

Quantitative Macroeconomics

Winter 2023/24

Week 5

Willi Mutschler
willi@mutschler.eu

Version: 1.0
Latest version available on: [GitHub](#)

Contents

1. Information Criteria For AR(p)	1
2. Portmanteau Test For Residual Autocorrelation	2
3. Bootstrap Confidence Interval For AR(1) Coefficient	3
A. Solutions	5

1. Information Criteria For AR(p)

Consider the following information criteria to estimate the order p of an $AR(p)$ model:

$$AIC(n) = \log \tilde{\sigma}^2(n) + \frac{2}{T^{eff}}n$$

$$SIC(n) = \log \tilde{\sigma}^2(n) + \frac{\log T^{eff}}{T^{eff}}n$$

$$HQC(n) = \log \tilde{\sigma}^2(n) + \frac{2 \log \log T^{eff}}{T^{eff}}n$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}^2$ denotes the ML estimate of the variance term based on the residuals $\hat{u}_t(n)$ of the corresponding estimated $AR(p)$ model. n is the number of estimated parameters and $T^{eff} = T - p^{\max}$, where p^{\max} is the maximum number of lags to consider.

1. Provide intuition between the different criteria. Which one (asymptotically) over- or underestimates the correct order?
2. Write a function `nlag = lagOrderSelectionARp(y, const, pmax, crit)` that computes the different order criteria for $p = 1, \dots, p^{\max}$ using data vector y and possible constant term ($const = 1$) or constant term and linear trend ($const = 2$). `nlag` should output the recommended lag according to criteria `crit`, which takes a string ('AIC', 'SIC' or 'HQC') as input value.
3. Load the dataset of the simulated AR(4) process given in the CSV file `AR4.csv`. Which model is preferred according to the order selection criteria?
4. Simulate another stationary AR(4) processes with sample sizes $T = 150$ and redo the previous exercise.

Readings

- Lütkepohl (2004).

2. Portmanteau Test For Residual Autocorrelation

The portmanteau test checks the null hypothesis that there is no remaining residual autocorrelation at lags 1 to h against the alternative that at least one of the autocorrelations is nonzero. In other words, the pair of hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \rho_u(1) = \rho_u(2) = \dots = \rho_u(h) = 0$$

versus:

$$H_1 : \rho_u(j) \neq 0 \text{ for at least one } j = 1, \dots, h$$

where $\rho_u(j) = \text{Corr}(u_t, u_{t-j})$ denotes an autocorrelation coefficient of the residual series.

Consider the Box-Pierce test statistic Q_h

$$Q_h = T \sum_{j=1}^h \hat{\rho}_u^2(j)$$

which has an approximate $\chi^2(h-p)$ -distribution if the null hypothesis holds and T is the length of the residual series. The null hypothesis of no residual autocorrelation is rejected for large values of the test statistic.

- Load quarterly data for the price index of US Gross National Product given in `gnpdeflator.csv`. This is a chain-type price index with basis year 2005. The data is seasonally adjusted and spans the period from 1954.Q4 to 2007.Q4.
- Compute the inflation series. That is, take the first difference of the log of `gnpdeflator`.
- Use the Akaike information criteria to determine the lag length \hat{p} .
- Estimate two models with OLS: (i) an $AR(\hat{p})$ model and (ii) an $AR(1)$ model.
- Set $h = \hat{p} + 10$ and compute Q_h as well as the corresponding p-value for both models.
- Comment, based on your findings, whether the residuals are white noise.

Readings:

- Lütkepohl (2004).

3. Bootstrap Confidence Interval For AR(1) Coefficient

Consider the AR(1) model with constant

$$y_t = c + \phi y_{t-1} + u_t$$

for $t = 1, \dots, T$ with iid error terms u_t and $E(u_t|y_{t-1}) = 0$. Usually, we construct a $(1-\alpha)\%$ -confidence interval for ϕ using the normal (or student's t) approximation:

$$\left[\hat{\phi} - z_{\alpha/2} \cdot SE(\hat{\phi}); \hat{\phi} + z_{1-\alpha/2} \cdot SE(\hat{\phi}) \right]$$

with $\hat{\phi}$ denoting the OLS estimate, $SE(\hat{\phi})$ the estimated standard error of ϕ and $z_{\alpha/2}$ the $\alpha/2$ quantile of the standard normal distribution (or t-distribution). If one does not know the asymptotic distribution of a test statistic (or it has a very complicated form), one often relies on a nonparametric simulation approach. To this end, we are going to do a so-called “*bootstrap*”, i.e. we recompute the t-statistics a large number of times on artificial data generated from resampled residuals.

1. What is a “Bootstrap approximation”? Provide insight into the basic idea and possible applications of this statistical technique.
2. Write a program for the following:
 - Simulate $T = 100$ observations with $c = 1$, $\phi = 0.8$ and errors drawn from e.g. the exponential distribution such that $E(u_t) = 0$.
 - Estimate the model with OLS and calculate the t-statistic $\tau = \frac{\hat{\phi}}{SE(\hat{\phi})}$.
 - Store the OLS residuals in a vector $\hat{u} = (\hat{u}_2, \dots, \hat{u}_T)'$.
 - Set $B = 10000$ and initialize the output vector $\tau^* = (\tau_1^*, \dots, \tau_B^*)$.
 - For $b = 1, \dots, B$:
 - Draw a sample **with replacement** from \hat{u} and save it as $u^* = u_2^*, \dots, u_T^*$.
 - Initialize an artificial time series y_t^* with T observations and set $y_1^* = y_1$.
 - For $t = 2, \dots, T$ generate

$$y_t^* = \hat{c} + \hat{\phi} y_{t-1}^* + u_t^*$$

- On this artificial dataset estimate an AR(1) model. Denote the estimated OLS coefficient ϕ^* and corresponding estimated standard deviation $SE(\phi^*)$. Store the following t-statistic in your output vector at position b :

$$\tau^* = \frac{\phi^* - \hat{\phi}}{SE(\phi^*)}$$

- Sort the output vector such that $\tau_{(1)}^* \leq \dots \leq \tau_{(B)}^*$.
- The “*bootstrap approximate*” confidence interval for ϕ is then given by

$$\left[\hat{\phi} - \tau_{((1-\alpha/2)B)}^* \cdot SE(\hat{\phi}); \hat{\phi} - \tau_{((\alpha/2)B)}^* \cdot SE(\hat{\phi}) \right]$$

Set $\alpha = 0.05$ and compare this with the normal approximation.

- Redo the exercise for $T = 30$ and $T = 10000$. Comment on your findings.

Readings

- Kilian and Lütkepohl (2017, Ch. 12)

References

- Kilian, Lutz and Helmut Lütkepohl (2017). *Structural Vector Autoregressive Analysis*. Themes in Modern Econometrics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. ISBN: 978-1-107-19657-5. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108164818>.
- Lütkepohl, Helmut (2004). “Univariate Time Series Analysis”. In: *Applied Time Series Econometrics*. Ed. by Helmut Lütkepohl and Markus Krätzig. 1st ed. Cambridge University Press, pp. 8–85. ISBN: 978-0-521-83919-8 978-0-521-54787-1 978-0-511-60688-5. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511606885.003>.

A. Solutions

1 Solution to Information Criteria For AR(p)

1. The first term measures the fit of a model with order p and it is the same for all criteria. This term decreases for increasing order because there is no correction for degrees of freedom in the ML variance estimator.

The second term penalizes large AR orders. How this term is effectively chosen distinguishes the different criteria.

The criteria have the following properties:

- AIC asymptotically overestimates the order with positive probability
- HQC estimates the order consistently ($plim \hat{p} = p$)
- SIC is even strongly consistent ($\hat{p} \xrightarrow{a.s.} p$) under quite general conditions (e.g. if the actual DGP is a finite-order AR process and the maximum order is larger than the true order).

In practice, one often relies on the AIC as having too many lags is not as severe as having too few lags. This is especially true in small samples.

It is important to notice, that for correctly computing the information criteria one needs to use the same sample size across models with different orders. In other words, the number of pre-sample values set aside for estimation is determined by the maximum order p^{\max} .

```
2.                                     progs/matlab/lagOrderSelectionARp.m
1  function nlag = lagOrderSelectionARp(y,const,pmax,crit)
2  % function nlag = lagOrderSelectionARp(y,const,pmax,crit)
3  % -----
4  % Perform and display lag order selection tests for AR(p) model, i.e.
5  % Akaike, Schwartz and Hannan–Quinn information criteria
6  % -----
7  % INPUTS
8  %   – y      : data vector [periods x 1]
9  %   – const  : 1 constant, 2 constant+linear trend. [scalar]
10 %   – pmax   : number of maximum lags to consider. [scalar]
11 %   – crit   : criteria to compute lag order selection;
12 %             possible values: 'AIC', 'SIC', 'HQC'
13 % -----
14 % OUTPUTS
15 %   – nlag   : number of lags recommended by the selected information crit
16 % -----
17 % Willi Mutschler, November 2, 2021
18 % willi@mutschler.eu
19 % -----
20
21 %% Construct regressor matrix and dependent variable
22 % number of presample values set aside for estimation is determined by pmax
23 T = size(y,1);      % sample size
24 T_eff = T-pmax;    % effective sample size used for all estimations, i.e.
25 %                 % number of presample values set aside for estimation
26 %                 % is determined by the maximum order pmax
27 Y = lagmatrix(y,1:pmax);
28 y = y((pmax+1):T,:);
29 YMAX = Y((pmax+1):T,:);
30 if const == 1 % constant
```

```

31     YMAX = [ones(T_eff,1) YMAX];
32 elseif const == 2 % constant and time trend
33     YMAX = [ones(T_eff,1) transpose((pmax+1):T) YMAX];
34 end
35
36 %% Compute information criteria
37 INFO_CRIT = nan(pmax,1); % initialize
38 for p=1:pmax
39     n = const+p; % number of freely estimated parameters
40     YY = YMAX(:,1:n); % data used in estimation
41     thetahat = (YY'*YY)\(YY'*y); % OLS and ML estimator
42     uhat = y - YY*thetahat; % both OLS and ML residuals
43     sigma2u = uhat'*uhat/T_eff; % ML estimate of variance of errors
44     %sigma2u = uhat'*uhat/(T_eff-n); % OLS estimate of variance of errors
45
46     if strcmp(crit,'AIC') % Akaike
47         INFO_CRIT(p,:) = log(sigma2u) + 2/T_eff*n;
48     elseif strcmp(crit,'SIC') % Schwartz
49         INFO_CRIT(p,:) = log(sigma2u) + log(T_eff)/T_eff*n;
50     elseif strcmp(crit,'HQC') % Hannan-Quinn
51         INFO_CRIT(p,:) = log(sigma2u) + 2*log(log(T_eff))/T_eff*n;
52     end
53 end
54
55 %% Store results and find minimal value of criteria
56 results = [transpose(1:pmax) INFO_CRIT];
57 nlag = find(INFO_CRIT == min(INFO_CRIT));
58 [~,idx] = sort(results(:,2));
59 results = results(idx,:);
60
61 %% Display summary of results
62 fprintf('*****\n');
63 fprintf('*** OPTIMAL ENDOGENOUS LAGS FROM %s INFORMATION CRITERIA ***\n',crit);
64 fprintf('*****\n');
65 disp(array2table(results,'VariableNames',{'Lag',crit}))
66 fprintf(' Optimal number of lags (searched up to %d lags):\n',pmax);
67 fprintf(' %s Info Criterion: %d\n',crit,nlag);
68 fprintf('\n');

```

progs/matlab/AR4LagSelection.m

```

3./4.
1 % -----
2 % Determine lag order of AR(4) model with constant on simulated data using
3 % information criteria
4 % -----
5 % Willi Mutschler, November 16, 2021
6 % willi@mutschler.eu
7 % -----
8
9 clearvars; clc; close all;
10
11 AR4 = importdata('../data/AR4.csv');
12 nlag_AIC = lagOrderSelectionARp(AR4.data,1,8,'AIC');

```



```

13 nlag_SIC = lagOrderSelectionARp(AR4.data,1,8,'SIC');
14 nlag_HQC = lagOrderSelectionARp(AR4.data,1,8,'HQC');
15
16 c = 1; phi=[0.51; -0.1; 0.06; -0.22]; sigma=0.03; p=4; T=200+p;
17 y=zeros(T,1);
18 for t=(p+1):T
19     y(t)= c + phi(1)*y(t-1) + phi(2)*y(t-2) + phi(3)*y(t-3) + phi(4)*y(t-4) +
        randn()*sigma;
20 end
21 y = y(51:200); % get rid of initial 50 observations (so-called burnin phase in
        simulations)
22 nlag_AIC = lagOrderSelectionARp(y,1,8,'AIC');
23 nlag_SIC = lagOrderSelectionARp(y,1,8,'SIC');
24 nlag_HQC = lagOrderSelectionARp(y,1,8,'HQC');

```

2 Solution to Portmanteau Test For Residual Autocorrelation

progs/matlab/portmanteauTest.m

```
1 %  
2 % Comparison of Portmanteau Tests For Residual Autocorrelation on inflation  
3 % series for (i) AR(φ) where φ is determined by the AIC criteria and  
4 % (ii) AR(1) model  
5 %  
6 % Willi Mutschler, January 2018  
7 % willi@mutschler.eu  
8 %  
9  
10 clearvars; clc; close all;  
11 gnpdeflator = importdata('../data/gnpdeflator.csv');  
12 % computation of inflation series  
13 price_index = gnpdeflator.data(:,3);  
14 infl = log(price_index(2:end,:)) - log(price_index(1:(end-1),:));  
15  
16 pmax = 12; % set maximum number of lags  
17 const = 0; % include constant?  
18 alph = 0.05; % significance level  
19 phat = lagOrderSelectionARp(infl,const,pmax,'AIC'); % compute criteria  
20  
21 OLSAR_phat = ARpOLS(infl,phat,const,alph); % estimate AR(φ)  
22 OLSAR_1 = ARpOLS(infl,1,const,alph); % estimate AR(1)  
23  
24 % Compute portmanteau statistic  
25 h = phat+10; % maximum number of lags  
26 u_p = OLSAR_phat.resid;  
27 u_1 = OLSAR_1.resid;  
28 T_p = size(u_p,1);  
29 T_1 = size(u_1,1);  
30 % initialize output vectors  
31 rho_p = nan(1,h);  
32 rho_1 = nan(1,h);  
33 % compute variances  
34 gam_p = 1/T_p*(u_p' *u_p);  
35 gam_1 = 1/T_1*(u_1' *u_1);  
36 % compute autocorrelations  
37 for j=1:h  
38     rho_p(1,j) = 1/((T_p-j)*gam_p)*(u_p(1+j:T_p,:)'*u_p(1:T_p-j,:));  
39     rho_1(1,j) = 1/((T_1-j)*gam_1)*(u_1(1+j:T_1,:)'*u_1(1:T_1-j,:));  
40 end  
41 % compute test statistic  
42 Q_p = T_p*sum(rho_p.*rho_p);  
43 Q_1 = T_1*sum(rho_1.*rho_1);  
44  
45 % compute critical values and p values from chi2 distribution  
46 Qpcrit_phat = chi2inv(1-0.05,h-phat);  
47 Qpcrit_1 = chi2inv(1-0.05,h-1);  
48 Qpval_phat = chi2cdf(Q_p,h-phat, "upper");  
49 Qpval_1 = chi2cdf(Q_1,h-1, "upper");  
50
```

```

51 % compare critical values and p values for AR(phat) and AR(1) model
52 fprintf('\nPORTMANTEAU TEST\n')
53 fprintf('H0: No remaining residual autocorrelation\n')
54 fprintf('\nTest Statistic > Critical Value\n')
55 disp(array2table([Q_p>Qpcrit_phat Q_1>Qpcrit_1], 'VariableNames', {'AR(phat)', 'AR(1)'}, '
    RowNames', {'Reject H0'}));
56 fprintf('\np-values\n')
57 disp(array2table([Qpval_phat Qpval_1], 'VariableNames', {'AR(phat)', 'AR(1)'}, 'RowNames'
    , {'p value of test'}));

```

The Null hypothesis of **no remaining residual autocorrelation** can be rejected for the $AR(1)$ model, but not for the $AR(\hat{p})$ model. This implies that the residuals in the $AR(1)$ model are NOT white noise, while the residuals in the $AR(\hat{p})$ model are LIKELY to be white noise. In sum, both the information criteria as well as the Portmanteau test favor the $AR(\hat{p})$ model over the $AR(1)$ model.

3 Solution to Bootstrap Confidence Interval For AR(1) Coefficient

progs/matlab/bootstrapCIAR1.m

```
1 %-----
2 % Percentile-t-Bootstrap confidence interval for the AR(1) coefficient
3 % compared to the asymptotic confidence interval
4 %-----
5 % Willi Mutschler, November 14, 2023
6 % willi@mutschler.eu
7 %-----
8
9 clearvars; clc; close all;
10
11 % Set options
12 B = 10000; % number of bootstrap repetitions (experiment with different values!)
13 T = 100; % set sample size (experiment with different values!)
14 burnin = 100; % number of observations to discard
15 alph = 0.05; % confidence level
16
17 % generate true data from AR(1)
18 u = exprnd(1,T+burnin,1)-1; % draw from exponential distribution
19 % and subtract expectation to get E(u)=0
20 y = nan(T+burnin,1); % initialize data vector
21 c = 1; % AR(1) constant
22 phi = 0.8; % AR(1) coefficient
23 y(1) = c/(1-phi); % initialize with mean
24 for t=2:(T+burnin)
25     y(t) = c + phi*y(t-1) + u(t); % generate from AR(1)
26 end
27 y = y(burnin+1:end); % discard burnin observations
28
29 % OLS estimation and t-statistic on true data
30 OLS = ARpOLS(y,1,1,alph);
31 uhat = OLS.resid;
32 chat = OLS.thetahat(1);
33 phihat = OLS.thetahat(2);
34 sig_phihat = OLS.sd_thetahat(2);
35 sig_uhat = OLS.siguhat;
36 tau = phihat/sig_phihat;
37
38 % Percentile-t-Bootstrap
39 taustar_parametric = nan(B,1); % initialize t statistics output vector
40 taustar_non_parametric = nan(B,1); % initialize t statistics output vector
41
42 for b=1:B
43     % draw with replacement
44     ustar_parametric = randn(length(uhat),1).*sig_uhat;
45     ustar_non_parametric = datasample(uhat,length(uhat),'Replace',true); % requires
46     % statistics toolbox
47     ystar_parametric = nan(T,1); % initialize artificial data vectors
48     ystar_non_parametric = nan(T,1); % initialize artificial data vectors
49     ystar_parametric(1,:) = y(1); % intialize the first observation with real data
50     ystar_non_parametric(1,:) = y(1); % intialize the first observation with real data
```

```

50     for t=2:size(y,1)
51         % generate artificial data from AR(1)
52         ystar_parametric(t,1) = chat + phihat*ystar_parametric(t-1) +
            ustar_parametric(t-1,1);
53         ystar_non_parametric(t,1) = chat + phihat*ystar_non_parametric(t-1) +
            ustar_non_parametric(t-1,1);
54     end
55     % same OLS estimation and t-statistic on artificial data
56     OLSstar_parametric = ARpOLS(ystar_parametric,1,1,alpha);
57     OLSstar_non_parametric = ARpOLS(ystar_non_parametric,1,1,alpha);
58     phistar_parametric = OLSstar_parametric.thetahat(2);
59     phistar_non_parametric = OLSstar_non_parametric.thetahat(2);
60     sig_phistar_parametric = OLSstar_parametric.sd_thetahat(2);
61     sig_phistar_non_parametric = OLSstar_non_parametric.sd_thetahat(2);
62     taustar_parametric(b,1) = (phistar_parametric-phihat)/sig_phistar_parametric;
63     taustar_non_parametric(b,1) = (phistar_non_parametric-phihat)/
        sig_phistar_non_parametric;
64 end
65 % bootstrap distribution
66 taustar_parametric = sort(taustar_parametric); %
    sort output vector to access quantiles
67 taustar_non_parametric = sort(taustar_non_parametric); %
    sort output vector to access quantiles
68 Lower_Boot_parametric = phihat-taustar_parametric((1-alpha/2)*B)*sig_phihat; %
    lower bound for bootstrap CI (parametric)
69 Upper_Boot_parametric = phihat-taustar_parametric( alpha/2*B)*sig_phihat; %
    upper bound for bootstrap CI (parametric)
70 Lower_Boot_non_parametric = phihat-taustar_non_parametric((1-alpha/2)*B)*sig_phihat; %
    lower bound for bootstrap CI (non-parametric)
71 Upper_Boot_non_parametric = phihat-taustar_non_parametric( alpha/2*B)*sig_phihat; %
    upper bound for bootstrap CI (non-parametric)
72 % asymptotic distribution
73 z = norminv(1-alpha/2,0,1); % 1-alpha/2 quantile of standard normal
    distribution
74 Lower_Approx = phihat-z*sig_phihat; % lower bound for approx CI
75 Upper_Approx = phihat+z*sig_phihat; % upper bound for approx CI
76 table([Lower_Approx;Lower_Boot_parametric;Lower_Boot_non_parametric],...
77     [Upper_Approx;Upper_Boot_parametric;Upper_Boot_non_parametric],...
78     'RowNames',{'Approx' 'Parametric' 'Non-parametric'},'VariableNames',{'Lower' '
    Upper'})
79
80 x = -5:0.1:5;
81 figure('name','Distribution of taustar');
82 sgtitle('taustar')
83 subplot(1,2,1)
84     histogram(taustar_parametric,'Normalization','pdf');
85     hold on;
86     plot(x,normpdf(x,0,1));
87     title('Parametric');
88     legend('Parametric','Standard Normal')
89     hold off;
90 subplot(1,2,2)

```

```
91 histogram(taustar_non_parametric, 'Normalization', 'pdf');
92 hold on;
93 plot(x, normpdf(x, 0, 1));
94 title('Non-Parametric');
95 legend('Non-Parametric', 'Standard Normal')
96 hold off;
```

For large T the bootstrap CI are almost identical to the asymptotic CIs, for small T they are narrower.

No efficiency gain from imposing parametric assumptions even when that assumption is true, whereas imposing the wrong parametric structure tends to undermine the accuracy of the bootstrap inference.

↔ Nonparametric approach is typically strictly preferred in practice.