

Influence of control polygon on the generalization of the conversion between ANCF and B-spline surfaces

Peng Lan[✉], Randi Wang, Zuqing Yu

School of Mechatronic Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, 150001, China

Lan_p@sina.com

Abstract-The aim of this study is to establish a general transformation matrix between B-spline surfaces and ANCF surface elements. This study is a further study of the conversion between the ANCF and B-spline surfaces. In this paper, a general transformation matrix between the $i \times j (i, j \leq 3, i, j \in N^+)$ Bezier surfaces and ANCF surface element is established. This general transformation matrix essentially describes the linear relationship between ANCF and $i \times j (i, j \leq 3, i, j \in N^+)$ Bezier surfaces. Moreover, the general transformation matrix can help to improve the efficiency of the process to transfer the distorted configuration in the CAA back to the CAD, an urgent requirement in engineering practice. In addition, a special Bezier surface control polygon is given in this study. The Bezier surface described with this control polygon can be converted to an ANCF surface element with fewer d.o.f.. And the converted ANCF surface element with 36 d.o.f. was once addressed by Dufva and Shabana. So the special control polygon can be regarded as the geometric condition in conversion to an ANCF surface element with 36 d.o.f.. Based on the fact that a B-spline surface can be seen as a set of Bezier surfaces connected together, the method to establish a general transformation matrix between the ANCF and lower-order $i \times j (i + j < 6, i, j = 1, 2, 3)$ B-spline surfaces is given. Specially, the general transformation is not in a recursive form, but in a simplified form.

Keyword-Absolute nodal coordinate formulation (ANCF), I-CAD-A, Bezier and B-spline surfaces, general transformation matrix, control polygon

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, the integration of computer aided design and analysis (ICADA) has become a heated issue. The methods to represent geometries in CAD and CAA software are different essentially [1,2]. In computational geometry and CAD, the typical methods to describe complex geometries are Bezier, B-spline and NURBS representations [1]. But due to the employment of rotations as coordinates in traditional beam, plate and shell elements, these elements cannot be described exactly in CAD software. However, the successful conversion between the ANCF and NURBS geometry representation indicates that we can construct a finite element mesh based on the ANCF directly from NURBS [3]. The ANCF finite element does not employ rotations as nodal coordinates, but employ absolute position and gradient coordinates instead [4,5]. This advantage helps to establish the relationship between the ANCF nodal position and gradient coordinates and the control points used in the NURBS. So it facilitates the process of ICADA.

According to the published papers [1,2], the transformation matrix between B-spline curves and ANCF cable elements as well as the transformation matrix between ANCF and B-spline surfaces have been developed and the linear transformation established preserves the ANCF finite element desirable features without changing reference configuration created by CAD. However, there is not a general transformation established between ANCF and Bezier and B-spline surfaces. Moreover, the usual process to convert a lower-order $i \times j (i + j < 6, i, j = 1, 2, 3)$ B-spline surface to ANCF surface element is (1) ascend the order to the bicubic order. (2) Convert the bicubic Bezier or B-spline surface to the ANCF surface element.

The goal of this study is to establish a general transformation matrix between the ANCF and lower-order Bezier and B-spline surface. It can help to convert a lower-order Bezier and B-spline surfaces to the corresponding ANCF surface element directly, without ascending the order. Specially, one of the advantages of the general transformation matrix between B-spline and ANCF surfaces is that the matrix is given in a simplified form, not a recursive form. In addition, the paper recommends a special Bezier surface control polygon, which can lead to an ANCF finite surface element of fewer degrees of freedom after conversion.

2. GENERAL TRANSFORMATION MATRIX BETWEEN ANCF AND BEZIER SURFACES

In the Bezier representation, a Bezier tensor product surface is defined as:

$$\mathbf{p}(u, v) = \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^n \mathbf{b}_{i,j} B_{i,m}(u) B_{j,n}(v), 0 < u, v < 1 \quad (1)$$

where, the coefficients $\mathbf{b}_{i,j}$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, m; j = 0, 1, \dots, n$)

are the control points. $B_{i,m}(u)$ and $B_{j,n}(v)$ are the basis functions[6].

In the case of the ANCF surface element, the global position vector $\mathbf{r}(u, v)$ of an arbitrary point on the element can be defined using the element shape functions and the nodal coordinate vector:

$$\mathbf{r}(u, v) = \mathbf{S}(u, v) \mathbf{e}(t) \quad (2)$$

where, the matrix of global shape functions are as follows:

$$\mathbf{S} = [S_{11} \mathbf{I}, S_{12} \mathbf{I}, S_{13} \mathbf{I}, S_{14} \mathbf{I}; \dots; S_{41} \mathbf{I}, S_{42} \mathbf{I}, S_{43} \mathbf{I}, S_{44} \mathbf{I}] \quad (3)$$

where,

$$S_{i,j} = s_i(u, a) s_j(v, b) \quad (4)$$

in which, a is the assumed element length and b is the assumed element width. In Eq. (3), \mathbf{I} refers to the 3×3 identity matrix and shape functions are

$$\left. \begin{aligned} s_1(p, l) &= 1 - 3\lambda^2 + 2\lambda^3, & s_3(p, l) &= 3\lambda^2 - 2\lambda^3 \\ s_2(p, l) &= l(\lambda - 2\lambda^2 + \lambda^3), & s_4(p, l) &= l(\lambda^3 - \lambda^2) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (5)$$

where,

$$\begin{cases} \lambda = \xi = \frac{u}{a}, & \text{if } p = u, l = a \\ \lambda = \eta = \frac{v}{b}, & \text{if } p = v, l = b \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

The vector of absolute nodal coordinates referred in Eq. (2) is as follows:

$$\mathbf{e} = \left[\mathbf{r}_{00}^{00}, \mathbf{r}_{00}^{10}, \mathbf{r}_{a0}^{00}, \mathbf{r}_{a0}^{10}, \mathbf{r}_{00}^{01}, \mathbf{r}_{00}^{11}, \mathbf{r}_{a0}^{01}, \mathbf{r}_{a0}^{11}, \mathbf{r}_{0b}^{00}, \mathbf{r}_{0b}^{10}, \mathbf{r}_{ab}^{00}, \mathbf{r}_{ab}^{10}, \mathbf{r}_{0b}^{01}, \mathbf{r}_{0b}^{11}, \mathbf{r}_{ab}^{01}, \mathbf{r}_{ab}^{11} \right]^T \quad (7)$$

where, each element \mathbf{r}_{uv}^{ij} represents a row vector, which has the expression:

$$\mathbf{r}_{uv}^{ij} = \frac{\partial^{i+j} \mathbf{r}}{\partial u^i \partial v^j} \quad (8)$$

in which, if $i = j = 0$, it represents displacement

vectors, if $i + j = 1$, it represents some slope vector

and if $i + j = 2$, it represents second-order slope vectors[7].

From Eq. (1) and Eq. (3) ~ Eq. (8), we obtain that there is a general linear transformation matrix \mathbf{T}

which can convert the $i \times j$ ($i, j \leq 3, i, j \in N^+$) Bezier

surface to its corresponding ANCF finite surface element, and one can get the expressions of nodal coordinates from Eq. (1). Eq. (9) gives the conversion with the transformation matrix \mathbf{T} :

$$\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{T}_u \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_{u1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{T}_{u2} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} \quad (9)$$

where,

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{b}_{0,0} + \mathbf{b}_{1,1} = \mathbf{b}_{1,0} + \mathbf{b}_{0,1} \\ \mathbf{b}_{0,3} + \mathbf{b}_{1,2} = \mathbf{b}_{1,3} + \mathbf{b}_{0,1} \\ \mathbf{b}_{3,0} + \mathbf{b}_{2,1} = \mathbf{b}_{2,0} + \mathbf{b}_{3,1} \\ \mathbf{b}_{3,3} + \mathbf{b}_{2,2} = \mathbf{b}_{2,3} + \mathbf{b}_{3,2} \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

then with Eq. (9), we can obtain $\mathbf{r}_{uv}^{11} = 0$.

It means that the quadrilaterals $\mathbf{b}_{0,0}\mathbf{b}_{1,0}\mathbf{b}_{1,1}\mathbf{b}_{0,1}$,

$\mathbf{b}_{0,3}\mathbf{b}_{1,3}\mathbf{b}_{1,2}\mathbf{b}_{1,0}$, $\mathbf{b}_{3,0}\mathbf{b}_{2,0}\mathbf{b}_{2,1}\mathbf{b}_{3,1}$, $\mathbf{b}_{3,3}\mathbf{b}_{2,3}\mathbf{b}_{2,2}\mathbf{b}_{3,2}$ are

four parallelograms. And the nodal coordinate vectors of the reduced-order ANCF finite surface element will be defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{e} = [\mathbf{r}_{00}^{00}, \mathbf{r}_{00}^{10}, \mathbf{r}_{a0}^{00}, \mathbf{r}_{a0}^{10}, \mathbf{r}_{00}^{01}, \mathbf{r}_{a0}^{01}, \mathbf{r}_{0b}^{00}, \mathbf{r}_{0b}^{10}, \mathbf{r}_{ab}^{00}, \mathbf{r}_{ab}^{10}, \mathbf{r}_{0b}^{01}, \mathbf{r}_{ab}^{01}]^T \quad (17)$$

The new shape function matrix \mathbf{S}^* will be defined as

$$\mathbf{S}^* = [S_{11}\mathbf{I}, S_{12}\mathbf{I}, S_{13}\mathbf{I}, S_{14}\mathbf{I}; S_{21}\mathbf{I}, S_{23}\mathbf{I}; S_{31}\mathbf{I}, S_{32}\mathbf{I}, S_{33}\mathbf{I}, S_{34}\mathbf{I}; S_{41}\mathbf{I}, S_{43}\mathbf{I}] \quad (18)$$

where, $S_{i,j}$ refers to Eq. (4) ~ Eq. (6).

4. General transformation matrix between ANCF and B-spline surfaces

A $k \times l$ B-spline tensor product surface is defined as follows [6]:

$$\mathbf{p}(u, v) = \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^n \mathbf{d}_{i,j} N_{i,k}(u) B_{j,l}(v), \quad u_k \leq u \leq u_{m+1}, v_l \leq v \leq v_{n+1} \quad (19)$$

In Eq. (19), $\mathbf{d}_{i,j}$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, m; j = 0, 1, \dots, n$) are the

control points, $N_{i,k}(u)$, $B_{j,l}(v)$ are B-spline basis functions, which are defined in a recursive form:

$$\begin{cases} N_{i,0}(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u_i \leq u \leq u_{i+1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ N_{i,k}(u) = \frac{u - u_i}{u_{i+k} - u_i} N_{i,j-1}(u) + \frac{u_{i+k+1} - u}{u_{i+k+1} - u_{i+1}} N_{i+1,k-1}(u) \\ \text{define } \frac{0}{0} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{cases} B_{j,0}(v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v_j \leq v \leq v_{j+1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ B_{j,l}(v) = \frac{v - v_j}{v_{j+l} - v_j} B_{j,l-1}(v) + \frac{v_{j+l+1} - v}{v_{j+l+1} - v_{j+1}} B_{j+1,l-1}(v) \\ \text{define } \frac{0}{0} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

where, u_i ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m + k + 1$) and

v_j ($j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n + l + 1$) are called the knots.

$\mathbf{U} = \{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{m+k+1}\}$ and $\mathbf{V} = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n+l+1}\}$ are called the knot vectors.

Suppose there is a non-zero area $u_e \leq u \leq u_{e+1}$, $v_f \leq v \leq v_{f+1}$, the expression of a bicubic B-spline surface defined in this area can be written as:

$$\mathbf{p}(u, v) = \sum_{i=e-3}^e \sum_{j=f-3}^f \mathbf{d}_{i,j} N_{i,3}(u) B_{j,3}(v) \quad (22)$$

The knot vectors along the two directions of parameter u and v are defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{U}_e = \{u_{e-2}, u_{e-1}, u_e, u_{e+1}, u_{e+2}, u_{e+3}\} \quad (23)$$

$$\mathbf{V}_f = \{v_{f-2}, v_{f-1}, v_f, v_{f+1}, v_{f+2}, v_{f+3}\} \quad (24)$$

Using Eq. (12) ~ Eq. (14), one can get the expression of the bicubic B-spline surface:

$$\begin{cases} K_{\alpha-3,3}(\lambda) = \frac{F_1^3}{H_{1,-2}H_{1,-1}H_{1,0}} \\ K_{\alpha-2,3}(\lambda) = \frac{F_1^2 G_{-2}}{H_{1,-2}H_{1,-1}H_{1,0}} + \frac{F_1 F_2 G_{-1}}{H_{2,-1}H_{1,-1}H_{1,0}} + \frac{F_2^2 G_0}{H_{2,-1}H_{2,0}H_{1,0}} \\ K_{\alpha-1,3}(\lambda) = \frac{F_1 G_{-1}^2}{H_{2,-1}H_{1,-1}H_{1,0}} + \frac{F_2 G_0 G_{-1}}{H_{2,-1}H_{2,0}H_{1,0}} + \frac{F_3 G_0^2}{H_{3,0}H_{2,0}H_{1,0}} \\ K_{\alpha,3}(\lambda) = \frac{G_0^3}{H_{3,0}H_{2,0}H_{1,0}} \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

where,

$$\begin{cases} F_\beta = \lambda_{\alpha+\beta} - \lambda \\ G_m = \lambda - \lambda_{\alpha+\beta} \\ H_{\beta,\gamma} = \lambda_{\alpha+\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha+\gamma} \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} K = N, \alpha = e, \beta = m, \gamma = n & \text{if } \lambda = u \\ K = B, \alpha = f, \beta = p, \gamma = q & \text{if } \lambda = v \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

Using Eq. (25) ~ Eq. (27), it can be obtained that

$$K_{\alpha-3,3}(\lambda_{i+1}) = 0 \text{ and } K_{\alpha,3}(\lambda_i) = 0.$$

Using Eq. (19), the nodal coordinates of corresponding ANCF converted from a bicubic B-spline surface can be obtained:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{r}_{uv}^{00} = \mathbf{p}(u_a, v_b) \\ \mathbf{r}_{uv}^{10} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}(u, v)}{\partial u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \Big|_{u=u_a, v=v_b} \\ \mathbf{r}_{uv}^{01} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}(u, v)}{\partial v} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y} \Big|_{u=u_a, v=v_b} \\ \mathbf{r}_{uv}^{11} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}(u, v)}{\partial u \partial v} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y} \Big|_{u=u_a, v=v_b} \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

where, $a = i, i + 1, b = j, j + 1$.

The relationship between the parameter x, y referred in the ANCF finite surface element and the assumed length a and width b of the bicubic B-spline surface is as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x = \xi a \\ y = \eta b \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

The relationship between the parameters ξ, η referred in the ANCF finite surface element and the parameters u, v of B-spline parametric surface is as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \xi = (u - u_i) / (u_{i+1} - u_i) \\ \eta = (v - v_i) / (v_{i+1} - v_i) \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

Using Eq. (22) ~ Eq. (30), the 16 nodal coordinates of the converted ANCF finite surface element can be obtained: (refer to appendix A)

Therefore, from appendix A, the transformation matrix from a bicubic B-spline surface to its corresponding ANCF finite surface element can be obtained as follows:

$$\mathbf{r}_{uv}^{ij} = [\mathbf{T}^{Bs(3 \times 3)}] \mathbf{d}^{Bs(3 \times 3)} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_{00}^{Bs} & \mathbf{T}_{01}^{Bs} & \mathbf{T}_{02}^{Bs} & \mathbf{T}_{03}^{Bs} \\ \mathbf{T}_{10}^{Bs} & \mathbf{T}_{11}^{Bs} & \mathbf{T}_{12}^{Bs} & \mathbf{T}_{13}^{Bs} \\ \mathbf{T}_{20}^{Bs} & \mathbf{T}_{21}^{Bs} & \mathbf{T}_{22}^{Bs} & \mathbf{T}_{23}^{Bs} \\ \mathbf{T}_{30}^{Bs} & \mathbf{T}_{31}^{Bs} & \mathbf{T}_{32}^{Bs} & \mathbf{T}_{33}^{Bs} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{d}^{Bs(3 \times 3)} \quad (31)$$

in which, the elements in transformation matrix refer to appendix B.

$$\mathbf{d}^{Bs(3 \times 3)} = [\mathbf{d}_{e-3, f-3}, \mathbf{d}_{e-3, f-2}, \mathbf{d}_{e-3, f-1}, \mathbf{d}_{e-3, f}, \mathbf{d}_{e-2, f-3}, \mathbf{d}_{e-2, f-2}, \mathbf{d}_{e-2, f-1}, \mathbf{d}_{e-2, f}, \mathbf{d}_{e-1, f-3}, \mathbf{d}_{e-1, f-2}, \mathbf{d}_{e-1, f-1}, \mathbf{d}_{e-1, f}, \mathbf{d}_{e, f-3}, \mathbf{d}_{e, f-2}, \mathbf{d}_{e, f-1}, \mathbf{d}_{e, f}] \quad (32)$$

Similarly, a cubic \times quadratic B-spline surface can also be converted to an ANCF finite surface element exactly. The knot vectors along the two directions of parameter u and v are defined as follows:

$$U_e = \{u_{e-2}, u_{e-1}, u_e, u_{e+1}, u_{e+2}, u_{e+3}\} \quad (33)$$

$$V_f = \{v_{f-1}, v_f, v_{f+1}, v_{f+2}\} \quad (34)$$

Using Eq. (19) and Eq. (20), the basis function $B_{j,2}(v)$ and the basis function $N_{i,2}(u)$ used in the conversion from the quadratic \times cubic B-spline surface to ANCF finite surface element can be obtained:

$$\begin{cases} K_{\alpha-2,2}(\lambda) = \frac{F_1^2}{H_{1,-1}} \\ K_{\alpha-1,2}(\lambda) = \frac{F_1 G_{-1}}{H_{1,0} H_{1,-1}} + \frac{F_2 G_0}{H_{2,0} H_{1,0}} \\ K_{\alpha,2}(\lambda) = \frac{G_0^2}{H_{2,0}} \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

Moreover, one can obtain from Eq. (36) that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} K_{\alpha-2,2}(\lambda_i) = \frac{H_{1,0}^2}{H_{1,-1}}, K_{\alpha-1,2}(\lambda_i) = \frac{H_{0,-1}}{H_{1,-1}} \\ K'_{\alpha-2,2}(\lambda_i) = -\frac{2H_{1,0}}{H_{1,-1}}, K'_{\alpha-1,2}(\lambda_i) = \frac{2}{H_{1,-1}} \\ K_{\alpha-1,2}(\lambda_{i+1}) = \frac{H_{2,1}}{H_{2,0}}, K_{\alpha,2}(\lambda_{i+1}) = \frac{H_{1,0}^2}{H_{2,0}} \\ K'_{\alpha-1,2}(\lambda_{i+1}) = -\frac{2}{H_{2,0}}, K'_{\alpha,2}(\lambda_{i+1}) = \frac{2H_{1,0}}{H_{2,0}} \end{array} \right. \quad (36)$$

Therefore, using Eq. (22), Eq. (28) ~ Eq. (30), appendix A, and Eq. (35) ~ Eq. (36), the transformation matrix used to convert a cubic \times quadratic B-spline surface to its corresponding ANCF finite surface element can be obtained

From Eq. (36), we know that $K_{\alpha-2,2}(\lambda_{i+1}) = 0$ and $K_{\alpha,2}(\lambda_i) = 0$. It means that for the segment of a parametric surface, one can suppose the four vertices of it are $\mathbf{p}(u_i, v_j), \mathbf{p}(u_i, v_{j+1}), \mathbf{p}(u_{i+1}, v_j)$ and $\mathbf{p}(u_{i+1}, v_{j+1})$. These four vertices cannot be influenced by the coordinate of $\mathbf{d}_{i,j}, \mathbf{d}_{i,j-2}, \mathbf{d}_{i-3,j}$ and $\mathbf{d}_{i-3,j-2}$, respectively. And when $k=1$ or $l=1$, the basis functions $K_{\alpha-1,1}(\lambda) = F_1$ and $K_{\alpha,1}(\lambda) = G_0$ will be used.

5. CONCLUSION

This paper established a general transformation matrix between the ANCF and lower-order $i \times j (i + j < 6, i, j \leq 3, i, j \in N^+)$ Bezier and B-spline surfaces. The general transformation matrix is a generalization of the transformation matrix between the bicubic Bezier and B-spline surface and ANCF surface element in the published paper.

The special Bezier surface control polygon proposed in this study leads to an ANCF finite surface element converted of 36-d.o.f. This converted thin plate has its advantages in combination between the converted ANCF element because the elimination of ANCF nodal coordinates $\mathbf{r}_{00}^{11}, \mathbf{r}_{a0}^{11}, \mathbf{r}_{0b}^{11}$ and \mathbf{r}_{ab}^{11} .

As demonstrated in this study, using the general inverse transformation matrix, the converted Bezier

and B-spline surface will be a lower-order one directly if the dependence of original ANCF nodal coordinates meets the conditions referred. This process enhances the efficiency of I-CAD-A and meets the urgent engineering requirement.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11172076) and by Science and Technology Innovation Talent Foundation of Harbin (2012RFLXG020).

6. REFERENCE

1. Lan, P., Shabana, A.A.: Integration of b-spline geometry and ANCF finite element analysis. *Nonlinear Dyn.* 61(1–2), 193–206 (2010)
2. Aki Mikkola, Ahmed A. Shabana, Cristina Sanchez-Rebollo, Jesus R. Jimenez-Octavio: Comparison between ANCF and B-spline surfaces. *Multibody Syst Dyn.* DOI 10.1007/s11044-013-9353-z (2013)
3. Graham G. Sanborn, Ahmed A. Shabana: On the integration of computer aided design and analysis using the finite element absolute nodal coordinate. *Multibody Syst Dyn* 22: 181-197(2009)
4. K Dufva1 and Ahmed A. Shabana; Analysis of thin plate structures using the absolute nodal coordinate formulation :Proc. IMechE Vol. 219 Part K: J. Multi-body Dynamics (2005)
5. Lan, P., Shabana: Rational finite elements and flexible body dynamics. *Journal of Vibration and Acoustics, Transactions of the ASME*, v 132, n 4, p 0410071-0410079, August 2010
6. Piegl, L., Tiller, W.: *The NURBS Book*, 2nd edn. Springer, New York (1997)
7. O.N. DMITROCHENKO and D.YU. POGORELOV: Generalization of Plate Finite Elements for Absolute Nodal Coordinate Formulation. *Multibody System Dynamics* 10: 17–43(2003)

Appendix A

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{r}_{00}^{00} &= \mathbf{p}(u_i, v_j) = \sum_{i=e-3}^{e-1} \sum_{j=f-3}^{f-1} \mathbf{d}_{i,j} N_{i,3}(u_i) B_{j,3}(v_j) \\
 \mathbf{r}_{00}^{10} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}(u, v)}{\partial u} \Big|_{u=u_i, v=v_j} = \frac{1}{a} (u_{i+1} - u_i) \sum_{i=e-3}^{e-1} \sum_{j=f-3}^{f-1} \mathbf{d}_{i,j} (N_{i,3})_{,u} \Big|_{u=u_i} B_{j,3}(v_j) \\
 \mathbf{r}_{00}^{01} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}(u, v)}{\partial v} \Big|_{u=u_i, v=v_j} = \frac{1}{b} (v_{j+1} - v_j) \sum_{i=e-3}^{e-1} \sum_{j=f-3}^{f-1} \mathbf{d}_{i,j} N_{i,3}(u_i) (B_{j,3})_{,v} \Big|_{v=v_j} \\
 \mathbf{r}_{00}^{11} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}(u, v)}{\partial u \partial v} \Big|_{u=u_i, v=v_j} = \frac{1}{a} \frac{1}{b} (u_{i+1} - u_i) (v_{j+1} - v_j) \sum_{i=e-3}^{e-1} \sum_{j=f-3}^{f-1} \mathbf{d}_{i,j} (N_{i,3})_{,u} \Big|_{u=u_i} (B_{j,3})_{,v} \Big|_{v=v_j} \\
 \mathbf{r}_{a0}^{00} &= \mathbf{p}(u_{i+1}, v_j) = \sum_{i=e-2}^e \sum_{j=f-3}^{f-1} \mathbf{d}_{i,j} N_{i,3}(u_{i+1}) B_{j,3}(v_j) \\
 \mathbf{r}_{a0}^{10} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}(u, v)}{\partial u} \Big|_{u=u_{i+1}, v=v_j} = \frac{1}{a} (u_{i+1} - u_i) \sum_{i=e-2}^e \sum_{j=f-3}^{f-1} \mathbf{d}_{i,j} (N_{i,3})_{,u} \Big|_{u=u_{i+1}} B_{j,3}(v_j) \\
 \mathbf{r}_{a0}^{01} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}(u, v)}{\partial v} \Big|_{u=u_{i+1}, v=v_j} = \frac{1}{b} (v_{j+1} - v_j) \sum_{i=e-2}^e \sum_{j=f-3}^{f-1} \mathbf{d}_{i,j} N_{i,3}(u_{i+1}) (B_{j,3})_{,v} \Big|_{v=v_j} \\
 \mathbf{r}_{a0}^{11} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}(u, v)}{\partial u \partial v} \Big|_{u=u_{i+1}, v=v_j} = \frac{1}{a} \frac{1}{b} (u_{i+1} - u_i) (v_{j+1} - v_j) \sum_{i=e-2}^e \sum_{j=f-3}^{f-1} \mathbf{d}_{i,j} (N_{i,3})_{,u} \Big|_{u=u_{i+1}} (B_{j,3})_{,v} \Big|_{v=v_j} \\
 \mathbf{r}_{0b}^{00} &= \mathbf{p}(u_i, v_{j+1}) = \sum_{i=e-3}^{e-1} \sum_{j=f-2}^f \mathbf{d}_{i,j} N_{i,3}(u_i) B_{j,3}(v_{j+1}) \\
 \mathbf{r}_{0b}^{10} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}(u, v)}{\partial u} \Big|_{u=u_i, v=v_{j+1}} = \frac{1}{a} (u_{i+1} - u_i) \sum_{i=e-3}^{e-1} \sum_{j=f-2}^f \mathbf{d}_{i,j} (N_{i,3})_{,u} \Big|_{u=u_i} B_{j,3}(v_{j+1}) \\
 \mathbf{r}_{0b}^{01} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}(u, v)}{\partial v} \Big|_{u=u_i, v=v_{j+1}} = \frac{1}{b} (v_{j+1} - v_j) \sum_{i=e-3}^{e-1} \sum_{j=f-2}^f \mathbf{d}_{i,j} N_{i,3}(u_i) (B_{j,3})_{,v} \Big|_{v=v_{j+1}} \\
 \mathbf{r}_{0b}^{11} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}(u, v)}{\partial u \partial v} \Big|_{u=u_i, v=v_{j+1}} = \frac{1}{a} \frac{1}{b} (u_{i+1} - u_i) (v_{j+1} - v_j) \sum_{i=e-3}^{e-1} \sum_{j=f-2}^f \mathbf{d}_{i,j} (N_{i,3})_{,u} \Big|_{u=u_i} (B_{j,3})_{,v} \Big|_{v=v_{j+1}} \\
 \mathbf{r}_{ab}^{00} &= \mathbf{p}(u_{i+1}, v_{j+1}) = \sum_{i=e-2}^e \sum_{j=f-2}^f \mathbf{d}_{i,j} N_{i,3}(u_{i+1}) B_{j,3}(v_{j+1}) \\
 \mathbf{r}_{ab}^{10} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}(u, v)}{\partial u} \Big|_{u=u_{i+1}, v=v_{j+1}} = \frac{1}{a} (u_{i+1} - u_i) \sum_{i=e-2}^e \sum_{j=f-2}^f \mathbf{d}_{i,j} (N_{i,3})_{,u} \Big|_{u=u_{i+1}} B_{j,3}(v_{j+1}) \\
 \mathbf{r}_{ab}^{01} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}(u, v)}{\partial v} \Big|_{u=u_{i+1}, v=v_{j+1}} = \frac{1}{b} (v_{j+1} - v_j) \sum_{i=e-2}^e \sum_{j=f-2}^f \mathbf{d}_{i,j} N_{i,3}(u_{i+1}) (B_{j,3})_{,v} \Big|_{v=v_{j+1}} \\
 \mathbf{r}_{ab}^{11} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}(u, v)}{\partial u \partial v} \Big|_{u=u_{i+1}, v=v_{j+1}} = \frac{1}{a} \frac{1}{b} (u_{i+1} - u_i) (v_{j+1} - v_j) \sum_{i=e-2}^e \sum_{j=f-2}^f \mathbf{d}_{i,j} (N_{i,3})_{,u} \Big|_{u=u_{i+1}} (B_{j,3})_{,v} \Big|_{v=v_{j+1}} \quad (37)
 \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\theta_3 = K_{i-3,3}(\lambda_\omega) = \frac{H_{1,0}^2}{H_{1,-2}H_{1,-1}} \\
\theta_2 = K_{i-2,3}(\lambda_\omega) = \frac{H_{0,-2}H_{1,0}}{H_{1,-2}H_{1,-1}} + \frac{H_{0,-1}H_{2,0}}{H_{2,-1}H_{1,-1}} \\
\theta_1 = K_{i-1,3}(\lambda_\omega) = \frac{H_{0,-1}^2}{H_{2,-1}H_{1,-1}} \\
\theta_{d3} = K'_{i-3,3}(\lambda_\omega) = \frac{-3H_{1,0}}{H_{1,-2}H_{1,-1}} \\
\theta_{d2} = K'_{i-2,3}(\lambda_\omega) = \frac{H_{1,0} - 2H_{0,-2}}{H_{1,-2}H_{1,-1}} + \frac{H_{-1,0} + 2H_{2,0}}{H_{2,-1}H_{1,-1}} \\
\theta_{d1} = K'_{i-1,3}(\lambda_\omega) = \frac{3H_{0,-1}}{H_{2,-1}H_{1,-1}} \\
\varphi_2 = K_{i-2,3}(\lambda_{\omega+1}) = \frac{H_{2,1}^2}{H_{2,-1}H_{2,0}} \\
\varphi_1 = K_{i-1,3}(\lambda_{\omega+1}) = \frac{H_{1,-1}H_{2,1}}{H_{2,-1}H_{2,0}} + \frac{H_{3,1}H_{1,0}}{H_{3,0}H_{2,0}} \\
\varphi_0 = K_{i-0,3}(\lambda_{\omega+1}) = \frac{H_{1,0}^2}{H_{3,0}H_{2,0}} \\
\varphi_{d2} = K'_{i-2,3}(\lambda_{\omega+1}) = \frac{-3H_{2,1}}{H_{2,-1}H_{2,0}} \\
\varphi_{d1} = K'_{i-1,3}(\lambda_{\omega+1}) = \frac{H_{2,1} - 2H_{1,-1}}{H_{2,-1}H_{2,0}} + \frac{2H_{3,1} - H_{1,0}}{H_{3,0}H_{2,0}} \\
\varphi_{d0} = K'_{i-0,3}(\lambda_{\omega+1}) = \frac{3H_{1,0}}{H_{3,0}H_{2,0}}
\end{array} \right. \quad (38)$$

and,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
K = N, \omega = i, \theta = U^f, \varphi = U^l & \text{if } \lambda = u \\
K = B, \omega = j, \theta = V^f, \varphi = V^l & \text{if } \lambda = v
\end{array} \right. \quad (39)$$

