

US00D710985S

# (12) United States Design Patent

Gassman et al.

(10) Patent No.:

US D710,985 S

(45) **Date of Patent:** 

\*\* Aug. 12, 2014

## (54) ROOF VENT

(71) Applicant: Owens Corning Intellectual Capital,

LLC, Toledo, OH (US)

(72) Inventors: Paul E. Gassman, Newark, OH (US);

Christopher C. Freidner, Granville, OH

(US)

(73) Assignee: Owens Corning Intellectual Capital,

LLC, Toledo, OH (US)

(\*\*) Term: 14 Years

(21) Appl. No.: 29/434,133

(22) Filed: Oct. 10, 2012

(52) U.S. Cl.

USPC ...... **D23/373**; D23/386

285/44; 52/58, 219

(58) Field of Classification Search

USPC ....... D23/370–385, 411–414, 365, 364, 328, D23/314, 386–394, 259; 415/60, 66, 68, 415/208.1, 213.1, 214.1; 310/257; 361/695; 126/299 D, 299 R; D26/51, 72, 73; D32/15, 16; 416/189; D13/179; 454/358–368; D25/199; 285/13, 43,

See application file for complete search history.

## (56) References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D203,484 S * 3,484,259 A 3,524,738 A	6/1965 1/1966 12/1969 8/1970	Thomas		D23/373		
(Continued)						

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE 3935600 3/1990 GB 428720 5/1935

(Continued)

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Part 1—Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,793,574, Control No. 95/001,952 dated Mar. 29, 2012 (pp. 1-100).

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Susan Bennett Hattan Assistant Examiner — Janice Hallmark

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP

## (57) CLAIM

The ornamental design for a roof vent, as shown and described.

## DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a top isometric view of an exemplary embodiment of a roof vent, showing my new design;

FIG. 2 is a bottom isometric view of the roof vent of FIG. 1;

FIG. 3 is a top plan view of the roof vent of FIG. 1;

FIG. 4 is a bottom plan view of the roof vent of FIG. 1;

FIG. 5 is a front view of the roof vent of FIG. 1;


FIG. 6 is a rear view of the roof vent of FIG. 1;


FIG. 7 is a right side view of the roof vent of FIG. 1; and,

FIG. 8 is a left side view of the roof vent of FIG. 1.

The broken lines in the drawings depict environmental subject matter only and form no part of the claimed design.

## 1 Claim, 5 Drawing Sheets





## US D710,985 S

Page 2

(56) References Cited		6,399,527 B1		Kishimoto et al.
II C DATE	NT DOCUMENTS	D462,790 S 6.457.943 B1		Bullinger et al. Olsen et al.
U.S. PATE	NI DOCUMENIS	6,482,084 B2	11/2002	
3,625,134 A 12/19	971 Smith	6,491,581 B1		Mankowski
	772 Gerhaher	6,540,508 B1		Simpson et al.
	774 Dumbaugh, Jr.	6,565,431 B1	5/2003	
3,861,926 A 1/19	975 Irlam et al.	6,579,599 B1		Blum et al.
	975 Majumdar	6,684,581 B2 6,686,304 B1		Robinson et al. Wallenberger
	975 Burgman et al.	6,793,574 B1		Robinson
	975 Guthrie 977 Zlochower	6,809,050 B1		McGinnis
	980 Neely	D503,790 S		Dodge et al.
	982 Froberg	6,881,144 B2		Hansen et al.
	982 Rapp	6,933,045 B2		Tamura
, ,	983 Zahner	6,933,252 B2 D511.847 S *	8/2005	Ciepliski D25/141
	985 Dunn 986 Eastes	D511,848 S *	11/2005	Ciepliski D25/141
4,582,748 A 4/19 4,643,080 A 2/19		6,981,916 B2		Coulton
	987 Mankowski	6,998,361 B2	2/2006	
	988 Lewis	D527,813 S		Dodge et al.
	989 Cashman	7,219,473 B2 * 7,259,118 B2		Mantyla et al 52/198  Jubb et al.
	989 Brennan et al.	D555,237 S		O'Hagin
	990 Rogers et al. 990 Mankowski	7,384,331 B2		Coulton
	990 MacLeod et al.	7.449.419 B2	11/2008	Li
4,935,291 A 6/19	990 Gunnink			Stone D23/373
4,957,037 A 9/19		7,662,037 B2		Polston
4,976,587 A 12/19		D615,218 S 7,765,754 B2		Grubka et al.  Johnson et al.
	MacLeod et al.	7,766,735 B2 *		Ciepliski et al 454/365
5,022,203 A 6/19 5,052,286 A 10/19	991 Boyd 991 Tubbesing et al.			Grubka et al.
	992 Sells	D654,161 S *		Holland et al D23/373
	992 Robinson			Grisham et al 454/260
	992 Wolfert			Railkar et al 52/198
	992 Hartman	*		Polston D23/393
	992 Gates 994 Jackson et al.	2002/0000101 A1 2002/0045528 A1		Chenoweth Kusuno et al.
	994 Olds et al.	2003/0077178 A1		Stearns
	995 Waltz	2003/0166446 A1	9/2003	
	995 MacLeod et al.	2003/0188554 A1		Baker et al.
	995 Lawlor	2003/0207748 A1		Wallenberger
	996 Olsen 996 TenEyck et al.	2003/0224922 A1		Wallenberger
	996 Rapp et al.	2004/0092379 A1 2004/0237428 A1	5/2004	Lewis Headrick et al.
	996 TenEyck et al.	2005/0014624 A1		Jubb et al.
	997 DeZen	2005/0031703 A1		Beier et al.
	998 Smith 998 Eastes et al.	2005/0084440 A1	4/2005	Chacon et al.
	998 Martin	2005/0085369 A1	4/2005	
5,826,390 A 10/19		2005/0090377 A1		Shelestak et al.
	998 Dickson et al.	2005/0130581 A1 2005/0130825 A1	6/2005	Kravchenko et al.
	999 Jensen et al. 999 Chiurlo et al.	2005/0130823 A1 2005/0232828 A1	10/2005	
	999 Fyles et al.	2005/0234216 A1		Klein et al.
	999 Nair et al.	2006/0003884 A1	1/2006	Nishizawa et al.
- , ,	999 Zou et al.	2006/0040608 A1		Coulton
	000 Zou et al.	2006/0079173 A1		Coulton et al.
6,101,847 A 8/20 6,128,869 A 10/20	000 Shamp et al. 000 Brotherton et al.	2006/0154597 A1		Coulton et al.
	000 Gallo et al.	2006/0211366 A1 2006/0229010 A1		Villela et al.
6,149,517 A 11/20	000 Hansen	2006/0229010 A1 2006/0257240 A1		Villela et al. Naskali et al.
	OOO Sharp et al.	2007/0000192 A1		Mantyla et al.
	001 Orr	2007/0049190 A1	3/2007	
	001 Henderson 001 Zou et al.	2007/0054612 A1		Ehrman et al.
	001 Zou et al. 001 Headrick	2007/0072539 A1	3/2007	
	001 Smith	2007/0072540 A1		Ciepliski et al.
6,237,369 B1 5/20	001 LeBlanc et al.	2007/0094953 A1		Galeazzo et al.
	001 Pinckney	2007/0105701 A1		Hoffmann et al.
	001 Smith 001 Coulton	2007/0107220 A1 2007/0117505 A1		Bakhuis et al. Wey et al.
	001 Coulton et al.	2007/0117303 A1 2007/0213003 A1		Railkar et al.
	001 Hansen	2008/0009403 A1		Hofmann et al.
	001 Koyama et al.	2008/0064320 A1		Polston
, ,	001 Chenoweth	2010/0112932 A1		Grubka
	001 Peuchert et al.	2010/0144266 A1* 2011/0195655 A1*		Lowe et al
	002 Brandon 002 Headrick	2011/0193633 A1* 2013/0023197 A1*		Grisham et al
0,571,077 102 4/20	OZ HOMHICK	2010/002017/ AT	1,2013	5115Haili St al 7.57/200

## (56) References Cited

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

JP 58-064243 4/1983 WO 2007/055964 5/2007 WO 2007/055968 5/2007

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Part 2—Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,793,574, Control No. 95/001,952 dated Mar. 29, 2012 (pp. 101-149 and exhibits).

Office Action granting Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,793,574, Control No. 951001,952 dated May 9, 2012.

Office Action regarding Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,793,574, Control No. 95/001,952 dated May 9, 2012.

Patent Owner's Response Pursuant to 37 CFR Section 1.945 regarding Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,793,574, Control No. 95/001,952 dated Jul. 5, 2012.

Requester Comments After Patent Owner's Response regarding Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,793,574, Control No. 95/001,952 dated Aug. 6, 2012.

Submission of signed Declaration of Denise Laytart in support of Requester Comments After Patent Owner's Response regarding Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,793,574, Control No. 95/001,952 dated Aug. 13, 2012.

Patent Owner's Amendment and Response regarding Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,793,574, Control No. 95/001,952 dated Sep. 26, 2012.

Office Action closing prosecution regarding Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,793,574, Control No. 95/001,952 dated Aug. 28, 2012.

Patent Owner's Petition Under 37 CFR Section 1.182—Request for Continued Reexamination regarding Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,793,574, Control No. 95/001,952 dated Sep. 27, 2012

Patent Owner's Amendment and Response regarding Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,793,574, Control No. 95/001,952 dated Oct. 23, 2012.

Requester Comments after Patent Owner's Response regarding Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,793,574, Control No. 95/001,952 dated Oct. 26, 2012.

Office Action denying Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,482,084, Control No. 95/001,953 dated May 11, 2012. Requester's Petition Under 37 CFR Sections 1.927 and 1.181 for Supervisory Review of Refusal to Order Reexamination of Claims 1-8 of US Patent No. 6,482,084 regarding Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,482,084, Control No. 95/001,953 dated Jun. 8, 2012.

Decision on Petition Under 37 CFR 1.181 and 1.927 regarding Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,482,084, Control No. 95/001,953 dated Jul. 25, 2012.

Office Action denying Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,299,528, Control No. 95/001,954 dated May 11, 2012. Requester's Petition Under 37 CFR Sections 1.927 and 1.181 for Supervisory Review of Refusal to Order Reexamination of Claims 1-3 of US Patent No. 6,299,5284 regarding Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,299,528, Control No. 95/001,954 dated Jun. 8, 2012.

Decision on Petition Under 37 CFR 1.181 and 1.927 regarding Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,299,528, Control No. 951001,954 dated Jul. 25, 2012.

Office Action granting Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,299,528, Control No. 95/002,080 dated Sep. 13, 2012. Office Action regarding Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,299,528, Control No. 95/002,080 dated Sep. 13, 2012.

Patent Owner Air Vent, Inc.'s Response to Office Action of Sep. 13, 2012 regarding Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,299,528, Control No. 95/002,080 dated Nov. 12, 2012.

Requestor Comments after Patent Owner's Response regarding Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,299,528, Control No. 95/002,080 dated Dec. 12, 2012.

Office Action granting Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,482,084, Control No. 95/002,081 dated Sep. 13, 2012. Office Action regarding Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,482,084, Control No. 95/002,081 dated Sep. 13, 2012

Patent Owner Air Vent, Inc.'s Response to Office Action of Sep. 13, 2012 regarding Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,482,084, Control No. 95/002,081 dated Nov. 12, 2012.

Requestor Comments after Patent Owner's Response regarding Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,482,084, Control No. 95/002,081 dated Dec. 12, 2012.

Part 1—Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,482,084, Control No. 95/001,953 dated Mar. 30, 2012 (pp. 1-135). Part 2—Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,482,084, Control No. 95/001,953 dated Mar. 30, 2012 (pp. 136-221 and exhibits).

Part 1—Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,299,528, Control No. 95/001,954 dated Mar. 30, 2012 (pp. 1-100). Part 2—Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,299,528, Control No. 95/001,954 dated Mar. 30, 2012 (pp. 101-142 and exhibits).

Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,299,528, Control No. 95/002,080 dated Aug. 16, 2012.

Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of US Patent No. 6,482,084, Control No. 95/002,081 dated Aug. 16, 2012.

Defendant Owens Corning Corporation's Non-Infringement and Invalidity Contentions Pursuant to LPR 3.4 dated Feb. 20, 2012 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc. v. Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Complaint for Patent Infringement [Doc. 1] dated Dec. 17, 2010 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc. v. Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction [Doc. 10] dated Feb. 14, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc.* v. *Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Plaintiff's Memorandum in Support of Motion for a Preliminary Injunction [Doc. 11] dated Feb. 14, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc.* v. *Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Declaration of Robert Bradley Holland and Exhibits 7-10 in Support of Plaintiff's Memorandum in Support of Motion for a Preliminary Injunction [Doc. 15] dated Feb. 15, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc.* v. *Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Defendant's Memorandum in Opposition to Air Vent, Inc.'s Motion for Preliminary Injunction [Doc. 25] dated Mar. 7, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, Air Vent, Inc. v. Owens Corning Corporation, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania. Air Vent's Reply to Owens Corning's Opposition to Motion for a Preliminary Injunction [Doc. 32] dated Mar. 14, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, Air Vent, Inc. v. Owens Corning Corporation, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement [Doc. 41] dated Jul. 14, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc.* v. *Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Memorandum in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for a Preliminary Injunction [Doc. 44] dated Jul. 26, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc.* v. *Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Count Three of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) [Doc. 45] in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc.* v. Owens Corning Corporation, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Defendant's Memorandum in Support of its Motion to Dismiss Count Three of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Pursuant to Federal

## (56) References Cited

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) [Doc. 46] dated Aug. 1, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc.* v. *Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Defendant's Memorandum in Opposition to Air Vent, Inc.'s Renewed Motion for Preliminary Injunction [Doc. 49] dated Aug. 10, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc.* v. *Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Plaintiff's Memorandum in Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss [Doc. 52] dated Aug. 22, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc.* v. *Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Motion for a Preliminary Injunction [Doc. 54] dated Aug. 26, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc.* v. *Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Defendant's Reply in Support its Motion to Dismiss Count Three of the Amended Complaint [Doc. 57] dated Sep. 8, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc. v. Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Plaintiff's Surreply in Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss [Doc. 60] dated Sep. 12, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc. v. Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Reply to Plaintiff's Surreply in Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Count Three of the Amended Complaint [Doc. 63] dated Sep. 16, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc.* v. *Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement of US Patent Nos. 6,299,528 and 6,482,084 [Doc. 64] dated Oct. 25, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc.* v. *Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania

Defendant's Memorandum in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment of US Patent Nos. 6,299,528 and 6,482,084 [Doc. 65] dated Oct. 25, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc.* v. *Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Concise Statement of Undisputed Facts in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement of US Patent Nos. 6,299,528 and 6,482,084 [Doc. 66] dated Oct. 25, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc.* v. Owens Corning Corporation, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania. Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Concise Statement of Undisputed Facts in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement of US Patent Nos. 6,299,528 and 6,482,084 [Doc. 67] dated Oct. 25, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc.* v. Owens Corning Corporation, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Defendant Owens Corning Corporation's Answer, Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaims to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement [Doc. 69] dated Nov. 14, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc. v. Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Plaintiff Air Vent, Inc.'s Answer to Defendant Owens Corning Corporation's Counterclaims [Doc. 71] dated Nov. 22, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc.* v. *Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania. Plaintiff's Memorandum in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement of United States Patent Nos. 6,299,528 and 6,482,04 [Doc. 72] dated Nov. 22, 2011 in Civil

Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc.* v. *Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania. Declaration of David L. Roodvoets in Support of Plaintiff's Memorandum in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement of United States Patent Nos. 6,299,528 and 6,482,04 [Doc. 73] dated Nov. 22, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc.* v. *Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Concise Statement of Facts in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 75] dated Nov. 22, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc.* v. *Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Defendant's Reply in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement of US Patent Nos. 6,299,528 and 6,482,084 [Doc. 80] dated Dec. 6, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc. v. Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Plaintiff's Surreply in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement [Doc. 83] dated Dec. 14, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc. v. Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Surreply [Doc. 87] dated Dec. 23, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc.* v. *Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania

Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Reply [Doc. 92] dated Dec. 28, 2011 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc. v. Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement [Doc. 130] dated Aug. 29, 2012 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc. v. Owens Corning Corporation*, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Defendant Owens Corning Corporation's Answer, Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaims to Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement [Doc. 134] dated Sep. 19, 2012 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc.* v. Owens Corning Corporation, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania. Declaration of David L. Roodvoets in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Stay [Doc. 136] dated Sep. 24, 2012 in Civil Action No. 10-1699, *Air Vent, Inc.* v. Owens Corning Corporation, United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Installation Instructions for Owens Corning VentSure Rigid Strip Ridge Vent dated Mar. 2000 (4 pages).

Owens Corning Press Release—Great Exterior Makeover—dated Oct. 13, 1999.


Installation Instructions for Owens Corning VentSure Rigid Strip Ridge Vent dated Oct. 1999 (6 pages).


Office Action from U.S. Appl. No. 12/393,261 dated Aug. 16, 2013. Notice of Allowance from U.S. Appl. No. 29/332,162 dated Jul. 15, 2009.


Notice of Allowance from U.S. Appl. No. 29/327,214 dated Sep. 13, 2010.

Office action from U.S. Appl. No. 11/267,739 dated Sep. 24, 2008. Office action from U.S. Appl. No. 11/267,739 dated Dec. 18, 2008. Office action from U.S. Appl. No. 11/267,702 dated Sep. 24, 2008. Office action from U.S. Appl. No. 11/267,702 dated Dec. 23, 2008. Office action from U.S. Appl. No. 12/393,261 dated Aug. 16, 2012. Office action from U.S. Appl. No. 12/393,261 dated Feb. 15, 2013. Advisory action from U.S. Appl. No. 12/393,261 dated May 1, 2013. Installation Instructions for Owens Corning VentSure Rigid Strip Ridge Vent dated Mar. 2000.

<sup>\*</sup> cited by examiner









Aug. 12, 2014

FIG. 6

pedesphermiterendenmiterendspokephermiterendsminnterendspokephermiterendsmitterendspokephermiterendspokeph

Aug. 12, 2014

