

Geometric realization from irrelevant deformations induced by the stress-energy tensor

Feng Hao^{1*}, Xiyang Ran^{1†} and Masatoshi Yamada^{1§}

¹*Center for Theoretical Physics and College of Physics, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China*

E-mails: *haofeng22@mails.jlu.edu.cn, †ranxy23@mails.jlu.edu.cn, §yamada@jlu.edu.cn.

Abstract

In this paper, we generalize the deformations driven by the stress-energy tensor T and investigate their relation to the flow equation for the background metric at the classical level. For a deformation operator \mathcal{O} as a polynomial function of the stress-energy tensor, we develop a formalism that relates a deformed action to a flow equation for the metric in arbitrary spacetime dimensions. It is shown that in the $T\bar{T}$ deformation and the $\mathcal{O}(T) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}]^m$ deformation, the flow equations for the metric allow us to directly obtain exact solutions in closed forms. We also demonstrate the perturbative approach to find the same results. As several applications of the $\mathcal{O}(T) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}]^m$ deformation, we discuss the relation between the deformations and gravitational models. Besides, the deformations of Lagrangians for scalar field theories are performed.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Flow equation for metric from polynomial deformation	4
2.1	Introduction of auxiliary fields and flow equation for metric	4
2.2	Applications	6
2.2.1	Review: $T\bar{T}$ -deformation	7
2.2.2	$\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}]^3$ case	8
3	Solutions to flow equation for metric	8
3.1	The flow equation for stress-energy tensor	9
3.2	Exact solutions	10
3.2.1	$T\bar{T}$ deformation case	10
3.2.2	$\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}]^m$ case	11
3.3	Perturbative method	12
4	Applications of $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}]^m$ deformation	14
4.1	Relation to gravity	14
4.2	Deformed Lagrangian	16
4.2.1	Free massless boson in arbitrary dimensions	16
4.2.2	Interactive massive boson in $d = 2$	17
5	Conclusions	18
A	Auxiliary field method in $T\bar{T}$ deformation within the path integral	19

1 Introduction

The $T\bar{T}$ deformation [1, 2] is a well-known irrelevant deformation for two-dimensional Euclidean quantum field theory. It is triggered by the following irrelevant composite operator [3]:

$$\mathcal{O}(T) = -\det[\mathbf{T}] = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\rho}\epsilon_{\nu\sigma}T^{\mu\nu}T^{\rho\sigma}, \quad (1.1)$$

where the $T^{\mu\nu}$ is the stress-energy tensor whose matrix form is denoted by \mathbf{T} , and $\epsilon_{\mu\nu}$ is the Levi-Civita symbol with $\epsilon_{12} = 1$. The deformation by Eq. (1.1) exhibits many remarkable properties. For instance, in the deformed theory, one can exactly compute various physical quantities such as the finite-volume spectrum [1, 2], entanglement entropy [4–10], the S -matrix [2, 11–14], and the partition function on a torus [15–19]. Furthermore, the deformed theory may be related various topics in theoretical physics such as holography [20–26], string theory [13, 27–32] and quantum gravity [17, 32–36]. See, e.g, review [37] on the $T\bar{T}$ deformation.

In addition to these properties, another remarkable aspect of the $T\bar{T}$ deformation is a deep connection with spacetime geometry. From a viewpoint of the field-theory side, the zweibein formalism allows us to reveal that a field theory with the $T\bar{T}$ deformation term in 2 dimensional spacetime is rewritten as the original theory coupled to a 2 dimensional Jackiw-Teitelboim (JT)-like gravity [17, 32, 33, 35, 36]. Thus, in the geometric approach, the $T\bar{T}$ -deformed theory can be considered as the field theory coupled to a random geometry [15] instead of the deformation of the theory in a dynamical coordinate system [33, 38–40].

On the other hand, the extension of the notion of the $T\bar{T}$ deformation has also attracted our attention. A marginal deformation inspired by the $T\bar{T}$ deformation is called the root- $T\bar{T}$ deformation [41, 42] in which it was pointed out that the flow obtained from the root- $T\bar{T}$ deformation is closely related to the ModMax theory. In the holographic perspective, the generalized notion of the $T\bar{T}$ deformation provides the cutoff condition on AdS_{d+1} in the bulk coordinate [23, 24]. In the deformed Lagrangian perspective, the deformation of the free scalar field theory is related to the scalar sector in the Dirac-Born-Infeld action in d -dimensional spacetime [43]. It was shown in Ref. [44] that the deformation operator $\det[\mathbf{T}]^{1/d-1}$ can be used to solve the Lagrangian in closed form.

In Refs. [45, 46], the vielbein formalism for deformed field theories has been discussed. The deformation triggered by a certain class of operators involves the change of the background metric in higher dimensions [47]. We call this fact “geometric realization”. Furthermore, when matter fields couple to Einstein gravity, the deformation could transform Einstein gravity to another type of gravity [48]. Because of such attractive features, therefore, it is fascinating to investigate the geometric perspective in the generalized notion of the $T\bar{T}$ deformation.

In this work, we mainly focus on the background metric deformation in a deformed action triggered by an operator. It is shown that imposing the dynamical equivalence of actions with respect to the matter field infers the existence of the flow equation for the metric $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ as a function of the deformation parameter. The flow equations could exhibit exact solutions in cases of the $T\bar{T}$ and the deformation operator $\mathcal{O}(T) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}]^m$. In particular, the solution for the metric in the latter deformation might be interpreted as a Weyl transformation. Motivated by Ref. [48], we consider the relation between the deformed action coupled to Einstein gravity and the undeformed action coupled to $f(R)$ gravity. Finally, we discuss how the deformation

by the operator $\mathcal{O}(T) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}]^m$ deforms the Lagrangian for a free massless boson in arbitrary spacetime dimensions and an interactive boson in 2 dimensional spacetime.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. 2, we consider the deformation operator \mathcal{O}_τ is a polynomial function of the stress-energy tensor. In Sec. 2.1, we derive the flow equation for the metric from the deformation by introducing auxiliary fields. In Sec. 2.2, we give the $T\bar{T}$ deformation and $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}]^3$ as two examples to demonstrate the method to introduce the auxiliary fields and the derivation of the flow equations for the metric. In Sec. 3, we solve the flow equation for the metric. In Sec. 3.1, we give the derivation of the flow equation for the stress-energy tensor in order to solve the flow equation for the metric. In Sec. 3.2, we consider the $T\bar{T}$ deformation and the $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^m$ deformation and find exact solutions for the metric. In Sec. 3.3, we introduce the perturbative method to solve the flow equations as another procedure in finding their solutions. In Sec. 4, we discuss several applications of $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^m$. In Sec. 4.1, we demonstrate the relation between Eisenstein gravity and $f(R)$ gravity within the deformation. In Sec. 4.2, we study the deformed Lagrangian both free massless boson and interactive massive boson. In Appendix A, we introduce the details of the auxiliary field method in the $T\bar{T}$ deformation within the path integral formalism.

2 Flow equation for metric from polynomial deformation

In this section, we aim to derive the flow equation for the metric from the deformation. To this end, we start with the deformed action such that

$$\frac{\partial S_\tau[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}]}{\partial \tau} = \int d^d x \sqrt{\bar{g}} \mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau), \quad (2.1)$$

where ϕ and $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ denote the unspecified matter fields and a curved spacetime background metric in the Euclidean signature, respectively. Here a deformation operator $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)$ is a function of the stress-energy tensor defined as

$$T_\tau^{\mu\nu} = \frac{-2}{\sqrt{\bar{g}}} \frac{\delta S_\tau[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}]}{\delta \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}}, \quad (2.2)$$

with a deformation parameter τ to be positive. In this work, we assume the deformation operator to be a polynomial function of the stress-energy tensor. Note that ϕ and $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ are independent of τ .

For later convenience, we write Eq. (2.1) equivalently as

$$S_{\tau+\delta\tau}[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}] = S_\tau[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}] + \delta\tau \int d^d x \sqrt{\bar{g}} \mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau), \quad (2.3)$$

which is the first order expansion for infinitesimal $\delta\tau$.

2.1 Introduction of auxiliary fields and flow equation for metric

Let us describe the general idea how to obtain the flow equation for the metric. First, we introduce auxiliary fields such that Eq. (2.3) is decomposed into the following form:

$$\widehat{S}_{\tau+\delta\tau}[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, h_i] = S_\tau[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}] + \delta\tau \int d^d x \sqrt{\bar{g}} (\mathcal{F}[h_i] + T_\tau^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}[h_i]), \quad (2.4)$$

where h_i are auxiliary fields. The number of auxiliary fields depends on the power of T_τ in $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)$. For instance, for $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{g}_{\rho\sigma}T_\tau^{\mu\nu}T_\tau^{\rho\sigma}$, an auxiliary field should be introduced, while in case of $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{g}_{\rho\sigma}\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}T_\tau^{\mu\nu}T_\tau^{\rho\sigma}T_\tau^{\alpha\beta}$, we need 2 auxiliary fields. Here, $\mathcal{F}[h_i]$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}[h_i]$ are quadratic functions of h_i . Note that the starting action (2.3) is reproduced from the action (2.4) with the on-shell values h_i^* which are solutions to the equation of motion for h_i

$$\left. \frac{\delta \widehat{S}_{\tau+\delta\tau}[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, h_i]}{\delta h_j} \right|_{h_j=h_j^*} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}[h_i]}{\partial h_j} + T_\tau^{\mu\nu} \left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}[h_i]}{\partial h_j} \right|_{h_j=h_j^*} = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

See Appendix A for the auxiliary field method within the path integral formalism in case of $T\bar{T}$ deformation. We consider the first variation for the action (2.4) with respect to the matter field,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta \widehat{S}_{\tau+\delta\tau}[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, h_j]}{\delta \phi} &= \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi} \left(S_\tau[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}] + \delta\tau \int d^d x \sqrt{\bar{g}} T_\tau^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}[h_i] \right) \\ &= \frac{\delta S_\tau[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} - 2\delta\tau \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}]}{\delta \phi}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where we have used the fact that $\mathcal{F}[h_i]$ is independent of ϕ in the first line and in the second line we have employed the definition of stress-energy tensor (2.2).

Finally, we impose that the equation of motion for the matter fields are equivalent between Eqs. (2.2) and (2.4) with the on-shell value h_i^* , i.e.,

$$\left. \frac{\delta \widehat{S}_{\tau+\delta\tau}[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, h_i]}{\delta \phi} \right|_{h_i=h_i^*} = \frac{\delta S_{\tau+\delta\tau}[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}]}{\delta \phi}. \quad (2.7)$$

We should stress here that the variation of $\widehat{S}_{\tau+\delta\tau}[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, h_i]$ with respect to ϕ is performed before substituting the on-shell value h_i^* into h_i . Together with Eq. (2.6), the condition (2.7) implies

$$\frac{\delta S_{\tau+\delta\tau}[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}]}{\delta \phi} = \left. \frac{\delta S_\tau[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} - 2\delta\tau \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}[h_i]]}{\delta \phi} \right|_{h_i=h_i^*}. \quad (2.8)$$

In terms of the matter field dynamics, the infinitesimal deformed action (2.3) is equivalent to the deformation of the background metric so that $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + \delta g_{\mu\nu}(\tau)$ with $\delta g_{\mu\nu}(\tau) = -2\delta\tau \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}[h_i]$. Hence, we arrive at the flow equation of the metric

$$\frac{dg_{\mu\nu}}{d\tau} = -2\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}[h_i^*]. \quad (2.9)$$

For specific deformation operator \mathcal{O}_τ , we can find the explicit form of $\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}[h_i^*]$. Nonetheless, we can show that the form of $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mu\nu}[h_j^*]$ is given by a function of the stress-energy tensor in the

general case. To see this fact, we write the left-hand side of Eq. (2.8) as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta S_{\tau+\delta\tau}[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}]}{\delta\phi(x)} &= \frac{\delta S_{\tau}[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}]}{\delta\phi} + \delta\tau \frac{\delta}{\delta\phi} \int d^d x \sqrt{\bar{g}} \mathcal{O}_{\tau}(T_{\tau}) \\ &= \frac{\delta S_{\tau}[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}]}{\delta\phi(x)} + \delta\tau \left[\sqrt{\bar{g}} \frac{\partial T_{\tau}^{\mu\nu}(x)}{\partial\phi(x)} \frac{\partial \mathcal{O}_{\tau}(T_{\tau})}{\partial T_{\tau}^{\mu\nu}(x)} + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \partial_{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_i} \left(\sqrt{\bar{g}} \frac{\partial T_{\tau}^{\mu\nu}(x)}{\partial(\partial_{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_i} \phi(x))} \frac{\partial \mathcal{O}_{\tau}(T_{\tau})}{\partial T_{\tau}^{\mu\nu}(x)} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

while the right-hand side of Eq. (2.8) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta S_{\tau}[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} - 2\delta\tau \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}[h_i]]}{\delta\phi(x)} \Big|_{h_i=h_i^*} &= \frac{\delta}{\delta\phi(x)} \left(S_{\tau}[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}] + \delta\tau \int d^d x \sqrt{\bar{g}} T_{\tau}^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}[h_i] \right) \Big|_{h_i=h_i^*} \\ &= \frac{\delta S_{\tau}[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}]}{\delta\phi(x)} + \delta\tau \left[\sqrt{\bar{g}} \frac{\partial T_{\tau}^{\mu\nu}(x)}{\partial\phi(x)} \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}[h_i^*] + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \partial_{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_i} \left(\sqrt{\bar{g}} \frac{\partial T_{\tau}^{\mu\nu}(x)}{\partial(\partial_{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_i} \phi(x))} \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}[h_i^*] \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Comparing between Eqs. (2.10) and (2.11), we obtain

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}[h_i^*] = \frac{\partial \mathcal{O}_{\tau}(T_{\tau})}{\partial T_{\tau}^{\mu\nu}}. \quad (2.12)$$

Thus, we finally arrive at the flow equation of the metric triggered by $\mathcal{O}_{\tau}(T_{\tau})$ such that

$$\frac{dg_{\mu\nu}}{d\tau} = -2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{O}_{\tau}(T_{\tau})}{\partial T_{\tau}^{\mu\nu}}. \quad (2.13)$$

To summarize, we have shown that introducing the auxiliary fields in the form of Eq. (2.4) reveals a dynamical equivalence between the deformed action and the deformed background spacetime metric, namely, we have

$$S_{\tau+\delta\tau}[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}] \simeq S_{\tau} \left[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{dg_{\mu\nu}}{d\tau} \delta\tau \right], \quad (2.14)$$

where symbol \simeq denotes the dynamical equivalence with respect to matter fields. Here and hereafter, we drop the explicit τ -dependent notation in $g_{\mu\nu}$. Eq. (3.1) indicates that the deformation of the action (the left-hand side) corresponds to the deformation of the background spacetime metric (the right-hand side). From this fact, we can read off the flow equation for the metric so as to be Eq. (2.13). An advantage of our formula (2.13) is that one can directly obtain the flow equation of the metric from the dependence of the deformation operators on the stress-energy tensor, i.e., one does not have to obtain the explicit solutions to the equations of motions for the auxiliary fields.

In the following subsections, we demonstrate the derivation of the flow equation for the metric in several explicit deformation operators.

2.2 Applications

In this subsection, we apply Eq. (2.13) for the $T\bar{T}$ -deformation in two-dimensional spacetime and the deformation by the operator $\mathcal{O}_{\tau}(T_{\tau}) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_{\tau}]^3$ in arbitrary spacetime dimensions.

2.2.1 Review: $T\bar{T}$ -deformation

First, we consider the well-known $T\bar{T}$ -deformation which is triggered by the deformation operator $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{g}_{\rho\sigma} - \bar{g}_{\mu\rho}\bar{g}_{\nu\sigma})T_\tau^{\mu\nu}T_\tau^{\rho\sigma}$. The deformed action is given by

$$S_{\tau+\delta\tau}[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}] = S_\tau[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}] + \frac{\delta\tau}{2} \int d^2x \sqrt{\bar{g}} (\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{g}_{\rho\sigma} - \bar{g}_{\mu\rho}\bar{g}_{\nu\sigma}) T_\tau^{\mu\nu} T_\tau^{\rho\sigma}. \quad (2.15)$$

Using Eq. (2.13), we obtain the flow equation of the metric as

$$\frac{dg_{\mu\nu}}{d\tau} = -2(\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{g}_{\rho\sigma} - \bar{g}_{\mu\rho}\bar{g}_{\nu\sigma}) T_\tau^{\rho\sigma}. \quad (2.16)$$

This result was shown in Ref. [47] by using the auxiliary field method.

To see the auxiliary field method for the $T\bar{T}$ -deformation, let us trace the its process explicitly. Since the deformation operator involves the quadratic form of the stress-energy tensor, an auxiliary field $h_{\mu\nu}$ should be introduced such that the deformed action is written as¹

$$\widehat{S}_{\tau+\delta\tau}[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, h_{\mu\nu}] = S_\tau[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}] + \delta\tau \int d^2x \sqrt{\bar{g}} \left[c(\bar{g}^{\mu\nu}\bar{g}^{\rho\sigma} - \bar{g}^{\mu\rho}\bar{g}^{\nu\sigma}) h_{\mu\nu} h_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} T_\tau^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu} \right], \quad (2.17)$$

where c is an undetermined constant. Solving the equation of motion for $h_{\mu\nu}$, i.e.,

$$\left. \frac{\delta \widehat{S}_{\tau+\delta\tau}[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, h_{\mu\nu}]}{\delta h_{\mu\nu}} \right|_{h_{\mu\nu}=h_{\mu\nu}^*} = 0, \quad (2.18)$$

we obtain the on-shell value

$$h_{\mu\nu}^* = \frac{1}{4c} (\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{g}_{\rho\sigma} - \bar{g}_{\mu\rho}\bar{g}_{\nu\sigma}) T_\tau^{\rho\sigma}. \quad (2.19)$$

Next, from the equivalence between Eqs. (2.15) and (2.17) in terms of the equation of motion for ϕ , (see Eq. (2.7)), we have

$$\left. \frac{\delta S_{\tau+\delta\tau}[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}]}{\delta \phi} \right|_{h_{\mu\nu}=h_{\mu\nu}^*} = \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi} \left(S_\tau[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}] - \frac{1}{2} \delta\tau \int d^2x \sqrt{\bar{g}} T_\tau^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu} \right) \Big|_{h_{\mu\nu}=h_{\mu\nu}^*}. \quad (2.20)$$

Note here that the on-shell value has to be taken after the functional derivative with respect to ϕ . Comparing the coefficients, one can find $c = -\frac{1}{8}$. Then, the flow equation for the metric reads

$$\frac{dg_{\mu\nu}}{d\tau} = h_{\mu\nu}^* = -2(\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{g}_{\rho\sigma} - \bar{g}_{\mu\rho}\bar{g}_{\nu\sigma}) T_\tau^{\rho\sigma}, \quad (2.21)$$

This agrees with Eq.(2.16) obtained from Eq. (2.13).

¹In Appendix A, we exhibit the explicit way of the introduction of the auxiliary field for the $T\bar{T}$ -deformed action (2.15) within the path integral formalism.

2.2.2 $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}]^3$ case

Next, let us consider the deformation triggered by $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^3$ and derive the flow equation for the metric. In such a case, the deformed action is given by

$$S_{\tau+\delta\tau}[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}] = S_\tau[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}] + \delta\tau \int d^d x \sqrt{\bar{g}} \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^3. \quad (2.22)$$

Here, we do not specify spacetime dimension d in which the mass dimension of the deformation parameter is not 2. The use of Eq. (2.13) yields

$$\frac{dg_{\mu\nu}}{d\tau} = -6\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^2 \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}. \quad (2.23)$$

Note that this result do not depend on the spacetime dimension.

Finally, we briefly comment on the auxiliary field method for the present case. Two auxiliary fields $h_{\mu\nu}$ and $E_{\mu\nu}$ need to be introduced due to the cubic form of the stress-energy tensor. Thus, the deformed action with the auxiliary fields takes a form as

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{S}_{\tau+\delta\tau}[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, h_{\mu\nu}, E_{\mu\nu}] &= S_\tau[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}] \\ &+ \delta\tau \int d^d x \sqrt{\bar{g}} \left(a_1 \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} \bar{g}^{\rho\sigma} \bar{g}_{ab} h_{\mu\nu} h_{\rho\sigma} T^{ab} + a_2 \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} \bar{g}^{\rho\sigma} \bar{g}^{ab} h_{\mu\nu} E_{\rho\sigma} E_{ab} + \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} \bar{g}^{\rho\sigma} \bar{g}_{ab} h_{\mu\nu} E_{\rho\sigma} T^{ab} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

with undetermined constants a_1 and a_2 . This implies that the functions introduced in Eq. (2.4) read

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}[h_{\mu\nu}, E_{\mu\nu}] &= a_2 \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} \bar{g}^{\rho\sigma} \bar{g}^{ab} h_{\mu\nu} E_{\rho\sigma} E_{ab}, \\ \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}[h_{\mu\nu}, E_{\mu\nu}] &= \left(a_1 \bar{g}^{ab} \bar{g}^{\rho\sigma} h_{ab} h_{\rho\sigma} + \bar{g}^{ab} \bar{g}^{\rho\sigma} h_{ab} E_{\rho\sigma} \right) \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

Following the process in Sec. 2.1, we can obtain the same equation as Eq. (2.23).

3 Solutions to flow equation for metric

In this section, we aim to give several solutions to the flow equation for the metric. To this end, we need to have the flow equation for the stress-energy tensor. In this process, it is convenient to rewrite the flow equation for the metric by the transformations $\delta\tau \rightarrow -\delta\tau$ and $\tau \rightarrow \tau + \delta\tau$ for which we have

$$S_\tau[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}] \simeq S_{\tau+\delta\tau} \left[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{dg_{\mu\nu}}{d\tau} \delta\tau \right], \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\frac{dg_{\mu\nu}}{d\tau} = 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{O}_\tau[T_\tau]}{\partial T_\tau^{\mu\nu}}. \quad (3.2)$$

In this form, Eq. (3.1) implies that the deformation of the action and of the metric does not change the original action if the metric obeys the flow equation (3.2).

In Section 3.1, we derive the flow equation for the stress-energy tensor utilizing Eq. (3.2). In Section 3.2, we consider the deformations by the $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \mathbb{T}\bar{\mathbb{T}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^m$ operators. In these cases, the flow equations can be solved exactly. It turns out that some general properties of the deformation driven by the stress-energy tensor preserve even if the deformation operator is different. In Sec 3.3, we deal with the deformation by $\mathcal{O}_\tau = a_m \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}]^m$ to demonstrate the perturbative method algorithm.

3.1 The flow equation for stress-energy tensor

The discussions in Sec. 2 focus on how to obtain the flow equation for the metric from the deformation. The deformation operator $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)$ contains $T_\tau^{\mu\nu}$, so that we also need to have the flow equation for the stress-energy tensor to solve Eq. (3.2). The flow equation for the stress-energy tensor is given by

$$\frac{dT_\tau^{\mu\nu}}{d\tau} = (g^{\mu\nu}T_\tau^{\rho\sigma} - g^{\rho\sigma}T_\tau^{\mu\nu}) \frac{\partial\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)}{\partial T_\tau^{\rho\sigma}} - g^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) - 2\frac{\partial\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}. \quad (3.3)$$

Note here that $g_{\mu\nu}$ in Eq. (3.3) depends on the deformation parameter τ .

Let us now show the derivation of this flow equation. Our starting point is the deformed action in the right-hand side of Eq. (3.1), i.e.,

$$S_{\tau+\delta\tau} \left[\phi, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{dg_{\mu\nu}}{d\tau} \delta\tau \right] \equiv S_{\tau'} [\phi, g_{\mu\nu}(\tau')], \quad (3.4)$$

where $\tau' = \tau + \delta\tau$. Thus, both the action $S_\tau[\phi, g_{\mu\nu}]$ and the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ depend on the deformation parameter τ' . Hereafter, we omit the prime on the deformation parameter. Following Ref. [26], we suppose that the functional derivative $\frac{\delta}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}$ commutes with $\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}$ when those act on the deformed action at any value of τ . Hence, we impose

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} S_\tau[\phi, g_{\mu\nu}] = \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} \frac{\delta}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} S_\tau[\phi, g_{\mu\nu}]. \quad (3.5)$$

From Eqs. (2.2) and (2.2), the right-hand-side of Eq. (3.5) is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} \frac{\delta}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} S_\tau[\phi, g_{\mu\nu}] = -\frac{\sqrt{g}}{2} \frac{\partial T_\tau^{\mu\nu}}{\partial\tau}, \quad (3.6)$$

while the left-hand-side of Eq.(3.5) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} S_\tau[\phi, g_{\mu\nu}] &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g} \mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) g^{\mu\nu} + \sqrt{g} \left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} + \frac{\partial\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)}{\partial T_\tau^{\rho\sigma}} \frac{\partial T_\tau^{\rho\sigma}}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g} \mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) g^{\mu\nu} + \sqrt{g} \frac{\partial\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g} (T_\tau^{\mu\nu} g^{\rho\sigma} - g^{\mu\nu} T_\tau^{\rho\sigma}) \frac{\partial\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)}{\partial T_\tau^{\rho\sigma}} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g} \frac{dg_{\rho\sigma}}{d\tau} \frac{\partial T_\tau^{\mu\nu}}{\partial g_{\rho\sigma}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Here, we have used Eq. (3.2) and the identity

$$\frac{\partial T_\tau^{\rho\sigma}}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\rho\sigma} T_\tau^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} T_\tau^{\rho\sigma} + \frac{\partial T_\tau^{\mu\nu}}{\partial g_{\rho\sigma}}. \quad (3.8)$$

Because the total derivative of $T_\tau^{\mu\nu}$ is given by

$$\frac{dT_\tau^{\mu\nu}}{d\tau} = \frac{\partial T_\tau^{\mu\nu}}{\partial \tau} + \frac{dg_{\rho\sigma}}{d\tau} \frac{\partial T_\tau^{\mu\nu}}{\partial g_{\rho\sigma}}, \quad (3.9)$$

we find Eq. (3.3) by combining Eqs. (3.6) and (3.7).

In summary, we obtain the flow equations corresponding to the deformation operator $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)$ as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dg_{\mu\nu}}{d\tau} = 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{O}_\tau[T_\tau]}{\partial T_\tau^{\mu\nu}}, \\ \frac{dT_\tau^{\mu\nu}}{d\tau} = (g^{\mu\nu} T_\tau^{\rho\sigma} - g^{\rho\sigma} T_\tau^{\mu\nu}) \frac{\partial \mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)}{\partial T_\tau^{\rho\sigma}} - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) - 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}. \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

We solve both Eqs. (3.2) and (3.3) in the next subsection.

3.2 Exact solutions

As we demonstrate in this subsection, the flow equation (3.10) gives simple solutions in the $T\bar{T}$ deformation [26, 47] and the $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^m$ deformation where m is a positive integer.

3.2.1 $T\bar{T}$ deformation case

For the $T\bar{T}$ deformation, i.e., $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \det[\mathbf{T}_\tau] = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^2 - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau^2]$, the corresponding flow equations from Eq. (3.10) read [26, 47]

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dg_{\mu\nu}}{d\tau} = 2 \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau] g_{\mu\nu} - 2T_{\mu\nu,\tau}, \\ \frac{dT_{\mu\nu,\tau}}{d\tau} = -2T_{\mu\nu,\tau}^2 + \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau] T_{\mu\nu,\tau} + \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^2 - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau^2] \right) g_{\mu\nu}. \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

Here, we have abbreviated the products of any tensor $A_{\mu\nu}$ as

$$A_{\mu\nu}^k \equiv A_{\mu a_1} g^{a_1 a_2} A_{a_2 a_3} \dots A_{a_{2k-4} a_{2k-3}} g^{a_{2k-3} a_{2k-2}} A_{a_{2k-2} \nu}. \quad (3.12)$$

Using Eq. (3.11), we can see that

$$\frac{d^3 g_{\mu\nu}}{d\tau^3} = \frac{d^2 \hat{T}_{\mu\nu,\tau}}{d\tau^2} = 0, \quad (3.13)$$

where we have defined $\hat{T}_{\mu\nu,\tau} = 2 \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau] g_{\mu\nu} - 2T_{\mu\nu,\tau}$ and used the relation: $\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau^2] g_{\mu\nu} = 2T_{\mu\nu,\tau}^2 + \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^2 g_{\mu\nu} - 2 \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau] T_{\mu\nu,\tau}$. Eq. (3.13) means that if we expand $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\hat{T}_{\mu\nu,\tau}$ as

$$\begin{cases} g_{\mu\nu}|_{\tau+\Delta\tau} = g_{\mu\nu}|_\tau + \frac{dg_{\mu\nu}}{d\tau} \Big|_\tau \Delta\tau + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 g_{\mu\nu}}{d\tau^2} \Big|_\tau \Delta\tau^2 + \dots, \\ \hat{T}_{\mu\nu,\tau+\Delta\tau} = \hat{T}_{\mu\nu,\tau} + \frac{d\hat{T}_{\mu\nu,\tau}}{d\tau} \Delta\tau + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 \hat{T}_{\mu\nu,\tau}}{d\tau^2} \Delta\tau^2 + \dots, \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

these series stop at the quadratic order and then the solutions are easily found to be

$$\begin{cases} g_{\mu\nu}|_{\tau+\Delta\tau} = g_{\mu\nu}|_{\tau} + \widehat{T}_{\mu\nu,\tau}\Delta\tau + \frac{1}{4}\widehat{T}_{\mu\nu,\tau}^2\Delta\tau^2, \\ \widehat{T}_{\mu\nu,\tau+\Delta\tau} = \widehat{T}_{\mu\nu,\tau} + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{T}_{\mu\nu,\tau}^2\Delta\tau. \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

These results are consistent with Ref. [26]. In addition, we notice that

$$\frac{d^3\sqrt{g}}{d\tau^3} = 2\frac{d}{d\tau}\left(\sqrt{g}\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)\right) = 0, \quad (3.16)$$

where we have used the fact $\frac{d\det[g]}{d\tau} = \det[g]g^{\mu\nu}\frac{dg_{\mu\nu}}{d\tau}$. The fact in Eq. (3.16) implies that the combination $\sqrt{g}\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)$ is invariant under the $T\bar{T}$ deformation. Thus, we get a closed form of \sqrt{g} as

$$\sqrt{g}|_{\tau+\Delta\tau} = \sqrt{g}|_{\tau} \left(1 + \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]\Delta\tau + \mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)\Delta\tau^2\right). \quad (3.17)$$

3.2.2 $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^m$ case

Next, we consider another case: the $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = a_m\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^m$ deformation in general d spacetime dimensions. Here, a_m is a coupling constant for a fixed m . From Eq. (3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dg_{\mu\nu}}{d\tau} = 2m \cdot a_m\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^{m-1}g_{\mu\nu}, \\ \frac{dT_\tau^{\mu\nu}}{d\tau} = -ma_m(d+2)\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^{m-1}T_\tau^{\mu\nu} + (m-1)a_m\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^m g^{\mu\nu}, \end{cases} \quad (3.18)$$

from which the flow equation for $\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]$ becomes

$$\frac{d\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]}{d\tau} = -da_m\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^m. \quad (3.19)$$

For the initial value of deformation parameter τ at $\tau = 0$, the solution to the flow equation (3.19) is

$$\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^{1-m} = (m-1)da_m\tau + \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_0]^{1-m}, \quad (3.20)$$

where $\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_0]$ stands for the value of $\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]$ at $\tau = 0$. Substituting this equation into Eq. (3.18), the flow equation for $g_{\mu\nu}$ is written in the form of

$$\frac{dg_{\mu\nu}}{d\tau} = \frac{2ma_m}{(m-1)da_m\tau + \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_0]^{1-m}}g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (3.21)$$

Its solution reads

$$g_{\mu\nu}|_{\tau} = \left(1 + \frac{(m-1)d}{2m} \cdot 2ma_m\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_0]^{m-1}\tau\right)^{\frac{2m}{d(m-1)}} g_{\mu\nu}|_{\tau=0}, \quad (3.22)$$

We note that the effect of the deformation operator $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = a_m \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^m$ on the metric might be interpreted as a Weyl transformation with a factor $\Omega(\tau) = (1 + (m-1)da_m \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_0]^{m-1} \tau)^{\frac{m}{2(m-1)}}$.

Now, inspired by Eq. (3.16), let us explore whether or not a similar aspect is given in the case of the deformation by $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^m$. To do so, from Eq. (3.10) for $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)$, we have

$$\frac{d\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]}{d\tau} = -d\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) + (dT_\tau^{\mu\nu} - \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]g^{\mu\nu}) \frac{\partial\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)}{\partial T_\tau^{\mu\nu}}, \quad (3.23)$$

where we have used the identity

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)}{\partial T_\tau^{\mu\nu}} T_\tau^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\partial\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} g_{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (3.24)$$

Next, the derivative of $\sqrt{g}\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)$ with respect to τ yields

$$\frac{d(\sqrt{g}\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau))}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{g}g^{\mu\nu} \frac{dg_{\mu\nu}}{d\tau} \mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) + \sqrt{g} \frac{d\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)}{d\tau} = 0. \quad (3.25)$$

Finally, we arrive at the relation $\sqrt{g}\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \sqrt{g}\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)|_{\tau=0}$. Thus, the combination $\sqrt{g}\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)$ is invariant under the deformation. Indeed, in the derivation above, we have not specified the form of the deformation operator \mathcal{O}_τ . Hence, we find that the same fact still holds not only the case of $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^m$, but also any deformation operators. Note that using Eqs. (3.23) and (3.10), we obtain

$$\frac{d(\sqrt{g}\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau])}{d\tau} = d(m-1)\sqrt{g}\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau), \quad (3.26)$$

from which the following relation is derived

$$\sqrt{g}\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau] = d(m-1)\sqrt{g}\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)|_{\tau=0} \cdot \tau + \sqrt{g}\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]|_{\tau=0}. \quad (3.27)$$

3.3 Perturbative method

As shown in the previous subsection, we have obtained the exact solutions to the flow equation for the metric in the cases of $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = T\bar{T}$ and $\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^m$. In most cases, however, we cannot integrate Eq. (3.10) to obtain the closed flow for deformed metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ as in Sec. 3.2.2. In such situations, we may be able to solve Eq. (3.10) in the perturbative method based on the expansion (3.14). In this subsection, we demonstrate the perturbative approach for solving $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = a_m \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^m$ again as an example and show that the same result as Eq. (3.22) is actually reproduced.

For simplicity, we set $a_m = 1$ so that $\mathcal{O}_\tau = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^m$. Then, Eq. (3.18) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dg_{\mu\nu}}{d\tau} = \hat{T}_{\mu\nu,\tau}, \\ \frac{d\hat{T}_{\mu\nu,\tau}}{d\tau} = \hat{T}_{\mu\nu,\tau}^2 + \alpha_\tau \hat{T}_{\mu\nu,\tau} + \beta_\tau g_{\mu\nu}, \end{cases} \quad (3.28)$$

where $\hat{T}_{\mu\nu,\tau} = 2m \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^{m-1} g_{\mu\nu}$. Here, the coefficients α_τ and β_τ have been defined as

$$\alpha_\tau = -(m^2 - m)d\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^{m-1}, \quad \beta_\tau = 2m(m-1)^2 d\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^{2m-2}, \quad (3.29)$$

which obey the flow equations from Eq. (3.18) as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\alpha_\tau}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{m}\alpha_\tau^2, \\ \frac{d\beta_\tau}{d\tau} = \frac{2}{m}\alpha_\tau\beta_\tau. \end{cases} \quad (3.30)$$

Let us expand the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ in terms of the deformation parameter as

$$g_{\mu\nu}|_\tau = g_{\mu\nu}|_{\tau=0} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}|_{\tau=0}}{n!} \tau^n, \quad (3.31)$$

where the coefficients $g_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}|_{\tau=0} = \left. \frac{d^n g_{\mu\nu}}{d\tau^n} \right|_{\tau=0}$. Now, we suppose that each $g_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}$ is given by some combinations of $g_{\mu\nu}|_\tau$ and $\widehat{T}_{\mu\nu,\tau}^k$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$), i.e., we give

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}|_\tau = c_0^{(n)} g_{\mu\nu}|_\tau + \sum_{k=1}^n c_k^{(n)} \widehat{T}_{\mu\nu,\tau}^k, \quad (3.32)$$

where coefficients $c_k^{(n)}$ ($0 \leq k \leq n$) depend on α_τ and β_τ . The condition $g_{\mu\nu}^{(n+1)} = \frac{dg_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}}{d\tau}$ ensures us to obtain the following recursion relations:

$$\begin{cases} c_0^{(n+1)} = \frac{dc_0^{(n)}}{d\tau} + c_1^{(n)}\beta, \\ c_k^{(n+1)} = c_{k-1}^{(n)} + \frac{dc_k^{(n)}}{d\tau} + c_k^{(n)}k\alpha + c_{k+1}^{(n)}(k+1)\beta, \quad (1 \leq k \leq n-1), \\ c_n^{(n+1)} = c_{n-1}^{(n)} + c_n^{(n)}n\alpha + \frac{dc_n^{(n)}}{d\tau}, \\ c_{n+1}^{(n+1)} = c_n^{(n)}, \end{cases} \quad (3.33)$$

where the derivative of $c_k^{(n)}$ ($0 \leq k \leq n$) with respect to τ is given by the chain rule

$$\frac{dc_k^{(n)}}{d\tau} = \frac{\partial c_k^{(n)}}{\partial \alpha} \frac{d\alpha}{d\tau} + \frac{\partial c_k^{(n)}}{\partial \beta} \frac{d\beta}{d\tau}. \quad (3.34)$$

From Eq. (3.28), the initial values for $c_k^{(n)}$ ($0 \leq k \leq n$) are simply given by

$$c_0^{(1)} = 0, \quad c_1^{(1)} = 1, \quad c_0^{(2)} = \beta, \quad c_1^{(2)} = \alpha, \quad c_2^{(2)} = 1, \quad (3.35)$$

which lead to the solutions for each $g_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}$ as

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}|_{\tau=0} = -(-1)^n \left(\frac{2m}{m-1} \right)^{1-n} d^{-1+n} \text{Po} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{(m-1)d}, -1+n \right) \left(2m \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_0]^{m-1} \right)^n, \quad (3.36)$$

where $\text{Po}(x, y)$ is the Pochhammer function. Summing out all $g_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}$ in the expansion of Eq. (3.31), we obtain

$$g_{\mu\nu}|_{\tau} = \left(1 + \frac{(m-1)d}{2m}\right) 2ma_m \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}]^{m-1} \tau^{\frac{2m}{(m-1)d}} g_{\mu\nu}|_{\tau=0}, \quad (3.37)$$

which is the same as Eq. (3.22).

To summarize, the flow equations (3.11) are the universal forms in any deformation case. In special cases such as $\mathcal{O}_{\tau}(T_{\tau}) = T\bar{T}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\tau}(T_{\tau}) = a_m \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}]^m$, the flow equation for the metric is directly solvable. Even if the direct solution is not found, we can employ the perturbative method to find the solution $g_{\mu\nu}$ to the flow equation.

Finally, we comment on the perturbative approach to find the solution to the flow equation. In the case of $\mathcal{O}_{\tau}(T_{\tau}) = a_m \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}]^m$ discussed above, we have assumed Eq. (3.32). However, this assumption does not always work for other cases. In general, it is difficult to get the recursion relation like Eq. (3.33), so that we need to evaluate $g_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}|_{\tau=0}$ order by order.

4 Applications of $\mathcal{O}_{\tau}(T_{\tau}) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_{\tau}]^m$ deformation

In this section, we discuss several applications of the deformed theory by $\mathcal{O}_{\tau} = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_{\tau}]^m$ in d spacetime dimensions in order to clarify its physical aspects. In subsection 4.1, we argue the relations between the deformed theory by $\mathcal{O}_{\tau} = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_{\tau}]^m$ and gravity. We demonstrate that this deformation can be regarded as a transformation from Einstein-Hilbert gravity to a modified gravity. In subsection 4.2, we consider the deformed Lagrangian for free massless boson in d dimensions and and interactive massive boson in $d = 2$.

4.1 Relation to gravity

It is well-known that the $T\bar{T}$ deformation of the matter field theory in Euclidean $d = 2$ is equivalent to the original matter field theory coupled with a gravity theory [33]:

$$S_{T\bar{T}} = S_0[\Psi, \phi] + \int d^2x \sqrt{g}(\phi R - \Lambda). \quad (4.1)$$

where $S_0[\Psi, \phi]$ is the action for the matter field Ψ and dilaton ϕ , and R is the Ricci scalar curvature. The second term in the right-hand side of Eq. (4.1) is JT-like gravity² which is a topological gravity defined in two dimensions.

It was shown in Ref. [48] that in higher dimensional Minkowski spacetimes, for an undeformed action S_{M, τ_0} , there is a relation

$$S_{M, \tau_0} + S_{G, \kappa} \underset{g_{\mu\nu}}{\simeq} S_{M, \tau_0 + \kappa} + S_{\text{EH}}, \quad (4.2)$$

²The action for JT gravity is given by

$$\int d^2x \sqrt{g} \phi (R - \Lambda).$$

where $S_{M,\tau_0+\kappa}$ is the deformed action with a deformation parameter κ . Here, $S_{G,\kappa} = \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} f(R)$ is the $f(R)$ -gravity action, while $S_{\text{EH}} = \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} R$ for metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein-Hilbert action. Symbol $\simeq_{g_{\mu\nu}}$ denotes the dynamical equivalence for the metric, i.e., the equations of motion for the metric become the same in both sides in Eq. (4.2). More specifically, the deformed-matter field theory is

$$S_{M,\tau_0+\kappa} = S_{M,\tau_0} + \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} \left(f(R) - \frac{\partial f(R)}{\partial R} R \right), \quad (4.3)$$

where we have used the fact that through the equation of motion for the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, the curvature R is related to the stress-energy tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ of the matter field Ψ as

$$2 \frac{\partial f(R)}{\partial R} R - d f(R) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_0]. \quad (4.4)$$

The authors of Ref. [48] also discussed a specific form of $f(R)$ gravity, namely, Starobinsky gravity in $d = 4$ dimensions as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Star},\kappa} = f(R) = \frac{1}{2} R + \frac{\kappa}{4} R^2, \quad (4.5)$$

in which the deformation parameter κ provides the mass dimension. From Eq. (4.4), we obtain

$$2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{Star},\kappa}}{\partial R} R - 4 \mathcal{L}_{\text{Star},\kappa} = -R = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_0]. \quad (4.6)$$

Thus, substituting Eq. (4.6) into Eq. (4.3), a deformed matter field theory is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{M,\tau_0+\kappa} &= S_{M,\tau_0} + \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\text{Star},\kappa} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{Star},\kappa}}{\partial R} R \right) \\ &= S_{M,\tau_0} - \frac{\kappa}{4} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} R^2 \\ &= S_{M,\tau_0} - \frac{\kappa}{4} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_0]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Here, we set $\kappa = a(\tau - \tau_0)$ with a dimensionless coefficient a to rewrite Eq. (4.7) as

$$S_{M,\tau} = S_{M,\tau_0} - \frac{a(\tau - \tau_0)}{4} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^2. \quad (4.8)$$

This corresponds just to the $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^m$ deformation with $m = 2$ which we have discussed in Sec. 3.

To see the implications more explicitly, let us here consider another $f(R)$ gravity in $d = 6$ dimension as

$$f(R) = R + \kappa^2 R^3. \quad (4.9)$$

The first term is the Einstein-Hilbert term, while the second term can be considered as a higher-order modification. Following the same procedures as in Eq. (4.4) and Eq. (4.6), we obtain

$$2\frac{\partial f(R)}{\partial R}R - 6f(R) = -4R = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_0], \quad (4.10)$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} S_{M,\tau_0+\kappa^2}[g_{\mu\nu}, \phi] &= S_{M,\tau} + \int d^6x \sqrt{-g} \left(f(R) - \frac{\partial f(R)}{\partial R}R \right) \\ &= S_{M,\tau_0} - 2\kappa^2 \int d^6x \sqrt{-g} R^3 \\ &= S_{M,\tau_0} + \frac{\kappa^2}{32} \int d^6x \sqrt{-g} \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_0]^3, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

where we have used Eq. (4.10). After the replacement $\kappa^2 \rightarrow \tau - \tau_0$, we see that

$$S_{M,\tau} = S_{M,\tau_0} + \frac{\tau - \tau_0}{32} \int d^6x \sqrt{-g} \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_0]^3. \quad (4.12)$$

Thus, this is the $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^m$ deformation with $m = 3$ that we have discussed in Sec. 3.

From the discussion in this subsection, we conclude that the deformation merely translates the deformation from the gravity side to the field theory side in a composite field theory and a gravity system. If we ignore the contributions from gravity, we return to the scenario discussed in Sec. 3, which is similar to a quantum field theory in curved spacetime because the metric dynamics are absent.

4.2 Deformed Lagrangian

In this subsection, we deal with the deformed Lagrangian for the $\mathcal{O} = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^m$ case. We first discuss the free massless boson in arbitrary spacetime dimensions. We also consider the interactive massive boson case in $d = 2$, and its extension for the potential term.

4.2.1 Free massless boson in arbitrary dimensions

We consider the free massless boson Lagrangian in d dimensions:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\tau=0} = \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi, \quad (4.13)$$

where we work in the Euclidean signature. Using the Noether's theorem, the stress-energy tensor can be written as

$$T_{\mu\nu,\tau} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_\tau}{\partial(\partial^\mu \phi)} \partial_\nu \phi - \delta_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_\tau, \quad (4.14)$$

from which the trace of the stress-energy tensor reads

$$\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau] = (2 - d) \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi. \quad (4.15)$$

In terms of Lagrangian, the deformation (2.1) becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_{\tau+\delta\tau} = \mathcal{L}_\tau + \delta\tau(\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau])^m. \quad (4.16)$$

from which the flow equation for the Lagrangian reads

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}_\tau}{d\tau} = (\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau])^m. \quad (4.17)$$

Substitution of Eq. (4.14) into this equation gives

$$\frac{d(\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi)}{d\tau} = ((2-d)\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi)^m, \quad (4.18)$$

whose solution for \mathcal{L}_τ is found to be

$$\mathcal{L}_\tau = \frac{\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi}{(1+(1-m)(2-d)^m\tau(\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi)^{m-1})^{1/(m-1)}}. \quad (4.19)$$

We note that when $d=2$, the impact of this deformation vanishes.

4.2.2 Interactive massive boson in $d=2$

The undeformed interactive massive boson Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\tau=0} = \partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi - V(\phi), \quad (4.20)$$

where M is the mass parameter. In this case, the trace of the stress-energy tensor is obtained from Eq. (4.14) as

$$\text{tr}[\mathbf{T}] = 2V(\phi). \quad (4.21)$$

The deformation for the Lagrangian is expressed as

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}_{\tau+\delta\tau}}{d\tau} = (2V(\phi))^m, \quad (4.22)$$

while the kinetic term is invariant under the deformation in contrast to Section 4.2.1 (See Eq. (4.18)):

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}(\partial^\mu\phi\partial_\mu\phi) = 0. \quad (4.23)$$

Solving this equation, the expression for the deformed Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_\tau = \partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi - \frac{V(\phi)}{(1+2^m(m-1)\tau(V(\phi))^{m-1})^{1/(m-1)}}. \quad (4.24)$$

This agrees with the result in Ref. [48] in which the flow equation for the metric is used.

5 Conclusions

In this work, we have studied the irrelevant deformation of the action. In particular, we focus on the background metric deformation associated to a deformed action driven by an irrelevant operator at the classical level. There, we have treated the deformation operator as a polynomial function of the stress-energy tensor.

In Sec. 2, we have derived the flow equation for the metric depending on the deformation. To this end, we have used the auxiliary field method. It has been shown that a dynamical equivalence relation (2.8) produces a general formula of the flow equation (2.13) and it gives the appropriate form of the flow equation. In this work, we have considered two examples of the deformation operators for deriving the flow equation: One is the $T\bar{T}$ -deformation; another is the $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^3$ deformation.

In Sec. 3, we have discussed solutions for the flow equation. In cases of the $T\bar{T}$ -deformation and the $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^m$ deformation, we have attempted to solve the flow equations for the metric. To do so, we have derived the flow equation for the stress-energy tensor. By using both the flow equations for the metric and the stress-energy tensor, we have shown the exact solutions in above two cases. See Eqs. (3.15) and (3.22). In order to check consistency, we have also performed a perturbative method for solving the flow equations and confirmed the result in both computations. Note that we have found that the combination $\sqrt{g}\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau)$ is invariant under the deformation; see Eq. (3.25).

In Sec. 4, we have discussed several applications of $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^m$ deformations. It was shown in Ref. [48] that an undeformed matter field coupled to the $f(R)$ -gravity system is dynamically equivalent to a system that a deformed matter field coupled to Einstein gravity. Following this fact, we have argued how the $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^2$ deformation connects Einstein gravity to $f(R) = R + \kappa R^2$ gravity. See Eq. (4.7). Besides, we have shown that the $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^3$ deformation relates the Einstein gravity to $f(R) = R + \kappa^2 R^3$ gravity in $d = 6$ dimensions. See Eq. (4.11). As another application, we have demonstrated that the $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^m$ deformation has a closed form of Lagrangian for free massless boson case in arbitrary spacetime dimensions as given in Eq. (4.19). Furthermore, it has turned out that in the $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^m$ deformation, the interactive massive boson Lagrangian in $d = 2$ takes a similar closed form (4.24).

For future directions, there are still unknown aspects that need to be clarified. Our auxiliary-field formalism works the deformations only in which the deformation operators are polynomial functions of the stress-energy tensor. Thus, it is not clear how auxiliary fields can be introduced in non-polynomial deformations such as the root- $T\bar{T}$ deformation. In addition, the $T\bar{T}$ deformation has application to holographic correspondence [20], whereas it is suspicious whether or not other deformations also have such applications. Besides, we have not quantized the deformed theory by $\mathcal{O}_\tau(T_\tau) = \text{tr}[\mathbf{T}_\tau]^m$, so that quantum effects of this deformation should be clarified.

Acknowledgments

We thank Yue-Peng Guan and Xin-Cheng Mao for their insightful discussions and valuable contributions to this research project. We also thank Ouyang Hao, Bum-hoon Lee and Ken-

taro Yoshida for their valuable insights and comments. The work of M. Y. is supported by the National Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant No. 12205116 and the Seeds Funding of Jilin University.

A Auxiliary field method in $T\bar{T}$ deformation within the path integral

In Sec. 2.2, we have introduced an auxiliary field $h_{\mu\nu}$. In this appendix, we show the introduction of the auxiliary field within the path integral formalism. We start by defining the following 4-vectors

$$\begin{aligned} h_\mu &= (h_{11} \ h_{12} \ h_{21} \ h_{22}), \\ g^\mu &= (g^{11} \ g^{12} \ g^{21} \ g^{22}), \\ g_\mu &= (g_{11} \ g_{12} \ g_{21} \ g_{22}), \\ T_\tau^\mu &= (T_\tau^{11} \ T_\tau^{12} \ T_\tau^{21} \ T_\tau^{22}), \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

and matrices

$$G^{\mu\nu} = g^\mu g^\nu, \quad H^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} g^1 g^1 & g^1 g^2 & g^2 g^1 & g^2 g^2 \\ g^1 g^3 & g^1 g^4 & g^2 g^3 & g^2 g^4 \\ g^3 g^1 & g^3 g^2 & g^4 g^1 & g^4 g^2 \\ g^3 g^3 & g^3 g^4 & g^4 g^3 & g^4 g^4 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.2}$$

and

$$J^{\mu\nu} = G^{\mu\nu} - H^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & g^1 g^4 - g^2 g^2 \\ 0 & g^2 g^2 - g^1 g^4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g^3 g^3 - g^4 g^1 & 0 \\ g^4 g^1 - g^3 g^3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thanks to $g^2 = g^3$, the matrix $G^{\mu\nu}$ and $H^{\mu\nu}$ are symmetric.

Using the notations above, the path integral for $h_{\mu\nu}$ with the $T\bar{T}$ action (2.17) is

$$\begin{aligned} \int \mathcal{D}h_{\mu\nu} e^{-\widehat{S}_\tau + \delta\tau[\phi, g_{\mu\nu}, h_{\mu\nu}]} &= \int \mathcal{D}h_\mu e^{-S_\tau[\phi, g_{\mu\nu}] - \delta\tau \int d^2x \sqrt{g} [ch_\mu^\text{T}(G^{\mu\nu} - H^{\mu\nu})h_\nu - \frac{1}{2}T_\tau^{\mu\text{T}}h_\mu]} \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}h_\mu e^{-S_\tau[\phi, g_{\mu\nu}]} \prod_{dx} e^{-\delta\tau \sqrt{g} [ch_\mu^\text{T}(G^{\mu\nu} - H^{\mu\nu})h_\nu - \frac{1}{2}T_\tau^{\mu\text{T}}h_\mu]}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

Because the matrix $G^{\mu\nu} - H^{\mu\nu}$ is symmetric, we can introduce an orthogonal matrix \mathcal{O}_μ^ν to diagonalize $J^{\mu\nu}$ such that

$$J^{\mu\nu} = (\mathcal{O}_\rho^\mu)^\text{T} (G^{\rho\sigma} - H^{\rho\sigma}) \mathcal{O}_\sigma^\nu = \mathcal{O}_\rho^\mu (G^{\rho\sigma} - H^{\rho\sigma}) \mathcal{O}_\sigma^\nu, \tag{A.4}$$

whose eigenvalues are J^μ . Thus, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Eq. (A.3)} &= e^{-S_\tau[\phi, g_{\mu\nu}]} \prod_{dx} \int \mathcal{D}h_\mu \det[\mathcal{O}] e^{-c\delta\tau\sqrt{g}\sum_\mu J^\mu h_\mu^2 + \frac{1}{2}c\delta\tau\sqrt{g}T^{\nu T}\mathcal{O}_{\nu^\mu}h_\mu} \\
&= e^{-S_\tau[\phi, g_{\mu\nu}]} \frac{1}{(2c\delta\tau\sqrt{g})^2} \prod_{dx} \int \mathcal{D}h_\mu e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_\mu J^\mu h_\mu^2 + \sqrt{\frac{c\delta\tau\sqrt{g}}{8}}T^{\nu T}\mathcal{O}_{\nu^\mu}h_\mu} \\
&= e^{-S_\tau[\phi, g_{\mu\nu}]} \frac{1}{(2c\delta\tau\sqrt{g})^2} \prod_{dx} \left(\frac{(2\pi)^4}{\det[J^{\mu\nu}]} \right)^{1/2} e^{\frac{1}{2}\frac{c\delta\tau\sqrt{g}}{8}\frac{2}{g^1g^4-g^2g^2}(T^1T^4-T^2T^2)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

Comparing with Eq. (A.1), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Eq. (A.5)} &= e^{-S_\tau[\phi, g_{\mu\nu}]} \frac{(2\pi)^2}{(2c\delta\tau)^2} \prod_{dx} e^{\frac{c}{8}\delta\tau\sqrt{g}(g_{\mu\nu}g_{\rho\sigma}-g_{\mu\rho}g_{\nu\sigma})T_\tau^{\mu\nu}T_\tau^{\rho\sigma}} \\
&= \text{const} \times e^{-S_\tau[\phi, g_{\mu\nu}]} e^{\frac{c}{8}\delta\tau \int d^2x \sqrt{g}(g_{\mu\nu}g_{\rho\sigma}-g_{\mu\rho}g_{\nu\sigma})T_\tau^{\mu\nu}T_\tau^{\rho\sigma}} \\
&= \text{const} \times e^{-(S_\tau[\phi, g_{\mu\nu}]-\frac{c}{8}\delta\tau \int d^2x \sqrt{g}(g_{\mu\nu}g_{\rho\sigma}-g_{\mu\rho}g_{\nu\sigma})T_\tau^{\mu\nu}T_\tau^{\rho\sigma})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

Once we neglect the overall constant, we obtain the $T\bar{T}$ -deformed action. Thus, the auxiliary action (2.17) is a equivalent description of the $T\bar{T}$ deformation.

References

- [1] A. Cavaglià, S. Negro, I. M. Szécsényi and R. Tateo, *$T\bar{T}$ -deformed 2D Quantum Field Theories*, *JHEP* **10** (2016) 112, [[1608.05534](#)].
- [2] F. A. Smirnov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *On space of integrable quantum field theories*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **915** (2017) 363–383, [[1608.05499](#)].
- [3] A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Expectation value of composite field T anti- T in two-dimensional quantum field theory*, [hep-th/0401146](#).
- [4] W. Donnelly and V. Shyam, *Entanglement entropy and $T\bar{T}$ deformation*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** (2018) 131602, [[1806.07444](#)].
- [5] B. Chen, L. Chen and P.-X. Hao, *Entanglement entropy in $T\bar{T}$ -deformed CFT*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 086025, [[1807.08293](#)].
- [6] C. Murdia, Y. Nomura, P. Rath and N. Salzetta, *Comments on holographic entanglement entropy in TT deformed conformal field theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) 026011, [[1904.04408](#)].
- [7] T. Ota, *Comments on holographic entanglements in cutoff AdS*, [1904.06930](#).
- [8] A. Banerjee, A. Bhattacharyya and S. Chakraborty, *Entanglement Entropy for TT deformed CFT in general dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **948** (2019) 114775, [[1904.00716](#)].

- [9] H.-S. Jeong, K.-Y. Kim and M. Nishida, *Entanglement and Rényi entropy of multiple intervals in $T\bar{T}$ -deformed CFT and holography*, *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) 106015, [1906.03894].
- [10] M. He, J. Hou and Y. Jiang, *$T\bar{T}$ -deformed entanglement entropy for IQFT*, *JHEP* **03** (2024) 056, [2306.07784].
- [11] L. Castillejo, R. H. Dalitz and F. J. Dyson, *Low's scattering equation for the charged and neutral scalar theories*, *Phys. Rev.* **101** (1956) 453–458.
- [12] G. Mussardo and P. Simon, *Bosonic type S matrix, vacuum instability and CDD ambiguities*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **578** (2000) 527–551, [hep-th/9903072].
- [13] S. Dubovsky, R. Flauger and V. Gorbenko, *Solving the Simplest Theory of Quantum Gravity*, *JHEP* **09** (2012) 133, [1205.6805].
- [14] S. Dubovsky, V. Gorbenko and M. Mirbabayi, *Natural Tuning: Towards A Proof of Concept*, *JHEP* **09** (2013) 045, [1305.6939].
- [15] J. Cardy, *The $T\bar{T}$ deformation of quantum field theory as random geometry*, *JHEP* **10** (2018) 186, [1801.06895].
- [16] S. Datta and Y. Jiang, *$T\bar{T}$ deformed partition functions*, *JHEP* **08** (2018) 106, [1806.07426].
- [17] S. Dubovsky, V. Gorbenko and G. Hernández-Chifflet, *$T\bar{T}$ partition function from topological gravity*, *JHEP* **09** (2018) 158, [1805.07386].
- [18] S. He, Y. Sun and Y.-X. Zhang, *$T\bar{T}$ -flow effects on torus partition functions*, *JHEP* **09** (2021) 061, [2011.02902].
- [19] S. He and H. Shu, *Correlation functions, entanglement and chaos in the $T\bar{T}/J\bar{T}$ -deformed CFTs*, *JHEP* **02** (2020) 088, [1907.12603].
- [20] L. McGough, M. Mezei and H. Verlinde, *Moving the CFT into the bulk with $T\bar{T}$* , *JHEP* **04** (2018) 010, [1611.03470].
- [21] P. Kraus, J. Liu and D. Marolf, *Cutoff AdS_3 versus the $T\bar{T}$ deformation*, *JHEP* **07** (2018) 027, [1801.02714].
- [22] W. Cottrell and A. Hashimoto, *Comments on $T\bar{T}$ double trace deformations and boundary conditions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **789** (2019) 251–255, [1801.09708].
- [23] M. Taylor, *$T\bar{T}$ deformations in general dimensions*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **27** (2023) 37–63, [1805.10287].
- [24] T. Hartman, J. Kruthoff, E. Shaghoulian and A. Tajdini, *Holography at finite cutoff with a T^2 deformation*, *JHEP* **03** (2019) 004, [1807.11401].

- [25] P. Caputa, S. Datta and V. Shyam, *Sphere partition functions \& cut-off AdS*, *JHEP* **05** (2019) 112, [1902.10893].
- [26] M. Guica and R. Monten, *$T\bar{T}$ and the mirage of a bulk cutoff*, *SciPost Phys.* **10** (2021) 024, [1906.11251].
- [27] S. Dubovsky, R. Flauger and V. Gorbenko, *Effective String Theory Revisited*, *JHEP* **09** (2012) 044, [1203.1054].
- [28] M. Caselle, D. Fioravanti, F. Gliozzi and R. Tateo, *Quantisation of the effective string with TBA*, *JHEP* **07** (2013) 071, [1305.1278].
- [29] M. Baggio and A. Sfondrini, *Strings on NS-NS Backgrounds as Integrable Deformations*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 021902, [1804.01998].
- [30] C. Chen, P. Conkey, S. Dubovsky and G. Hernández-Chifflet, *Undressing Confining Flux Tubes with $T\bar{T}$* , *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 114024, [1808.01339].
- [31] S. Chakraborty, A. Giveon and D. Kutasov, *$T\bar{T}$, $J\bar{T}$, $T\bar{J}$ and String Theory*, *J. Phys. A* **52** (2019) 384003, [1905.00051].
- [32] A. J. Tolley, *$T\bar{T}$ deformations, massive gravity and non-critical strings*, *JHEP* **06** (2020) 050, [1911.06142].
- [33] S. Dubovsky, V. Gorbenko and M. Mirbabayi, *Asymptotic fragility, near AdS_2 holography and $T\bar{T}$* , *JHEP* **09** (2017) 136, [1706.06604].
- [34] L. V. Iliesiu, J. Kruthoff, G. J. Turiaci and H. Verlinde, *JT gravity at finite cutoff*, *SciPost Phys.* **9** (2020) 023, [2004.07242].
- [35] S. Okumura and K. Yoshida, *$T\bar{T}$ -deformation and Liouville gravity*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **957** (2020) 115083, [2003.14148].
- [36] S. Ebert, C. Ferko, H.-Y. Sun and Z. Sun, *$T\bar{T}$ in JT Gravity and BF Gauge Theory*, *SciPost Phys.* **13** (2022) 096, [2205.07817].
- [37] Y. Jiang, *A pedagogical review on solvable irrelevant deformations of 2D quantum field theory*, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **73** (2021) 057201, [1904.13376].
- [38] R. Conti, S. Negro and R. Tateo, *The $T\bar{T}$ perturbation and its geometric interpretation*, *JHEP* **02** (2019) 085, [1809.09593].
- [39] J. Cardy, *$T\bar{T}$ deformation of correlation functions*, *JHEP* **12** (2019) 160, [1907.03394].
- [40] S. Hirano and M. Shigemori, *Conformal field theory on $T\bar{T}$ -deformed space and correlators from dynamical coordinate transformations*, *JHEP* **07** (2024) 190, [2402.08278].
- [41] C. Ferko, A. Sfondrini, L. Smith and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, *Root- $T\bar{T}$ Deformations in Two-Dimensional Quantum Field Theories*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129** (2022) 201604, [2206.10515].

- [42] H. Babaei-Aghbolagh, K. Babaei Velni, D. Mahdavian Yekta and H. Mohammadzadeh, *Marginal TT^- -like deformation and modified Maxwell theories in two dimensions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **106** (2022) 086022, [2206.12677].
- [43] C. Ferko, Y. Hu, Z. Huang, K. Koutrolikos and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, *$T\bar{T}$ -like flows and 3d nonlinear supersymmetry*, *SciPost Phys.* **16** (2024) 038, [2302.10410].
- [44] G. Bonelli, N. Doroud and M. Zhu, *$T\bar{T}$ -deformations in closed form*, *JHEP* **06** (2018) 149, [1804.10967].
- [45] H. Babaei-Aghbolagh, S. He, T. Morone, H. Ouyang and R. Tateo, *Geometric formulation of generalized root- $T\bar{T}$ deformations*, 2405.03465.
- [46] E. Tsolakidis, *Massive gravity generalization of $T\bar{T}$ deformations*, 2405.07967.
- [47] R. Conti, J. Romano and R. Tateo, *Metric approach to a $T\bar{T}$ -like deformation in arbitrary dimensions*, *JHEP* **09** (2022) 085, [2206.03415].
- [48] T. Morone, S. Negro and R. Tateo, *Gravity and $T\bar{T}$ flows in higher dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **1005** (2024) 116605, [2401.16400].