

Encoding and Decoding Algorithms of ANS Variants and Evaluation of Their Average Code Lengths^{* †}

Hirosuke Yamamoto[‡]

Ken-ich Iwata[§]

August 15, 2024

Abstract

Asymmetric Numeral Systems (ANS) proposed by Jarek Duda are high-performance distortionless data compression schemes that can achieve almost the same compression performance as arithmetic codes with less arithmetic operations than arithmetic coding. The ANS is widely used in various practical systems like Facebook, Apple, Google, Dropbox, Microsoft, and Pixar, due to their high performance, but many researchers still lack much knowledge about the ANS. This paper thoroughly explains the encoding and decoding algorithms of the ANS, and theoretically analyzes the average code length achievable by the ANS.

Index terms— ANS (Asymmetric Numeral Systems), arithmetic code, distortionless data-compression code, average code length

1 Introduction

In conventional data compression coding like Huffman coding and arithmetic coding, a data sequence $s^T = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_T$ is encoded and decoded in the order of $s_t, t = 1, 2, \dots, T$ [2][3]. But, Jarek Duda proposed Asymmetric Numeral Systems (ANS) to enhance Arithmetic coding, such that s^T is encoded in backward order $s_t, t = T, \dots, 2, 1$, while s^T is decoded in forward order $s_t, t = 1, 2, \dots, T$ [4]–[14].

Suppose that c is the codeword obtained by arithmetic coding for a data sequence s^T . Since the arithmetic code encodes s^T in forward order of $s_t, t = 1, 2, \dots, T$, it is determined by the order of the most significant bit (MSB) to the least significant bit (LSB) of the value of c . When s_t is encoded, the arithmetic encoder does not know the subsequent sequence $s_{t+1}^T = s_{t+1} \cdots s_T$. Therefore, arithmetic codes are encoded using intervals of real numbers (or intervals of integers) including c so that they can handle any subsequent sequence s_{t+1}^T . On the other hand, since the ANS encodes s^T in backward order $s_t, t = T, T-1, \dots, 1$, the codeword c is determined by the order of the LSB to the MSB. As a result, the ANS can encode and decode s^T using a single integer variable. This means that the ANS can achieve almost the same compression rate as arithmetic codes with less arithmetic operations. Due to this excellent feature, the ANS is utilized by Facebook Zstandard (ZSTD) compressor, Apple LZFS compressor, Google, Dropbox, Microsoft, Pixar, etc. [14][15][16], and recently, many applied and related papers have been published [16]–[34].

^{*}This paper is an English translation version of an invited paper published in the IEICE Transactions on Fundamentals of Electronics, Communications and Computer Sciences (Japanese Edition), DOI: 10.14923/transfunj.2024JAI0001, July 11, 2024.

[†]This paper is a reworked version of [1] which was presented at the 12th Shannon Theory Workshop (STW2023).

[‡]The University of Tokyo, hirosuke@ieee.org.

[§]University of Fukui, k-iwata@u-fukui.ac.jp.

However, many people are still unaware of ANS because many papers on ANS are only published on arXiv.org or as conference papers rather than as journal papers. Furthermore, the algorithms and performance analyses in these papers are not written in an easy-to-understand manner, and there has been little information-theoretical evaluation. Therefore, even though people know the name of ANS, many of them do not know the detailed encoding-decoding algorithms and theoretical compression performance.

In this paper, we provide a detailed and easy-to-understand explanation of the ANS encoding and decoding algorithms, and present a new information-theoretical evaluation of the average code length that the ANS can achieve.

There are several variants of ANS. We treat ABS (Asymmetric Binary Systems) in Section 2, rANS (range variant of ANS) in Sections 3 and 4, and tANS (tabled variant of ANS¹) in Section 5. In each section, we describe an encoding function, a decoding function, an encoding algorithm, and a decoding algorithm, and demonstrate how these functions and algorithms can be used to encode and decode any data sequence correctly. Furthermore, we derive a strict information-theoretic upper bound on the expected value of the average code length per source symbol, which we call *the average code length* below for simplicity.

In this paper, we assume that a data sequence $s^T = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_T$, $s_t \in \mathcal{S}$, is generated from an i.i.d. source, which takes a value on a finite discrete alphabet \mathcal{S} with a probability distribution $p = \{p(s) \mid s \in \mathcal{S}\}$. For simplicity, the encoding and decoding algorithms are described assuming that the probability distribution p and sequence length T are known. We use the following notations². Let $\lg a = \log_2 a$, and let $|\mathcal{A}|$ represent the cardinality of a set \mathcal{A} . The entropy of the source is represented by $H(p) = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} p(s) \lg(1/p(s))$, and the relative entropy to a probability distribution $q = \{q(s) \mid s \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is denoted by $D(p\|q) = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} p(s) \lg(p(s)/q(s))$.

2 ABS

In this section, we treat the case of binary source alphabet $\mathcal{S} = \{0, 1\}$ with $p_1 = p(1)$, $p_0 = p(0) = 1 - p_1$, $0 < p_1 < 1$. In the encoding and decoding of the Asymmetric Binary Systems (ABS)³, a single integer variable x is used. For simplicity, we assume that x can have any number of digits.

2.1 Encoding and decoding procedures of ABS [4]–[6]

A. Definition of encoding function

Encoding function $x_{t-1} := C(s_t, x_t)$ is defined by (1) and (2). When we want to specify whether x_{t-1} is obtained by $s_t = 0$ or $s_t = 1$, we represent it as $x_{t-1} = x_{t-1}^{(s_t)}$.

$$x_{t-1} = x_{t-1}^{(0)} := \left\lfloor \frac{x_t + 1}{p_0} \right\rfloor - 1 \quad \text{if } s_t = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$x_{t-1} = x_{t-1}^{(1)} := \left\lfloor \frac{x_t}{p_1} \right\rfloor \quad \text{if } s_t = 1. \quad (2)$$

B. Definition of decoding function

Decoding function $(s_t, x_t) := D(x_{t-1})$ is defined by (3)–(5).

$$s_t := \lceil (x_{t-1} + 1)p_1 \rceil - \lceil x_{t-1}p_1 \rceil. \quad (3)$$

¹It is also called tabled ANS or table-based ANS.

²The notations used in this paper may be different from the original papers.

³It is also called uABS (uniform ABS) [6].

For $x_{t-1}^{(s_t)} := x_{t-1}$,

$$x_t := x_{t-1}^{(0)} - \lceil x_{t-1}^{(0)} p_1 \rceil \quad \text{if } s_t = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$x_t := \lceil x_{t-1}^{(1)} p_1 \rceil \quad \text{if } s_t = 1. \quad (5)$$

C. Encoding algorithm

- For a given data sequence $s^T = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_T$, set $x_T \leftarrow 1$.
- Repeat $x_{t-1} \leftarrow C(s_t, x_t)$ in backward order, $t = T, \cdots, 2, 1$.
- The codeword of s^T is given by x_0 .

D. Decoding algorithm

- Set a codeword x_0 .
- Repeat $(s_t, x_t) \leftarrow D(x_{t-1})$ in forward order, $t = 1, 2, \cdots, T$.
- $s^T = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_T$ is the decoded sequence.

We prove that $D(x_{t-1})$ defined by (3)–(5) is the inverse function of $C(s_t, x_t)$ defined by (1)–(2). We first define $\hat{r}_t^{(0)}$ and $\hat{r}_t^{(1)}$ by

$$\hat{r}_t^{(0)} = x_{t-1}^{(0)} + 1 - \frac{x_t + 1}{p_0}, \quad (6)$$

$$\hat{r}_t^{(1)} = \frac{x_t}{p_1} - x_{t-1}^{(1)}. \quad (7)$$

From (1) and (2), they satisfy $0 \leq \hat{r}_t^{(0)}, \hat{r}_t^{(1)} < 1$. We next define $r_t^{(0)}$ and $r_t^{(1)}$ by $r_t^{(0)} = 1 - p_0(1 - \hat{r}_t^{(0)})$ and $r_t^{(1)} = p_1 \hat{r}_t^{(1)}$. Then, from relations $0 < p_1 = 1 - p_0 \leq 1 - p_0(1 - \hat{r}_t^{(0)}) < 1$ and $0 \leq p_1 \hat{r}_t^{(1)} < p_1 < 1$, they satisfy

$$0 \leq r_t^{(1)} < p_1 \leq r_t^{(0)} < 1. \quad (8)$$

From (6), we have $x_t = x_{t-1}^{(0)} - \lceil x_{t-1}^{(0)} p_1 + \{1 - p_0(1 - \hat{r}_t^{(0)})\} \rceil = x_{t-1}^{(0)} - \lceil x_{t-1}^{(0)} p_1 + r_t^{(0)} \rceil$. Since x_t and $x_{t-1}^{(0)}$ are integers, $\lceil x_{t-1}^{(0)} p_1 + r_t^{(0)} \rceil$ must be an integer. Noting that $r_t^{(0)}$ satisfies (8), we obtain $x_{t-1}^{(0)} p_1 + r_t^{(0)} = \lceil x_{t-1}^{(0)} p_1 \rceil$. Hence (4) holds. On the other hand, from (7), we have $x_t = x_{t-1}^{(1)} p_1 + p_1 \hat{r}_t^{(1)} = x_{t-1}^{(1)} p_1 + r_t^{(1)}$. Since x_t is an integer and $r_t^{(1)}$ satisfies (8), we obtain $x_{t-1}^{(1)} p_1 + r_t^{(1)} = \lceil x_{t-1}^{(1)} p_1 \rceil$. Hence, (5) holds.

From the above consideration, we have for any $s_t \in \{0, 1\}$ that

$$\lceil x_{t-1}^{(s_t)} p_1 \rceil = x_{t-1}^{(s_t)} p_1 + r_t^{(s_t)}, \quad (9)$$

and (3) can be derived as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \lceil (x_{t-1}^{(s_t)} + 1) p_1 \rceil - \lceil x_{t-1}^{(s_t)} p_1 \rceil &= \lceil (x_{t-1}^{(s_t)} + 1) p_1 \rceil - \lceil x_{t-1}^{(s_t)} p_1 \rceil \\ &= \lceil (x_{t-1}^{(s_t)} + 1) p_1 - (x_{t-1}^{(s_t)} p_1 + r_t^{(s_t)}) \rceil \\ &= \lceil p_1 - r_t^{(s_t)} \rceil \\ &= s_t, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where the 2nd and 4th equalities hold from (9) and (8), respectively.

Remark 1 We can use (11) and (12), instead of (1) and (2), and (13)–(15), instead of (3)–(5), as the encoding function $C(s_t, x_t)$ and the decoding function $D(x_{t-1})$ [5][6].

$$x_{t-1} = x_{t-1}^{(0)} := \left\lfloor \frac{x_t}{p_0} \right\rfloor \quad \text{if } s_t = 0, \quad (11)$$

$$x_{t-1} = x_{t-1}^{(1)} := \left\lfloor \frac{x_t + 1}{p_1} \right\rfloor - 1 \quad \text{if } s_t = 1, \quad (12)$$

$$s_t := \lfloor (x_{t-1} + 1)p_1 \rfloor - \lfloor x_{t-1}p_1 \rfloor, \quad (13)$$

$$x_t := x_{t-1}^{(0)} - \lfloor x_{t-1}^{(0)}p_1 \rfloor \quad \text{if } s_t = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$x_t := \lfloor x_{t-1}^{(1)}p_1 \rfloor \quad \text{if } s_t = 1. \quad (15)$$

2.2 Average code length of ABS

Substituting (4) and (5) into (9), we obtain

$$\frac{x_{t-1}^{(0)}}{x_t} = \frac{1}{p_0} \left(1 + \frac{r_t^{(0)}}{x_t} \right), \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{x_{t-1}^{(1)}}{x_t} = \frac{1}{p_1} \left(1 - \frac{r_t^{(1)}}{x_t} \right). \quad (17)$$

Let $x_0(s^T)$ represent the codeword x_0 of a data sequence s^T . Since $x_T = 1$, the bit length⁴ of $x_0(s^T)$ is given by $\lg x_0(s^T) = \sum_{t=1}^T \lg(x_{t-1}^{(s_t)}/x_t)$. Therefore, $\lg(x_{t-1}^{(s_t)}/x_t)$ represents the increase of code length caused by encoding s_t . We note from (8), (16), and (17) that when x_t is sufficiently large, the relations $\lg(x_{t-1}^{(0)}/x_t) \approx -\lg p_0$ and $\lg(x_{t-1}^{(1)}/x_t) \approx -\lg p_1$ holds with very good accuracy.

We consider the case⁵ of $0 < p_1 < 1/2 < p_0 < 1$. We now define $\eta = \min\{1/p_0, 1/(2p_1)\} > 1$. Then, from (16), we have $x_{t-1}^{(0)} > x_t/p_0 \geq \eta x_t$. Furthermore, noting $0 \leq r_t^{(1)} < p_1 < 1/2$ and $x_t \geq 1$ in (17), we also have $x_{t-1}^{(1)} > x_t/(2p_1) \geq \eta x_t$. Hence, for any $t, 1 \leq t \leq T$ and any $s \in \{0, 1\}$, $x_{t-1}^{(s)}$ satisfies $x_{t-1}^{(s)} > \eta x_t > \eta^{T-t+1} x_T = \eta^{T-t+1}$. From this inequality, (8), (16), and (17), $\lg(x_{t-1}^{(s)}/x_t)$ is bounded by

$$\lg \frac{x_{t-1}^{(s)}}{x_t} < \lg \frac{1}{p_s} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x_t} \right) = \lg \frac{1}{p_s} + \lg \left(1 + \frac{1}{x_t} \right) \leq \lg \frac{1}{p_s} + \frac{\lg e}{x_t} < \lg \frac{1}{p_s} + \frac{\lg e}{\eta^{T-t}}. \quad (18)$$

Using (18), we can derive an upper bound of the average code length L for the case of $x_T = 1$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{s^T \in \mathcal{S}^T} p(s^T) \lg x_0(s^T) \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{s^T \in \mathcal{S}^T} p(s^T) \sum_{t=1}^T \lg \frac{x_{t-1}^{(s_t)}}{x_t} \end{aligned}$$

⁴Except for the MSB, the bit length of $x_0(s^T)$ is given by $\lfloor \lg x_0(s^T) \rfloor$ bits. But, for simplicity, we use real number $\lg x_0(s^T)$ to represent the bit length of $x_0(s^T)$ in this paper.

⁵It is not necessary to consider the case of $p_0 = p_1 = 1/2$ because we cannot compress s^T in this case. In the case of $p_0 < p_1$, we use (11) and (12).

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{s_t \in \{0,1\}} p(s_t) \lg \frac{x_{t-1}^{(s_t)}}{x_t} \\
&< \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{s \in \{0,1\}} p_s \left(\lg \frac{1}{p_s} + \frac{\lg e}{\eta^{T-t}} \right) \\
&= \sum_{s \in \{0,1\}} p_s \lg \frac{1}{p_s} + \frac{\lg e}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \eta^{t-T} \\
&< H(p) + \frac{\lg e}{T} \frac{\eta}{\eta-1}, \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

where $H(p)$ is the entropy of the source. Since we know $L \geq H(p)$ from the source coding theorem for variable length coding [2, Theorem 5.3.1], it holds from (19) that $L \rightarrow H(p)$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

3 rANS

While the ABS discussed in the previous section is designed only for binary sources, the ANS (Asymmetric Numeral Systems) is devised for general sources. The ANS uses only integer arithmetic operations, although the ABS uses real numbers p_0 and p_1 . The ANS that uses integer ranges in encoding and decoding, like arithmetic range coding, is called rANS (range variant of ANS).

In this section, we assume that the integer variable x can take any large number of bits. However, we will discuss the case of restricting x to an appropriate bit size in the next section.

3.1 Encoding and decoding procedures of rANS [6]

Assume that N and $N_s, s \in \mathcal{S}$ are integers satisfying $N = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} N_s$ and $N_s/N \approx p(s)$. For each $s \in \mathcal{S}$, we define d_s by

$$d_s = \sum_{\hat{s} < s} N_{\hat{s}}, \tag{20}$$

where $<$ denotes an arbitrarily given total order on \mathcal{S} .

A. Definition of encoding function

Encoding function $x_{t-1} := C(s_t, x_t)$ is defined by⁶

$$x_{t-1} := N \left\lfloor \frac{x_t}{N_{s_t}} \right\rfloor + d_{s_t} + \text{mod}(x_t, N_{s_t}). \tag{21}$$

B. Definition of decoding function

Decoding function $(s_t, x_t) := D(x_{t-1})$ is defined by (22) and (23).

$$s_t := \min \left\{ s : \text{mod}(x_{t-1}, N) < \sum_{i \leq s} N_i \right\}, \tag{22}$$

$$x_t := N_{s_t} \left\lfloor \frac{x_{t-1}}{N} \right\rfloor + \text{mod}(x_{t-1}, N) - d_{s_t}. \tag{23}$$

⁶In this paper, residue operation “ $a \bmod b$ ” is represented as “ $\text{mod}(a, b)$ ” in the same way as Duda’s paper [6].




Figure 1: Relations used in rANS encoding and decoding.

C. Encoding algorithm

- For a given data sequence $s^T = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_T$, set $x_T \leftarrow 1$.
- Repeat $x_{t-1} \leftarrow C(s_t, x_t)$ in reverse order, $t = T, \dots, 2, 1$.
- The codeword of s^T is given by x_0 .

D. Decoding algorithm

- Set a codeword x_0 .
- Repeat $(s_t, x_t) \leftarrow D(x_{t-1})$ in forward order, $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$.
- $s^T = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_T$ is the decoded sequence.

We now show that if x_{t-1} is given by (21), then s_t and x_t can be determined by (22) and (23).

From (20) and $\text{mod}(x_{t-1}, N_{s_t}) < N_{s_t}$, we obtain

$$d_{s_t} + \text{mod}(x_t, N_{s_t}) < \sum_{s < s_t} N_s + N_{s_t} \leq N. \quad (24)$$

Hence, the sum of the 2nd and 3rd terms on the right side of (21) is smaller than N . By dividing both sides of (21) by N and taking the floor function, we have

$$\left\lfloor \frac{x_{t-1}}{N} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{x_t}{N_{s_t}} \right\rfloor. \quad (25)$$

Therefore, from (21), (24), and (25), the following relation holds.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mod}(x_{t-1}, N) &= x_{t-1} - N \left\lfloor \frac{x_{t-1}}{N} \right\rfloor \\ &= x_{t-1} - N \left\lfloor \frac{x_t}{N_{s_t}} \right\rfloor \\ &= d_{s_t} + \text{mod}(x_t, N_{s_t}) \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$< \sum_{s < s_t} N_s + N_{s_t}. \quad (27)$$

Inequality (27) means that s_t can be decoded by (22). On the other hand, (23) can be derived from (25) and (26) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} x_t &= N_{s_t} \left\lfloor \frac{x_t}{N_{s_t}} \right\rfloor + \text{mod}(x_t, N_{s_t}) \\ &= N_{s_t} \left\lfloor \frac{x_{t-1}}{N} \right\rfloor + \text{mod}(x_t, N_{s_t}) \\ &= N_{s_t} \left\lfloor \frac{x_{t-1}}{N} \right\rfloor + \text{mod}(x_{t-1}, N) - d_{s_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Figure 1 shows the relations of x_t and x_{t-1} on the number line, which are given by (21), (25)–(28).

3.2 Average code length of rANS

We evaluate the average code length of rANS based on the source probability distribution $p = \{p(s) \mid s \in \mathcal{S}\}$ and the probability distribution $q = \{q(s) \mid s \in \mathcal{S}\}$, which is defined by $q(s) = N_s/N$ for $s \in \mathcal{S}$.

From (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x_{t-1}}{N} &\approx \frac{x_t}{N_{s_t}}, \\ \frac{x_{t-1}}{x_t} &\approx \frac{N}{N_{s_t}} = \frac{1}{q(s_t)}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

We first consider the case such that (29) holds with “=” instead of “ \approx ”. Let $x_0(s^T)$ denote the codeword of $s^T = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_T$ obtained by applying (21) to s_t , $t = T, T-1, \dots, 1$, for $x_T = 1$ in this case. Then, the bit length of $x_0(s^T)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lg x_0(s^T) &= \lg \prod_{t=1}^T \frac{x_{t-1}}{x_t} + \lg x_T \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T \lg \frac{x_{t-1}}{x_t} \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T \lg \frac{1}{q(s_t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the average code length L can be expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{s^T \in \mathcal{S}^T} p(s^T) \lg x_0(s^T) \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{s^T \in \mathcal{S}^T} p(s^T) \sum_{t=1}^T \lg \frac{1}{q(s_t)} \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{s_t \in \mathcal{S}} p(s_t) \lg \frac{1}{q(s_t)} \\ &= \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} p(s) \lg \frac{1}{q(s)} \\ &= H(p) + D(p||q), \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where $H(p)$ and $D(p||q)$ are the source entropy and the relative entropy to q , respectively.

We next estimate the degree of approximation of (29). Since it holds from (25) that

$$\frac{x_{t-1}}{N} - 1 < \frac{x_t}{N_{s_t}}, \quad \frac{x_{t-1}}{N} > \frac{x_t}{N_{s_t}} - 1,$$

x_{t-1}/x_t has the following upper and lower bounds:

$$\frac{N}{N_{s_t}} - \frac{N}{x_t} < \frac{x_{t-1}}{x_t} < \frac{N}{N_{s_t}} + \frac{N}{x_t}. \quad (31)$$

These bounds mean that (29) is a very good approximation when x_t satisfies

$$x_t \gg N. \quad (32)$$

We now evaluate the influence of approximation errors on the code length. From the right inequality of (31), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lg \frac{x_{t-1}}{x_t} &< \lg \left(\frac{N}{N_{s_t}} + \frac{N}{x_t} \right) \\ &= \lg \frac{N}{N_{s_t}} + \lg \left(1 + \frac{N_{s_t}}{x_t} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &< \lg \frac{1}{q(s_t)} + \lg \left(1 + \frac{N}{x_t} \right) \\ &< \lg \frac{1}{q(s_t)} + (\lg e) \frac{N}{x_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The second term of (34) represents an upper bound on code length loss due to the approximation error in (29). Hence the total loss $l_{\text{loss}}(s^T)$ of the data sequence s^T is bounded by

$$l_{\text{loss}}(s^T) < (N \lg e) \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{x_t}.$$

Consider the case of $x_T = A$, where A is an integer satisfying $\eta = \left(\min_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{N}{N_s} \right) - \frac{N}{A} > 1$. Then from the left inequality of (31) and $A = x_T < x_{T-1} < \dots < x_{t-1}$, we obtain $x_{t-1} > \eta^{T-t+1} A$. In this case, adding the loss $\lg A$ caused by using $x_T = A$ instead of $x_T = 1$, the total loss $l_{\text{loss}}(s^T)$ has the following upper bound.

$$\begin{aligned} l_{\text{loss}}(s^T) &< \lg A + (N \lg e) \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{\eta^{T-t} A} \\ &< \lg A + \frac{N \lg e}{A} \frac{\eta}{\eta - 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Therefore, from (30) and (35), the average code length L in the case of $x_T = A$ satisfies

$$L < H(p) + D(p||q) + \frac{1}{T} \left(\lg A + \frac{N \lg e}{A} \frac{\eta}{\eta - 1} \right). \quad (36)$$

We note from (36) that $L \rightarrow H(p) + D(p||q)$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

4 rANS with a finite digit arithmetic

The rANS treated in the previous section has a practical drawback for large T because x_t becomes a very large integer as t approaches zero. To overcome this drawback, the stream coding is designed so that encoding and decoding can be performed with fixed finite digits, no matter how large T is.

In this section, we explain Townsend's encoding and decoding algorithms [28], which are a stream version of the rANS, and evaluate its average code length. We assume in this section that N and N_s satisfy $N = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} N_s = 2^R$ for a given integer R .

4.1 Encoding and decoding procedures of rANS using finite digit operations [6]

From (21)–(23), the encoding and decoding functions are defined in the case of $N = 2^R$ as follows.




Figure 2: Relation between Push and Pop used in stream encoding and decoding of rANS.

A. Definition of encoding function

Encoding function $x_{t-1} := C(s_t, x_t)$ is defined by

$$x_{t-1} := 2^R \left\lfloor \frac{x_t}{N_{s_t}} \right\rfloor + d_{s_t} + \text{mod}(x_t, N_{s_t}). \quad (37)$$

B. Definition of decoding function

Decoding function $(s_t, x_t) := D(x_{t-1})$ is defined by

$$s_t := \min \left\{ s : \text{mod}(x_{t-1}, 2^R) < \sum_{i \leq s} N_i \right\},$$

$$x_t := N_{s_t} \left\lfloor \frac{x_{t-1}}{2^R} \right\rfloor + \text{mod}(x_{t-1}, 2^R) - d_{s_t}.$$

We now add the following restriction to x_{t-1} obtained by (37).

$$2^{r_a-r_b} \leq x_{t-1} < 2^{r_a}, \quad (38)$$

where r_a and r_b are integers satisfying $r_a - r_b > R$. Then, from (37) and (38), we have the following inequalities.

$$2^{r_a-r_b} \leq 2^R \left\lfloor \frac{x_t}{N_{s_t}} \right\rfloor + d_{s_t} + \text{mod}(x_t, N_{s_t}) < 2^{r_a},$$

$$2^{r_a-r_b-R} \leq \left\lfloor \frac{x_t}{N_{s_t}} \right\rfloor + \frac{d_{s_t} + \text{mod}(x_t, N_{s_t})}{2^R} < 2^{r_a-R},$$

$$2^{r_a-r_b-R} \leq \left\lfloor \frac{x_t}{N_{s_t}} \right\rfloor < 2^{r_a-R}, \quad (39)$$

$$2^{r_a-r_b-R} \leq \frac{x_t}{N_{s_t}} < 2^{r_a-R},$$

$$N_{s_t} 2^{r_a-r_b-R} \leq x_t < N_{s_t} 2^{r_a-R}, \quad (40)$$

where (39) holds because, from (24), we have $(d_{s_t} + \text{mod}(x_t, N_{s_t}))/2^R < 1$.

In order for x_{t-1} obtained by (37) to satisfy (38), x_t must satisfy (40). Therefore, if x_t does not satisfy (40), we remove the lower bits of x_t after pushing them onto a stack, and then we perform the encoding of (37). On the other hand, in decoding, x_t decoded from x_{t-1} satisfying (38) satisfies (40). Therefore, by popping the lower bits of x_t from the stack and adding them to x_t , x_t can satisfy $2^{r_a-r_b} \leq x_t < 2^{r_a}$. After finishing this process, we move on to the step of decoding s_{t+1} .

Figure 2 shows the relation between Push and Pop operations in encoding and decoding. Let stack-push (u, \tilde{u}) stand for pushing \tilde{u} into a stack u , and let stack-pop (u) represent popping u_{top} with an appropriate length from the top of the stack u . Then, the Push and Pop operations are described as follows.

Push operation for x_t **While** $x_t \geq N_{s_t} 2^{r_a - R}$:

$$u \leftarrow \text{stack-push}(u, \text{mod}(x_t, 2^{r_b})), \quad (41)$$

$$x_t \leftarrow \left\lfloor \frac{x_t}{2^{r_b}} \right\rfloor.$$

Pop operation for x_t **While** $x_t < 2^{r_a - r_b}$:

$$u_{\text{top}} \leftarrow \text{stack-pop}(u), \quad (42)$$

$$x_t \leftarrow 2^{r_b} x_t + u_{\text{top}}.$$

In the above Push operation, the number of times that Eq. (41) is applied depends on the values of s_t and x_t . If x_t satisfying $2^{r_a - r_b} \leq x_t < 2^{r_b}$ also satisfies $x_t < N_{s_t} 2^{r_a - R}$ (i.e., if $2^{-r_b} < p(s_t) \approx q(s_t) = N_{s_t}/N = N_{s_t} 2^{-R}$ and $2^{r_a - r_b} \leq x_t < N_{s_t} 2^{r_a - R}$), Eq. (41) is not executed. On the other hand, if $2^{-r_b} > p(s_t) \approx q(s_t) = N_{s_t}/N = N_{s_t} 2^{-R}$ and $N_{s_t} 2^{r_a - R} \leq x_t 2^{-r_b} < 2^{r_a - r_b}$, then Eq. (41) is executed more than once. We note that in order to satisfy (32), parameters r_a, r_b , and R must satisfy

$$2^{r_a - r_b} \gg 2^R. \quad (43)$$

Combining Pop-Push operations with encoding and decoding functions, we obtain the following encoding and decoding algorithms.

C. Encoding algorithm

- a. For a given data sequence $s^T = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_T$, set $x_T \leftarrow 2^{r_a - r_b}$.
- b. Repeat the following (i) and (ii) in backward order, $t = T, \cdots, 2, 1$.
 - (i) Perform the Push operation on x_t ,
 - (ii) $x_{t-1} \leftarrow C(s_t, x_t)$.
- c. The codeword of s^T is given by x_0 and stack u .

D. Decoding algorithm

- a. Set a codeword (x_0 and stack u).
- b. Repeat the following (iii) and (iv) in forward order, $t = 1, 2, \cdots, T$.
 - (iii) $(s_t, x_t) \leftarrow D(x_{t-1})$,
 - (iv) Perform the Pop operation on x_t .
- c. $s^T = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_T$ is the decoded sequence.

Remark 2 If a similar method is applied to the ABS in Section 2, it can be encoded and decoded using finite digit operations for any data sequence.

4.2 Average code length of stream rANS

When we encode s_t at time t , the increase in code length is given by $l(s_t) = \lg x_{t-1} - \lg x_t = \lg(x_{t-1}/x_t)$. Hence, combining (33) with the left inequality in (40), we can derive the following upper bound of $l(s_t)$.

$$\begin{aligned} l(s_t) &= \lg \frac{x_{t-1}}{x_t} < \lg \frac{N}{N_{s_t}} + \lg \left(1 + \frac{N_{s_t}}{x_t} \right) \\ &\leq \lg \frac{N}{N_{s_t}} + \lg \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^{r_a - r_b - R}} \right) \\ &< \lg \frac{1}{q(s_t)} + \frac{\lg e}{2^{r_a - r_b - R}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in the case of $x_T = 2^{r_a - r_b}$, the total code length $l(s^T)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
l(s^T) &= \sum_{t=1}^T l(s_t) + \lg x_T \\
&< \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\lg \frac{1}{q(s_t)} + \frac{\lg e}{2^{r_a - r_b - R}} \right) + r_a - r_b \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^T \lg \frac{1}{q(s_t)} + \left(\frac{T \lg e}{2^{r_a - r_b - R}} + r_a - r_b \right). \tag{44}
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way as (30), the average code length $L = (1/T) \sum p(s^T) l(s^T)$ can be bounded from (44) as follows.

$$L < H(p) + D(p||q) + \frac{\lg e}{2^{r_a - r_b - R}} + \frac{r_a - r_b}{T}, \tag{45}$$

where the 3rd and 4th terms on the right side become sufficiently small when we use parameters r_a, r_b, R satisfying (43) and $T \gg r_a - r_b$.

5 tANS

In this section, we discuss the tabled variant of ANS (tANS), which is sometimes simply referred to as ANS [13][14]. For any $|\mathcal{S}| \geq 2$, tANS can encode and decode data sequences using only integer operations like rANS. In the case of the stream rANS, a data sequence s^T is encoded to $(x_0$ and stack u), but a codeword is not determined for each s_t . On the other hand, the tANS is designed so that the codeword of s_t is uniquely determined from s_t and x_t . As a result, tANS has the advantage that encoding and decoding can be performed entirely using tables without arithmetic operations, as will be described later.

In the same way as the previous section, we assume that N and $N_s, s \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfy $N = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} N_s = 2^R$ for a given integer R . Then the encoding and decoding of tANS are defined by using sets of integer states \mathcal{X} , \mathcal{X}_s , and \mathcal{Y} .

1. \mathcal{X} : The set of states used in encoding and decoding, which is defined as $\mathcal{X} = \{N, N+1, \dots, 2N-1\}$ and $N = |\mathcal{X}|$.
2. \mathcal{X}_s : The set of states corresponding to $s \in \mathcal{S}$, which satisfies $\mathcal{X}_s \cap \mathcal{X}_{s'} = \emptyset$ for $s \neq s'$, and $\mathcal{X} = \bigcup_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{X}_s$. Then, $N = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} N_s$ for $N_s = |\mathcal{X}_s|$.
3. \mathcal{Y}_s : The other set of states corresponding to $s \in \mathcal{S}$, which is defined as $\mathcal{Y}_s = \{N_s, N_s+1, \dots, 2N_s-1\}$ and $N_s = |\mathcal{Y}_s|$.

Since it holds that $N_s = |\mathcal{X}_s| = |\mathcal{Y}_s|$ for each $s \in \mathcal{S}$, we have a one-to-one correspondence between $x \in \mathcal{X}_s$ and $y \in \mathcal{Y}_s$. Furthermore, since \mathcal{X}_s also satisfies the above condition 2, we also have a one-to-one correspondence between a pair (s, y) , $s \in \mathcal{S}$, $y \in \mathcal{Y}_s$, and $x \in \mathcal{X}$. We represent this one-to-one correspondence by encoding and decoding functions \tilde{C} and \tilde{D} .⁷

A. Definition of encoding function

For each $s \in \mathcal{S}$, encoding function $\tilde{C}(s, \cdot)$ is a bijection function $\tilde{C}(s, \cdot) : \mathcal{Y}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_s$. Note that if $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and $y \in \mathcal{Y}_s$, then $x := \tilde{C}(s, y) \in \mathcal{X}_s$.

⁷We use notation (\tilde{C}, \tilde{D}) since these functions have distinct meanings from the encoding function $x_{t-1} := C(s_t, x_t)$ and the decoding function $(s_t, x_t) := D(x_{t-1})$ used in previous sections.

B. Definition of decoding function

For each $x \in \mathcal{X}_s$, decoding function \tilde{D} is a bijection function $\tilde{D} : \mathcal{X}_s \rightarrow \{s\} \times \mathcal{Y}_s$. Note that if $x \in \mathcal{X}_s \subset \mathcal{X}$, then $(s, y) := \tilde{D}(x) \in \{s\} \times \mathcal{Y}_s$.

5.1 Encoding and decoding procedures of tANS [6][13][14]

Let x_t, s_t, b_t , and k_t represent the state, data symbol, codeword, and code length at time t , respectively. Then, encoding is the process of obtaining (b_t, x_{t-1}) from (x_t, s_t) , while decoding is the process of obtaining (s_t, x_t) from (x_{t-1}, b_t) . In the tANS, these processes are performed via (y_{t-1}, k_t) as shown in the following encoding and decoding algorithms [6][13], where it is assumed that functions \tilde{C} and \tilde{D} are given.

C. Encoding algorithm

- For a given data sequence $s^T = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_T$, select $x_T \in \mathcal{X}$ arbitrarily.
- Repeat the following calculations in backward order, $t = T, \cdots, 2, 1$.

$$k_t \leftarrow \left\lceil \lg \frac{x_t}{N_{s_t}} \right\rceil, \quad (46)$$

$$b_t \leftarrow \text{mod}(x_t, 2^{k_t}), \quad (47)$$

$$y_{t-1} \leftarrow \left\lfloor \frac{x_t}{2^{k_t}} \right\rfloor, \quad (48)$$

$$x_{t-1} \leftarrow \tilde{C}(s_t, y_{t-1}). \quad (49)$$

- The codeword sequence of s^T is $x_0 b_1 b_2 \cdots b_T$.

D. Decoding algorithm

- For a given codeword sequence $x_0 b_1 b_2 \cdots b_T$, set x_0 and $\mathbf{b} \leftarrow b_1 b_2 \cdots b_T$.
- Repeat the following calculations in forward order, $t = 1, 2, \cdots, T$.

$$(s_t, y_{t-1}) \leftarrow \tilde{D}(x_{t-1}), \quad (50)$$

$$k_t \leftarrow R - \lfloor \lg y_{t-1} \rfloor, \quad (50)$$

$$b_t \leftarrow \text{the first } k_t \text{ bits of } \mathbf{b}, \quad (51)$$

$$\mathbf{b} \leftarrow \text{the sequence obtained by removing } b_t \text{ from } \mathbf{b},$$

$$x_t \leftarrow 2^{k_t} y_{t-1} + b_t. \quad (52)$$

- $s^T = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_T$ is the decoded sequence.

State x_0 included in the codeword sequence can be represented with, e.g., $\lg N = R$ bits if we use the fixed length code. From (47), we note that k_t stands for the bit length of b_t . In decoding, since k_t can be obtained by (50), we can extract b_t from the codeword sequence \mathbf{b} in (51).

Remark 3 Encoding and decoding algorithms include the calculation of $\lfloor \lg a \rfloor$ for a positive integer a . But, since $\lfloor \lg a \rfloor$ is the number of bits of a excluding the MSB, it can easily be obtained without logarithm calculation.

Remark 4 Eq. (47) corresponds to the operation of (41). In Eq. (41), the remainder is taken by 2^{r_b} regardless of the values of s_t and x_t , whereas in Eq. (47), the remainder is taken by 2^{k_t} , which is determined by the values of s_t and x_t , so that the code word b_t is determined for each s_t .

We first show that y_{t-1} given by (48) satisfies $y_{t-1} \in \mathcal{Y}_{s_t}$, i.e., $N_{s_t} \leq y_{t-1} < 2N_{s_t}$. From (46), we have the following inequalities.

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{k_t} &\leq \frac{x_t}{N_{s_t}} < 2^{k_t+1}, \\ N_{s_t} &\leq \frac{x_t}{2^{k_t}} < 2N_{s_t}, \\ N_{s_t} &\leq y_{t-1} = \left\lfloor \frac{x_t}{2^{k_t}} \right\rfloor < 2N_{s_t}, \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

where (53) holds because N_{s_t} is an integer. Since (53) means $y_{t-1} \in \mathcal{Y}_{s_t}$, we can use the encoding function $\tilde{C}(s_t, y_{t-1})$ in (49) to obtain $x_{t-1} = \tilde{C}(s_t, y_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{X}_{s_t}$.

Since b_t and y_{t-1} are given by (47) and (48), respectively, in encoding, x_t can be decoded by (52) in decoding. Next we show that k_t can be obtained by (50). From $x_t \in \mathcal{X}$ (i.e., $N \leq x_t < 2N$), (52), and $N = 2^R$, we have the following inequalities.

$$\begin{aligned} 2^R &\leq 2^{k_t} y_{t-1} + b_t < 2^{R+1}, \\ 2^{R-k_t} &\leq y_{t-1} + \frac{b_t}{2^{k_t}} < 2^{R-k_t+1}, \\ 2^{R-k_t} &\leq y_{t-1} < 2^{R-k_t+1}, \\ R - k_t &\leq \lg y_{t-1} < R - k_t + 1, \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

which means that k_t is obtained by (50). Note that (54) holds because 2^{R-k_t} and y_{t-1} are integers and we have $0 \leq b_t/2^{k_t} < 1$ from (47).

Remark 5 Although we consider the case of $N = 2^R$ in the above, tANS can also be applied to general cases where N is not a power of 2 [6]. But, in decoding of general cases, k_t is given by $k_t = \lceil \lg(N/y_{t-1}) \rceil$ or $k_t = \lceil \lg(N/y_{t-1}) \rceil - 1$ instead of (50), and it is necessary to use k_t that satisfies $N \leq 2^{k_t} y_{t-1} + b_t < 2N$.

Remark 6 If we precalculate Eqs. (46)–(49) and (50)–(52) for all cases of $x_t = x, N \leq x < 2N$ and $s_t = s, s \in \mathcal{S}$ and store the results as a table, we can perform encoding and decoding without performing arithmetic calculations each time. For this reason, it is called tANS (tabled-variant of ANS).

5.2 Average code length of tANS

The influence of the choice of $x_T \in \mathcal{X}$ in encoding and the increase in the average code length due to the bit length R of x_0 contained in the codeword converge to zero as the data sequence length T becomes longer. For simplicity, in this section we will ignore these and find the average code length in the steady state.

Let $Q(x)$ denote the stationary probability of $x \in \mathcal{X}$, and let $q(s)$ be defined by $q(s) = N_s/N$. We first

evaluate the average code length L based on (46) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(s)Q(x) \left\lceil \lg \frac{x}{N_s} \right\rceil \\
&\leq \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(s)Q(x) \lg \frac{x}{N_s} \\
&= \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(s)Q(x) \left(\lg \frac{N}{N_s} + \lg \frac{x}{N} \right) \\
&= \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} p(s) \lg \frac{N}{N_s} + \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} Q(x) \lg \frac{x}{N} \tag{55}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\stackrel{*1}{\leq} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} p(s) \lg \frac{1}{q(s)} + \lg \frac{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} Q(x)x}{N} \\
&= H(p) + D(p||q) + \lg \frac{E[X]}{N}, \tag{56}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\stackrel{*1}{\leq}$ comes from Jensen's inequality for the \lg function, and $E[X]$ is the expected value of x in the steady state. Since $N \leq x \leq 2N - 1$, a loose upper bound $\lg(E[X]/N) < 1$ holds.

Unlike ABS and rANS treated in previous sections, tANS encodes each s_t symbol-by-symbol to a codeword with k_t bits. Therefore, there is a loss in the average code length compared to assigning one codeword at a time to the entire $s^T = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_T$. Below, we evaluate this loss under the tANS conditions, i.e., $N \leq x_{t-1} < 2N$ and $N_{s_t} \leq y_{t-1} < 2N_{s_t}$.

If we use $\tilde{x}_{t-1} := (N/N_{s_t})y_{t-1}$ instead of x_{t-1} , we can satisfy

$$N \leq \tilde{x}_{t-1} = \frac{N}{N_{s_t}} y_{t-1} < 2N. \tag{57}$$

However, \tilde{x}_{t-1} is generally not an integer. Therefore, tANS uses a function \tilde{C} to map y_{t-1} to an integer x_{t-1} that satisfies $N \leq x_{t-1} < 2N$.

Corresponding to the case where s^T is encoded all at once, we consider the ideal case where real-valued codeword length is allowed for each encoding of s_t . The codeword length in this case is given by $l(s_t) = \lg(x_{t-1}/y_{t-1})$, as in the case of rANS.

If \tilde{x}_{t-1} is used, then, from (57), $l(s_t) = \lg(\tilde{x}_{t-1}/y_{t-1}) = \lg(N/N_{s_t}) = \lg 1/q(s_t)$, which depends only on the value of s_t and not on the value of y_{t-1} . Therefore, the average code length \tilde{L}^* of this case is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{L}^* &= \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} p(s) \lg \frac{N}{N_s} \\
&= H(p) + D(p||q).
\end{aligned}$$

However, when we use $x_{t-1} = \tilde{C}(s, y_{t-1})$, the value of x_{t-1}/y_{t-1} deviates slightly from N/N_{s_t} , so the average code length becomes longer than \tilde{L}^* . The real-valued codeword length for $x_{t-1} = \tilde{C}(s_t, y_{t-1})$ is given by $l(s_t) = \lg(\tilde{C}(s_t, y_{t-1})/y_{t-1})$, which depends on both s_t and y_{t-1} , so the average code length L^* can be

evaluated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
L^* &= \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_s} Q(\tilde{C}(s, y)) \lg \frac{\tilde{C}(s, y)}{y} \\
&= \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_s} Q(\tilde{C}(s, y)) \left(\lg \frac{N}{N_s} + \lg \frac{\tilde{C}(s, y) N_s}{N y} \right) \\
&=^{*2} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} p(s) \lg \frac{N}{N_s} + \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_s} Q(\tilde{C}(s, y)) \lg \frac{\tilde{C}(s, y)}{N} - \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_s} Q(\tilde{C}(s, y)) \lg \frac{y}{N_s} \\
&=^{*3} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} p(s) \lg \frac{N}{N_s} + \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} Q(x) \lg \frac{x}{N} - \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_s} Q(\tilde{C}(s, y)) \lg \frac{y}{N_s}, \tag{58}
\end{aligned}$$

where the numbered equalities hold because

$$=^{*2}: \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_s} Q(\tilde{C}(s, y)) = p(s),$$

$$=^{*3}: (s, y) \text{ and } x = \tilde{C}(s, y) \text{ have a one-to-one correspondence.}$$

From (55) and (58), the loss of average code length of tANS compared with L^* is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
L - L^* &\leq \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_s} Q(\tilde{C}(s, y)) \lg \frac{y}{N_s} \\
&\leq^{*1} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} p(s) \lg \frac{\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_s} \frac{Q(\tilde{C}(s, y))}{p(s)} y}{N_s} \\
&= \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} p(s) \lg \frac{\mathbb{E}[Y|s]}{N_s}, \tag{59}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{E}[Y|s]$ is the expected value of y_{t-1} under the condition of $s_t = s \in \mathcal{S}$. Since we have $\mathbb{E}[Y|s]/N_s < 2$ in (59) from (53), a looser bound is given by $L - L^* < 1$.

Although (56) is an upper bound for any \tilde{C} , the average code length L can be made smaller by choosing \tilde{C} appropriately. Methods of constructing \tilde{C} with good performance have been proposed in references such as [13] [14] [27]. It is also shown in [22] [25] [26] that the ideal stationary probability distribution⁸ of tANS is given by

$$Q^*(x) = \lg \frac{x+1}{x},$$

and paper [27] shows how to construct \tilde{C} based on $Q^*(x)$.

Furthermore, it is proved in [34] that if $Q(x)$ satisfies $Q(x) \leq Q^*(x) + (\alpha/N^2)$ for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and a constant $\alpha \geq 0$, the average code length L is bounded by

$$L \leq H(p) + D(p||q) + \frac{\alpha}{N}.$$

In the case of $q(s) = N/N_s = p(s)$, a construction method of \tilde{C} is shown in [26][34] such that $Q(x)$ satisfies $Q(x) = Q^*(x) + O(1/N^2)$.

⁸If $Q^*(x)$ can be realized, it is optimal.

6 Conclusions

In this paper, we explained in detail the encoding and decoding algorithms for variants of ANS, and we derived several upper bounds on their average coding lengths.

We assumed that source probability distribution $p = \{p(s)\}$ is given. But, if p is unknown, it is necessary to include information on p or $\{N_s\}$ in codewords. Alternatively, it is possible to use a frequency distribution like dynamic Huffman code [35], without including information on p or $\{N_s\}$ in codewords. When decoding s_{t+1} using the probability distribution $\hat{p}_t = \{\hat{p}_t(s)\}$ estimated based on the frequency distribution of $s_1^t = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_t$, the encoding also requires that the probability distribution $\hat{p}_t, t = 1, 2, \dots, T$ is first obtained from s^T , and then encoding is performed using \hat{p}_t in the backward order, that is, $t = T, \dots, 2, 1$. In this paper, we have explained that s^T is encoded in backward order ($s_t, t = T, T-1, \dots, 1$) and decoded in forward order ($s_t, t = T, T-1, \dots, 1$). But, it is also possible to perform encoding in forward order and decoding in backward order.

The ANS is designed to perform encoding and decoding using integer arithmetic operations, similar to Range code of arithmetic coding. However, even without using arithmetic operations, high-performance compression is possible by performing encoding and decoding in reverse order [32]–[34]. There has also been research into using the ANS as a simple cipher or a simple random number generator for cryptography [8]–[10][12].

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 24K07487.

References

- [1] H. Yamamoto and K. Iwata, “Encoding and decoding algorithms of ANS variants and evaluation of their compression performance,” Proc. of 2023 Shannon Theory Workshop (STW2023), pp.36–43, Oct. 2023 (in Japanese).
- [2] T. M. Cover and J. A. Thomas, *Elements of Information Theory*, 2nd Ed., Wiley-Interscience, 2006.
- [3] D. Salomon and G. Motta, *Handbook of data compression*, 5th Ed., Springer, 2010.
- [4] J. Duda, “Optimal encoding on discrete lattice with translational invariant constraints using statistical algorithms,” arXiv:0710.3861v5, Nov. 2, 2008.
- [5] J. Duda, “Asymmetric numeral systems,” arXiv:0902.0271v5, May 21, 2009.
- [6] J. Duda, “Asymmetric numeral systems: entropy coding combining speed of Huffman coding with compression rate of arithmetic coding,” arXiv:1311.2540v2, Jan. 6, 2014.
- [7] J. Duda, K. Tahboub, et al., “The use of asymmetric numeral systems as an accurate replacement of Huffman coding,” Proc. of 2015 Picture Coding Symposium (PCS2015), pp. 65–69, May, 2015.
- [8] J. Duda and M. Niemic, “Lightweight compression with encryption based on asymmetric numeral systems,” arXiv:1612.04662v1, Dec. 14, 2016.
- [9] S. Campete, J. Duda, et al., “Compcrypt-lightweight ANS-based compression and encryption,” IEEE Trans. on Inform. Foren. and Security, vol. 16, pp. 3859–3873, July, 2021.

- [10] S. Camtepe, J. Duda, et al., “ANS–based compression and encryption with 128–bit security,” *Int. Journal of Inform. Security*, vol. 21, pp.1051–1067, July, 2022.
- [11] J. Duda, “Encoding of probability distributions for asymmetric numeral systems”, arXiv:2106.06438v4, July 4, 2022.
- [12] J. Pieprzyk, M. Pawlowski, et al., “Pseudorandom bit generation with asymmetric numeral systems,” *Cryptology ePrint Archive*, Paper 2022/005, 2022
- [13] J. Pieprzyk, J. Duda, et al., “Compression optimality of asymmetric numeral systems,” arXiv:2209.02228v1, Sep 6, 2022.
- [14] J. Pieprzyk, J. Duda, et al., “The compression optimality of asymmetric numeral systems,” *Entropy*, vol. 25, no. 4, article no. 672, April, 2023.
- [15] Wikipedia, “Asymmetric numeral systems,” https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Asymmetric_numeral_systems.
- [16] P. A. Hsieh, J.-L. Wu, “A review of the asymmetric numeral system and its applications to digital images,” *Entropy*, vol. 24, no. 3, 375, March, 2022.
- [17] A. Moffat and M. Petri, “ANS-based index compression,” *Proc. of 2017 ACM on Conf. on Inform. and Knowl. Manage. (CIKM’17)*, pp. 677–686, Nov., 2017.
- [18] A. Moffat and M. Petri, “Index compression using byte-aligned ANS coding and two–dimensional contexts,” *Proc. of the 7th ACM Int. Conf. on Web Search and Data Mining (WSDN’18)* pp. 405–413, Feb., 2018.
- [19] A. Moffat and M. Petri, “Large-alphabet semi-static entropy coding via asymmetric numeral systems,” *ACM Trans. on Inform. Systems*, vol. 38, Issue 4, pp. 1–33, July, 2020.
- [20] H. Fujisaki, “Invariant measure for the subshifts associated with the asymmetric binary systems,” *Proc. of 2018 Int. Sym. of Inform. Theory and its Appli. (ISITA2018)*, pp. 675–679, Oct., 2018.
- [21] H. Fujisaki, “On topological entropies of the subshifts associated with the stream version of asymmetric binary systems,” *Proc. of 46th Sym. on Inform. Theory and its Appli. (SITA2023)*, 5.1.3, pp. 338–343, Nov., 2023.
- [22] H. Yokoo, “On the stationary distribution of asymmetric binary systems,” *Proc. of 2016 IEEE Int. Sym. of Inform. Theory (ISIT2016)*, pp.11–15, July, 2016.
- [23] L. Inoue and H. Yokoo, “Evaluation of a Probability Approximation Method for ANS with Multi-ary Sources,” *IEICE Tech. Report*, IT2018-93, pp. 109–114, March, 2019 (in Japanese).
- [24] X. Qi and H. Yokoo, “A new variation of asymmetric numeral systems,” *IEICE Tech. Report*, IT2018-94, pp.115–120, March, 2019.
- [25] D. Dubé and H. Yokoo, “Fast construction of almost optimal symbol distributions for asymmetric numeral systems,” *Proc. of 2019 IEEE Int. Sym. of Inform. Theory (ISIT2019)*, pp. 1682–1686, July, 2019.
- [26] H. Yokoo and D. Dubé, “Asymptotic optimality of asymmetric numeral systems,” *Proc. of 42th Sym. on Inform. Theory and its Appli. (SITA2019)*, 4.2.3, pp. 289–294, Nov., 2019.

- [27] H. Yokoo, “Reconstruction of ANS entropy coders based on their optimality condition,” Proc. of Symp. on Inform. Theory and its Appli. (SITA2023), 5.1.2, pp. 332–337, Nov. 2023 (in Japanese).
- [28] J. Townsend, “A tutorial on the range variant of asymmetric numeral systems,” arXiv:2001.09186v3, Oct. 7, 2020.
- [29] I. Blanes, M. Hernández-Cabronero, et al., “Redundancy and optimization of tANS entropy encoders,” IEEE Trans. on Multimedia, vo. 23, pp.4341–4350, Nov., 2021.
- [30] T. Strutz, “Rescaling of symbol counts for adaptive rANS coding,” Proc. of 2023 31th European Signal Processing Conf. (EUSIPCO2023), pp. 585-589, Sep., 2023.
- [31] M. Li, Y. Liu, and N. Wang, “A novel ANS coding with low computational complexity,” 2023 IEEE/CIC Int. Conf. on Comm. in China (ICCC2023), pp. 1–6, Sep., 2023.
- [32] H. Yamamoto and K. Iwata, “An asymmetric encoding-decoding scheme for lossless data compression,” IEICE Tech. Report, IT2023-17, pp.17-22, Aug., 2023 (in Japanese).
- [33] H. Yamamoto and K. Iwata, “An asymmetric encoding-decoding scheme for lossless data compression,” Proc. of 2024 IEEE Int. Sym. of Information Theory (ISIT2024), pp.55–60, July, 2024.
- [34] H. Yamamoto and K. Iwata, “Asymptotic optimality of the asymmetric encoding-decoding scheme,” Proc. of 2018 Int. Sym. of Inform. Theory and its Appli. (ISITA2018), Nov., 2024 (to appear).
- [35] R. G. Gallager, “Variations on a Theme by Huffman,” IEEE Trans. on Infor. Theory, vol. IT-24, no. 6, pp.668–674, Nov., 1978.