent separately from the requested data. In one embodiment, the I/O destination handle is
20 appended to the I/O request from the requesting node to the managing node and is sent separate from the I/O request from the managing node to the disk controller.

According to another embodiment, an I/O destination handle uniquely identifies an
outstanding read request, so when the requested data arrives at the requesting node from
the disk controller and is addressed to a specific memory location in the buffer cache, the
fact that the requested data has arrived is an indication that the lock request was granted.
25 Thus, sending the lock grant in the communication from the disk controller to the
requesting node is not necessary. In an alternative embodiment, if the lock grant is
required by the requesting node, then the managing node ^{can} send the lock grant back to
the requesting node (separate from forwarding the I/O request), or the disk controller ^{can} send
the lock grant to the requesting node.



EXAMPLE

Referring to Figure 3 and Figure 6, consider a situation where a process initiated by processor 304 on the requesting node 302, requests data block 379, which is on disk 376 (step 605). As mentioned above, data block 379 is managed by processes executing on processors 324 and 326 in the managing node 322.

In step 610, processor 304 allocates a portion of buffer cache 312 for receipt of data block 379. In step 615, an I/O destination handle 314 is generated by an operating system call in requesting node 302. The I/O destination handle identifies the portion of buffer cache 312 allocated for data block 379 in step 610. Next, in step 620, an I/O request comprising a lock request, a read request and an I/O destination handle 314 is sent to the managing node 322 from requesting node 302 by network driver 308.

In step 625, network driver 328 in managing node 322 receives the I/O request from network driver 308 in the requesting node 302. Assume processor 324 in managing node 322 is not busy and processor 326 is busy. (If both processors were busy, then one of the processors, usually a preset default processor in managing node 322, would be assigned the task of processing the I/O request.) Processor 324 in managing node 322 grants the lock request to the requesting node 302 in step 630, and, in step 632, managing node 322 transforms a logical address of the requested data into a physical address. (The physical address will be sent with the I/O request, rather than the logical address.) Next, in step 635, the managing node 322, via I/O controller 330, forwards the I/O request to the disk controller 370. In some configurations of I/O network 355, the I/O request may be broken up and the I/O destination handle 314 may be sent in a separate message.

In step 640, the disk controller 370 receives the I/O request (and the I/O destination handle 314) from I/O controller 330 in managing node 322. Next, in step 645 disk controller 370 processes the I/O request by fetching data block 379. In step 650, disk controller 370 sends data block 379, addressed to buffer cache 312 in requesting node 302, with I/O destination handle 314.

In step 655, I/O controller 310 in requesting node 302 receives the data block 379. The data block 379 is processed by I/O controller 310, at step 660, which moves the data block 379 into buffer cache 312, at the address identified by I/O destination handle 314.

Processor 304, which initiated the I/O request, is notified of the arrival of data block 379 by I/O controller 310 in step 665 and the process completes.

Note, in the embodiment described above, that arrival of the data block 379 implies that the lock request generated by requesting node 302 was granted. However, in
5 alternative embodiments, the lock grant could be explicitly given to requesting node 302 by disk controller 370 or by managing node 322.

~~In the foregoing specification, the invention has been described with reference to specific embodiments thereof. It will, however, be evident that various modifications and changes may be made thereto without departing from the broader spirit and scope of the
10 invention. For example, the I/O request described herein may comprise additional information such as network and protocol headers, checksums and state information specific to the particular cache coherency protocol implemented. In addition, the I/O destination handle could comprise more or less than the number of bytes specified above to identify a variable amount of nodes, a variable length address space (e.g., 16, 48 or 64
15 bit addresses) in the buffer cache or a variable length time stamp or sequence number. Further, two cache coherent shared disk computer systems with specific configurations were described for purposes of illustration. It would be apparent that other configurations of cache coherent shared disk computer systems would also benefit from I/O forwarding (such as a system employing shared memory parallel processors). The specification and
20 drawings are, accordingly, to be regarded in an illustrative rather than a restrictive sense.~~



THE CLAIMS DEFINING THE INVENTION ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1. A method for I/O forwarding of a read request in a cache coherent, shared disk computer system sharing one or more disks between a plurality of nodes each comprising a memory and one or more processors, wherein a portion of said
5 memory is used as cache memory holding a copy of a data item being shared, the method comprising: sending a read request for a data block from a requesting node to a managing node; receiving the read request at said managing node, granting a lock for excluding access to said data block, said lock granted from said managing node to said requesting node; reading said data block, by way of said
10 disk controller, from a shared disk, said data block corresponding to said read request; wherein the method is further characterised by:

forwarding data corresponding to said read request from said managing node to a disk controller;

receiving said data corresponding to said read request at said disk
15 controller from said managing node;

and

transmitting said data block, by way of said disk controller, to said requesting node, wherein said data block is not processed by said managing node in a data block return path.

20 2. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of generating destination data by said requesting node that indicates a location in a buffer cache at which said requested data is to be stored in said requesting node.

3. The method of claim 2, further comprising the steps of:

receiving said destination data at said managing node from said requesting
25 node; and forwarding said destination data from said managing node to said disk controller.

4. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of generating destination data that uniquely identifies said read request, said step of generating performed by said requesting node, and wherein more than one read request can



be outstanding.

5. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of allocating a portion of a buffer cache in said requesting node to receive said data item from said disk controller, said step of allocating occurring without receiving said lock grant
5 directly from said managing node.

6. A cache coherent computer system comprising:

one or more shared disks being shared between a plurality of nodes and comprising a data block;

a disk controller coupled to said shared disk;

10 a requesting node coupled to said disk controller, said requesting node comprising a buffer cache; and a managing node coupled to said disk controller; wherein:

said requesting node is configured to send a first message comprising a read request for said data block to

15 said managing node; and

said managing node is configured to receive said first message from said requesting node, grant a lock for excluding access to said data block to said requesting node; and wherein said cache coherent computer system is further characterized in that:

20 said managing node is further configured to forward a second message to said disk controller, said second message comprising said read request for said data block; and

said disk controller is configured to respond to said second message by retrieving said data block from said shared disk, and sending a third message

25 comprising said data block to said requesting node, wherein said data block in said third message is not processed by said managing node.

7. The cache coherent computer system of claim 6, wherein said requesting node is configured to generate destination data that indicates a location in said buffer cache at which said requested block is to be stored.



8. The cache coherent computer system of claim 7, wherein
said managing node is further configured to:
receive said destination data; and
forward said destination data to said disk controller.
- 5 9. The cache coherent computer system of claim 6, wherein said requesting
node is configured to generate destination data that uniquely identifies said read
request, and wherein more than one read request can be outstanding.
10. The cache coherent computer system of claim 6, wherein said requesting
node is further configured to allocate a portion of said buffer cache to receive said
10 third message from said disk controller, said allocation occurring without receiving
said lock directly from said managing node.
11. A computer readable medium having stored therein sequences of
instructions for I/O forwarding of a read request in a cache coherent, shared disk
computer system sharing one or more disks between a plurality of nodes each
15 comprising a memory and one or more processors, wherein a portion of said
memory is used as cache memory holding a copy of a data item being shared, the
sequences of instructions causing one or more processors to perform the steps of:
sending a read request for a data block from a requesting node to a managing
node; receiving the read request at said managing node, granting a lock for
20 excluding access to said data block, said lock granted from said managing node to
said requesting node; reading said data block, by way of said disk controller, from
a shared disk, said data block corresponding to said read request; wherein the
instructions of said computer readable medium are further characterized by:
forwarding data corresponding to said read request from said managing
25 node to a disk controller;
receiving said data corresponding to said read request at said disk
controller from said managing node; and
transmitting said data block, by way of said disk controller, to said
requesting node, wherein said data block is not processed by said managing node
in a data block return path.



12. The computer readable medium of claim 11, said instructions further comprising the step of generating destination data that indicates a location in a buffer cache at which said requested data is to be stored in said requesting node.

13. The computer readable medium of claim 12, said instructions further comprising the steps of:

receiving said destination data at said managing node from said requesting node; and

forwarding said destination data from said managing node to said disk controller.

14. The computer readable medium of claim 11, said instructions further comprising the step of generating destination data that uniquely identifies said read request, said step of generating performed by said requesting node, and wherein more than one read request can be outstanding.

15. The computer readable medium of claim 11, said instructions further comprising the step of allocating a portion of a buffer cache in said requesting node to receive said data item from said disk controller, said step of allocating occurring without receiving said lock grant directly from said managing node.

16. A method for I/O forwarding of a end request including steps substantially as hereinbefore described.

17. A cache coherent computer system substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to the accompanying drawings.

18. A computer readable medium substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to the accompanying drawings.

DATED: 17 April 2002

25

ORACLE CORPORATION

By its Registered Patent Attorneys
Freehills Carter Smith Beadle



01/05

100




Fig. 1
(PRIOR ART)

02/05




Fig. 2
(PRIOR ART)




Fig. 5

03/05

300




Fig. 3

04/05

400




Fig. 4

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

05/05




FIG. 6