

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
22 June 2006 (22.06.2006)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2006/064212 A1

(51) International Patent Classification:
B01J 19/00 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/GB2005/004798

(22) International Filing Date:
12 December 2005 (12.12.2005)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
0427450.2 15 December 2004 (15.12.2004) GB

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **BP OIL INTERNATIONAL LIMITED** [GB/GB]; Chertsey Road, Sunbury-on-Thames, Middlesex TW16 7BP (GB).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **BUTLER, Graham** [GB/GB]; Ashby House, Star Hill, Churt, Surrey GU10 2HS (GB). **COUVES, John, William** [GB/GB]; 7 Claytons Meadow, Bourne End, Buckinghamshire, SL8 5DQ (GB). **GREENOUGH, Paul** [GB/GB]; 17 Woodlands Drive, Beaconsfield, Buckinghamshire HP9 1JY (GB). **GUDDER, Nicholas, John** [GB/GB]; 14 Poplar Avenue, Windlesham, Surrey GU20 6PL (GB). **HODGES, Michael, Graham** [GB/GB]; Knowsleigh, Barnett Lane, Wonersh, Surrey GU5 0SA (GB).

(74) Agents: **DE KEZEL, Eric** et al.; BP International Limited, Patents & Agreements, Chertsey Road, Sunbury-on-Thames, Middlesex TW16 7LN (GB).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, LY, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Declaration under Rule 4.17:

— of inventorship (Rule 4.17(iv))

Published:

— with international search report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: PROCESS FOR EVALUATING FOULING CAUSED BY REFINERY FEEDSTOCKS

(57) Abstract: The fouling caused by a refinery feedstock on one or more refinery processes, said process comprising: (i) providing a plurality of refinery feedstocks and/or a plurality of fractions of one or more refinery feedstocks, (ii) providing an array comprising a plurality of metal samples representative of metallurgy present in a refinery, (iii) contacting each of the plurality of metal samples with one or more of said (iv) refinery feedstocks or fractions under non-static conditions, and determining the fouling of said refinery feedstock or fraction thereof. Preferably, the metal samples are in the form of a microfabricated array and the testing is carried out in parallel using high throughput experimentation.



WO 2006/064212 A1

PROCESS FOR EVALUATING FOULING CAUSED BY REFINERY FEEDSTOCKS

This invention relates to processes for the evaluation of fouling caused by refinery feedstocks on refinery processes using high throughput experimentation.

5 Combinatorial or high throughput chemistry has revolutionized the process of drug discovery. See, for example, 29 Acc. Chem. Res. 1-170 (1996); 97 Chem. Rev. 349-509 (1997); S. Borman, Chem. Eng. News 43-62 (Feb. 24, 1997); A. M. Thayer, Chem. Eng. News 57-64 (Feb. 12, 1996); N. Terret, 1 Drug Discovery Today 402 (1996)). Over recent years, a number of high throughput experimentation techniques have been developed to
10 allow significant increases in the ability to synthesize and test catalytic and other materials for useful properties. In general, such techniques have focussed on development of apparatus and methodologies, including the growing use of robots and computers to design experiments and to automate catalyst and materials preparation and testing, to allow rapid and reproducible testing results to be achieved on relatively small scale samples. For
15 example, much effort has gone in to developing preparation and testing apparatus for numerous types of materials and material properties (such as described in US 5,776,359) and for chemical reactions of interest (such as described in US 5,959,297, US 6,063,633 and US 6,306,658).

In addition, high throughput techniques have been applied to many different
20 analytical techniques, including separation techniques such as chromatography (such as described in US 6,866,786). Also, cost of components has been used as a factor in the design of libraries or arrays (such as described in US 6,421,612). We have now developed high throughput methodologies that can be applied to evaluating the fouling effect of a refinery feedstock on the metallurgy of a refinery process. On a typical refinery, a number
25 of different refinery feedstocks are processed, such as a number of different crude oils. The refinery feedstocks are also usually blends of available feeds, and thus, it is very difficult to predict the effect of the feedstock, such as fouling effects, on the overall refinery process. Typically, a number of assumptions are made on the basis of previous operating experience, but these can usually only provide an approximate prediction.

30 The present invention provides a method to evaluate the fouling caused by a refinery feedstock in a high throughput manner.

Thus, according to the present invention there is provided a process for evaluating the fouling caused by a refinery feedstock on one or more refinery processes, said process comprising:

- 5 (i) providing a plurality of refinery feedstocks and/or a plurality of fractions of one or more refinery feedstocks,
- (ii) providing an array comprising a plurality of metal samples representative of metallurgy present in a refinery,
- (iii) contacting each of the plurality of metal samples with one or more of said refinery feedstock or fractions under non-static conditions, and
- 10 (iv) determining the fouling of said refinery feedstock or fraction thereof.

The present invention provides a process for the evaluation of the effect of a refinery feedstock on fouling in one or more refinery processes that allows the potential process issues of using a refinery feedstock to be evaluated prior to its use, and potentially even before its purchase. The present invention can also aid selection of the most

15 appropriate refinery at which a feedstock should be processed where more than one option is available. Unlike previous methods of testing, the present invention, using high throughput techniques, permits testing to be carried out against a number of different metal samples. Multiple samples of one feedstock may be tested, or a multiplicity of feedstocks and/or fractions, for example all relevant feedstocks and/or fractions, may be tested,

20 against a selected type of metal sample. In effect, an array of feedstocks and/or fractions can be tested against an array of metal samples, to provide a large quantity of data which can be manipulated to provide fouling "maps". The throughput of the overall workflow is important, with the rate of provision of refinery feedstocks and/or fractions in step (a) preferably being at least 50 per week, for example at least 250 per week, especially at least

25 2000 per week, and the rate of determination of the fouling effect of step (d) preferably being at least 250 per week, for example at least 1250 per week, especially at least 10,000 per week.

The refinery feedstock may be any suitable refinery feedstock, including a crude oil, a synthetic crude, a biocomponent, an intermediate stream, such as a residue, gas oil,

30 vacuum gas oil, naphtha or cracked stock, and blends of one or more of said components, such as a blend of one or more crude oils or a blend of one or more crude oils with one or more synthetic crudes.

In step (ii) of the process of the present invention there is provided a plurality of metal samples representative of metallurgy present in a refinery. Fouling is generally not significantly metal dependent. Therefore, although a wider range of different metal samples may be provided, the plurality of metal samples representative of metallurgy present in a refinery can suitably comprise no or only a few different metallurgies, such as only 1 metal or only 2 to 3 different metallurgies, and the process of the present invention may be (predominantly) used to compare the effects of differences in process conditions during contacting with the refinery feedstock or fraction thereof and/or in the properties of fractions of the refinery feedstock, as described further below.

Typically, the plurality of metal samples will comprise at least 10 metal samples, such as at least 20, for example, at least 50 metal samples. The process of the present invention may be performed using a microfabricated array of metal samples.

The metal samples may be representative of metallurgy present in a particular refinery or of a number of differing metallurgies present in two or more refineries.

Metal samples representative of other metallurgies may also be present, but typically the majority of the metals present will be representative of metallurgies already present in one or more refineries.

All or some of the metal samples may have been treated with surface coatings believed to reduce fouling in order that the match of such treatments to the feedstock can be determined. Typical surface coatings include ceramics and certain polymers, such as Teflon.

In step (iii) of the process of the present invention each of the plurality of metal samples is contacted with the refinery feedstock or a fraction thereof.

The plurality of metal samples are preferably each contacted with a refinery feedstock or a fraction thereof in an essentially parallel (rather than a sequential) manner, such that the fouling of each metal sample may be determined in parallel.

The contacting of the refinery feedstock or a fraction thereof with each of a plurality of metal samples may comprise contacting a single stream (being the refinery feedstock or a single fraction thereof) with a plurality of metal samples or may comprise treating a refinery feedstock to produce a plurality of fractions, each of which is contacted with a separate metal sample. Thus, the or each refinery feedstock may be used as is, or

may be treated to produce a fraction thereof or a plurality of fractions thereof before contact with the plurality of metal samples.

“Treating” as used herein comprises physical and/or chemical treatment of the refinery feedstock. Physical treatment may comprise division (dividing) of a stream into two or more portions having identical chemical and physical properties to the original stream. For example, the refinery feedstock may be divided to produce a plurality of portions having identical chemical and physical properties to the original refinery feedstock. In the absence of further treatment these portions may be used as fractions. Alternatively, these portions may be further treated to produce fractions. Treatment may also include, for example, blending the refinery feedstock or two or more portions of the refinery feedstock with samples of one or more other refinery feedstocks or distillation or other treatment to give one or more fractions with a narrower boiling range than the original refinery feedstock.

These and other treatments are described further herein.

The refinery feedstock or fraction(s) of the refinery feedstock produced should be representative of the refinery stream that may typically be in contact with the equivalent metallurgy in a refinery process. By “representative of” is meant having at least some similar chemical and/or physical properties as the typical refinery stream to the refinery process. For example, one or more fractions may be produced having boiling point ranges typical for the feedstream to the equivalent process on a refinery.

Chemical and physical properties of the feedstream to a particular refinery process will depend on a particular refinery configuration, but typical properties are described, for example, in Handbook of Petroleum Refining Processes (2nd Edition), edited by Robert A Meyers and published by McGraw-Hill.

For example, in a refinery the metallurgy in the heating section of a crude distillation column typically is exposed to the entire refinery feedstock. Hence, in the present invention, a metal sample may be contacted with the refinery feedstock itself (or a fraction obtained by division thereof) or a fraction obtained by blending of the refinery feedstock with one or more other refinery feedstocks without treatment such as distillation. In contrast, the metallurgy in the pipework and heating before certain process units present in a refinery process after the crude distillation unit is typically only exposed to fractions of said refinery feedstock with limited boiling point ranges, and hence, in the present

invention, the refinery feedstock is treated to produce such a representative fraction for contact with an appropriate metal sample. As a further example, in heat exchangers used for crude oil pre-heat prior to distillation, one side of the exchanger is exposed to the crude oil feedstock and the other side to fractions obtained from the distillation, for example, distillate and residue fractions. Hence, in the present invention, the refinery feedstock may be used as is and/or may be treated to produce a representative fraction for contact with an appropriate metal sample(s) for the heat-exchanger.

Fouling in a refinery is particularly an issue with (i) the refinery feedstock itself, i.e. a crude oil, a synthetic crude, a biocomponent or blends thereof, (ii) with the residue fraction obtained from a crude distillation unit (CDU) or blends of such fractions with other residue fractions or with a refinery feedstock, and (iii) with vis-broken fractions (obtained after vis-breaking of the residue fraction). Thus, in the process of the present invention the refinery feedstock or fraction(s) thereof are preferably representative of one of these streams.

Any suitable physical or chemical treatment methods may be used to obtain fractions representative of the typical feedstream for said refinery process in the process of the present invention. For example, treatment in a microdistillation column or microfractionator may be used to obtain fractions with required boiling point ranges. This may be used, for example, to obtain a fraction representative of the residue fraction from a crude distillation unit.

Other physical and chemical treatment techniques may include solvent extraction, membrane treatments, adsorption treatments and suitable chemical reactions. Combinations of techniques may be required, for example, micro-distillation followed by a non-catalytic cracking step may be used to represent crude oil distillation followed by visbreaking, to give a fraction representative of a conventional visbroken fraction.

When the treatment comprises dividing of the refinery feedstock, this may be achieved by any suitable means. For example, dividing may be performed in a batch mode by using one or more automated syringes to provide a plurality of portions. Alternatively, a series of microflow controllers or microvalves may be used in which the flow for each portion is generally continuous, but can be started and stopped, and optionally varied, using the valve or controller. As a further alternative, a plurality of baffles or other flow control means, such as orifices in a plate, where flow cannot be shut-off or varied

independently for each portion, but which provide an even flow distribution across a plurality of portions, may be used.

In one embodiment where a fraction with a limited boiling point range (compared to the refinery feedstock) is desired, the refinery feedstock or a portion obtained from the refinery feedstock may be placed on a heating device, and heat applied to increase the sample temperature. The fraction which boils between the desired ranges is collected, for example, by using a suitable valve to collect the fraction of the correct boiling range, which is then cooled to condense said fraction. The heating device may be a heated microoscillator, as described in US 5,661,233.

In another embodiment where a fraction with a limited boiling point range is desired, the refinery feedstock or a portion thereof may be placed in an enclosed channel comprising at least three sections, each section separated by valves or other suitable barriers which liquid samples cannot pass, but gaseous samples can. Thus, a portion may be placed in the first section of a channel and the first section heated to the upper boiling point of the boiling point range desired, for example using a heating laser to give local heating, and the second section may be maintained at ambient temperature (or below), such that all material with a boiling point below the upper boiling point vaporises and passes from the first section into the second section, where it condenses.

The second section is then heated to the lower boiling point of the range desired, for example using a heating laser to give local heating, and the third section is maintained at ambient temperature (or below), wherein all material with a boiling point below the lower boiling point vaporises and passes from the second section into the third section, leaving, in the second section, a fraction with the desired boiling point range.

Alternatively, the second section may be maintained at the lower boiling point throughout, such that material with a boiling point above the range desired remains in section 1, material with a boiling point in the range desired is collected in section 2, and material with a boiling point below the range desired is collected in section 3.

A plurality of channels as described in this embodiment, each having the at least three sections may be provided on a spinning disk-type separation device as described in WO 01/87485 or WO 2004/58406, allowing a plurality of fractions to be produced in parallel.

In a further embodiment, further sections comprising one of the plurality of metal samples may also be provided for each channel on a spinning disc, and the contacting of each metal sample with the fraction of a refinery feedstock may also be performed on the spinning disc.

5 The contacting of each of the plurality of metal samples with the refinery feedstock or a fraction thereof is preferably under conditions representative of those to which the equivalent metallurgy would be exposed in the refinery. Conditions which it is especially preferred are representative include temperatures, flow rates, and turbulence. In one
10 embodiment, these conditions will be equivalent to those in the refinery, such as the same temperature, flow rates and turbulence. In an alternative embodiment, more severe conditions than those to which the equivalent metallurgy would be exposed in the refinery, such as higher temperatures, lower flow rates may be used to enhance fouling rates and enable relative results for different feedstocks to be obtained more rapidly.

 The contacting time is another variable and the fouling may be evaluated with time
15 of contacting. The contacting conditions may also be varied with time or, where a plurality of fraction of the refinery feedstock are produced, for contact of another one of the plurality of fractions with another one of the plurality of metal samples such that a range of temperatures and other operating conditions can be evaluated.

 Other variables that may be varied, either with time or for contact of another one of
20 the plurality of fractions with another one of the plurality of metal samples include, where appropriate, variation in the boiling point range of the fraction of the refinery feedstock and variation in the blending ratios and compositions obtained by blending the refinery feedstock or a portion thereof with one or more other refinery feedstocks, giving information on the options for mitigating potential problems by process control.

25 The contacting of each of the plurality of metal samples with the refinery feedstock or fraction thereof is performed under non-static conditions i.e. varying conditions, typically representative of those to which the equivalent metallurgy would be exposed in the refinery. For example, the process may be carried out by continually flowing the refinery feedstock or a fraction thereof over the metal sample, or under sheer (moving, e.g.
30 rotating, the metal sample in the fluid) or turbulence, or under variable temperature or pressure conditions. Thus, conditions which may be varied include temperatures, flow

rates, sheer, soak, condensation and/or turbulence. Typically, the results present the fouling rate as a function of flow, shear, temperature, pressure, feedstock and/or fraction.

A range of temperatures and other operating conditions, including variation in the boiling point range of the refinery feedstock fraction where appropriate, can be evaluated, giving information on the options for mitigating potential problems by process control.

Each metal sample may be provided with any desired geometry. The geometry of the sample may change the flow characteristics over the sample, for example the turbulence. For example, a metal film twisted into a spiral may be used to investigate the effects of turbulence on fouling. Various different geometries can be readily provided for samples made using microfabrication techniques.

In step (iv) the fouling of said feedstock on the metals is determined. This may be by any suitable means, such as visual analysis, ellipsometry or surface analysis using a suitable analytical technique, or by measurement of the mass accumulation on the metal samples.

In one preferred embodiment of the present invention, the metal samples are in an initial polished form (e.g. a polished peg) and the or each refinery feedstock or fraction is flowed (as a single stream) over a number of different metals samples which are resistively heated to different temperatures. After flowing the feedstock for a given time the polished surface is investigated by optical means, for example, ellipsometry, or physical means, for example, micro measurement, to determine any deposition of fouling on the surface.

In another preferred embodiment of the present invention, the metal samples are in a form which has a non-negligible resistance, such as wires, thin sheets or meshes. Such samples have the advantage that their resistance, and any changes therein, can be readily measured. Thus, any fouling of the metal samples will be measurable by changes in resistance of the samples. Such samples have a further advantage in that they can be heated and their temperature can be accurately controlled by resistive heating.

In another embodiment the temperature of the feedstock or a fraction thereof before and after contacting with a heated metal sample may be measured to determine the degree of heating of the fluid by the metal sample. As the metal sample becomes fouled the degree of heating will drop. The change in delta temperature with volume of feedstock flowed over the heated metal sample indicates the degree of fouling.

Most preferably, the process of the present invention comprises flowing the refinery feedstock or one or more fractions thereof over a plurality of resistively heated metal wire or mesh samples and measuring the resistance change, or the delta temperature change of the fluid, with time to determine the rate of fouling of said metal samples.

5 Whatever method of determining the fouling effect of the feedstock and/or fraction is chosen, the determination for each metal sample may be carried out in parallel (i.e. each analysis is carried out simultaneously) or in series, for example using rapid serial analysis.

In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, the asphaltene or wax stability of the refinery feedstock and/or of the one or more fractions thereof may be measured.

10 The measurement of asphaltene stability for crude oils generally is well-known and is described, for example, in IP 143 (BSI 2000: Part 143) "Determination of asphaltenes (heptane insolubles) in crude petroleum and petroleum products" or ASTM D6560-00 "Standard Test Method for Determination of Asphaltenes (Heptane Insolubles) in Crude Petroleum and Petroleum Products".

15 A further method for measurement of asphaltene stability (for blends of two or more hydrocarbon liquids) is described in WO 2004/061450.

In the asphaltene stability test according to the present invention, samples of the refinery feedstock or of one or more of the fractions thereof are mixed with solvents (e.g. n-heptane, toluene or mixtures thereof) at different volumes and compositions of solvent and each subjected to vibrational mixing. The asphaltene stability is determined by the
20 onset of flocculation.

Preferably, the solvent addition and vibrational mixing are achieved in an automated manner, for example by means of a robotic workstation. The mixtures may be present in an array of suitable wells, for example, in a micro-titre plate.

25 The onset of flocculation on addition of the solvents may be determined by any suitable technique, but again this is preferably done in an automated manner, for example using a spectroscopic technique, such as IR, on each mixture and measuring the change in transmission of radiation.

30 Using the process of the present invention, the potential for fouling problems in various parts of a refinery process from a particular refinery feedstock can be rapidly evaluated. If necessary, mitigation steps, such as by careful process control and/or addition of fouling inhibitors, which can be added specifically as and where needed in the refinery

process, can be used. The present invention can be used to test the effectiveness of different chemical treatments, for example different additives or different dosages, to identify optimal treatment for a particular fouling blend. Thus, it is possible to assess the suitability of such fouling inhibitors by addition of such inhibitors to the refinery feedstock or fraction thereof prior to contacting with the metal sample. In such way a number of
5 different potential fouling inhibitors may be assessed against a variety of feedstock blends enabling optimisation of blend and treatment. Further, by providing metal samples coated with particular surface treatments the ability of such treatments to reduce fouling may be determined.

10 The process of the present invention may also be applied to blends of the feedstock to be evaluated with other feedstocks, and hence used to evaluate the effect of the blended feedstock on the fouling in various parts of a refinery process.

The process of the present invention may be repeated for a number of different potential refinery feedstocks.

15 The different refinery feedstocks to be evaluated may be separate (independent) feedstocks or may be blends, for example, in different ratios, of two or more other refinery feedstocks.

Alternatively, a number of different potential refinery feedstocks can be evaluated simultaneously, each, or a fraction of each, being fed to a plurality of metal samples
20 representative of metallurgy present in a refinery as described above.

In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, once the determination of the fouling of a refinery feedstock or fraction thereof (on a metal sample) in step (iv) has been performed, suitable refinery process models may be applied to determine the impact of the refinery feedstock. Suitable refinery models are known to the person skilled in the art, and
25 may include, for example, linear programme models for feedstock and product evaluation, process optimisation models, such as for individual process unit optimisation and refinery-wide optimisation, and/or risk-based models, for evaluation of processing impacts of the refinery feedstock.

The process of the present invention will generate a large amount of data on the
30 fouling of refinery feedstocks or fractions thereof. In a further embodiment, this data may be utilised to develop, update, maintain and/or verify process models. For example, a large amount of data may be rapidly produced over a broader parameter set than from pilot plant

parameter studies enabling the building of a process model, and further data generated may be utilised to provide continuous update and refinement of the process model (for example, for a wider parameter space).

- 5 Modelling or other experimental design techniques may be used to generate a set of variable process conditions for one or more refinery feedstocks which it is desired to evaluate the fouling for use in the development, updating or verification of one or more process models, and the process of the present invention can be specifically used to evaluate the processes to generate the required data for the process models.

Claims

1. A process for evaluating the fouling caused by a refinery feedstock on one or more refinery processes, said process comprising:
 - 5 (i) providing a plurality of refinery feedstocks and/or a plurality of fractions of one or more refinery feedstocks,
 - (ii) providing an array comprising a plurality of metal samples representative of metallurgy present in a refinery,
 - (iii) contacting each of the plurality of metal samples with one or more of said
10 refinery feedstocks or fractions under non-static conditions, and
 - (iv) determining the fouling of said refinery feedstock or fraction thereof.
2. A process as claimed in claim 1 wherein the refinery feedstock to be evaluated is a crude oil, a synthetic crude, a biocomponent, an intermediate stream, or a blend of one or more of said components.
- 15 3. A process as claimed in claim 1 or claim 2, wherein the plurality of metal samples comprises at least 20 metal samples.
4. A process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the metal samples are in the form of a microfabricated array.
5. A process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims wherein all or some of the
20 metal samples have been treated with surface coatings believed to reduce fouling.
6. A process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims in which in step (iii) each of the metal samples are contacted with a refinery feedstock or fraction in an essentially parallel manner.
7. A process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims wherein the refinery
25 feedstock to be evaluated is treated to produce a fraction thereof or a plurality of fractions thereof and the or each fraction is contacted with the plurality of metal samples.
8. A process as claimed in claim 7 wherein the treatment comprises one or more of division, treatment in a microdistillation column or microfractionator, solvent extraction, membrane treatments, adsorption treatments and suitable chemical reactions
- 30 9. A process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims wherein each refinery feedstock or fraction thereof is representative of (i) the refinery feedstock itself, (ii) the

residue fraction obtained from a crude distillation unit (CDU) or blends of such fractions with other residue fractions or with a refinery feedstock, or (iii) vis-broken fractions

10. A process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims wherein the contacting of each of the plurality of metal samples with the refinery feedstock or a fraction thereof is
- 5 under temperature, flow rate, and turbulence conditions representative of those to which the equivalent metallurgy would be exposed in the refinery.
11. A process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims wherein the fouling is determined by visual analysis, ellipsometry or surface analysis using a suitable analytical technique, or by measurement of the mass accumulation on the metal samples.
- 10 12. A process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims wherein the metal samples are in a form which has a non-negligible resistance, such as wires, thin sheets or meshes.
13. A process as claimed in claim 12 wherein fouling of the metal samples is measured by changes in resistance of the metal samples.
14. A process as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 12 wherein the temperature of a
- 15 feedstock or fraction thereof before and after contacting with a heated metal sample is measured to determine the degree of heating of the fluid by the metal sample.
15. A process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims wherein the asphaltene or wax stability of a refinery feedstock and/or fraction thereof is measured.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

application No
/GB2005/004798

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER B01J19/00		
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC		
B. FIELDS SEARCHED		
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) B01J C10G G01N		
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched		
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ		
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 0 608 081 A (GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY) 27 July 1994 (1994-07-27) abstract page 4, line 14 - line 22 page 6, line 55 - page 7, line 21 claims; examples	1-15
X	----- US 4 910 999 A (EATON ET AL) 27 March 1990 (1990-03-27) column 1, line 6 - line 17 column 2, line 54 - column 4, line 15 column 7, line 63 - column 8, line 53 ----- -/--	1-15
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.		
* Special categories of cited documents :		
A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention	
E earlier document but published on or after the international filing date	*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone	
L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.	
O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	*&* document member of the same patent family	
P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		
Date of the actual completion of the international search <p style="text-align: center;">6 March 2006</p>	Date of mailing of the international search report <p style="text-align: center;">14/03/2006</p>	
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer <p style="text-align: center;">Nazario, L</p>	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

application No
/GB2005/004798

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	<p>US 2004/229374 A1 (KELEMEN SIMON R ET AL) 18 November 2004 (2004-11-18) abstract paragraph '0002! paragraph '0007! paragraph '0011!</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-----</p>	1-15
X	<p>WO 2004/060551 A (EXXONMOBIL RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING COMPANY) 22 July 2004 (2004-07-22) abstract paragraph '0003! paragraph '0010! - paragraph '0011! claims; figure 1</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-----</p>	1-15
A	<p>US 5 959 297 A (WEINBERG ET AL) 28 September 1999 (1999-09-28) abstract column 12, line 3 - line 37 column 23, line 10 - column 24, line 10 claims</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-----</p>	
A	<p>US 2002/115221 A1 (LAGRAFF JOHN ROBERT ET AL) 22 August 2002 (2002-08-22) abstract paragraph '0044! paragraph '0050! claims</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-----</p>	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

 application No
 /GB2005/004798

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0608081	A	27-07-1994	CA 2113366 A1	16-07-1994
			DE 69412522 D1	24-09-1998
			DE 69412522 T2	01-04-1999
			JP 3495400 B2	09-02-2004
			JP 7003460 A	06-01-1995
US 4910999	A	27-03-1990	NONE	
US 2004229374	A1	18-11-2004	AU 2003225655 A1	29-09-2003
			CA 2477765 A1	25-09-2003
			EP 1506387 A2	16-02-2005
			JP 2005520888 T	14-07-2005
			WO 03078693 A2	25-09-2003
			US 2003172725 A1	18-09-2003
WO 2004060551	A	22-07-2004	AU 2003295816 A1	29-07-2004
			CA 2507300 A1	22-07-2004
			EP 1572347 A1	14-09-2005
			US 2004121470 A1	24-06-2004
US 5959297	A	28-09-1999	AT 277422 T	15-10-2004
			AT 222401 T	15-08-2002
			AU 4673497 A	05-05-1998
			AU 4749397 A	05-05-1998
			AU 4812097 A	05-05-1998
			AU 4902497 A	05-05-1998
			CA 2267897 A1	16-04-1998
			CA 2267908 A1	16-04-1998
			DE 69714789 D1	19-09-2002
			DE 69714789 T2	05-12-2002
			DE 69730883 D1	28-10-2004
			DE 69730883 T2	27-01-2005
			DK 1019947 T3	02-12-2002
			EP 1019947 A2	19-07-2000
			EP 0934515 A1	11-08-1999
			EP 1021711 A2	26-07-2000
			WO 9815969 A2	16-04-1998
			WO 9815813 A1	16-04-1998
			WO 9815501 A2	16-04-1998
			WO 9815805 A1	16-04-1998
			US 6541271 B1	01-04-2003
			US 6182499 B1	06-02-2001
			US 6034775 A	07-03-2000
US 2002115221	A1	22-08-2002	BR 0104143 A	30-04-2002
			CA 2356601 A1	20-03-2002
			EP 1190763 A1	27-03-2002
			SG 94864 A1	18-03-2003
			US 6420178 B1	16-07-2002