



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁷ : C10G 45/64, B01J 29/06	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/29511 (43) International Publication Date: 25 May 2000 (25.05.00)						
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/EP99/09024</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 12 November 1999 (12.11.99)</p> <p>(30) Priority Data:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>98402839.9</td> <td>16 November 1998 (16.11.98)</td> <td>EP</td> </tr> <tr> <td>99402401.6</td> <td>27 September 1999 (27.09.99)</td> <td>EP</td> </tr> </table> <p>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): SHELL INTERNATIONALE RESEARCH MAATSCHAPPIJ B.V. [NL/NL]; Carel van Bylandtlaan 30, NI-2596 HR The Hague (NL).</p> <p>(72) Inventors; and</p> <p>(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): VAN BALLEGOY, Carolus, Maria [NL/NL]; Badhuisweg 3, NL-1031 CM Amsterdam (NL). CREYGHTON, Edward, Julius [NL/NL]; Badhuisweg 3, NL-1031 CM Amsterdam (NL). DARNANVILLE, Jean-Paul [FR/FR]; Shell Recherche S.A., Route de Caen, F-76530 Grand Couronne (FR). DUPREY, Eric [FR/FR]; Shell Recherche S.A., Route de Caen, F-76530 Grand Couronne (FR). HUVE, Laurent, Georges [FR/NL]; Badhuisweg 3, NL-1031 CM Amsterdam (NL). MESTERS, Carolus, Matthias, Anna, Maria [NL/NL]; Badhuisweg 3, NL-1031 CM Amsterdam (NL). REMANS, Thomas, Joris [BE/NL]; Badhuisweg 3, NL-1031 CM Am-</p>		98402839.9	16 November 1998 (16.11.98)	EP	99402401.6	27 September 1999 (27.09.99)	EP	<p>sterdam (NL). CRIJNEN-VAN BEERS, Maria, Barbara, Hendrica [NL/NL]; Badhuisweg 3, NL-1031 CM Amsterdam (NL).</p> <p>(81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).</p> <p>Published <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i></p>
98402839.9	16 November 1998 (16.11.98)	EP						
99402401.6	27 September 1999 (27.09.99)	EP						
<p>(54) Title: CATALYTIC DEWAXING PROCESS</p> <p>(57) Abstract</p> <p>Process for the catalytic dewaxing of a hydrocarbon feed comprising waxy molecules by contacting the hydrocarbon feed under catalytic dewaxing conditions with a catalyst composition comprising metallosilicate crystallites, a binder and a hydrogenation component, wherein the weight ratio of the metallosilicate crystallites and the binder is between 5:95 and 35:65. Catalyst composition comprising at least a low acidity refractory oxide binder, which binder is essentially free of aluminium, metallosilicate crystallites and a hydrogenation component, wherein the weight ratio of the metallosilicate crystallites and the binder is between 5:95 and 35:65.</p>								

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakistan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

CATALYTIC DEWAXING PROCESS

The invention relates to a process for the catalytic dewaxing of a hydrocarbon feed comprising waxy molecules by contacting the hydrocarbon feed under catalytic dewaxing conditions with a catalyst composition comprising metallosilicate crystallites, a binder and a hydrogenation component. With the term comprising used in this specification is meant comprising at least, meaning that also other components may be present in, for example, the catalyst composition or hydrocarbon feed.

Such a process is described in EP-A-185448. This patent publication discloses a process for the manufacture of lubricating oils in which a hydrocarbon feedstock is subjected to catalytic dewaxing in the presence of a catalyst composition consisting of ZSM-22, an alumina binder and platinum. The catalyst was prepared by impregnating an extrudate consisting of 65 wt% ZSM-22 and 35 wt% alumina resulting in a catalyst containing 0.57 wt% of platinum.

There is a continuous effort in the field of catalytic dewaxing of improving the yield and the viscosity index (VI) of the lubricating obtained by said process. Furthermore efforts are made to provide a catalytic dewaxing process which can compete with solvent dewaxing processes in respect of for example oil yield and viscosity index at the same pour point specification. Solvent dewaxing is a difficult to operate semi-continuous process. Being able to replace a solvent extraction process by a catalytic dewaxing process is therefore desirable.

The object of the invention has been achieved when the weight ratio of the metallosilicate crystallites and the binder is between 5:95 and 35:65.

5 It has been found that with the present process a high yield of base oil product can be obtained at the same weight hourly space velocity. This implies that with a lower amount of metallosilicate crystallites more dewaxing selectivity is achieved. Furthermore it results in that the catalyst employed in the process according to
10 the invention is cheaper than the prior art catalysts because less of the relatively more expensive metallosilicate crystallites is used in the catalyst composition. An additional advantage is that the gas make is lower with the present process.

15 WO-A-9617902 describes a catalyst composition for the catalytic dewaxing comprising of a aluminosilicate zeolite material and a binder in amounts from 80:20 to 20:80 by weight and typically from 80:20 to 50:50 zeolite:binder.

20 EP-A-304251 describes a catalytic dewaxing process in which preferably a catalyst composition is used without a binder. The catalyst used in the experiments is a nickel on ZSM-5 catalyst without a binder.

25 By catalytic dewaxing is here meant a process for decreasing the pour point of lubricating base oil products by selectively converting the components of the oil feed which impart a high pour point to products which do not impart a high pour point. Products which impart a high pour point are compounds having a high melting
30 point. These compounds are referred to as waxes. Wax compounds include for example high temperature melting normal paraffins, iso-paraffins and mono-ringed compounds. The pour point is preferably reduced by at least 10 °C and more preferably by at least 20 °C. The
35 hydrocarbon oils to be used as feed in the process

according to the present invention will thus contain waxy molecules which impart an undesirable high pour point. Small amounts of these compounds can strongly influence the pour point. The feed will suitably contain between
5 about 1% and up to 100% of these waxy compounds.

Suitable hydrocarbon oil feeds to be employed in the process according to the present invention are mixtures of high-boiling hydrocarbons, such as, for instance, heavy oil fractions. It has been found particularly
10 suitable to use vacuum distillate fractions derived from an atmospheric residue, i.e. distillate fractions obtained by vacuum distillation of a residual fraction which in return is obtained by atmospheric distillation of a crude oil, as the feed. The boiling range of such a
15 vacuum distillate fraction is usually between 300 and 620 °C, suitably between 350 and 580 °C. However, deasphalted residual oil fractions, including both deasphalted atmospheric residues and deasphalted vacuum residues, may also be applied. If the vacuum distillate
20 fractions contain substantial amounts of sulphur- and nitrogen-containing contaminants, for example, having sulphur levels up to 3% by weight and nitrogen levels up to 1% by weight, it may be advantageous to treat this feedstock to a hydrodesulphurisation and hydrodenitrogen-
25 ation step prior to the catalytic dewaxing process according to the present invention.

Examples of feeds having relatively high amounts of waxy compounds are synthetic waxy raffinates (Fischer-Tropsch waxy raffinates), hydrocracker bottom fractions
30 (hydrowax), i.e. those fractions having an effective cutpoint of at least 320 °C, preferably at least 360 °C and slack waxes obtained from the dewaxing of hydro-processed or solvent refined waxy distillates. These feeds have a wax content of at least 50% by weight,
35 preferably at least 80% by weight and more preferably at

least 90% by weight. These feeds are used to prepare lubricating base oils having viscosity indices (VI) above 120 and particularly above 135.

5 Prior to the catalytic dewaxing process according to the invention the vacuum distillate fraction or any other sulphur or nitrogen containing feedstock is preferably treated to a hydrotreating step in order to reduce the concentration of sulphur and/or nitrogen in the feed. The hydrotreating step preferably involves contacting the
10 feed with hydrogen in the presence of a suitable catalyst. Such catalysts are known in the art and in principle any hydrotreating catalyst known to be active in the hydrodesulphurisation and hydrodenitrogenation of the relevant hydrocarbon feeds may be used. Suitable
15 catalysts, then, include those catalysts comprising as the non-noble Group VIII metal component one or more of nickel (Ni) and cobalt (Co) in an amount of from 1 to 25 percent by weight (%wt), preferably 2 to 15 %wt, calculated as element relative to total weight of
20 catalyst and as the Group VIB metal component one or more of molybdenum (Mo) and tungsten (W) in an amount of from 5 to 30 %wt, preferably 10 to 25 %wt, calculated as element relative to total weight of catalyst. These metal components may be present in elemental, oxidic and/or
25 sulphidic form and are supported on a refractory oxide carrier. The refractory oxide support of the first stage catalyst may be any inorganic oxide, alumino-silicate or combination of these, optionally in combination with an inert binder material. Examples of suitable refractory
30 oxides include inorganic oxides, such as alumina, silica, titania, zirconia, boria, silica-alumina, fluorided alumina, fluorided silica-alumina and mixtures of two or more of these. In a preferred embodiment an acidic carrier such as alumina, silica-alumina or fluorided
35 alumina is used as the refractory oxide carrier. The

refractory oxide support may also be an aluminosilicate. Both synthetic and naturally occurring aluminosilicates may be used. Examples are natural or dealuminated zeolite beta, faujasite and zeolite Y. From a selectivity point of view it is preferred to use the dealuminated form of these zeolites. A preferred aluminosilicate to be applied is alumina-bound, at least partially dealuminated, zeolite Y.

Catalytic dewaxing conditions are known in the art and typically involve operating temperatures in the range of from 200 to 500 °C, suitably from 250 to 400 °C, hydrogen pressures in the range of from 10 to 200 bar, suitably from 15 to 100 bar, more suitably from 15 to 65 bar, weight hourly space velocities (WHSV) in the range of from 0.1 to 10 kg of oil per litre of catalyst per hour (kg/l/hr), suitably from 0.2 to 5 kg/l/hr, more suitably from 0.5 to 3 kg/l/hr and hydrogen to oil ratios in the range of from 100 to 2,000 litres of hydrogen per litre of oil.

The weight ratio of the metallosilicate crystallites and the binder is between 5:95 and 35:65. Preferably the weight ratio is 10:90 and above. The upper ratio is preferably lower than 30:70. It has been found that a lower ratio is beneficial for achieving the advantages of the present invention. However when lowering this ratio a higher operating temperature is required to achieve a comparable pour point reduction. Therefore a ratio of 5:95 is the practical lower range of the metallosilicate crystallites to binder weight ratio, because at lower ratios the required operating temperatures will be too high for practical applications.

The binder can be a synthetic or naturally occurring (inorganic) substance, for example clay, silica and/or metal oxides. Natural occurring clays are for example of the montmorillonite and kaolin families. The binder is

preferably a porous binder material, for example a refractory oxide of which examples are: alumina, silica-alumina, silica-magnesia, silica-zirconia, silica-thoria, silica-beryllia, silica-titania as well as ternary
5 compositions for example silica-alumina-thoria, silica-alumina-zirconia, silica-alumina-magnesia and silica-magnesia-zirconia. More preferably a low acidity refractory oxide binder material which is essentially free of alumina is used. Examples of these binder
10 materials are as silica, zirconia, titanium dioxide, germanium dioxide, boria and mixtures of two or more of these of which examples are listed above. The most preferred binder is silica.

The hydrogenation component suitably comprises at
15 least one Group VIB metal component and/or at least one Group VIII metal component. Group VIB metal components include tungsten, molybdenum and/or chromium as sulphide, oxide and/or in elemental form. If present, a Group VIB metal component is suitably present in an amount of from
20 1 to 35% by weight, more suitably from 5 to 30% by weight, calculated as element and based on total weight of support, i.e. modified molecular sieve plus binder.

More preferably only a Group VIII metal component is present as the catalytically active hydrogenation
25 component. Group VIII metal components include those components based on both noble and non-noble metals. Particularly suitable Group VIII metal components, accordingly, are palladium, platinum, nickel and/or cobalt in sulphidic, oxidic and/or elemental form. The
30 total amount Group VIII metal will suitably not exceed 10% by weight calculated as element and based on total weight of support, and preferably is in the range of from 0.1 to 5.0% by weight, more preferably from 0.2 to 3.0% by weight. If both platinum and palladium are present,
35 the weight ratio of platinum to palladium may vary within

wide limits, but suitably is in the range of from 0.05 to 10, more suitably 0.1 to 5. Catalysts comprising palladium and/or platinum as the hydrogenation component are preferred.

5 The metallocsilicate crystallites have a crystalline microporous structure and can generally be defined as being built up of three-dimensional frameworks of tetrahedral SiO₄ units and tetrahedral M units or tetrahedral SiO₄ units and octahedral M units which units
10 are corner linked via oxygen atoms. Examples of possible metals for M are Al, Fe, B, Ga or Ti or combinations of these metals. Preferred metallocsilicate crystallites are aluminosilicate zeolite crystallites suitably having pores with a diameter in the range of from 0.35 to
15 0.80 nm. Preferred aluminosilicate zeolite crystallites include MFI-type zeolites having pores with diameters of 0.55 and 0.56 nm, such as ZSM-5 and silicalite, offretite having pores with diameters of approximately 0.68 nm and zeolites of the ferrierite group having pores with
20 diameter of 0.54 nm, such as ZSM-35 and ferrierite. Another preferred class of aluminosilicate zeolite crystallites include TON-type zeolites. Examples of TON-type aluminosilicate zeolite crystallites are ZSM-22, Theta-1 and Nu-10 as described in US-A-5336478,
25 EP-A-57049 and EP-A-65400. A further preferred class of aluminosilicate zeolite crystallites are of the MTW-type. Examples of molecular sieve crystallites having the MTW-type topology are ZSM-12, Nu-13, TEA-silicate, TPZ-3, TPZ-12, VS-12 and Theta-3 as for example described in
30 US-A-3832449, EP-A-513118, EP-A-59059 and EP-A-162719. A next preferred class of aluminosilicate zeolite crystallites are of the MTT-type. Examples of aluminosilicate zeolite crystallites having the MTT-type topology are ZSM-23, SSZ-32, ISI-4, KZ-1, EU-1, EU-4 and

EU-13 as for example described US-A-4076842,
US-A-4619820, EP-A-522196, EP-A-108486 and EP-A-42226.

More preferably the zeolite crystallites have a
Constraint Index of between 2 and 12. The Constraint
5 Index is a measure of the extent to which a zeolite
provides control to molecules of varying sizes to its
internal structure of the zeolite. Zeolites which provide
a highly restricted access to and egress from its
internal structure have a high value for the Constraint
10 Index. On the other hand, zeolites which provide
relatively free access to the internal zeolite structure
have a low value for the Constraint Index, and usually
pores of large size. The method by which Constraint Index
is determined is described fully in US-A-4016218,
15 incorporated herein by reference for details of the
method.

Constraint Index (CI) values for some typical materials
are:

	CI (At Test Temperature)	
ZSM-4	0.5	(316 °C)
ZSM-5	6-8.3	(371-316 °C)
ZSM-11	6-8.7	(371-316 °C)
ZSM-12	2.3	(316 °C)
ZSM-20	0.5	(371 °C)
ZSM-22	7.3	(427 °C)
ZSM-23	9.1	(427 °C)
ZSM-34	50	(371 °C)
ZSM-35	4.5	(454 °C)
ZSM-38	2	(510 °C)
ZSM-48	3.5	(538 °C)
ZSM-50	2.1	(427 °C)
TMA Offretite	3.7	(316 °C)
TEA Mordenite	0.4	(316 °C)
Clinoptilolite	3.4	(510 °C)
Mordenite	0.5	(316 °C)
REY	0.4	(316 °C)
Amorphous Silica-Alumina		
	0.6	(538 °C)
Dealuminized Y (Deal Y)		
	0.5	(510 °C)
Erionite	38	(316 °C)
Zeolite Beta	0.6-2	(316-399 °C)

5


The very nature of the Constraint Index and the recited technique by which it is determined, however, admit of the possibility that a given zeolite can be tested under somewhat different conditions and thereby exhibit different Constraint Indices. Constraint Index seems to vary somewhat with severity of operation (conversion) and the presence or absence of binders.

Likewise, other variables, such as crystal size of the zeolite, the presence of occluded contaminants, etc., may affect the Constraint Index. Therefore, it will be appreciated that it may be possible to so select test conditions, e.g., temperature, as to establish more than one value for the Constraint Index of a particular zeolite. This explains the range of Constraint Indices for zeolites, such as ZSM-5, ZSM-11 and Zeolite Beta in the above Table.

When using the above described classes of aluminosilicate zeolite crystallites, especially the zeolites of the MFI and MTW type, it has been found to be advantageous to subject the catalyst to a dealumination treatment. Advantages of this treatment are a further increase of the yield of lubricating base oil, an improved stability of the catalyst and/or an improved crush strength of the final catalyst. Dealumination results in a reduction of the number of alumina moieties present in the zeolite and hence in a reduction of the mole percentage of alumina.

Dealumination treatment is preferably performed in that the surface of the zeolite crystallites is selectively dealuminated. Surface dealumination results in a reduction of the number of surface acid sites of the zeolite crystallites, whilst not affecting the internal structure of the zeolite crystallites. The extent of dealumination of the surface of the crystallites depends on the severity of the dealumination treatment. Suitably, the number of surface acid sites of the zeolite is reduced with at least 70%, preferably with at least 80% and even more preferably with at least 90%. In a most preferred embodiment the number of surface acid sites is reduced with essentially 100% by the selective dealumination, thus leaving essentially no surface acid sites at all.

Dealumination can be attained by methods known in the art. Particularly useful methods are those, wherein the dealumination selectively occurs, or anyhow is claimed to occur selectively, at the surface of the crystallites of the molecular sieve. Examples of dealumination processes are described in WO-A-9641849. Preferably dealumination is performed by a process in which the zeolite is contacted with an aqueous solution of a fluorosilicate salt wherein the fluorosilicate salt is represented by the formula:



wherein 'A' is a metallic or non-metallic cation other than H⁺ having the valence 'b'. Examples of cations 'b' are alkylammonium, NH₄⁺, Mg⁺⁺, Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Ba⁺⁺, Cd⁺⁺, Cu⁺, Ca⁺⁺, Cs⁺, Fe⁺⁺, Co⁺⁺, Pb⁺⁺, Mn⁺⁺, Rb⁺, Ag⁺, Sr⁺⁺, Tl⁺, and Zn⁺⁺. Preferably 'A' is the ammonium cation. The zeolite material may be contacted with the fluorosilicate salt in an amount of at least 0.0075 moles per 100 grams of the zeolite material. The pH is suitably between 3 and 7. An example of the above described dealumination process also referred to as the AHS treatment, is described in US-A-5157191.

When zeolite crystallites are used which have been subjected to a dealumination treatment the binder material is preferably a material which does not introduce acidity into the modified zeolite crystallite. Such a binder material is preferably the above described low acidity refractory oxide, which is essentially free of aluminium. It has been found that an increased mechanical strength of the catalyst extrudate is obtained when prepared according to this sequence of steps.

The crystallite size of the zeolite may be as high as 100 micron. Preferably small crystallites are used in order to achieve an optimum catalytic activity.

Preferably crystallites smaller than 10 micron and more preferably smaller than 1 micron are used. The practical lower limit is suitably 0.1 micron. It has been found that the combination of small size crystallites and a surface dealumination treatment, especially the AHS treatment, as described above results in more active catalyst when compared to the same, but non-dealuminated, catalyst. Preferable catalysts are used having a crystallite size of between 0.05 and 0.2 μm and which have been subjected to a dealumination treatment. The invention is also directed to the novel catalyst compositions having such small size surface dealuminated zeolite crystallites and low acidity binder materials and their use in hydrocarbon conversion processes, optionally also comprising a Group VIII or Group VIB metal of which examples are mentioned above. Suitable processes are catalytic dewaxing, hydroisomerisation and hydrocracking.

A disadvantage of a catalyst composition having a low content of metallosilicate crystallites is that the crush strength is not always high enough to suit practical application. To overcome this problem applicants have now found a preferred method of preparing such catalysts having an improved crush strength as will be described below. The method is especially suitable when using a low acidity refractory binder. This method comprises the steps of:

- (a) preparing an extrudable mass comprising a substantially homogenous mixture of metallosilicate crystallites, water, a source of the low acidity refractory oxide binder present as a mixture of a powder and a sol,
- (b) extruding the extrudable mass resulting from step (a),
- (c) drying the extrudate resulting from step (b) and,

(d) calcining the dried extrudate resulting from step (c).

Catalyst particles obtained by the above process have an increased crushing strength. This is advantageous because such catalysts are typically applied in a packed bed reactor. Due to the normally high operating pressure and mass flows in the reactor strong catalyst particles are needed.

The description of the above method will further refer to a silica binder only. It will be understood that the below preferred conditions will, when applicable, also apply to other possible binders as here described.

Preferably the silica sol is an acid silica sol. The acid silica sol may be any colloidal silica having a pH lower than 7. When a pH value is mentioned the pH as measured in water of 18 °C is meant. An example of a suitable acid silica sol is Nyacol 2034DI (trademark of PQ Corp, Valley Forge, Pennsylvania) or Ultra-Sol 7H (trademark of RESI Inc, Newark). The silica powder may be commercially obtained silica powder, for example Sipernat 22 or 50 (trademark of Degussa AG), Nasilco Ultrasil VN3SP or HiSil 233 EP (trademark of PPG Industries). The solid silica powder particles preferably have a mean diameter of between 10 μm and 200 μm .

The surface of the acid silica sol particle comprises -OH groups. It is believed that for obtaining a catalyst particle having an even higher strength it is essential that during the mixing of the components in step (a) some or all of these groups are converted to $-\text{O}^-$ groups. This is preferably achieved by adding an amine compound in step (a). It has further been found that when adding an amine compound just before performing step (b) an even more stronger catalyst particle is obtained. It is believed, although we do not wish to be bound to this

theory, that the stronger catalyst is obtained because not all of the -OH groups on the sol particle surface are converted into -O⁻ groups. Thus step (a) is preferably performed by first mixing the zeolite and the acid silica sol into a first homogeneous mixture and subsequently adding the amine compound to the first homogeneous mixture such that the pH of the resulting second mixture is raised from below 7 to a value of above 8. It can be easily determined by one skilled in the art, by straightforward experimentation, what the optimal moment in step (a) is for adding the amine compound. As a guideline it is preferred to add the amine compound during the second half of the time and more preferably in the last quarter of the time required to mix the components in step (a). Most preferably the amine compound is added within 20 minutes before performing step (b).

The extrudable mass in step (a) should have a sufficient viscosity in order to be extruded into shapes. One skilled in the art will know how to achieve such a paste like mixture. For example by adding water in step (a) the viscosity can be lowered. The water content of the sol may be between 60 and 80 wt%. Preferably the water content of the extrudable mass as obtained in step (a) does not exceed 60%, and preferably is at least 35% by weight.

To obtain an even stronger catalysts it is preferred to maximise the amount of acid silica sol used relative the amount of silica powder used, while still achieving a sufficient viscosity of the extrudable mass. The optimal amount of silica powder to be used will depend on the zeolite content, wherein at a low zeolite content of the catalyst, more silica powder will have to be used. One

skilled in the art can easily determine the optimal composition in view of the above teaching.

The amine compound is preferably a compound according to the general formula $R^1R^2R^3N$ in which R^1-R^3 may be hydrogen and/or an alkyl group having 1-6 carbon atoms. Examples are ammonia, methyl ethyl amine, triethyl amine, of which ammonia, is the most preferred. The amine compound should preferably be added in such an amount in order to raise the pH of the mass to alkaline conditions. Preferred conditions are a pH of the mixture obtained in step (a) of above 8. The pH will be lower than 14.

Step (a) may for example be performed at ambient conditions by first mixing the zeolite, optionally the silica powder and acid silica sol, subsequently adding an amine compound and optionally at the end of step (a) a plasticising agent. The plasticiser agent is used to increase the viscosity of the mixture in order to obtain an extrudable mass. Suitable plasticising agents are for example dextrose, gelatine, glucose, glues, gums, salts, waxes, starch and cellulose ethers. Some typical cellulose ether binders are methylcellulose, ethylhydroxyethylcellulose, hydroxybutyl methylcellulose, hydroxymethylcellulose, hydroxypropyl methylcellulose, hydroxyethyl methylcellulose, hydroxybutylcellulose, hydroxyethylcellulose, hydroxypropylcellulose, sodium carboxymethylcellulose, and mixtures thereof. Methylcellulose and/or methylcellulose derivatives are especially suited as organic binders in the practice of the present invention with methylcellulose, hydroxypropyl methylcellulose, or combinations of these being preferred. Preferred sources of cellulose ethers are Methocel A4M, F4M, F240, and K75M (Trademarks of Dow Chemical Co).

The extrusion in step (b) may be performed by well known processes as for example illustrated in Perry's

Chemical Engineers' Handbook, McGRAW-HILL International Editions, sixth edition, 1984, p 8-60 to 8-66 and in Particle Size Enlargement, Handbook of powder Technology Vol. 1, Elsevier, 1980, p 112-121. Examples of such
5 methods are extrusion performed by a screw extruder, a plate or ram extruder. The extrudates can have a wide variety of forms and sizes.

Drying step (c) and calcining step (d) may be performed under conditions well known to one skilled in
10 the art. Step (c), for example, may take place at a temperature of at least 60 °C to about 250 °C, for a time sufficient to dry the extrudate, for example, for at least 1 hour. Calcining step (d), for example, may take place in air, or other inert gas, at temperatures ranging
15 from 250 °C to 850 °C for periods of time ranging, for example, from about 1 to about 48 hours or more.

The invention is also related to a catalyst composition having the improved crushing strength as obtainable by the method as described above and its use
20 in hydroconversion processes.

The product obtained in the catalytic dewaxing process according to the invention may optionally be subjected to a hydrofinishing step. Hydrofinishing is known in the art and examples of suitable hydrofinishing
25 steps are disclosed in, for instance, US-A-5139647, WO-A-9201657 and WO-A-9201769. Generally, hydrofinishing comprises contacting a hydrocarbon feed, in this case a feed comprising the dewaxed lubricating base oil, with a hydrogenation catalyst under relatively mild conditions
30 in order to saturate at least part of the aromatics still present in the dewaxed base oil. Suitable catalysts are those normally applied for this purpose with noble metal-based catalysts, such as those comprising Pt and/or Pd supported on an amorphous silica-alumina carrier or
35 comprising Pt on an alumina support, being preferred

options. Hydrofinishing conditions normally involve operating temperatures up to 350 °C and preferably between 150 and 300 °C, operating pressures from 10 to 200 bar and weight hourly space velocities of from 0.5 to 7.5 kg/l/h.

The effluent from the catalytic dewaxing process or optionally the effluent of a hydrofinishing treatment applied subsequently is separated into a gaseous fraction and a liquid fraction. Such separation or fractionation can be attained by conventional methods, such as by distillation under atmospheric or reduced pressure. Of these, distillation under reduced pressure, including vacuum flashing and vacuum distillation, is most suitably applied. The cutpoint(s) of the distillate fraction(s) is/are selected such that each product distillate recovered has the desired properties for its envisaged application. For lubricating base oils, the cutpoint will normally be at least 280 °C and will normally not exceed 400 °C, the exact cutpoint being determined by the desired product properties, such as volatility, viscosity, viscosity index and pour point.

The invention will now be illustrated with the following non-limiting examples.

Example 1

A dealuminated, silica bound ZSM-5 catalyst (10 %wt dealuminated ZSM-5, 90 %wt silica binder) was prepared according to the following procedure. ZSM-5 (having a SiO₂/Al₂O₃ molar ratio of 50) was extruded with a silica binder (10% by weight of ZSM-5, 90% by weight of silica binder). The extrudates were dried at 120 °C. A solution of (NH₄)₂SiF₆ (45 ml of 0.077 N solution per gram of ZSM-5 crystallites) was poured onto the extrudates. The mixture was then heated at 100 °C under reflux for 17 h with gentle stirring above the extrudates. After

filtration, the extrudates were washed twice with deionised water, dried for 2 hours at 120 °C and then calcined for 2 hours at 480°C. The dealuminated ZSM-5 thus obtained had a SiO₂/Al₂O₃ molar ratio 26.0.

5 The thus obtained extrudate was impregnated with an aqueous solution of platinum tetramine hydroxide followed by drying (2 hours at 120 °C) and calcining (2 hours at 300 °C). The catalyst was activated by reduction of the platinum under a hydrogen rate of 100 l/hr at a
10 temperature of 350 °C for 2 hours. The resulting catalyst comprised 0.7% by weight Pt supported on the dealuminated, silica-bound ZSM-5.

 A hydrocracked waxy raffinate having the properties as listed in Table I was contacted in the presence of
15 hydrogen with the dealuminated, silica-bound ZSM-5 catalyst at a temperature of 310 °C, an outlet pressure of 40 bar, a WHSV of 1.0 kg/l.hr and a hydrogen gas rate of 700 Nl/kg. Gaseous components were separated from the effluent by vacuum flashing at a cutting temperature of
20 300 °C. The properties of the obtained lubricating base oil product and the yield of the catalytic dewaxing experiment are given in Table II.

Table I Properties of hydrocracked waxy raffinate

Density 70/4	0.817	Boiling point distribution	
		(% wt)	
Vk40 (cSt)	21.8	IBP-380 °C	10
Vk100 (cSt)	4.51	380-420 °C	40
VI	121	420-470 °C	40
Sulphur (ppmw)	2.9	470-FBP °C	10
Nitrogen (ppmw)	< 1		
Pour point (°C)	+27	IBP (°C)	334
Wax content(%wt)	16.7	FBP (°C)	538

Vk40 is kinematic viscosity measured at 40 °C; Vk100 is the kinematic viscosity at 100 °C.

Example 2

Example 1 was repeated except that the content of ZSM-5 was 30 wt%. The properties of the obtained lubricating base oil product and the yield of the catalytic dewaxing experiment are given in Table II.

Example 2a

Example 1 was repeated except that a catalyst was used consisted of 90 wt% silica binder, 10 wt% ZSM-12 powder and a platinum loading of 0.7 wt%. The crystal size of the ZSM-12 crystallites was 1 µm and the extrudate was dealuminated as in Example 1. The properties of the obtained lubricating base oil product and the yield of the catalytic dewaxing experiment are given in Table II.

Example 2b

Example 2a was repeated except that the crystal size was between 0.1 and 0.2 µm. See Table II for more results.

Example 2c

Example 2b was repeated except that no dealumination treatment was used to prepare the catalyst. See Table II for more results.

Comparative experiment A

Example 1 was repeated except that the amount of ZSM-5 crystallites in the catalyst was increased to 60 wt%. The resulting catalyst comprised 0.7% by weight Pt supported on the dealuminated, silica-bound ZSM-5 catalyst. The properties of the obtained lubricating base oil product and the yield of the catalytic dewaxing experiment are given in Table II.

Table II Product characteristics

	Example 1	Example 2	Example 2a	Example 2b	Example 2c	Comp. Exper. A
catalyst	ZSM-5	ZSM-5	ZSM-12 size=1- 2 μ m	ZSM-12 size =0.1- 0.2 μ m	ZSM-12 size =0.1- 0.2 μ m no AHS	ZSM-5
reaction tempera- ture	310 °C	309 °C	358 °C	312 °C	350 °C	294 °C
Yield (% wt)	80	78	91	91	82.4	75.6
Gas make (% wt)	4.3	5.5	2.9	3.6	3.6	7.6
Pour point (°C)	-16	-16	-16	-16	-16	-16
VI	105	104	108	108	105	101

Example 3

Example 1 was repeated except that 10 wt% of SSZ-32 was used instead of ZSM-5 and dealumination was performed as follows: 0.353 grams of ammonium hexafluorosilicate was dissolved in 1420 ml deionised water. Then, this solution passes over 45 grams of extrudates at ambient temperature for 17 hours. After separation from the solution, the extudates are washed with deionised water, dried for two hours at 150 °C and then calcined for two hours at 480 °C. The loading of platinum was as in Example 1.

The properties of the obtained lubricating base oil product and the yield of the catalytic dewaxing experiment are given in Table III.

Comparative experiment B

5 Comparative experiment A was repeated except that 70 wt% of SSZ-32 was used. Dealumination was performed as follows: 2.68 grams of ammonium hexafluorosilicate was dissolved in 1562 ml deionised water. Then, this solution passes over 49.5 grams of extrudates at ambient
10 temperature for 17 hours. After separation from the solution, the extrudates are washed with deionised water, dried for two hours at 150 °C and then calcined for two hours at 480 °C. The loading of platinum was as in Example 1.

15 The properties of the obtained lubricating base oil product and the yield of the catalytic dewaxing experiment are given in Table III.

Table III

	Example 3	Comparative Experiment B
operating temperature	317 °C	305 °C
Yield (% wt)	85.7	79.2
Vk40 (cSt)	24.57	27.34
Vk100 (cSt)	4.68	4.93
Gas make (% wt)	2.6	7.5
Pour point (°C)	-25	-24
VI	108	104

Example 4

20 Example 2 was repeated at 313 °C starting from a hydrocracked waxy raffinate having the properties as listed in Table IV. The properties of the obtained

lubricating base oil product and the yield of the catalytic dewaxing experiment are given in Table V.

Table IV Properties of hydrocracked waxy raffinate

Density 70/4	0.8416	Boiling point distribution (% wt)	
Vk80 (cSt)	14.60	IBP-440 °C	10
Vk100 (cSt)	8.709	440-469 °C	30
Vk120 (cSt)	6.021	469-518 °C	40
Sulphur (ppmw)	95	518-FBP °C	20
Nitrogen (ppmw)	1.1		
Pour point (°C)	+41	IBP (°C)	366
Wax content(%wt)	30	FBP (°C)	587

Example 5

Example 4 was repeated wherein a catalyst was used
 5 containing 10 wt% of TON type zeolite and 90 wt% silica.
 No dealumination treatment was applied. The TON type
 zeolite crystallites were prepared as described below.
 The properties of the obtained lubricating base oil
 product and the yield of the catalytic dewaxing
 10 experiment are given in Table V.

Preparation of the TON type crystallites:

Two mixtures A and B were prepared. Solution A: A
 solution of 6.66 g $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)3.18\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 13.65 g KOH
 (85 wt.%), 4.11 g RbOH (50 wt.%), and 32.4 g 1,6-diamino-
 15 hexane in 325 g demineralised water was prepared in a
 polyethylene (PE) container. Solution B: 150 g Ludox
 AS-40 (Trademark of DuPont) and 240 g demineralised water
 were mixed in a PE container.

Solution B was added to solution A while stirring and
 20 0.3 g of ZSM-22 seeds were added. After the addition of
 the seed crystals stirring was continued for 10 minutes.
 The resulting synthesis gel was placed in a 1 litre

teflon-lined stirred autoclave. The molar composition of the thus prepared synthesis mixture was: 100 SiO₂ : Al₂O₃ : 27.87 R : 10.67 K₂O : 1.0 Rb₂O : 3680 H₂O in which R = 1,6-diaminohexane.

5 The autoclave was closed and the stirrer was adjusted to 600 rpm. The synthesis mixture was heated from room temperature to 156 °C within two hours. The synthesis mixture was kept at this temperature for 60 hours.

10 After synthesis, the zeolite crystals were isolated by filtration and washed with demineralised water. XRD analysis showed that the product was excellent crystalline TON without any other crystalline contaminant. The purity was very high; no ZSM-5 and/or cristoballite impurities were detected.

15 Comparative experiment C

20 Example 5 was repeated except that now a catalyst was used which was prepared by starting with an extrudate containing 60 wt% TON type zeolite and 40 wt% silica binder. The properties of the obtained lubricating base oil product and the yield of the catalytic dewaxing experiment are given in Table V.

Table V

	Example 4	Example 5	Comparative experiment C
extrudate	30 wt% ZSM-5 70 wt% silica	10 wt% TON 90 wt% silica	60 wt% TON 40 wt% silica
metal loading in final catalyst	0.7 wt% Pt	0.7 wt% Pt	0.7 wt% Pt
operating temperature (°C)	313	340	316
Oil yield (wt%)	86	90	88
gas make (%wt)	5.7	3.7	5.8
VI	90	97	94
Pour point (°C)	-9	-9	-9

The following examples will illustrate the preparation of a catalyst having a low aluminosilicate zeolite content and a high crushing strength.

Comparative Experiment D

- 5 On a dry basis, 60 weight parts of ZSM-5 (CBV8014 as obtained from Zeolyst International) were intimately admixed with 15 weight parts of amorphous precipitated silica powder (Sipernat-50 as obtained from Degussa) and with 25 weight parts of acid colloidal silica
- 10 (Nyacol 2034DI as obtained from PQ Corporation); a homogenous mix was obtained by mulling. The total moisture content of the mix was adjusted to 55 weight percent with deionized water.

5 minutes before extruding the mixture a plasticiser agent, Methocel source (containing 2.1 wt% methocel), was added to the extrudable mass in a ratio of 7/100 of Methocel source relative to the zeolite dry mass. The mixture was extruded to yield cylinder extrudates with a diameter of 1.6 mm. The extrudates were subsequently dried at 120 °C for typically 2 hours in air and then calcined at 800 °C for 5 hours.

The Flat Plate Crushing Strength was measured by determining the force in N/cm at which the cylinder extrudate was crushed between two parallel flat plates. The cylinder extrudate was positioned between the plates in such that the cylindrical axis was parallel with the plates. This procedure was repeated 40 times and the average force at which crushing was observed was the resulting Flat Plate Crushing Strength (FPCS). In this example a FPCS of 60 N/cm was measured. See also Table VI.

Example 6

Comparative Experiment D was repeated except that the ZSM-5 content was 30 wt%, the silica powder content was 35 wt% and the acid silica sol content was 35 wt%. A FPCS of 86 N/cm was measured. As a result of the lower ZSM-5 content as compared to Comparative Experiment D one would expect a lower FPCS. The resulting higher FPCS is a result of the higher content of acid silica sol as compared to Comparative Experiment D. The FPCS is however not high enough for commercial application. A value of higher than 100 N/cm is desirable. See also Table VI.

Example 7

Example 6 was repeated except instead of acid silica sol the same amount of basic colloidal silica of the type Ludox HS-30 was used. The Flat Plate Crushing Strength was 80 N/cm.

Example 8

Example 6 was repeated except that, after mixing the ZSM-5, silica powder and acid colloidal silica, ammonia was added. Ammonia as a 2.5 wt% aqueous solution was added in a ratio of 1/12 ammonia solution relative to the zeolite dry mass. The resulting pH was 8.8. After adding the ammonia the mixing was continued for 35 minutes before extruding. The Flat Plate Crushing Strength was 122 N/cm. See also Table VI.

Example 9

Example 8 was repeated except that ammonia was added after 35 minutes after mixing the ZSM-5, silica powder and acid colloidal silica. After adding the ammonia the mixing was continued for 10 minutes before extruding. The Flat Plate Crushing Strength was 178 N/cm.

Table VI

Experiment	ZSM-5 content (wt%)	Silica powder (wt%)	Silica sol (wt%)	acidity of used silica sol	ammonia added	FPCS (N/cm)
Comp. D	60	15	25	acid	no	60
Example 6	30	35	35	acid	no	86
Example 7	30	35	35	basic	no	80
Example 8	30	35	35	acid	35 minutes before extrusion	122
Example 9	30	35	35	acid	10 minutes before extrusion	178

Example 10

The catalysts prepared in Example 9 was used in a catalytic dewaxing process as described in Example 1. The results in yield and selectivity were the same as in said Example except that the required temperature to achieve the same reduction in pour point was about 10 °C higher.

Example 11

A wax containing feedstock obtained by a Fischer-Tropsch process followed by a mild hydroconversion having the properties as listed in Table VII was contacted with a catalyst consisting of 30 wt% dealuminated ZSM-5 70 wt% silica and 0.7 wt% platinum. The carrier was prepared according to Example 9 and the metal impregnation was performed according to Example 1. Contacting took place in the presence of hydrogen at a temperature of 296 °C, an outlet pressure of 50 bar, a WHSV of 1.0 kg/l.hr and a hydrogen gas rate of 750 Nl/kg feed. Gaseous components were separated from the effluent by vacuum flashing at a cutting temperature of 390 °C. The properties of the obtained lubricating base oil product and the yield of the catalytic dewaxing experiment are given in Table VIII.

Table VII

Density 70/4	0.784	Boiling range (°C)	
Vk100 (cSt)	6.244	IBP	290
Pour point (°C)	+40	50 vol%	466
		FBP	701

Table VIII

	Example 11
operating temperature	296 °C
Yield (% wt)	45
Vk40 (cSt)	58.62
Vk100 (cSt)	9.75
Gas make (% wt)	12
Pour point (°C)	-30
VI	151

Example 12

A wax containing feedstock, being the heavy product of a hydrocracking process (hydrowax) which primary products are middle distillates, having the properties as listed in Table IX was contacted with a catalyst as used in Example 11 in the presence of hydrogen at a temperature of 330 °C, an outlet pressure of 40 bar, a WHSV of 1 kg/l.hr and a hydrogen gas rate of 500 Nl/kg feed. Gaseous components were separated from the effluent by vacuum flashing at a cutting temperature of 390 °C. The properties of the obtained lubricating base oil product and the yield of the catalytic dewaxing experiment are given in Table X.

Table IX

Density 70/4	0.821	Boiling range (°C)	
Vk100 (cSt)	4.166	IBP	202
Pour point (°C)	+36	50 vol%	417
		FBP	587

Table X

	Example 12
operating temperature	330
Yield (% wt)	48.1
Vk40 (cSt)	58.13
Vk100 (cSt)	7.70
Gas make (% wt)	1.1
Pour point (°C)	-12
VI	95

Example 13

A feedstock having the properties of a finished base oil as listed in Table XI was contacted with a catalyst as used in Example 11 in the presence of hydrogen at a temperature of 340 °C, an outlet pressure of 40 bar, a WHSV of 1 kg/l.hr and a hydrogen gas rate of 500 Nl/kg feed. The properties of the obtained lubricating base oil product and the yield of the catalytic dewaxing experiment are given in Table XII.

Table XI

Density 15/4	0.826	Boiling range (°C)	
Vk100 (cSt)	5.134	IBP	353
Pour point (°C)	-19	50 vol%	451
		FBP	617

Table XII

	Example 13
operating temperature	340
Yield (% wt)	74.3
Vk40 (cSt)	25.98
Vk100 (cSt)	5.214
Gas make (% wt)	17.1
Pour point (°C)	-36
VI	136

C L A I M S

1. Process for the catalytic dewaxing of a hydrocarbon feed comprising waxy molecules by contacting the hydrocarbon feed under catalytic dewaxing conditions with a catalyst composition comprising metallosilicate crystallites, a binder and a hydrogenation component, wherein the weight ratio of the metallosilicate crystallites and the binder is between 5:95 and 35:65.
2. Process according to claim 1, wherein the weight ratio of the metallosilicate crystallites and the binder is between 10:90 and 30:70.
3. Process according to any one of claims 1-2, wherein the binder is a low acidity refractory oxide, which is essentially free of aluminium.
4. Process according to claim 3, wherein the binder is silica.
5. Process according to any one of claims 1-4, wherein the metallosilicate crystallites have a crystalline microporous structure and are defined as being built up of three-dimensional frameworks of tetrahedral SiO_4 units and tetrahedral M units or tetrahedral SiO_4 units and octahedral M units which units are corner linked via oxygen atoms and wherein M represents Al, Fe, B, Ga or Ti or combinations of these atoms.
6. Process according to claim 5, wherein the metallosilicate crystallites are aluminosilicate zeolite crystallites.
7. Process according to claim 6, wherein the aluminosilicate zeolite crystallites is a MFI-type zeolite, a ferrierite group zeolite, a TON-type zeolite, an MTW-type zeolite or an MTT-type zeolite.

8. Process according to any one of claims 6-7, wherein the aluminosilicate zeolite crystallites have been subjected to a dealumination treatment.

9. Process according to claim 8, wherein the dealuminated aluminosilicate zeolite crystallites are obtained by contacting the aluminosilicate zeolite crystallites with an aqueous solution of a fluorosilicate salt wherein the fluorosilicate salt is represented by the formula:

10 (A)_{2/b}SiF₆

wherein 'A' is a metallic or non-metallic cation other than H⁺ having the valence 'b', preferably ammonium.

10. Process according to any one of claims 8-9, wherein an extrudate of the aluminosilicate zeolite crystallites and the binder is contacted with the aqueous solution of the fluorosilicate salt.

11. Process according to any one of claims 1-10, wherein the hydrocarbon feed is a hydrotreated vacuum distillate fraction boiling between 300 and 620 °C.

12. Process according to any one of claims 1-11, wherein the hydrogenation component is palladium, platinum, nickel and or cobalt in sulphidic, oxidic and/or elemental form.

13. Process according to any one of claims 1-12, wherein the catalyst composition is obtained by performing at least the following steps:

- (a) preparing an extrudable mass comprising a substantially homogenous mixture of metallosilicate crystallites, water, a source of the low acidity refractory oxide binder present as a mixture of a powder and a sol,
- (b) extruding the extrudable mass resulting from step (a),
- (c) drying the extrudate resulting from step (b) and,

(d) calcining the dried extrudate resulting from step (c).

14. Process according to claim 13, wherein step (a) is performed by first mixing the of metallosilicate
5 crystallites, the powder and an acid sol of the low acidity refractory oxide into a first homogeneous mixture and subsequently adding an amine compound to the first homogeneous mixture such that the pH of the resulting second mixture is raised from below 7 to a value of above
10 8.

15. Process according to claim 14, wherein the amine compound is added in step (a) within 20 minutes of performing step (b).

16. Process according to any one of claims 14-15, wherein
15 the amine compound is ammonia.

17. Catalyst composition comprising at least a low acidity refractory oxide binder, which binder is essentially free of aluminium, metallosilicate crystallites and a hydrogenation component, wherein the
20 weight ratio of the metallosilicate crystallites and the binder is between 5:95 and 35:65.


18. Catalyst composition according to claim 17, wherein the weight ratio of the metallosilicate crystallites and the binder is between 10:90 and 30:70.

25 19. Catalyst composition according to claim 18, wherein the binder is silica.

20. Catalyst composition comprising a low acidity refractory oxide binder, which binder is essentially free of aluminium, aluminosilicate zeolite crystallites and a
30 hydrogenation component, wherein the crystallite size is between 0.05 and 0.2 μm and wherein the zeolite crystallites have been subjected to a surface dealumination treatment.

21. Catalyst according to claim 20, wherein the
35 dealuminated zeolite crystallites are obtained by

contacting the zeolite crystallites with an aqueous solution of a fluorosilicate salt, wherein the fluorosilicate salt is represented by the formula:



5 wherein 'A' is a metallic or non-metallic cation other than H⁺ having the valence 'b', preferably ammonium.

22. Catalyst according to claim 21, wherein an extrudate of the aluminosilicate zeolite crystallites and the binder is contacted with the aqueous solution of the
10 fluorosilicate salt.

23. Use of a catalyst according to any one of claims 17-22 in a hydroconversion process.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International / cation No

PCT/EP 99/09024

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 C10G45/64 B01J29/06

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 C10G B01J

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 0 180 354 A (MOBIL OIL CORP) 7 May 1986 (1986-05-07) the whole document	1-12, 17-23
Y	---	13-16
X	US 4 568 655 A (OLECK STEPHEN M ET AL) 4 February 1986 (1986-02-04) claims 1,7	1-7, 11, 12, 17-20, 23
X	US 4 510 044 A (OLECK STEPHEN M ET AL) 9 April 1985 (1985-04-09) the whole document	1, 2, 5-7, 11, 12, 23
X	US 4 458 024 A (OLECK STEPHEN M ET AL) 3 July 1984 (1984-07-03) the whole document	1, 2, 5, 7, 11, 12, 23

	-/-	



Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.



Patent family members are listed in annex.

° Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

22 March 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

30/03/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Michiels, P

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/EP 99/09024

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5 804 058 A (GRANDVALLET PIERRE ET AL) 8 September 1998 (1998-09-08) column 6, line 23 - line 38; claims 1-14	1-12, 17-23
A	WO 96 26993 A (MOBIL OIL CORP) 6 September 1996 (1996-09-06) the whole document	8-10, 20-23
A	EP 0 773 277 A (SHELL INT RESEARCH) 14 May 1997 (1997-05-14) the whole document	1-23
A	US 3 629 096 A (DIVIJAK JOSEPH M JR) 21 December 1971 (1971-12-21) the whole document	1-23
Y	EP 0 187 308 A (UNION CARBIDE) 16 July 1986 (1986-07-16) examples 3,4	13-16
A	EP 0 854 125 A (SHELL) 22 July 1998 (1998-07-22) page 9, line 2,3; claim 1	10-13

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP 99/09024

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0180354 A	07-05-1986	AU 4817385 A	08-05-1986
		ES 548263 D	01-09-1986
		ES 8609438 A	16-12-1986
		JP 61108692 A	27-05-1986
US 4568655 A	04-02-1986	AU 4817285 A	08-05-1986
		EP 0183363 A	04-06-1986
		ES 548259 D	01-12-1986
		ES 8802326 A	16-07-1988
		JP 61111142 A	29-05-1986
		US 4696732 A	29-09-1987
US 4510044 A	09-04-1985	US 4458024 A	03-07-1984
		JP 58146445 A	01-09-1983
		US 4440630 A	03-04-1984
US 4458024 A	03-07-1984	JP 58146445 A	01-09-1983
		US 4440630 A	03-04-1984
		US 4510044 A	09-04-1985
US 5804058 A	08-09-1998	AT 188502 T	15-01-2000
		AU 6303496 A	09-01-1997
		BR 9608802 A	17-02-1999
		CA 2224648 A	27-12-1996
		CN 1193335 A, B	16-09-1998
		DE 69606035 D	10-02-2000
		WO 9641849 A	27-12-1996
		EP 0832171 A	01-04-1998
		JP 11507969 T	13-07-1999
		SK 169997 A	05-08-1998
		WO 9626993 A	06-09-1996
AU 4289196 A	18-09-1996		
EP 0799082 A	08-10-1997		
JP 10511425 T	04-11-1998		
EP 0773277 A	14-05-1997	AU 7066496 A	15-05-1997
		CA 2189833 A	10-05-1997
		CN 1152606 A	25-06-1997
		JP 9137171 A	27-05-1997
		SG 45514 A	16-01-1998
		US 5951847 A	14-09-1999
US 3629096 A	21-12-1971	BE 768821 A	21-12-1971
		CA 953233 A	20-08-1974
		FR 2142583 A	02-02-1973
		NL 7108226 A	19-12-1972
		GB 1310320 A	21-03-1971
		DE 2131227 A	21-12-1972
EP 187308 A	16-07-1986	AT 80411 T	15-09-1992
		AU 4761390 A	03-05-1990
		AU 594659 B	15-03-1990
		AU 5312186 A	22-07-1986
		CA 1275400 A	23-10-1990
		CN 1031711 A, B	15-03-1989
		DE 3586626 A	15-10-1992
		MX 168312 B	18-05-1993

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No PCT/EP 99/09024
--

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 187308 A		WO 8603770 A US 4880760 A US 4906351 A	03-07-1986 14-11-1989 06-03-1990
EP 854125 A	22-07-1998	US 5510306 A AT 189976 T AU 692215 B AU 1445095 A AU 705860 B AU 6079098 A BR 9408441 A CA 2180275 A CN 1142787 A EP 0737102 A FI 962682 A JP 9507161 T NO 962769 A SG 64913 A WO 9517961 A US 5633422 A US 5648584 A ZA 9410398 A	23-04-1996 15-03-2000 04-06-1998 17-07-1995 03-06-1999 11-06-1998 05-08-1997 06-07-1995 12-02-1997 16-10-1996 28-08-1996 22-07-1997 28-06-1996 25-05-1999 06-07-1995 27-05-1997 15-07-1997 08-09-1995