Customizable Decay: How to Maximize Suricata Event Utility in Finite Space

> Sascha Steinbiss, DCSO Benno Evers, Tenzir Matthias Vallentin, Tenzir

TENZIR



🖉 © Tenzir GmbH

Introduction



Sascha

- Senior Software Engineer
- >6 years at DCSO
- Former genome wrangler
- Suricata contributor
- Debian Developer



Benno

- C++ Developer at Tenzir
- Former PMC at Apache Mesos project
- Distributed Systems programming



Matthias

- Founder & CEO at Tenzir
- PhD @ UC Berkeley (with Zeek team)
- High-performance network monitoring
- SOC infrastructure and threat detection



Introduction



Sascha

- Senior Software Engineer
- >6 years at DCSO
- Former genome wrangler
- Suricata contributor
- Debian Developer



Benno

- C++ Developer at Tenzir
- Former PMC at Apache Mesos project
- Distributed Systems programming



Matthias

- Founder & CEO at Tenzir
- PhD @ UC Berkeley (with Zeek team)
- High-performance network monitoring
- SOC infrastructure and threat detection



Suricata: Not Just Alerts

- Suricata is an IDS/IPS and NSM tool
 - Output : alerts and extensive metadata per protocol transaction
- Metadata are useful
 - Other forms of detection (retro, pattern-based, data mining)
 - Analysis (network structure, communication patterns, ...)
- Scenario: store metadata close to the Suricata sensor
 - Data residency requirements
 - Bandwidth constraints
 - Scaling/cost issue with centralized architecture



Too much data in confined space



Cumulative EVE-JSON volume of a real sensor with ~6Gbit/s of diverse traffic

TLP:CLEAR

Too much data in confined space?

• Extend space

- Limited by deployment constraints (bare-metal appliances? cost?)
- Compress data
 - Adds additional overhead for compression/decompression
 - Still natural limits for space reduction
- Move data (tape storage, ...)
 - Deployment constraints (rack space, network, ...)
 - Huge impact on access latency/searchability
- Delete old data
 - Blunt tool with no awareness of data importance
 - We may still need it!

Can we do better?



Optimal Information Density?

• Change data

- Never delete relevant events, but make data range more coarse-grained
- Maybe less data is already sufficient for the actual questions we have
- Example: Who looked up domain X in a specific timeframe?

{"timestamp": "2020-11-06T10:32:23.723294+0000", "event_type": "dns", "src_ip": "10.0.0.207", "src_port": 32598, "dest_ip": "148.0.250.134", "dest_port": 53, "proto": "UDP", "dns": {"rrname": "www.foobar.com", ...}}} {"timestamp": "2020-11-06T10:39:39.325094+0000", "event_type": "dns", "src_ip": "10.0.0.207", "src_port": 29384, "dest_ip": "148.0.250.134", "dest_port": 53, "proto": "UDP", "dns": {"rrname": "www.foobar.com", ...}}} {"timestamp": "2020-11-06T10:42:57.000333+0000", "event_type": "dns", "src_ip": "10.0.0.207", "src_port": 44522, "dest_ip": "148.0.250.134", "dest_port": 53, "proto": "UDP", "dns": {"rrname": "www.foobar.com", ...}}}

VS.

{"first_seen": "2020-11-06T10:32:23.723294+0000", "last_seen": "2020-11-06T10:42:57.000333+0000", "count": 3, "event_type": "dns", "src_ip": "10.0.0.207", "dest_ip": "148.0.250.134", "proto": "UDP", "dns": {"rrname": "www.foobar.com", ...}}}

Data Transformations



Contributions

- 1. Devise a **compaction** concept to trigger arbitrary dataflow pipelines
 - Composable, modular data processing **operations**
 - Trigger pipelines for spatial ("80% disk") and temporal ("after 42 days") conditions
- 2. Implement compaction in VAST (<u>vast.io</u>)
 - Easy-to-use declarative YAML configuration
 - Operationalize in a production deployment
- 3. Evaluate with real-world Suricata EVE logs









VAST: An Overview



• What is VAST?

- Security-native high-performance telemetry database
- Richly-typed, structured data
- Open data plane via Apache Arrow (in-memory) and Parquet & Feather (disk)

• Use Cases

- Store alerts (and metadata) and pivot to PCAPs¹
- Automated querying (execute security content)²
- \circ Guided threat hunting via notebooks (Suricon 2023 ;-)³
- SIEM offloading for pre-processing and cost savings



¹ Matthias Vallentin, "Pivot like a Pro: Unified Threat Hunting in Network Security Data", SuriCon 2019, Amsterdam

² Sascha Steinbiss, Matthias Vallentin, "Distributing Security Content to Detect Threats Across Past, Present and Future", SuriCon 2021, Boston

³ https://xkcd.com/541/

© Tenzir GmbH

TLP:CLEAR

Suricata Ingestion



Suricata and VAST at DCSO



EVE-JSON and **VAST**

```
"timestamp": "2018-02-16T13:38:56.245600+0100",
"flow id": 210421612967555,
"event type": "flow",
"src ip": "172.31.69.15",
"src port": 10897,
"dest ip": "131.202.242.193",
"dest port": 22,
"proto": "TCP",
"flow": {
  "pkts toserver": 44,
  "pkts toclient": 42,
  "bytes toserver": 6968,
  "bytes toclient": 3100,
  "start": "2018-02-16T13:38:56.245600+0100",
  "end": "2018-02-16T13:39:02.465647+0100",
  "age": 6,
  "state": "new",
  "reason": "timeout",
  "alerted": false
},
```

type suricata.component.common = record { timestamp: timestamp, flow id: count #index=hash, src ip: addr, src port: port, dest ip: addr, dest port: port, proto: string, event type: string, type suricata.component.flow = record { pkts toserver: count, pkts toclient: count, bytes toserver: count, bytes toclient: count, start: time. end: time, age: count, state: string, reason: string, alerted: bool type suricata.flow = suricata.component.common + record { flow: suricata.component.flow, app proto: string

data

schema

multiple levels of sophistication

2/ Man

- Lvl 1: Keep space usage along target *capacity*
 - Delete data partitions randomly when hitting quota (e.g. 80–90%, < 7 TB)
- Lvl 2: Use age to delete data with respect to a total order
 - Delete from oldest to newest event timestamps
- Lvl 3: Add event type as another dimension
 - Delete proportionally to traffic mix (e.g., 40% DNS, 20% flow, 30% DCE/RPC, ...)
- Lvl 4: Use per-event *weights* to express relative importance
 - Scale age by weight ("virtual age") before evaluating age
- Lvl 5: Do not delete, but compact data
 - Apply pipeline instead of deleting
- Lvl 6: Multi-level compaction
 - Compact compacted types again using different pipelines



- Lvl 1: Keep space usage along target *capacity*
 - Delete data partitions randomly when hitting quota (e.g. 80–90%, < 7 TB)
- Lvl 2: Use *age* to delete data with respect to a total order
 - Delete from oldest to newest event timestamps
- Lvl 3: Add event type as another dimension
 - Delete proportionally to traffic mix (e.g., 40% DNS, 20% flow, 30% DCE/RPC, ...)
- Lvl 4: Use per-event *weights* to express relative importance
 - Scale age by weight ("virtual age") before evaluating age
- Lvl 5: Do not delete, but compact data
 - Apply pipeline instead of deleting
- Lvl 6: Multi-level compaction
 - Compact compacted types again using different pipelines



Lvl 2: Age



time

Lvl 2: Linearized View





- Lvl 1: Keep space usage along target *capacity*
 - Delete data partitions randomly when hitting quota (e.g. 80–90%, < 7 TB)
- Lvl 2: Use *age* to delete data with respect to a total order
 - Delete from oldest to newest event timestamps
- Lvl 3: Add event type as another dimension
 - Delete proportionally to traffic mix (e.g., 40% DNS, 20% flow, 30% DCE/RPC, ...)
- Lvl 4: Use per-event weights to express relative importance
 - Scale age by weight ("virtual age") before evaluating age
- Lvl 5: Do not delete, but compact data
 - Apply pipeline instead of deleting
- Lvl 6: Multi-level compaction
 - Compact compacted types again using different pipelines





Lvl 3: Event Type Distribution

- High variation!
 - Event type volume
 - Event type distribution
- More flexible approach needed to deal with heterogeneous data

- Lvl 1: Keep space usage along target *capacity*
 - Delete data partitions randomly when hitting quota (e.g. 80–90%, < 7 TB)
- Lvl 2: Use *age* to delete data with respect to a total order
 - Delete from oldest to newest event timestamps
- Lvl 3: Add event type as another dimension
 - Delete proportionally to traffic mix (e.g., 40% DNS, 20% flow, 30% DCE/RPC, ...)
- Lvl 4: Use per-event *weights* to express relative importance
 - Scale age by weight ("virtual age") before evaluating age
- Lvl 5: Do not delete, but compact data
 - Apply pipeline instead of deleting
- Lvl 6: Multi-level compaction
 - Compact compacted types again using different pipelines



Lvl 4: Virtual Age

- Motivation: empirical event distribution != desired relative event priorities
- Want
 - "My alerts are more important than my DNS events"
 - "Prefer metadata events over mundane flow events, if they exist"
- Not
 - "I want 90% flows and 10% alerts"

→ Virtual Age:

- 1. Attach a *weight* to each type
- 2. Adjust event age by projecting into a virtual space





Lvl 4: Virtual Age



Lvl 4: Linearized View



- Lvl 1: Keep space usage along target *capacity*
 - Delete data partitions randomly when hitting quota (e.g. 80–90%, < 7 TB)
- Lvl 2: Use *age* to delete data with respect to a total order
 - Delete from oldest to newest event timestamps
- Lvl 3: Add event type as another dimension
 - Delete proportionally to traffic mix (e.g., 40% DNS, 20% flow, 30% DCE/RPC, ...)
- Lvl 4: Use per-event *weights* to express relative importance
 - Scale age by weight ("virtual age") before evaluating age
- Lvl 5: Do not delete, but *compact* data
 - Apply pipeline instead of deleting
- Lvl 6: Multi-level compaction
 - Compact compacted types again using different pipelines



Lvl 5: Pipeline Transformation



Lvl 5: Linearized View





Implementation





Lvl 5: Dataflow with Pipelines





V

>

>

> > >

 \sim

 \sim

>

>

N.			2
About			
Wł	ny VAST		
Tar	rget Audie	ence	
Vis	sion		
Us	e Cases		
Try			
Setu	ıp		
Use			
Und	erstand		
Arc	chitecture	9	
Da	ta Model		
Qu	ery Lang	uage	
E	xpression	ns	
Ρ	ipelines		
C	perators		
	drop		
	replace		
	hash		
	identity		
	rename		

extend select

summarize

where

Frontends Contribute

Develop

> Understand > Query Language > Operators

Operators

VAST ships with the following operators:

🖿 drop

ft.

Drops individual fields having the configured extractors from the...

hash Computes a SHA256 hash digest of a given field.

🖿 rename

Renames schemas and fields according to a configured mapping.

select

Keeps the fields having the configured extractors and removes t...

here 📄

Keeps rows matching the configured expression and removes th...

replace

Replaces the fields matching the configured extractors with fixe...

h identity

Does nothing with the input. (This operator primarily for testing \ldots

extend

Adds the configured fields with fixed values.

summarize

The summarize operator bundles input records according to a gr...



<mark>7 /</mark> © Tenzir GmbH

Compaction Pipelines



Lvl 2: Rotation Example

```
plugins:
 compaction:
    space:
      interval: 1 hour
      disk-budget-high: 95
      disk-budget-low: 90
      scan-binary: /usr/local/bin/vastdiskbudget
      step-size: 3
    time:
      interval: 1 hour
      rules:
        - after: 90 days
          types: [suricata.dns, suricata.alert, ...]
```

plugins: compaction: space: mode: weighted-age interval: 1h disk-budget-high: 95 disk-budget-low: 90 scan-binary: /usr/local/bin/vastdiskbudget step-size: 3 weights: - weight: 1 pipeline: aggregate-flows types: - suricata.flow - weight: 1 pipeline: aggregate-dns types: - suricata.dns - weight: 1 pipeline: aggregate-snmp types:

- suricata.snmp

- weight: 1 pipeline: aggregate-smb types: - suricata.smb - weight: pipeline: aggregate-http types: - suricata.http - weight: 1 pipeline: aggregate-tls types: - suricata.tls - weight: 1.5 types: - suricata.flow agg - suricata.dns agg - suricata.snmp agg - suricata.smb_agg - suricata.http agg - suricata.tls agg



```
"timestamp": "2020-11-06T07:34:23.000074+0000",
"flow id": 1618483912196429,
"in_iface": "enp175s0f1",
"event_type": "flow",
"src ip": "10.0.0.12",
"src port": 53905,
"dest_ip": "148.0.51.138",
"dest_port": 53,
"proto": "UDP",
"app proto": "dns",
"flow": {
  "pkts_toserver": 1,
  "pkts_toclient": 1,
  "bytes toserver": 88,
  "bytes toclient": 136,
  "start": "2022-06-29T07:31:23.458630+0000",
  "end": "2022-06-29T07:31:23.394739+0000",
  "age": 0,
  "state": "established",
  "reason": "timeout",
  "alerted": false
},
"host": "53c5bbd7849b48e1a458347df93c0dc5",
```



TLP:CLEAR

Space Reduction

- What space reduction can we achieve if we compact a typical dataset?
- Example
 - \circ 3 days of "Realistic Cyber Defense Dataset" CSE-CIC-IDS2018¹
 - Suricata 7, all EVE output options enabled
 - ~150GB pcaps, ~42M events
- Parameters
 - Event types compacted:
 - smb, dns, http, flow, snmp, tls
 - Two resolutions evaluated
 - 1 hour
 - 1 minute



¹ Iman Sharafaldin, Arash Habibi Lashkari, and Ali A. Ghorbani: "*Toward Generating a New Intrusion Detection Dataset and Intrusion Traffic Characterization*", 4th International Conference on Information Systems Security and Privacy (ICISSP), Portugal, 2018

TLP:CLEAR



Space Reduction by Projection

{

}

```
"timestamp":
"2018-02-16T18:17:17.044699",
       "flow id": 1599357715314279,
       "pcap_cnt": 55512743,
       "vlan": null.
       "in iface": null,
       "src ip": "196.52.43.92",
       "src port": 6712,
       "dest ip": "172.31.64.32",
       "dest_port": 161,
       "proto": "UDP",
       "event type": "snmp",
       "community_id": null,
       "tx id": null,
       "snmp": {
       "version": 1,
       "pdu_type": "get_request",
       "vars": [
               "1.3.6.1.2.1.1.1.0"
       ],
       "community": "public"
```

{

"timestamp": "2018-02-16T18:17:00.000000", "src ip": "196.52.43.92", "dest_ip": "172.31.64.32", "dest port": 161, "proto": "UDP", "community id": [], "count": 1. "timestamp max": "2018-02-16T18:17:17.044699", "timestamp_min": "2018-02-16T18:17:17.044751", "event_type": "snmp_agg"

Real-world measurements



TLP:CLEAR

Effect on Retention Time



- Lvl 1: Keep space usage along target *capacity*
 - Delete data partitions randomly when hitting quota (e.g. 80–90%, < 7 TB)
- Lvl 2: Use *age* to delete data with respect to a total order
 - Delete from oldest to newest event timestamps
- Lvl 3: Add event type as another dimension
 - Delete proportionally to traffic mix (e.g., 40% DNS, 20% flow, 30% DCE/RPC, ...)
- Lvl 4: Use per-event *weights* to express relative importance
 - Scale age by weight ("virtual age") before evaluating age
- Lvl 5: Do not delete, but *compact* data
 - Apply pipeline instead of deleting
- Lvl 6: Multi-level compaction
 - Compact compacted types again using different pipelines



Thank you!



VAST

vast.io

Join our Community Slack!

slack.tenzir.com





<mark>7 /</mark>© Tenzir GmbH



45

Meerkats will prevail!

TLP:CLEAR







VAST Plugins

• Plugin architecture



- Easy to implement missing transformation functionality
 - Pipeline operators
 - Aggregation functions

VAST Plugins



7 © Tenzir GmbH

TLP:CLEAR

