

Staged Compilation with Two-Level Type Theory

2023-08-11

Staged Compilation

Staged compilation separates code compilation in at two stages.

- ▶ Compile time (aka meta-level, indexed 1) stage and runtime (aka object-level, indexed 0) stage.
- ▶ Each stage can have their own language.

A staging algorithm converts/stages a metaprogram to a program with only runtime language.

- ▶ A metaprogram is a term with runtime type but uses type/terms from the compile-time language through staging annotations.

Examples where staged compilation is useful:

- ▶ Metaprogramming: evaluate the code-generation annotations (e.g. macros, inlining) to runtime language, i.e. without annotations.
- ▶ Domain specific languages: e.g. [LINQ](#) (C#)

Two-Level Type Theory

A staging algorithm needs to be sound:

- ▶ Any well-typed metaprogram should be staged into a well-typed runtime code.
- ▶ The resulting code of staging does not use any types/terms from the compile-time language.

To justify the the soundness of staging

- ▶ Two-level type theory can be applied.
- ▶ Treat the two stages as separate type systems.
- ▶ Restrict the interaction between stages with explicit annotations.

Unlike previous works like MetaML [TS97], staged compilation with 2LTT supports dependent types.

Π -Types

To adhere to the notations from the original paper on staged compilation [Kov22], we introduce new notations in comparison with Pie.

- ▶ Dependent function types (Π -types)

$$f : (a : A) \rightarrow (b : B) \rightarrow C$$

```
(claim f ( $\Pi$  ([a A] [b B]) C))
```

- ▶ Functions (lambdas)

$$f := \lambda a b. \text{body}$$

```
(define f ( $\lambda$  (a b) body))
```

Moving Between Stages

We have two universes of types, one for each stage:

- ▶ U_0 for the universe of stage 0 (recall 0 is index for runtime/object stage)
- ▶ U_1 for the universe of stage 1 (recall 1 is index for compile-time/meta stage)

For interaction between stages, we define three staging annotations on the compile-time level.

Lifting: Compute Runtime Expressions at Compile-Time

Given $A : U_0$, we have $\uparrow A : U_1$

- ▶ The lifted type $\uparrow A : U_1$ is the type of metaprograms that compute runtime expression of type $A : U_0$.

Quoting: Metaprograms from Runtime Terms

Given $t : A$ and $A : U_0$, we have $\langle t \rangle : \uparrow A$ and $\uparrow A : U_1$

- ▶ The quoted term $\langle t \rangle : \uparrow A$ is a metaprogram that immediately yields the term $t : A$.

Splicing: Executing Metaprograms During Staging

Given $t : \uparrow A$ and $\uparrow A : U_1$, we have $\sim t : A$ and $A : U_0$

- ▶ The spliced metaprogram $\sim t$ will be executed during staging, and substituted by result expression.

Moving Between Stages

With the three staging annotations for moving between stages:

- ▶ *Lifting*: Given $A : U_0$, we have $\uparrow A : U_1$
- ▶ *Quoting*: Given $t : A$ and $A : U_0$, we have $\langle t \rangle : \uparrow A$
- ▶ *Splicing*: Given $t : \uparrow A$ and $\uparrow A : U_1$, we have $\sim t : A$

We have two equalities:

$$\sim \langle t \rangle = t$$

$$\langle \sim s \rangle = s$$

The Natural Eliminator

We introduce natural numbers for each stage $i \in \{0, 1\}$

$$\text{Nat}_i : U_i$$
$$\text{zero}_i : \text{Nat}_i$$
$$\text{suc}_i : \text{Nat}_i \rightarrow \text{Nat}_i$$
$$\text{NatElim}_i : (P : \text{Nat}_i \rightarrow U_{i,j})$$
$$\rightarrow P \text{zero}_i$$
$$\rightarrow ((n : \text{Nat}_i) \rightarrow P n \rightarrow P(\text{suc}_i n))$$
$$\rightarrow (t : \text{Nat}_i)$$
$$\rightarrow P t$$

For simplicity, let us define iter_i to represent iter-Nat from Pie

$$\text{iter}_i : (X : U_i) \rightarrow \text{Nat}_i \rightarrow X \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow X$$
$$\text{iter}_i := \lambda X t z s. \text{NatElim}_i(\lambda n. X) z(\lambda n \text{acc. } s \text{acc}) t$$

Addition and Multiplication

$$\text{iter}_i : (X : U_i) \rightarrow \text{Nat}_i \rightarrow X \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow X$$
$$\text{iter}_i := \lambda X t z s. \text{NatElim}_i (\lambda n. X) z (\lambda n \text{acc}. s \text{acc}) t$$

Similar to the definition of $+$ and $*$ in Pie, we can implement them in 2LTT as well.

- ▶ We put add_0 to stage 0:

$$\text{add}_0 : \text{Nat}_0 \rightarrow \text{Nat}_0 \rightarrow \text{Nat}_0$$
$$\text{add}_0 := \lambda a b. \text{iter}_0 \text{Nat}_0 a b (\lambda n. \text{suc}_0 n)$$

- ▶ For multiplication, it takes a compile-time number $x : \text{Nat}_1$ and produces a metaprogram that computes the product with x at runtime.

$$\text{mul}_1 : \text{Nat}_1 \rightarrow \uparrow\text{Nat}_0 \rightarrow \uparrow\text{Nat}_0$$
$$\text{mul}_1 = \lambda x t. \text{iter}_1 (\uparrow\text{Nat}_0) x \langle \text{zero}_0 \rangle \langle \text{add}_0 \sim t \rangle$$

The Staging Process

$$\text{iter}_i : (X : U_i) \rightarrow \text{Nat}_i \rightarrow X \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow X$$
$$\text{iter}_i := \lambda X t z s. \text{NatElim}_i (\lambda n. X) z (\lambda n \text{acc}. s \text{acc}) t$$
$$\text{add}_0 : \text{Nat}_0 \rightarrow \text{Nat}_0 \rightarrow \text{Nat}_0$$
$$\text{add}_0 := \lambda a b. \text{iter}_0 \text{Nat}_0 a b (\lambda n. \text{suc}_0 n)$$
$$\text{mul}_1 : \text{Nat}_1 \rightarrow \uparrow\text{Nat}_0 \rightarrow \uparrow\text{Nat}_0$$
$$\text{mul}_1 = \lambda x t. \text{iter}_1 (\uparrow\text{Nat}_0) x \langle \text{zero}_0 \rangle \langle \text{add}_0 \sim t \rangle$$

With add_0 being a function on stage 0 and mul_1 on stage 1, a metaprogram, for instance

$$\text{double} : \text{Nat}_0 \rightarrow \text{Nat}_0$$
$$\text{double} := \lambda x. \sim(\text{mul}_1 2 \langle x \rangle)$$

will get staged to

$$\text{double} := \lambda x. \text{add}_0 x (\text{add}_0 x \text{zero}_0)$$

Formal Inference Rules

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{LIFT} \\ \Gamma \vdash_{0,j} A \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash_{1,j} \uparrow A \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{QUOTE} \\ \Gamma \vdash_{0,j} t : A \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash_{1,j} \langle t \rangle : \uparrow A \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{SPLICE} \\ \Gamma \vdash_{1,j} t : \uparrow A \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash_{0,j} \sim t : A \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{QUOTE-SPLICE} \\ \Gamma \vdash_{1,j} t : \uparrow A \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash_{1,j} \langle \sim t \rangle = t : \uparrow A \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{SPLICE-QUOTE} \\ \Gamma \vdash_{0,j} t : A \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash_{0,j} \sim \langle t \rangle = t : A \end{array}$$

Limitation of Staging

The original purpose of 2LTT is to express meta-theoretical statements about homotopy type theory (HoTT)

- ▶ “From a type in HoTT, we can extract a statement that can be phrased in the meta-theory. From a meta-theoretical statement *about* HoTT, it is not always possible to construct a type. Thus, we can convert inner types into outer one, but not always vice versa.” [Ann+19]
- ▶ Therefore cannot splice arbitrary stage 1 term.
- ▶ Stage 0 don't always have ways to represent types in stage 1.
- ▶ $\sim\text{zero}_1$ would be invalid.

Isomorphism Between Types

$$\uparrow((a : A) \rightarrow B a) \simeq (a : \uparrow A) \rightarrow \uparrow(B \sim a)$$

$$\uparrow((a : A) \times B a) \simeq ((a : \uparrow A) \times \uparrow(B \sim a))$$

Isomorphism Example

$$\text{pres}_{\rightarrow} : \uparrow((x : A) \rightarrow Bx) \rightarrow ((x : \uparrow A) \rightarrow \uparrow(B \sim x))$$
$$\text{pres}_{\rightarrow} f := \lambda x. \langle \sim f \sim x \rangle$$
$$\text{pres}_{\rightarrow} := \lambda f x. \langle \sim f \sim x \rangle$$
$$\text{pres}_{\rightarrow}^{-1} : ((x : \uparrow A) \rightarrow \uparrow(B \sim x)) \rightarrow \uparrow((x : A) \rightarrow Bx)$$
$$\text{pres}_{\rightarrow}^{-1} f := \langle \lambda x. \sim(f \langle x \rangle) \rangle$$
$$\text{pres}_{\rightarrow}^{-1} := \lambda f. \langle \lambda x. \sim(f \langle x \rangle) \rangle$$

Isomorphism Example

$$\begin{aligned}\text{pres}_{\rightarrow}(\text{pres}_{\rightarrow}^{-1}f) &= (\lambda fx. \langle \sim f \sim x \rangle) ((\lambda f. \langle \lambda x. \sim(f\langle x \rangle) \rangle)) f \\ &=_{\beta} (\lambda fx. \langle \sim f \sim x \rangle) \langle \lambda x. \sim(f\langle x \rangle) \rangle \\ &=_{\beta} \lambda x. \langle \sim \langle \lambda x. \sim(f\langle x \rangle) \rangle \sim x \rangle \\ &= \lambda x. \langle (\lambda x. \sim(f\langle x \rangle)) \sim x \rangle \\ &=_{\beta} \lambda x. \langle \sim(f\langle \sim x \rangle) \rangle \\ &= \lambda x. \langle \sim(fx) \rangle \\ &= \lambda x. (fx) \\ &=_{\eta} f\end{aligned}$$

Result of 2LTT

- ▶ Staging: Given a program $t : A$, where $A : U_0$. *Staging* computes metaprograms and replace all splices in t and A with resulting runtime expression.
- ▶ 2LTT guarantees resulting computation does not contain more splices.
- ▶ Regardless of the body, if you have a runtime type program, it can be turned into a program using strictly stage 0 terms and constructors.

Bibliography

- [TS97] Walid Taha and Tim Sheard. “MetaML and Multi-Stage Programming with Explicit Annotations”. In: *SIGPLAN Not.* 32.12 (Dec. 1997), pp. 203–217. ISSN: 0362-1340. DOI: [10.1145/258994.259019](https://doi.org/10.1145/258994.259019). URL: <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/258994.259019>.
- [Ann+19] Danil Annenkov et al. “Two-Level Type Theory and Applications”. In: *ArXiv e-prints* (May 2019). URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1705.03307>.
- [Kov22] András Kovács. “Staged Compilation with Two-Level Type Theory”. In: *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.* 6.ICFP (Aug. 2022). DOI: [10.1145/3547641](https://doi.org/10.1145/3547641). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3547641>.

End