

Variants

Past, Present, and Future

Stellar Science

David Sankel - 5/10/2016 - C++Now 2016

Timeline

- 2004. Boost.Variant released in Boost 1.31.0.
- 2016. `std::variant` recommended for C++17 by LEWG.
- 2020. Language-based variants in C++20?

Motivating Examples

A PersonId has a name or a "John Doe" index, but not both.

```
class PersonId {  
public:  
    std::string getName();  
    int getJohnDoeId();  
  
    // etc.  
private:  
    bool m_hasName;  
    std::string m_name;  
    int m_johnDoeId;  
};
```

Document Undefined Behavior

```
class PersonId {  
public:  
    // Behavior is undefined unless 'hasName() == true'.  
    std::string getName()  
  
    // Behavior is undefined unless 'hasJohnDoeId() == true'.  
    int getJohnDoeId();  
  
    bool hasName() { return m_hasName; }  
    bool hasJohnDoeId() { return !m_hasName; }  
  
    // etc.  
};
```

Problems with this approach

- No compiler help for checking preconditions
- Wasted space
- Error-prone Boilerplate

Another example

```
struct command {  
    virtual std::ostream& put(std::ostream&)=0;  
    virtual ~command();  
};  
  
struct set_score : command {  
    std::ostream& put(std::ostream&) override final;  
    double value;  
};
```

```
struct command {
    enum type { SET_SCORE, FIRE_MISSILE, FIRE_LASER, ROTATE } ;
    virtual type getType()=0;
    virtual ~command();
};

struct set_score : command {
    type getType() { return SET_SCORE; } override final;
    double value;
};
```

```
template<typename LeafData>
struct BinaryTreeNode {
    // etc.
};

template<typename LeafData>
struct BinaryTreeBranch : BinaryTreeNode<LeafData> {
    std::unique_ptr<BinaryTreeNode<LeafData>> left;
    std::unique_ptr<BinaryTreeNode<LeafData>> right;
    // etc.
};

template<typename LeafData>
struct BinaryTreeLeaf : BinaryTreeNode<LeafData> {
    LeafData data;
    // etc.
};
```

And vs. or for combining types

struct has one of X *and* one of Y

```
struct S {  
    X x;  
    Y y;  
};
```

variant has one of X *or* one of Y

```
variant<X, Y>
```

PersonId

```
class PersonId {  
public:  
    std::string getName();  
    int getJohnDoeId();  
  
    // etc.  
private:  
    bool m_hasName;  
    std::string m_name;  
    int m_johnDoeId;  
};
```

Now

```
using PersonId = variant<std::string, int>;
```

Command

```
struct command {  
    enum type { SET_SCORE, FIRE_MISSILE, FIRE_LASER, ROTATE };  
    virtual type getType();  
};  
  
struct set_score : command {  
    type getType() { return SET_SCORE; } override final;  
    double value;  
};
```

Now

```
struct set_score {  
    double value;  
};  
using command = variant<set_score, fire_missile, fire_laser, rotate>;
```

The Many Names of Variant

- *or* type
- Sum type (\oplus)
- Discriminated union (\sqcup)
- Algebraic Data Type (ADT) " | " operator
- "One of" type

Variants vs. Inheritance

Inheritance	Variant
open to new alternatives	closed to new alternatives
closed to new operations	open to new operations
multi-level	single level
OO	functional
complex	simple

Review Boost.Variant

Creation and Assignment

```
// Default constructs to first alternative.  
boost::variant<std::string, int> v;  
  
// Assignment to alternative types.  
v = 3;  
v = "Hello World";
```

Extract a Value with get

```
void output(const boost::variant<std::string, int>& v)
{
    if(std::string const * const s = boost::get<std::string>(&v))
        std::cout << "I got a string: " << *s << std::endl;
    else
        std::cout << "I got an int: " << boost::get<int>(v) << std::endl;
}
```

Extract a Value with a visitor

```
struct OutputVisitor
{
    using result_type = void;
    void operator()(const std::string& s) const {
        std::cout << "I got a string: " << s << std::endl;
    }
    void operator()(const int i) const {
        std::cout << "I got an int: " << i << std::endl;
    }
};

void output(const boost::variant<std::string, int>& v)
{
    boost::apply_visitor(OutputVisitor(), v);
}
```

Use get or visitor?

Visitor benefits:

- Compile-time guarantee that all cases are handled.

Get benefits:

- Localization.
- Succinct syntax.

12 Years of Experience

Newtypes

```
using command = boost::variant<set_score,  
                           fire_missile,  
                           fire_laser,  
                           rotate>;
```

Typedefs are problematic:

- Lack of forward declaration hurts compilation times.
- Error messages unreadable.
- Unique type better matches intended semantics.

Use inheritance

```
struct command : boost::variant<set_score,
                           fire_missile,
                           fire_laser,
                           rotate>
{
    template <typename T,
              typename X = disable_if_same_or_derived<command, T>>
    command(T&& t);

    command(const command& original);

    command(command&& original);
};
```

Wrap it.

Recursion

```
template<typename LeafData>
struct BinaryTreeNode {
    // etc.
};

template<typename LeafData>
struct BinaryTreeBranch : BinaryTreeNode<LeafData> {
    std::unique_ptr<BinaryTreeNode<LeafData>> left;
    std::unique_ptr<BinaryTreeNode<LeafData>> right;
    // etc.
};

template<typename LeafData>
struct BinaryTreeLeaf : BinaryTreeNode<LeafData> {
    LeafData data;
    // etc.
};
```

Recursion

```
template<typename Tree>
struct BinaryTreeBranch{
    Tree left;
    Tree right;
};

template<typename LeafData>
using BinaryTree = typename boost::make_recursive_variant<
    LeafData,
    BinaryTreeBranch<boost::recursive_variant_>
>::type;
```

Direct Recursion

```
template <typename LeafData>
struct BinaryTree;

template <typename LeafData>
struct BinaryTreeBranch {
    std::shared_ptr<BinaryTree<LeafData>> left;
    std::shared_ptr<BinaryTree<LeafData>> right;
};

template <typename LeafData>
struct BinaryTree {
    using Value = boost::variant<LeafData, BinaryTreeBranch<LeafData>>;
    Value value;
};
```

The assignment problem

```
boost::variant<A, B> v = A( /*...*/ );  
v = B( /*...*/ );
```

What happens on the second line?

Boost.Variant move constructor exception solution

- Copy-construct the content of the left-hand side to the heap; call the pointer to this data backup.
- Destroy the content of the left-hand side.
- Copy-construct the content of the right-hand side in the (now-empty) storage of the left-hand side.
- In the event of failure, copy backup to the left-hand side storage.
- In the event of success, deallocate the data pointed to by backup.

Workarounds

- Ensure all types are no-throw copy constructable.
- Ensure one type is no-throw default constructable.
- Always use `boost::blank` as the first alternative for variant types.

`std::variant`

- Axel Naumann (`axel@cern.ch`)
- P0088R2
- Implementations
 - Anthony Williams: <https://bitbucket.org/anthonyw/variant>
 - Michael Park: <https://github.com/mpark/variant>

Change 1: apply_visitor renamed

```
struct OutputVisitor
{
    void operator()(const std::string& s) const {
        std::cout << "I got a string: " << s << std::endl;
    }
    void operator()(const int i) const {
        std::cout << "I got an int: " << i << std::endl;
    }
};

void output(const std::variant<std::string, int>& v)
{
    std::visit(OutputVisitor(), v);
}
```

Change 2: get reworked

```
void output(const std::variant<std::string, int>& v)
{
    if(std::string const * const s = std::get_if<std::string>(&v))
        std::cout << "I got a string: " << *s << std::endl;
    else
        std::cout << "I got an int: " << std::get<int>(v) << std::endl;
}
```

Change 3: index-based access.

```
void output(const std::variant<std::string, int>& v)
{
    if(std::string const * const s = std::get_if<0>(&v) )
        std::cout << "I got a string: " << *s << std::endl;
    else
        std::cout << "I got an int: " << std::get<1>(v) << std::endl;
}
```

Change 4: duplicated entries

```
std::variant<std::string, std::string> v1;  
std::variant<std::size_t, unsigned> v2;
```

Change 5: No special recursion support

```
template <typename LeafData>
struct BinaryTree;

template <typename LeafData>
struct BinaryTreeBranch {
    std::shared_ptr<BinaryTree<LeafData>> left;
    std::shared_ptr<BinaryTree<LeafData>> right;
};

template <typename LeafData>
struct BinaryTree {
    using Value = std::variant<LeafData, BinaryTreeBranch<LeafData>>;
    Value value;
};
```

Change 6: boost::blank renamed to std::monostate

Change 7: allocation at assignment removed

Alternative 1: Explicit empty

- If an exception is thrown on assignment, put variant into the empty state.
- A default constructed variant is in the empty state.

Pros:

- Predictable space usage
- "Teachable"

Cons:

- Error-prone
- Complex semantics

Alternative 2: Double Buffer

- Never-empty guarantee.
- Use double buffering to recover in case of throw on assignment.
- Use single buffering for "friendly" types.

Pros:

- Simple semantics.
- Never-empty is what most situations need.

Cons:

- Difficult to predict and control space requirements.
- High price for exceedingly rare situation.

What we got: Rarely Empty

- Empty state called `valueless_by_exception`.
- If an exception is thrown on assignment, put variant into the `valueless_by_exception` state.
- "friendly" types cannot get into the `valueless_by_exception` state.

Pros:

- Predictable space usage
- "Teachable"
- Simple semantics in normal usage
- Consensus

Cons:

- Complexity in exceptional case.

Are 'valueless_by_exception` variants really insulated from the non-exception handling code?

`std::variant`

- Mostly incremental improvements over Boost.Variant.
- Handling of exceptions on assignment is the big change.
- Targeted by LEWG for C++17.

Language support for variant.

What it looks like

```
// This lvariant implements a value representing the various
// commands available in a hypothetical shooter game.
lvariant command {
    std::size_t      set_score;      // Set the score to value.
    std::monostate fire_missile;   // Fire a missile.
    unsigned         fire_laser;     // Fire a laser with the specified
                                    // intensity.
    double          rotate;        // Rotate the ship by the specified
                                    // degrees.
};
```

Creation of Alternatives

```
// Create a new command 'cmd' that sets the score to '10'.  
command cmd = command::set_score( 10 );
```

Basic Pattern Matching

```
// Output a human readable string corresponding to the specified 'cmd'  
// command to the specified 'stream'.  
std::ostream& operator<<( std::ostream& stream, const command cmd ) {  
    inspect( cmd ) {  
        set_score value =>  
            stream << "Set the score to " << value << ".\n";  
        fire_missile m =>  
            stream << "Fire a missile.\n";  
        fire_laser intensity =>  
            stream << "Fire a laser with " << intensity << " intensity.\n";  
        rotate degrees =>:  
            stream << "Rotate by " << degrees << " degrees.\n";  
    }  
}
```

Is a library solution sufficient?

```
using command = std::variant<
    std::size_t,           // Set the score to the specified value.
    std::monostate,        // Fire a missile.
    unsigned,              // Fire a laser with the specified intensity.
    double                 // Rotate the ship by the specified degrees.
>;
```

- Two visit options:
 - type based (`std::get<T>(some_command)` where T is a type and `apply_visitor` style functions)
 - index based (`std::get<n>(some_command)` where n is a number)

Index based visit is nasty

```
// huh?  
std::get<3>( some_command );
```

So maybe...

```
const std::size_t set_score = 0;  
const std::size_t fire_missle = 1;  
const std::size_t fire_laser = 2;  
const std::size_t rotate = 3;
```

- More boilerplate.
- Error prone.

Type based visit is nasty

```
using command = std::variant<
    std::size_t,           // Set the score to the specified value.
    std::monostate,        // Fire a missile.
    unsigned,              // Fire a laser with the specified intensity.
    double                 // Rotate the ship by the specified degrees.
>;
```

What is `std::get<unsigned>`?

- Does it compile?
- Does it give me the `set_score` alternative?
- Is it platform dependant?

All answers are bad.

Type based visit is nasty

So use tags as types...

```
struct set_score { std::size_t value; };  
struct fire_missile {};  
struct fire_laser { unsigned intensity; };  
struct rotate { double degrees; };  
using command = std::variant<  
    set_score,  
    fire_missile,  
    fire_laser,  
    rotate,  
>;
```

- More boilerplate.
- Introduction of types that *may not make sense in isolation*.

struct/tuple connection

We could use tuples instead of structs everywhere.

```
// point type as a struct
struct point {
    double x;
    double y;
    double z;
};

// point type as a tuple
using point = std::tuple< double, double, double >;
```

The `std::tuple` version has all the same problems as the indexed variant though.

So use type-based dispatch then...

```
struct x { double value; };  
struct y { double value; };  
struct z { double value; };  
  
using point = std::tuple< x, y, z >;
```

- What is an x?
- Would anyone recommend doing this instead of using a struct?
- I'm not saying that tuples are *never* useful.

Invariants relate very closely to structs.

```
// copoint type as lvariant
lvariant copoint {
    double x;
    double y;
    double z;
};

// copoint type as a variant
using copoint = std::variant< double, double, double >;
```

Same problems...

Same reasoning...

```
struct x { double value; };  
struct y { double value; };  
struct z { double value; };  
  
using copoint = std::variant< x, y, z >;
```

- What is an x?
- Would anyone recommend doing this instead of using an lvariant?
- I'm not saying that std::variants are *never* useful.

A sampling of std::variant problems:

- Unhelpful error messages.
- Ugly visitor code.
- Portability issues.

```
// Is this code future proof? Not likely.  
using database_handle = std::variant<ORACLE_HANDLE, BERKELEY_HANDLE>;
```

Variants are a simple and common need, but an library-only solution is too complex.

Our proposal...a basic language-based variant.

```
lvariant json_value {  
    std::map<std::string, json_value> object;  
    std::vector<json_value> array;  
    std::string string;  
    double number;  
    bool boolean;  
    std::monostate null_;  
};
```

Pattern matching is closely tied to Invariants

```
switch( cmd ) {  
    set_rotation r => // ...  
    set_position {0.0, 0.0} =>  
        // handle the origin case specially...  
    set_position {x, y} =>  
        // handle other cases ...  
}
```

Pattern match integrals and enums

```
inspect(i) {  
    0 =>  
        std::cout << "Can't say I'm positive or negative on this syntax."  
                    << std::endl;  
    6 =>  
        std::cout << "Perfect!" << std::endl;  
    _ =>  
        std::cout << "I don't know what to do with this." << std::endl;  
}
```

Pattern match structs

```
struct player {  
    std::string name;  
    int hitpoints;  
    int coins;  
};  
  
void log_player( const player & p ) {  
    inspect(p) {  
        {n,h,c}  
        => std::cout << n << " has " << h << " hitpoints and "  
            << c << " coins.";  
    }  
}
```

```
void get_hint( const player & p ) {
    inspect(p) {

        {hitpoints:1}
        => std::cout << "You're almost dead. Quit!" << std::endl;

        {hitpoints:10, coins:10}
        => std::cout << "I need the hints from you!" << std::endl;

        {coins:10}
        => std::cout << "Get more hitpoints!" << std::endl;

        {hitpoints:10}
        => std::cout << "Get more ammo!" << std::endl;
    }
}
```

How can we enable types to opt-in?

```
template <class T1, class T2>
class pair {
    T1 m_first;
    T2 m_second;

public:
    // etc.

operator extract(std::tuple_element<T1> x, std::tuple_element<T2> y) {
    x.set( &this->first );
    y.set( &this->second );
}
};
```

Variants: Past, Present, and Future

Related posts at davidsankel.com.

Questions?

Stellar Science

David Sankel - 5/10/2016 - C++Now 2016

Extra Slides

Overload

- Vicente Escriba
- P0051R1

```
void output(const std::variant<std::string, int>& v)
{
    return std::visit(
        std::overload(
            [] (const std::string & s) {
                std::cout << "I got a string: " << s << std::endl;
            },
            [] (const int i) {
                std::cout << "I got an int: " << i << std::endl;
            } ),
        v );
}
```

Visitors with extra arguments.

```
std::ostream &put(std::ostream &, const BinaryTree<int> &tree);  
  
struct Put {  
    using result_type = std::ostream &;  
  
    std::ostream &operator()(std::ostream &out, int i) const {  
        return out << i;  
    }  
  
    std::ostream &operator()(  
        std::ostream &out,  
        const BinaryTreeBranch<int> &branch) const {  
        out << "B(";  
        put(out, *branch.left) << ' ';  
        put(out, *branch.right) << ')';  
    }  
};
```