

②

1

Profs. Martin Jaggi and Nicolas Flammarion Optimization for Machine Learning – CS-439 - IC 11.08.2020 from 08h15 to 11h15 Duration : 180 minutes

Student One

SCIPER : 111111

Wait for the start of the exam before turning to the next page. This document is printed double sided, 16 pages. Do not unstaple.

- This is a closed book exam. No electronic devices of any kind.
- f the exam before turning to the next page. This documes. Do not unstaple.

Sec. Do not unstaple.

book exam. No electronic devices of any kind.

Sec. your student ID, writing utensils, one double-sided A4 page cheap.

min • Place on your desk: your student ID, writing utensils, one double-sided A4 page cheat sheet (handwritten or 11pt min font size) if you have one; place all other personal items below your desk or on the side.
- You each have a different exam.
- For technical reasons, do use black or blue pens for the MCQ part, no pencils! Use white corrector if necessary.

First part, multiple choice

There is **exactly one** correct answer per question.

Convexity and Smoothness

For each of the functions below, verify whether they are (1) convex, (2) strictly convex, (3) strongly convex, and (4) smooth, in the sense of the definitions used in the course:

A. $f(x) = -2x, x \in \mathbb{R}$	B. $f(x) = \sin(x), x \in (\pi, 2\pi)$
C. $f(x) = \tanh(ax + b), x \in \mathbb{R}$	D. $f(x) = x^4, x \in \mathbb{R}$
E. $f(x) = -\log(x), x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$	F. $f(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} _2^2, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$
G. $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^\top A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$	

where

Question 1 Given the function A. above, which are all of its properties?

convex + strictly convex $convex + strictly convex + strongly convex$ $convex + strictly convex + strongly convex + smooth$ convex $convex + strictly convex + smooth$ smooth convex + smooth none of these properties Question 2 Given the function B. above, which are all of its properties?

convex $convex + strictly convex + strongly convex$ smooth $convex + smooth$ convex + strictly convex $convex + strictly convex + strongly convex + smooth$ $convex + strictly convex + smooth$ none of these properties

②

Question 3 Given the function C. above, which are all of its properties?

 \Box convex + strictly convex $convex + strictly convex + strongly convex + smooth$ convex $convex + smooth$ $convex + strictly convex + smooth$ smooth \mathbf{I} $convex + strictly convex + strongly convex$ \Box none of these properties

Question 4 Given the function D. above, which are all of its properties?

none of these properties

②

Question 7 Given the function G. above, which are all of its properties?

Deep linear neural networks

Question 8 The output of a linear network with more than one layer, for a given input, as a function of the weight matrices,

is a non-convex function, and equally or less expressive than a one-layer linear network.

is a non-convex function, and more expressive than a one-layer linear network.

is a convex function, and equally or less expressive than a one-layer linear network.

is a convex function, and more expressive than a one-layer linear network.

particular metallic material and the base of the layer, i.e. a given input,
inction, and equally or less expressive than a one-layer linear network.
D. The expressive than a one-layer linear network.
On, and more expressi Question 9 Consider a deep linear neural network with 1-dim weights, input & output, with squared loss. Let $c \ge 1$ and $\delta > 0$ such that the initial point $\mathbf{x}_0 > \mathbf{0}$ is c-balanced with $\delta \le \prod_k (\mathbf{x}_0)_k < 1$. Then the error $f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f^*$ of gradient descent

converges to 0 as $\Theta(1/t)$, for an appropriate choice of step-size.

converges to 0 as $\Theta(1/t)$, for a constant step-size.

converges to 0 as $\Theta(1/$ √ t), for an appropriate choice of step-size, due to non-convexity.

converges to 0 exponentially fast, for a constant step-size.

Smoothness and gradient descent

Consider the function $f(x) = x^2 + 3\sin^2(x)$ for the next two questions plotted below.

Question 10 Which of the following properties does $f(x)$ satisfy?

Strongly convex and smooth with $L = 7$

- Convex and smooth with $L=5$
- Convex and smooth with $L = 8$
- Non-convex and smooth with $L = 8$
- \mathbf{I} Non-convex and smooth with $L=5$

②

Question 11 Suppose we run 1000 steps of gradient descent on $f(x)$ as above, with correct stepsizes. Which of the following is true about the error $f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f^*$, relative to $f(\mathbf{x}_0) - f^*$? Assume that the following inequality holds: $x^2 + 3\sin^2(x) \le 16(2x + 3\sin(2x))^2$.

The error becomes $\frac{128}{1000}$ since f is non-convex

The error is $\frac{128}{1000}$ since f satisfies the Polyak-Lojasiewicz Inequality

The error is $(1 - \frac{1}{256})^{1000}$ since f satisfies the Polyak-Lojasiewicz Inequality

The error is $(1 - \frac{1}{128})^{1000}$ since f is strongly convex

The error is $\frac{16}{1000}$ since f is non-convex

Adaptive methods

Question 12 Consider the practical implementation of the three algorithms Adagrad, Adam and SignSGD. After computing a fresh stochastic gradient in every iteration, the practical memory requirement for the three variants is, for reasonably large machine learning models,

SignSGD ≪ Adam ≪ Adagrad

 $Sigma\ \ll \text{Adagrad} \approx \text{Adam}$

 $Sigma\ \ll \text{Adagrad} \ll \text{Adam}$

similar for all three variants

Non-smooth optimization

, for reasonably large machine learning models,
 $n \ll$ Adagrad
 $\text{rad} \approx$ Adam
 $\text{rad} \ll$ Adam
 e variants
 mization
 $\text{he composite objective function } f(\mathbf{x}) := g(\mathbf{x}) + h(\mathbf{x})$, where $g(\mathbf{x})$ is
 $\text{define } x^*$ as a global minimum of $f(\mathbf{x})$, wh Question 13 For the composite objective function $f(\mathbf{x}) := g(\mathbf{x}) + h(\mathbf{x})$, where $g(\mathbf{x})$ is convex and Lsmooth, $h(\mathbf{x})$ is convex, define x^* as a global minimum of $f(\mathbf{x})$, which of the following statements is true in general?

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\sum \mathbf{x}^{\star} &= \mathbf{x}^{\star} - \frac{1}{L} \nabla g(\mathbf{x}^{\star}) \\
\sum \mathbf{x}^{\star} &= \text{prox}_{h,1}(\mathbf{x}^{\star} - \frac{1}{L} \nabla g(\mathbf{x}^{\star})) \\
\mathbf{x}^{\star} &= \text{prox}_{h, \frac{1}{L}}(\mathbf{x}^{\star} - \frac{1}{L} \nabla g(\mathbf{x}^{\star})) \\
\sum \mathbf{x}^{\star} &= \text{prox}_{h, \frac{1}{L}}(\mathbf{x}^{\star} + \frac{1}{L} \nabla g(\mathbf{x}^{\star})) \\
\sum \mathbf{x}^{\star} &= \mathbf{x}^{\star} - \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{\star})\n\end{aligned}
$$

②

Empirical comparison of different methods

Suppose that your roommate wanted to minimize a linear regression problem with ℓ_2 regularization. Last night, she overheard you mumbling in your sleep something about "SGD", "gradient descent" and "stepsizes", and was curious to try it out. Can you identify the algorithms she ran by looking at their performance? Note that the scale on the y-axis is **logarithmic**.

Figure 1: Performance of different optimization algorithms.

Question 14 Which of these algorithms were gradient descent (not SGD)?

None of them

Algorithm A, Algorithm B, and Algorithm E

- Algorithm A and Algorithm B
- Only Algorithm B
- All of them

Question 15 Which optimization method corresponds to the error-curve for Algorithm C?

- SGD with constant stepsize
- Gradient descent with stepsize 1/L
- Gradient descent with incorrect stepsize
- SGD with stepsize decreasing as $\mathcal{O}(1)$ √ \widehat{t}
- SGD with stepsize decreasing as $\mathcal{O}(1/t)$

Question 16 Which optimization method corresponds to the error-curve for Algorithm D?

- SGD with stepsize decreasing as $\mathcal{O}(1/t)$
- Gradient descent with stepsize $1/L$
- SGD with stepsize decreasing as $\mathcal{O}(1)$ √ $\bar{t})$
- Gradient descent with incorrect stepsize
- SGD with constant stepsize \mathbf{L}

Question 17 Which optimization method corresponds to the error-curve for Algorithm E?

- Gradient descent with stepsize $1/L$
- SGD with stepsize decreasing as $\mathcal{O}(1/t)$
- Gradient descent with incorrect stepsize
- SGD with stepsize decreasing as $\mathcal{O}(1)$ √ $\widehat{t})$
- SGD with constant stepsize
	- Pour votre examen, imprimez de préférence les documents compilés à l'aide de auto-multiple-choice.

②

Second part, true/false questions

Question 18 (Frank-Wolfe convergence in duality gap) On a convex and smooth function, and a bounded and convex constraint set, let x_0, x_1, \ldots be the iterates of the Frank-Wolfe algorithm. The duality gap (or Hearn gap) $g(\mathbf{x}_t) := \langle \mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{s}, \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t) \rangle$ of the iterates satisfies $g(\mathbf{x}_t) \leq \mathcal{O}(1/t)$.

Question 19 (Lower Bounds for Iteration Complexity) Every first-order optimization method needs in α (Exception 15 (Exception Complexity) Every mist order optimization include needs in functions.

Question 20 (GD non-convex) Gradient descent with stepsize $1/L$ converges to an optimum function value on any smooth possibly non-convex function.

Question 21 (Random search) Consider derivative-free random search as discussed in the lecture. For L-smooth convex functions, using random directions with line-search, converges as $\mathcal{O}(dL/\varepsilon)$.

Question 22 (Adaptive methods) The three algorithm variants Adagrad, Adam and SignSGD have a comparable computational complexity per iteration, for deep learning applications

Factor (Franchessiloptic scheme) Gradient descent with stepsize $1/L$ converges to an operably non-convex function.

TRUE FALSE

FALSE

FALSE

FALSE

PRODES (FALSE)

TRUE FALSE

TRUE FALSE

TRUE FALSE

FALSE

TRUE FALSE

P TRUE **FALSE**

②

Solution:

Third part, open questions

Answer in the space provided! Your answer must be justified with all steps. Do not cross any checkboxes, they are reserved for correction.

Variance reduction for sum of smooth and strongly convex functions

We are here interested in the unconstrained minimization of the function:

$$
f(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(\mathbf{x}),
$$

where f_1, \dots, f_n are L-smooth and convex functions. In addition we assume that the function f is μ -strongly convex. We denote by \mathbf{x}^* the global minimum of f.

Question 23: 3 points. Let i be a random variable uniformly distributed in $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Then prove that

$$
\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}^*)\|_2^2] \le 2L(f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)),\tag{S}
$$

where the expectation is taken with respect to the randomness of i . *Hint:* You can assume that for any L-smooth convex function f the following holds

$$
\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y})\|_2^2 \le 2L\left(f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y})^\top(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\right) \quad \text{for all vectors } \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}
$$

 \vert ₀ 1 2 3

Solution: We start from

$$
\|\nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}^*)\|_2^2 \le 2L\left(f_i(\mathbf{x}) - f_i(\mathbf{x}^*) - \nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}^*)^\top(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*)\right)
$$
 one point for using the hint on f_i

and then use

convex. We denote by
$$
\mathbf{x}^*
$$
 the global minimum of f .
\n**Question 23:** 3 points. Let *i* be a random variable uniformly distributed in $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Then prove that
\n
$$
\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}^*)\|_2^2] \le 2L(f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)),
$$
\n(S)
\nwhere the expectation is taken with respect to the randomness of *i*.
\n*Hint:* You can assume that for any *L*-smooth convex function f the following holds
\n
$$
\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y})\|_2^2 \le 2L(f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y})^\top(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}))
$$
 for all vectors \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}
\n
$$
\boxed{\mathbf{0}} \quad \boxed{\mathbf{1}} \quad \boxed{\mathbf{2}} \quad \boxed{\mathbf{3}}
$$
\n**Solution:** We start from
\n
$$
\|\nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}^*)\|_2^2] \le 2L(f_i(\mathbf{x}) - f_i(\mathbf{x}^*) - \nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}^*)^\top(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*))
$$
 one point for using the hint on f_i
\nand then use
\n
$$
\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}^*)\|_2^2] \le \mathbb{E}[2L(f_i(\mathbf{x}) - f_i(\mathbf{x}^*) - \nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}^*)^\top(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*))]
$$
\n
$$
\le 2L[f_i(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) - \nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}^*)^\top(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*))]
$$
\n
$$
\le 2L(f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)^\top(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*))
$$
one point for using correctly the expectation
\n
$$
\le 2L(f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)) \text{ since } \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0 \text{ by definition of } \math
$$

②

Let $\mathbf{x}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be an arbitrary initial point and consider the following iterates defined for $t \geq 1$ as:

$$
\mathbf{x}_{t+1} := \mathbf{x}_t - \gamma (\nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}_t) - \nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}_1) + \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_1)),
$$

where i_t is drawn uniformly at random and independently in $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

Question 24: 1 point. Let us denote by $\mathbf{v}_t := \nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}_t) - \nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}_1) + \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_1)$. Give a closed-form expression for $\|\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}^*\|_2^2$ as a function of $\|\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*\|$, $\|\mathbf{v}_t\|_2^2$, $\mathbf{v}_t^{\top}(\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*)$ and γ .

Solution:

$$
\|\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}^{\star}\|_{2}^{2} = \|\mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}^{\star}\|_{2}^{2} - 2\gamma \mathbf{v}_{t}^{\top}(\mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}^{\star}) + \gamma^{2} \|\mathbf{v}_{t}\|_{2}^{2}.
$$
 (1)

Question 25: 3 points.

Give a lower bound on $\mathbb{E}_{i_t}[\mathbf{v}_t^{\top}(\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*)]$ depending on the function values $f(\mathbf{x}_t)$ and $f(\mathbf{x}^*)$.

0 1 2 3

Solution:

d on $\mathbb{E}_{i_t}[\mathbf{v}_t^\top(\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*)]$ depending on the function values $f(\mathbf{x}_t)$ and j

 \mathbf{x}_t ^T($\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*$)using linearity of the expactation (1 point)

 $\mathbb{E}_{i_t}[\mathbf{v}_t^\top(\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*)] = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)^\top(\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*)$ using linearity of the expactation (1 point) (2) $\geq f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ by convexity 1 point for giving the correct result and 1 point for justifying it by convexity (3)

Question 26: 4 points. Prove an upper bound of the form

$$
\mathbb{E}_{i_t}[\|\mathbf{v}_t\|_2^2] \le C_1 L \left(f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)\right) + C_2 L \left(f(\mathbf{x}_1) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)\right)
$$

where C_1 and C_2 are constants.

Hint: You may want to use inequality (S) from Question 23, and that

$$
\|\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c}\|_2^2 \le 3 \|\mathbf{a}\|^2 + 3 \|\mathbf{b}\|^2 + 3 \|\mathbf{c}\|^2 \quad \text{for all vectors } \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}.
$$

Solution:

First solution:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{i_t}[\|\mathbf{v}_t\|_2^2] \leq 2\mathbb{E}_{i_t}[\|\nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}_t) - \nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}^*)\|_2^2] + 2\mathbb{E}_{i_t}[\|\nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}_1) - \nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}^*) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_1)\|_2^2] \n\leq 2\mathbb{E}_{i_t}[\|\nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}_t) - \nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}^*)\|_2^2] + 2\mathbb{E}_{i_t}[\|\nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}_1)\|_2^2] \text{using } \mathbb{E}[\|X - E[X]\|_2^2] \leq \mathbb{E}[\|X\|_2^2] \n\leq 4L(f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) + f(\mathbf{x}_1) - f(x^*)) \text{ using question 23}
$$

Second solution:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{i_t}[\|\mathbf{v}_t\|_2^2] \leq 3\mathbb{E}_{i_t}[\|\nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}_t) - \nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}^*)\|_2^2] + 3\mathbb{E}_{i_t}[\|\nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}_1) - \nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}^*)\|_2^2] + 3\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_1) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)\|_2^2 \quad 1 \text{ point}
$$

\n
$$
\leq 6L(f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)) + 6L(f(\mathbf{x}_1) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)) + 6L(f(\mathbf{x}_1) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)) \text{ using question 23} \quad 2 \text{ point}
$$

\n
$$
\leq 6L(f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)) + 12L(f(\mathbf{x}_1) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)) \quad 1 \text{ point}
$$

Question 27: 3 points. Combine the answers to the previous questions to obtain an upper bound on $E_{i_t}[\|\mathbf{x}_{t+1}-\mathbf{x}^{\star}\|_2^2$ depending on $\|\mathbf{x}_t-\mathbf{x}^{\star}\|_2^2$, γ , L and the function values $f(\mathbf{x}_t)$, $f(\mathbf{x}^{\star})$ and $f(\mathbf{x}_1)$. Comment: if you did not solve Question 26, you can instead use the general expression from there.

> Pour votre examen, imprimez de préférence les documents compilés à l'aide de auto-multiple-choice.

②

0 1 2 3

Solution: First solution:

$$
E_{i_t}[\|\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}^*\|_2^2 = \|\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*\|_2^2 - 2\gamma \mathbf{v}_t^{\top}(\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*) + \gamma^2 \|\mathbf{v}_t\|_2^2
$$

\n
$$
\leq \|\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*\|_2^2 - 2\gamma(f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)) + \gamma^2 \|\mathbf{v}_t\|_2^2
$$

\n
$$
\leq \|\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*\|_2^2 - 2\gamma(f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)) + 4\gamma^2 L(f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) + f(\mathbf{x}_1) - f(\mathbf{x}^*))
$$

\n
$$
\leq \|\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*\|_2^2 - 2\gamma(1 - 2L\gamma)(f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)) + 4L\gamma^2(f(\mathbf{x}_1) - f(\mathbf{x}^*))
$$

Second solution:

$$
E_{i_t}[\|\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}^{\star}\|_{2}^{2} = \|\mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}^{\star}\|_{2}^{2} - 2\gamma \mathbf{v}_{t}^{\top}(\mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}^{\star}) + \gamma^{2}\|\mathbf{v}_{t}\|_{2}^{2}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \|\mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}^{\star}\|_{2}^{2} - 2\gamma(f(\mathbf{x}_{t}) - f(\mathbf{x}^{\star})) + \gamma^{2}\|\mathbf{v}_{t}\|_{2}^{2} \quad 1 \text{ point for using question 25}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \|\mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}^{\star}\|_{2}^{2} - 2\gamma(f(\mathbf{x}_{t}) - f(\mathbf{x}^{\star})) + 6\gamma^{2}L(f(\mathbf{x}_{t}) - f(\mathbf{x}^{\star})) + 12\gamma^{2}L(f(\mathbf{x}_{1}) - f(\mathbf{x}^{\star})) \quad 1 \text{ p for question 26}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \|\mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}^{\star}\|_{2}^{2} - 2\gamma(1 - 3L\gamma)(f(\mathbf{x}_{t}) - f(\mathbf{x}^{\star})) + 12L\gamma^{2}(f(\mathbf{x}_{1}) - f(\mathbf{x}^{\star})) \quad 1 \text{ point for the correct answer}
$$

 $-\mathbf{x} ||_2 - z\gamma(j(\mathbf{x}_t) - j(\mathbf{x}_t)) + \sigma\gamma L(j(\mathbf{x}_t) - j(\mathbf{x}_t)) + 12\gamma L(j(\mathbf{x}_t))$
 $-\mathbf{x}^* ||_2^2 - 2\gamma(1 - 3L\gamma)(f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)) + 12L\gamma^2(f(\mathbf{x}_1) - f(\mathbf{x}^*))$ 1 I
 \therefore Unroll the recursion proven in previous question for $t = 1, \dots, T$
 Question 28: 4 points. Unroll the recursion proven in previous question for $t = 1, \dots, T$ to get a upper bound on $E[\|\mathbf{x}_{T+1} - \mathbf{x}^{\star}\|_{2}^{2}$ depending on $\|\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}^{\star}\|_{2}^{2}$, γ , L and the function values $(f(\mathbf{x}_{t}))_{t=1}^{T}$, $f(\mathbf{x}^{\star})$ and $f(\mathbf{x}_1)$.

Solution: Summing the above inequality over $t = 1, \dots, T$

Question 29: 4 points. Using the properties of the function f and the previous inequality show that for a certain $c \geq 0$, for which you will give the precise expression, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\Big[f\Big(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^T\mathbf{x}_t\Big)\Big] - f(x^{\star}) \leq c \cdot \big(f(x_1) - f(x^{\star})\big).
$$

In addition show that $c \leq 0.9$ when used with $\gamma = \frac{1}{10L}$ and $T = \frac{20L}{\mu}$.

Solution:

②

Averaged SGD for Quadratic Functions

Throughout this exercise we consider minimizing a convex quadratic function:

$$
f(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^\top H \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{q}^\top \mathbf{x},
$$

where $H \in \mathbf{R}^{d \times d}$ is an invertible, symmetric positive semi-definite matrix and $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{R}^d$.

Question 30: 3 points. Show that the function f is convex and that it admits a global minimum x^* on \mathbb{R}^d . Give a closed-form expression for this minimum x^* . Give also a closed-form expression for the excess cost function $f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ depending only on H, x and \mathbf{x}^* . Then give the expression of the gradient of f, first in function of H, x and q and then in function of H, x and x^* .

0 1 2 3

Solution:

- \bullet f is convex since H is symmetric positive semi-definite matrix and it admits a global minimum since it is a convex function 1 point
- By setting the gradient to zero we obtain $\mathbf{x}^* = H^{-1}q$ and $f(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^*)^\top H(\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^*)$ 1 point
- $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = H\mathbf{x} q = H(\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^*)$ 1 point

Or -1 point by mistakes

Now we assume that the true gradient of f is not available and rather that we have access to a noisy oracle for the gradient $\mathbf{g}_t = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t) + \varepsilon_{t+1}$. The noise (ε_t) is assumed to be uncorrelated zero-mean with bounded covariance: $\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_t] = \mathbf{0}, \, \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_{t'}^{\top}] = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ for all $t \neq t'$ and $\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_t^{\top}] \preccurlyeq \sigma^2 H$, where $\sigma \geq 0$.

n is symmetric positive semi-deninie matrix and it admins a global

dient to zero we obtain $\mathbf{x}^* = H^{-1}q$ and $f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*)$
 $= H(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*)$ 1 point
 \vdots
 $= H(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*)$ 1 point
 \vdots
 Question 31: 2 points. Write the stochastic gradient descent iteration with the stochastic gradient g_t with step-size γ , where you will denote the iterate by \mathbf{x}_t . Then writing $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_t := \mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*$, you are asked to state the recursion satisfied by α_t . It should only depend on α_{t-1} , H, ε_t and the step-size γ .

Solution:

•
$$
\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{x}_{t-1} - \gamma g_{t-1} = \mathbf{x}_{t-1} - \gamma H(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} - \mathbf{x}^*) - \gamma \varepsilon_t
$$
 1 point

• $\alpha_t = (I - \gamma H)\alpha_{t-1} - \gamma \varepsilon_t$ 1 point

Question 32: 4 points. Compute a closed-form expression for α_t in function of t, γ, H , the initial iterate α_0 and the noise vectors $(\varepsilon_k)_{k=1}^t$.

0 1 2 3 4

Solution: We can check that

$$
\alpha_t = (I - \gamma H)^t \alpha_0 - \gamma \sum_{i=1}^t (I - \gamma H)^{t-i} \varepsilon_i \tag{4}
$$

Scale: 1 points for the $(I - \gamma H)^t \alpha_0$ term and 3 points for the other. Minus 1 points for mistakes in the indices.

Question 33: 4 points. Prove that $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_t := \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_i$ satisfies:

$$
\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_t = \frac{1}{t}(I - (I - \gamma H)^t)(\gamma H)^{-1}\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0 + \frac{\gamma}{t}\sum_{j=1}^{t-1}(I - (I - \gamma H)^{t-j})(\gamma H)^{-1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j.
$$

You will need the identity $\sum_{k=0}^{t-1} (I - \gamma H)^k = (I - (I - \gamma H)^t)(\gamma H)^{-1}$.

Pour votre examen, imprimez de préférence les documents compilés à l'aide de auto-multiple-choice.

②

0 1 2 3 4

Solution:

$$
\bar{\alpha}_t = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} (I - \gamma H)^k \alpha_0 - \frac{\gamma}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} \sum_{j=1}^k (I - \gamma H)^{k-j} \varepsilon_j
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{t} (I - (I - \gamma H)^t)(\gamma H)^{-1} \alpha_0 - \frac{\gamma}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} \sum_{j=1}^k (I - \gamma H)^{k-j} \varepsilon_j \text{ using the formula given in the exercise (1 point)}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{t} (I - (I - \gamma H)^t)(\gamma H)^{-1} \alpha_0 - \frac{\gamma}{t} \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} (\sum_{k=j}^{t-1} (I - \gamma H)^{k-j}) \varepsilon_j \text{ inverting the two sums (1 point)}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{t} (I - (I - \gamma H)^t)(\gamma H)^{-1} \alpha_0 - \frac{\gamma}{t} \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} (\sum_{k=0}^{t-1} (I - \gamma H)^k) \varepsilon_j \text{ changing the indices (1 point)}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{t} (I - (I - \gamma H)^t)(\gamma H)^{-1} \alpha_0 - \frac{\gamma}{t} \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} (I - (I - \gamma H)^{t-j})(\gamma H)^{-1} \varepsilon_j \text{ using again the formula (1 point)}
$$

Question 34: 4 points.

Using the properties given on the noise ε_t and the expressions obtained above compute the value of

 $\mathbb{E}[(\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_t)^{\top}H\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_t].$

 \vert ₀ 1 2 3 4

Solution:

$$
\frac{1}{t}(I - (I - \gamma H)^{t})(\gamma H)^{-1}\alpha_{0} - \frac{\gamma}{t}\sum_{j=1}^{t-1}(I - (I - \gamma H)^{t-j})(\gamma H)^{-1}\varepsilon_{j} \text{ using again the formula (1 point\n
$$
\text{stion } 34: \text{ 4 points.}
$$
\nUsing the properties given on the noise } \varepsilon_{t} \text{ and the expressions obtained above compute the value}
$$
\n
$$
\mathbb{E}[(\bar{\alpha}_{t})^{\top}H\bar{\alpha}_{t}].
$$
\n
$$
\Box_{0} \Box_{1} \Box_{2} \Box_{3} \blacksquare_{4}
$$
\n
$$
\text{ution:}
$$
\n
$$
\mathbb{E}[(\bar{\alpha}_{t})^{\top}H\bar{\alpha}_{t}] = \mathbb{E} \left\|H^{1/2}[\frac{1}{t}(I - (I - \gamma H)^{t})(\gamma H)^{-1}\alpha_{0} - \frac{\gamma}{t}\sum_{j=1}^{t-1}(I - (I - \gamma H)^{t-j})(\gamma H)^{-1}\varepsilon_{j}]\right\|^{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \mathbb{E} \left\|H^{1/2}[\frac{1}{t}(I - (I - \gamma H)^{t})(\gamma H)^{-1}\alpha_{0}]\right\|^{2}
$$
\n
$$
+ \mathbb{E} \left\|H^{1/2}[\frac{1}{t}\sum_{j=1}^{t-1}(I - (I - \gamma H)^{t-j})(\gamma H)^{-1}\varepsilon_{j}]\right\|^{2} \text{ using that } \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_{j}] = 0 \quad (1 \text{ point})
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\gamma t}\alpha_{0}^{\top}(I - (I - \gamma H)t)^{2}(t\gamma H)^{-1}\alpha_{0} + \frac{\gamma^{2}}{t^{2}}\sum_{j=1}^{t-1}\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_{j}^{\top}(I - (I - \gamma H)^{t-j})^{2}(\gamma H)^{-2}H\varepsilon_{j}]
$$
\n
$$
\text{since } \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_{j}\varepsilon_{i}^{\top}] = 0 \quad (1 \text{ point})
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\gamma t}\alpha_{0}^{\top}(I - (I - \gamma H)t)^{2}(t\gamma H)^{-1}\alpha_{0} + \frac{
$$

1 point for the correct bias term and 1 point for the correct variance term

Question 35: 4 points. Using that $\frac{(1-(1-u)^t)^2}{tu}$ $\frac{(1-u)^t)^2}{tu} \leq 1$ for all $u \in [0,1]$, give an upper bound on $\mathbb{E}[(\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_t)^{\top} \boldsymbol{H} \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_t]$, which only depends on γ , α_0 , σ^2 , t and the dimension d.

0 1 2 3 4

②

Solution:

 $\mathbb{E}[(\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_t)^{\top}H\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_t]\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ $\frac{1}{\gamma t}\|\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{t^2}$ t^2 $\sum_{ }^{t-1}$ $j=1$ $tr[(I - (I - \gamma H)^{t-j})^2 H^{-1} \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_j \varepsilon_j^{\top}]]$ using the inequality given in the question 1 point \leq $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{\gamma t}\|\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{t^2}$ t^2 $\sum_{ }^{t-1}$ $j=1$ $tr[H^{-1}\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_j\varepsilon_j^{\top}]]$ using that $I - (I - \gamma H)^{t-j} \preccurlyeq I$ 1 point \leq $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{\gamma t}\|\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{t^2}$ t^2 $\sum_{ }^{t-1}$ $j=1$ $\sigma^2 d$ using that $\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_j \varepsilon_j^\top] \preccurlyeq \sigma^2 H$ 1 point \leq $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{\gamma t} \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0\|_2^2 + \frac{\sigma^2 d}{t}$ $\frac{a}{t}$ 1 point

- Question 36: 2 points. Give two differences between the convergence result you just proved and the classical result known for SGD on strongly convex functions.
	- $\overline{}_0$ $\overline{}_1$ $\overline{}_2$

Solution:

- We obtain a $O(1/t)$ convergence rate independant of the strong convexity constant. The matrix H can be as badly conditioned as we want.
- We obtain this result for a constant step-size γ . We do not have to make it decrease with the number of iterations or the time horizon
- \bullet We obtain an explicit dependancy on the dimension d .
- 2

 t) convergence rate independant of the strong convexity constant. This

induction as we want.

sult for a constant step-size γ . We do not have to make it decrease v

e time horizon

licit dependancy on the dimen Question 37: 4 points (BONUS, optional question). Prove the inequality $\frac{(1-(1-u)^t)^2}{tu}$ $\frac{(1-u)^{2}}{tu} \leq 1$, for all $u \in [0,1]$. (We have used this in Question 35. Previous questions are not necessary to prove the inequality.)

Solution: Since $u \in [0,1]$, we have that $1-(1-u)^t \leq 1$. We will then show that $(1-(1-u)^t) \leq tu$. We first note that $t(1-(1-u)^{t-1}) \leq t$. Then by integrating the two sides between 0 and u we get $1-(1-u)^t \leq tu$.

②

PROJESSI

②

PROJESSI

②

