

Developing a Multi-variate Prediction Model For COVID-19 From Crowd-sourced Respiratory Voice Data

Yuyang Yan^{1,*}, Wafaa Aljbawi¹, Sami O. Simons², and Visara Urovi¹

¹Institute of Data Science, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands

²Department of Respiratory Medicine, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht University,
Maastricht, The Netherlands

*yuyang.yan@maastrichtuniversity.nl

Abstract

COVID-19 has affected more than 223 countries worldwide and in the Post-COVID Era, there is a pressing need for non-invasive, low-cost, and highly scalable solutions to detect COVID-19. We develop a deep learning model to identify COVID-19 from voice recording data. The novelty of this work is in the development of deep learning models for COVID-19 identification from only voice recordings. We use the Cambridge COVID-19 Sound database which contains 893 speech samples, crowd-sourced from 4352 participants via a COVID-19 Sounds app. Voice features including Mel-spectrograms and Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCC) and CNN Encoder features are extracted. Based on the voice data, we develop deep learning classification models to detect COVID-19 cases. These models include Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) and Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) and Hidden-Unit BERT (HuBERT). We compare their predictive power to baseline machine learning models. HuBERT achieves the highest accuracy of 86% and the highest AUC of 0.93. The results achieved with the proposed models suggest promising results in COVID-19 diagnosis from voice recordings when compared to the results obtained from the state-of-the-art.

Keywords: COVID-19 Diagnosis, Voice Analysis, Machine Learning, Deep Learning, Mel-Spectrogram, MFCC



1 INTRODUCTION

The coronavirus or severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) can cause severe respiratory disease in humans and has become a potential threat to human health and the global economy. On October 2023, more than 6.9 million deaths from coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) are confirmed [1]. Since the outbreak in late December 2019, multiple variants of the virus have spread worldwide [2].

One of the most concerning aspects of SARS-CoV-2 is its rapid spread, the virus easily spreads through surfaces [3], air, breathing, talking, or physical contact [4], and thus it is possible to affect large populations in a very short time. As a result, it is important to quickly identify who is infected. Several research efforts have been carried out to avoid the rapid spread of the epidemic and effectively control the number of infected people [5] [6]. To facilitate the detection of positive cases,

several researchers have been exploring the possibility of utilizing auditory data produced by the human body (such as breathing [7], heart rate [8], and vibration sounds [9]) to diagnose and track disease progression [10].

The most common symptoms include fever, dry cough, loss of smell and taste, headache, muscle aches, diarrhea, conjunctivitis, and in more severe cases, shortness of breath, chest pain, and loss of speech or movement [11]. The respiratory tract is impacted which results in a lack of speech energy and a loss of voice due to shortness of breath and upper airway congestion [12]. Recurrent dry coughs can also cause alterations in the vocal cords, reducing the quality of one's voice. A recent study found that individuals with COVID-19 have changes in their voice's acoustic characteristics due to inadequate airflow through the vocal tract as a result of pulmonary and laryngological dysfunction [12]. As a consequence, COVID-19 as a respiratory condition may cause patients' voices to become distinctive, leading to distinguishable voice signatures.

With the emergence of COVID-19, several attempts have been made to complement standard testing procedures with effective automated diagnosis solutions. The World Health Organization (WHO) considers nucleic acid-based real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) to be the standard technique for diagnosing COVID-19 [11]. Due to the high cost and restricted access to the test, as well as the risk of exposing healthcare professionals and medical staff to the virus, it is also infeasible to go to medical centers and undergo RT-PCR after every cough or uncomfortable feeling [11]. The Rapid Antigen Test (RAT) is an alternative that does not require laboratory processing and eliminates the time constraint of RT-PCR. However, its sensitivity declines with lower viral loads, resulting in false negative results in patients with lower levels of the SARS-CoV-2 virus [13].

In this research, we extract Mel-spectrograms, Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCC) features and CNN Encoder features from the Cambridge COVID-19 Sound database. We develop deep learning models including Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) and Hidden-Unit BERT (HuBERT) to detect COVID-19 and compare their prediction performance with baseline models including Logistic Regression (LR) and Support Vector Machine (SVM). To validate the performance of the proposed models, the Coswara dataset is used for validation. Several works have investigated COVID-19 detection with audio recordings such as [5], [14], [15], [16] and [17]. The novelty of our work is that we train our models only with speech recordings instead of all breathing, cough, and speech, and no need for any symptoms or hospitalization information. Besides, we develop both deep learning classification models and traditional machine learning models to determine which model is optimal for diagnosing COVID-19. Furthermore, we validate the solution with an external dataset.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the main development in extracting voice features and the employment of machine learning models for COVID-19 detection. Section 3 provides a literature review on respiratory sound analysis and deep learning models for COVID-19 diagnosis. The used datasets, the architecture of the proposed models, and features extracted in this research are explained in section 4. This is followed by a description of the results in section 5. In section 6, we discuss the interpretation of the results in this work. Finally, section 7 summarises the findings and identifies future directions.

2 BACKGROUND

Voice samples provide a plethora of health-related information [18]. As a result, scientists believe that minor voice signals might reveal underlying medical issues or disease risks. Voice analysis technologies have the potential to be reliable, efficient, affordable, convenient, and simple-to-use techniques for health problem prediction, diagnosis and monitoring. Various approaches [19], [20] have been used to extract certain voice and acoustic features (referred to as vocal biomarkers) from audio recordings. These features are then analyzed for representative patterns and cues to give insights about an individual's health.

Besides, researchers have investigated several methods to extract sound features for COVID-19 detection. MFCC is a technique to extract audio features that is extensively utilized in different audio recognition applications such as speech emotion identification [21] and pathology voice recognition [22]. Its success stands in the ability to capture in a compact form and in the way human hearing perceives sound [23]. Specifically, MFCC is based on known variations of the human ears' critical bandwidth with frequency. The most important point of speech analysis is that the sounds produced by humans are filtered by the shape of the vocal tract (including the laryngeal cavity, the pharynx, and the oral cavity). The shape of the vocal tract controls how the sound is produced, it reveals in the envelope of the short-time power spectrum, and MFCC is to appropriately capture this envelope.

With the development of deep learning and machine learning, neural networks have played an important role in audio recognition: LSTM [24], SVM [25], CNN [26], Artificial Neural Network (ANN) [27], HuBERT [28] have been widely used for speech analysis. When the HuBERT model is used for speech recognition tasks, it either matches or improves upon the state-of-the-art wav2vec 2.0 performance on all fine-tuning subsets [28]. However, the performance of HuBERT model in downstream applications like vocal pathology detection is not clear. Compared with traditional methods, deep learning, and machine learning models can extract and learn more complex and robust features and make intelligent decisions.

3 RELATED WORK

Mild or severe changes in human voice can be a sign of a variety of diseases, making vocal biomarkers a noninvasive tool to monitor patients, grade the severity and the stages of diseases or for drug development [18]. Patients suffering from Parkinson's disease, for example, have a decrease in voice volume and faster speech [29]. As a result, modifications in voice, which are normally undetectable by human ears, can now be investigated by machine learning models. For instance, Wroge et al. implement a model for Parkinson's disease diagnosis [30]. Their model is able to distinguish between Parkinson's disease patients and those in the control group with an accuracy of 85%.

The automatic COVID-19 detection from respiratory sounds has attracted a lot of interest since the outbreak of COVID-19. Skander et al. [31] develop a COVID-19 diagnosis system from cough sounds and achieve an AUC score of 0.9113 with an attention-based hybrid CNN-LSTM model. Madhu et al. [32] use different auditory-based features from breathing, cough, and speech with a bi-LSTM model to obtain an AUC of 0.866 for COVID-19 detection.

Machine learning and deep learning have been investigated for COVID-19 diagnosis. Lella et al. [19] propose to train a multi-channelled deep convolutional neural network with features including Data De-noising Auto Encoder (DAE), Gamma-tone Frequency Cepstral Coefficients (GFCC) filter bank and Improved MFCCs (IMFCCs), obtain an accuracy of




Fig. 1: The used pipeline for both traditional Machine learning classifiers and Deep Learning classifiers for COVID-19 binary classification (e.g., COVID-19 vs. non-COVID-19).

95.45% and an F1-score of 96.96%. In [20], the authors study 76 positive COVID-19 patients and 40 healthy individuals, VGG19 is performed with Mel-spectrograms to distinguish patients with COVID-19. The accuracy for polysyllabic sentence data is 81% and for cough data is 67%. Nassif et al. [14] and Aly et al. [16] both use the Coswara dataset for COVID-19 detection and achieve accuracy of 98.9% and 96% from the CNN models, respectively. Chang et al. [15] propose a transfer learning framework for the FluSense dataset to detect COVID-19 from cough sounds, the CNN model incorporating embeddings achieves the best validation AUC of 72.38%.

To the best of our knowledge, the works that are fairly comparable with this investigation are [17] [5], since they also use audio recordings from the Cambridge COVID-19 Sound database. In [17], Schuller et al. propose a technique to identify COVID-19, and achieve an Unweighted Average Recall of 72.1% using a Support Vector Machine (SVM). Han et al. [5] also use the Cambridge COVID-19 Sound database (including breathing, coughs, and voice signals), to obtain an AUC of 0.71 for COVID-19 detection with the VGGish model. Compared with those works, we only use speech recording instead of all breathing, coughs, and speech data, and achieve higher AUC performance, the detailed results will be shown in the following sessions.

4 METHODS

In this section, we will describe the datasets and the models used in this study, the steps followed by the feature extraction prior to the analysis. Figure 1 illustrates the model development steps into a pipeline, the MFCC is extracted as inputs for LR, SVM, CNN, and LSTM models. From our literature review in section 3, the CNN model with Mel-spectrograms also




Fig. 2: Users characteristics (a) age, (b) gender, (c) COVID-19 test results, (d) the number of admissions to hospital

achieves good performance in image classification and recognition tasks, thus we also train Mel-spectrograms with the CNN model. HuBERT is an end-to-end model which perform various speech and audio processing tasks without the need for handcrafted feature engineering, the raw speech recordings are directly used as inputs for HuBERT model. The results of these features and models will be discussed in the following sections.

4.1 Dataset Description

To develop the classifier models, we use the Cambridge COVID-19 Sound database [10] which is crowd-sourced and collected from a web-based platform, an Android application, and an iOS application. As reported by [10], participants were asked to report their demographics, medical history, and smoking status. In addition, they were required to report their COVID-19 test results, hospitalization status, and symptoms (if any). After a year of data collection, 893 speech samples (308 COVID-19 positive samples) are released. In Figure 2, user characteristics are shown. As it is shown in Table 1, the symptoms they suffered from included dry cough, smell, and taste loss, sore throat, wet cough, short breath, muscle ache, and headache.

We develop several classification models to detect COVID-19. For an external validation of the predictive models, we use the Coswara dataset [33]. Details of this dataset are shown in Table S1 in Supplementary material, the sound samples of the Coswara dataset are also collected via worldwide crowdsourcing using a web and mobile application. In our analysis,

TABLE 1: Patient characteristics in the Cambridge COVID-19 Sound database

Attribute	Variable	Value	Count	Percentage
Demographics	Gender	Female	385	43%
		Male	503	56%
		Other	5	0.6%
	Age	Max age	90	
		Min age	9.5	
		Avg age	46	
Symptoms		None	375	42%
		Dry cough	118	13%
		Smell and taste loss, Sore throat	47	5.3%
		Wet cough	37	4.1%
		Short breath	25	2.8%
		Sore throat	22	2.5%
		Muscleache	15	1.7%
		Headache	14	1.6%
		Dry cough, Tightness	12	1.3%
		Prior Medical History		None
High blood pressure	112			13%
Pulmonary	50			6%
Other	35			4%
Diabetes	23			2.6%
Smoking Status		Cardiovascular	4	0.4%
		Non-smoker	586	71%
		Ex-smoker	191	13%
		1-10 cigarettes per day	63	6%
		11-20 cigarettes per day	28	4%
		Prefer not to say	13	2.6%
		Smoked Once	7	0.4%
Hospitalized		21+ cigarettes per day	5	0.4%
		Yes	880	98.5%
COVID-19 test result		No	12	1.5%
		Negative	585	65.5%
Recorded time delay		Positive	308	34.5%
		Positive (Recorded in 2 weeks after COVID test)	141	45.8%
		Positive (Recorded over 2 weeks after COVID test)	167	54.2%

we focus only on the speech signals of the Cambridge COVID-19 Sound database, thus we also use speech signals in the Coswara dataset (provided as normal and fast speed counting) for model validation.

Both the Cambridge COVID-19 Sound database and the Coswara dataset are not perfectly balanced in positive and negative cases. All experiments are carried out using 10-fold cross-validation, where one of the folds is used for testing, while the others are used for training. Since the positive and negative cases in datasets are imbalanced, the StratifiedKFold function from scikit-learn library is used to keep all folds the same ratio between positive and negative cases.

4.2 Models

4.2.1 Traditional Machine Learning Classifiers

We start with traditional machine learning models as a baseline and progressively build more complex ones. We evaluate Logistic Regression (LR) and Support Vector Machine (SVM) as baseline models. These models are trained on MFCC features extracted from the audio recordings. All parameters in the LR model are set to their defaults. In the SVM model, the gamma value is set as 0.001 based on fine-tuning with the GridSearchCV library, other parameters in SVM are set to their defaults.

4.2.2 Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)

With the development of deep learning, more and more deep learning models are applied to various tasks, such as image recognition, image classification, speech recognition, and machine translation [26]. Due to the outstanding performance of neural networks, CNN has solved several complex challenges in computer vision. Therefore, a CNN model is used to process MFCC images that are extracted from the audio recordings.

We also experiment with Mel-spectrograms as inputs for the CNN model, as the Mel-spectrogram is an effective tool to extract hidden features from audio and visualize them as an image. Moreover, MFCC is less correlated compared with Mel-spectrogram, thus using Mel-spectrogram instead of MFCC achieves better performance for most deep learning models [34]. This results from deep learning models are better equipped to handle correlated features when compared to traditional machine learning models [35].

The CNN model is built with two convolutional layers, each one is followed by a max-pooling layer and dropout layer, finally, a softmax activation function is used in the output dense layer for classification. It is trained by an Adam optimizer, the max epoch and batch size are 100 and 32, respectively.

4.2.3 Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM)

Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) [36] is an advanced variant of recurrent neural network(RNN) that excels in handling sequential data and describing temporal dependencies in the data. The intuition behind this choice is that LSTM allows the neural network to retain (and gradually forget) information about previous time instants taking advantage of the strong temporal dependency that exists between consecutive frames in the speech signals. Our LSTM model is implemented in Python using the Keras library. We extract MFCC from each audio recording. These features are used to train the LSTM model.

The LSTM model is built with one Bidirectional LSTM layer followed by a dropout layer and two dense layers, finally, a sigmoid activation function is used in the output dense layer for classification. It is trained by an Adam optimizer, the max epoch and batch size are 100 and 32, respectively.

4.2.4 Hidden-Unit BERT (HuBERT)

HuBERT is a self-supervised speech model trained to predict clustered features with masked inputs. In this work, we use the base variant pre-trained with 960 hours of LibriSpeech [37]. For the pre-training process, a randomly initialized softmax layer is used to replace the projection layer, then the CTC (Connectionist Temporal Classification) loss is optimized. For more details of the pre-training of HuBERT, please refer to [28]. Though the HuBERT model matches or improves upon the state-of-the-art wav2vec 2.0 performance on fine-tuning speech recognition task [28], its performance on vocal pathology tasks is not clear. We use the HuBERT model in this work to explore its effectiveness in vocal pathology tasks.

The base-HuBERT model used in this work has 12 layers of transformers, and contains 90M parameters. The entire HuBERT is fine-tuned with Cambridge COVID-19 Sound database, and the results will be compared with other machine learning models.

4.3 Feature extraction

Vocal features are extracted from audio recordings and used in our models. The extracted features for speech signal optimum representation are the Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCC), Mel-spectrograms and CNN Encoder features.

MFCC is a fundamental feature that is utilized in speaker and emotion recognition and disease detection by the advanced representation of human auditory perception it provides [21], [22]. MFCC is extracted from each voice recording in frames by using the default frame length of 2048 samples, and hop length of 512 samples, the first 40 cepstral coefficients are computed in each frame. For the CNN model, the MFCC images are used as inputs, but for LR, SVM, and LSTM models, MFCC vectors are obtained by computing the mean from the frame-wise MFCC, because images as inputs are unadmissible for these models.

Mel-spectrogram [38] contains a short-time Fourier transform (STFT) for each frame of the spectrum (energy/amplitude spectrum), from the linear frequency scale to the logarithmic Mel-scale, the filter bank will then determine the eigenvector. Eigenvalues can be roughly expressed as the distribution of signal energy on the Mel-scale frequency. Mel-spectrogram is extracted from each voice recording in frames by using the default frame length of 2048 samples, and hop length of 512 samples, Hanning window is used to control the spectral leakage.

HuBERT model is an end-to-end model, the CNN encoder in HuBERT is composed of seven 512-channel layers with strides [5,2,2,2,2,2,2] and kernel widths [10,3,3,3,3,2,2]. The CNN encoder generates a feature sequence at a 20ms framerate and then randomly masked as inputs.

5 RESULTS

5.1 Performance Measurements

To better evaluate the performance of the model, we list several indicators used to evaluate the model. Among the indicators, AUC (Area under the ROC curve) and accuracy were considered as the most important performance indicators. This is because AUC considers all possible classification thresholds, making it insensitive to the choice of threshold values. Moreover, AUC is a more robust indicator due to the fact that it is less sensitive to imbalanced datasets. Accuracy was also considered an important indicator since it represents the percentage of correctly classified cases, making it easy for stakeholders, healthcare professionals, and the general public to understand the model's performance.

5.2 Experimental Results with different models

We train the models, the results are summarized in Table 2, the detailed results for each folder shows in Table S2 to Table S7 in supplementary material. The accuracy of the CNN model with MFCC images is 59%. For positive cases, 38% of them are identified accurately as positive. 69% of those who tested negative are expected to be COVID-19 free, and the PPV and NPV of the CNN model are 33% and 72%. Figure 3 shows the ROC curve for the CNN classifier, the curve shows performance with 0.54 AUC. We also use Mel-spectrograms as inputs for the CNN model, the accuracy and AUC are higher than the CNN model with MFCC, which are 78% and 0.84. It indicates when Mel-spectrograms are used as inputs, the CNN model has better performance for COVID-19 detection.

TABLE 2: A summary of parameters and performances of the used models

Model	Parameters	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV
LR	Input = MFCC vector	0.71±0.04	0.62±0.11	0.73±0.03	0.39±0.12	0.87±0.05
SVM	Input = MFCC vector, kernel= rbf, C=1,gamma= 0.001	0.81±0.04	0.87±0.01	0.80±0.03	0.54±0.08	0.96±0.03
CNN	Input = MFCC images ,input shape=(150, 150,3), loss= binary crossentropy, optimizer= adam, activation = softmax	0.59±0.11	0.38±0.32	0.69±0.31	0.33±0.20	0.72±0.11
LSTM	Input= MFCC vector, loss= mean absolute error, optimizer= adam, activation = sigmoid	0.81±0.03	0.63±0.06	0.90±0.04	0.77±0.08	0.83±0.03
CNN	Input = Mel-spectrogram images ,input shape=(150, 150,3), loss= binary crossentropy, optimizer= adam, activation = softmax	0.78±0.03	0.65±0.12	0.85±0.04	0.70±0.04	0.82±0.04
HuBERT	Input = Encoder features	0.86±0.03	0.80±0.09	0.89±0.07	0.82±0.08	0.90±0.04




Fig. 3: ROC curve for Models

We test the performance of the LSTM model, we take the same strategy as the MFCC features extracted from the audio recordings. According to Table 2, the proposed LSTM model has the highest accuracy of 81%, with 63% sensitivity and 90% specificity. LSTM model produces PPV and NPV as 77% and 83%. Figure 3 shows the LSTM model has an AUC of 0.80.

We also train two traditional classifiers to predict COVID-19 based on MFCC features. According to Table 2, after we remove some delayed positive cases, the LR model has an accuracy of 71%, correctly recognizes 62% of positive cases, and

the model accurately classifies 73% of negative samples. Figure 3 shows the LR model with a 0.72 AUC. Table 2 shows for the SVM model, the accuracy is 81%, with a 87% sensitivity and 80% specificity.

The best performance achieved by HuBERT model with 86% accuracy and 0.93 of AUC. Those results show when the MFCC features were used as inputs, the SVM model has the highest accuracy and AUC among all models. Overall, the HuBERT model achieves the highest AUC and accuracy of 0.93 and 0.86 compared with other models.

5.3 Coswara dataset validation

From previous sections, the HuBERT model performs better since it has the highest AUC and accuracy, but LSTM and CNN also show good performance with high accuracy and AUC, so we validate all of those three models. We retrain the HuBERT, LSTM model and CNN model with the Coswara dataset to verify the performance of those models. Again, MFCC features and MEL-spectrograms of the Coswara dataset are extracted and fed into LSTM and CNN models.

There are 3898 negative cases and 1350 positive cases in the Coswara dataset. As Table 3 shows, when we run the Coswara dataset with our proposed LSTM model, we get an accuracy of 75%, sensitivity and specificity are 29% and 93%. Figure 4 shows LSTM with an AUC of 0.66. The CNN model is also used for validation and Mel-spectrograms are used as inputs, we achieve an accuracy of 71% and an AUC of 0.71. The HuBERT model achieves highest performance in all indicators including accuracy of 0.82 and AUC of 0.83, which shows the HuBERT model also has best performance in COVID detection for the external dataset.

TABLE 3: A summary of the performances and parameters for Coswara dataset validation

Model	Parameters	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV
LSTM	Input= MFCC vector, loss= mean absolute error, optimizer= adam, activation = sigmoid	0.75±0.06	0.29±0.03	0.93±0.02	0.61±0.07	0.79±0.01
CNN	Input = Mel-spectrogram images ,input shape=(150, 150,3), loss= binary crossentropy, optimizer= adam, activation = softmax	0.71±0.03	0.44±0.04	0.84±0.03	0.49±0.05	0.81±0.01
HuBERT	Input = Encoder features	0.82±0.03	0.50±0.09	0.93±0.05	0.73±0.10	0.84±0.02

5.4 Distinguishing COVID-19 from cold symptoms

To make sure our models are performing well in classifying COVID-19 instead of other cold symptoms, we use the proposed models on all cases that tested COVID-19 positive, and on those cases that tested as COVID-19 negative but reported at least one cold symptom, such as dry cough, wet cough, fever or sore throat. There are 308 positive cases and 216 negative with cold symptom cases left in this experiment.

The results in Figure 5 show an AUC of 0.78 for the LSTM model and an AUC of 0.85 for the CNN model with Mel-spectrograms as inputs, the highest AUC is achieved by HuBERT model with 0.90, showing that the negative cases with cold symptoms can be distinguished from COVID-19 positive quite well. In other words, our models are able to distinguish COVID-19 cases in voices without misclassifying subjects with cold symptoms.




Fig. 4: ROC curve for Coswara dataset validation




Fig. 5: ROC curve for distinguishing COVID-19 from cold symptoms

6 DISCUSSION

In this work we extracted Mel-spectrograms, MFCC and CNN encoder features for deep learning models and traditional machine learning models. We demonstrated that the HuBERT model can best distinguish COVID-19 patients. We concluded this because the HuBERT model achieved the highest AUC and accuracy of 0.93 and 0.86. The HuBERT model could be used

as a complementary methods for COVID-19 screening. The best performance from the HuBERT model can be attributed to several factors. Firstly, compare with other models, HuBERT uses a more deep network architecture that experts in learning intricate patterns and features from raw audio data. It combines CNN encoder and transformer layers, enables it to capture both local acoustic cues and long-range dependencies in voice. Secondly, the Hubert model benefits from pre-training on large-scale dataset (960 hours of LibriSpeech), the diversity of pre-training dataset allowing it acquire general knowledge from various audio sources. This transfer learning equips Hubert with a strong foundation to identify subtle voice changes associated with COVID-19. Moreover, HuBERT is end-to-end, there is no need for handcrafted feature engineering and enables it to learn directly from voice recordings without the loss of information. This is especially important for tasks like COVID-19 detection, where the detailed vocal bio-markers may not be well-defined.

To verify the performance of our proposed models, we trained the proposed models with a new dataset (Coswara), the HuBERT model achieved the best performance again, despite the two datasets being collected with different processes. One clear difference between the two datasets was that the recording in Coswara involved counting to twenty, rather than repeating 3 times a phrase. This shows that the HuBERT model have good generalization. We also validated our models with positive COVID-19 cases and negative cases but reported with at least one cold symptom, the 0.90 AUC shows the HuBERT model is truly detecting COVID-19 instead of cold symptoms.

Generally, the above findings show promising results for COVID-19 detection from voice recordings, and this study provides a low-cost, non-invasive, and ubiquitous detection method, especially for underdeveloped and low-income regions. If we compare the sensitivity and specificity of the HuBERT model to the well-known Rapid Antigen Tests (RAT), the overall sensitivity of RAT for COVID-19 detection is 65% [13], our proposed model has a higher sensitivity (80%). High sensitivity implies that few cases are miss-classified as negative, this can avoid COVID-19 spreading. On the other hand, the HuBERT has a high specificity of 89%, however it is lower than the specificity of RAT (99%) which means that our test might miss-classify more often some negative patients as positive. When we compare these results, we also need to be cautious as, in the case of the RAT performance indicators [13], the results are more robust due to the larger set of data available for analysis, therefore more voice data would be needed to confidently compare our model to the RAT test. Overall, our test would be non invasive to users and could be virtually provided at little or no-cost for complementary methods for COVID-19 screening in the Post-COVID Era.

7 CONCLUSION

Non-intrusive and easy to collect voice features may provide valuable information for COVID-19 screening in the Post-COVID Era. Using voice features extracted from audio recordings we defined a predictive model that can classify COVID-19. This work suggests that the best performance is obtained with the HuBERT model with an AUC and sensitivity of respectively 0.93 and 0.80, this may result from the HuBERT's ability to learn high-level representations directly from the data can lead to improved performance. These results are competitive with recent COVID-19 cough/breathing identification studies [17], [5], and indicate voice recording can be a timely, low-cost, and safe screening tool for COVID-19.

In future works, we plan to further explore the interpretability of how the AI models perform the classification, we will study which are the relevant voice features that characterise COVID-19 patients. Furthermore we will perform inter-

disciplinary studies with respiratory physicians to include their domain knowledge in feature designing and performance valuation, and in linking the extracted features associated to COVID-19 to other respiratory conditions.

CONTRIBUTION

The authors confirm contribution to the paper as follows: V.Urovi supervised the study conception and design; W. Aljabawi performed an initial analysis and interpretation of results followed by model improvements, validation and results interpretation performed by Y.Yan; Dr S. O. Simons provided continuous medical expertise. All authors have reviewed and approved the final version of this manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no competing interests.

FUNDING

The work was supported by NWO Aspasia grant (no: 91716421).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Cambridge University for sharing the data collected via the COVID-19 app, and the Indian Institute of Science Bangalore for opening the Coswara dataset which supported our model validation.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data used to support the finding of this study are included with in the article.

REFERENCES

- [1] Worldometer, "Covid-19 coronavirus outbreak," 2023.
- [2] C.-C. Lai, T.-P. Shih, W.-C. Ko, H.-J. Tang, and P.-R. Hsueh, "Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (sars-cov-2) and coronavirus disease-2019 (covid-19): The epidemic and the challenges," *International journal of antimicrobial agents*, vol. 55, no. 3, p. 105924, 2020.
- [3] N. C. for Immunization *et al.*, "Science brief: Sars-cov-2 and surface (fomite) transmission for indoor community environments," in *CDC COVID-19 Science Briefs [Internet]*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (US), 2021.
- [4] R. Ningthoujam, "Covid 19 can spread through breathing, talking, study estimates," *Current medicine research and practice*, vol. 10, no. 3, p. 132, 2020.
- [5] J. Han, T. Xia, D. Spathis, E. Bondareva, C. Brown, J. Chauhan, T. Dang, A. Grammenos, A. Hasthanasombat, A. Floto *et al.*, "Sounds of covid-19: exploring realistic performance of audio-based digital testing," *NPJ digital medicine*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2022.
- [6] B. Stasak, Z. Huang, S. Razavi, D. Joachim, and J. Epps, "Automatic detection of covid-19 based on short-duration acoustic smartphone speech analysis," *Journal of Healthcare Informatics Research*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 201–217, 2021.
- [7] A. Hassan, I. Shahin, and M. B. Alsabek, "Covid-19 detection system using recurrent neural networks," in *2020 International conference on communications, computing, cybersecurity, and informatics (CCCI)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 1–5.
- [8] M. A. Mehrabadi, S. A. H. Aqajari, I. Azimi, C. A. Downs, N. Dutt, and A. M. Rahmani, "Detection of covid-19 using heart rate and blood pressure: Lessons learned from patients with ards," in *2021 43rd Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine & Biology Society (EMBC)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 2140–2143.

- [9] J.-S. Liang and K. Wang, "Vibration feature extraction using audio spectrum analyzer based machine learning," in *2017 International conference on information, Communication and Engineering (ICICE)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 381–384.
- [10] C. Brown, J. Chauhan, A. Grammenos, J. Han, A. Hasthanasombat, D. Spathis, T. Xia, P. Cicuta, and C. Mascolo, "Exploring automatic diagnosis of covid-19 from crowdsourced respiratory sound data," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.05919*, 2020.
- [11] A. Vahedian-Azimi, A. Keramatfar, M. Asiaee, S. S. Atashi, and M. Nourbakhsh, "Do you have covid-19? an artificial intelligence-based screening tool for covid-19 using acoustic parameters," *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, vol. 150, no. 3, pp. 1945–1953, 2021.
- [12] V. Despotovic, M. Ismael, M. Cornil, R. Mc Call, and G. Fagherazzi, "Detection of covid-19 from voice, cough and breathing patterns: Dataset and preliminary results," *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, vol. 138, p. 104944, 2021.
- [13] M. Arshadi, F. Fardsanei, B. Deihim, Z. Farshadzadeh, F. Nikkhahi, F. Khalili, G. Sotgiu, A. H. Shahidi Bonjar, R. Centis, G. B. Migliori *et al.*, "Diagnostic accuracy of rapid antigen tests for covid-19 detection: a systematic review with meta-analysis," *Frontiers in medicine*, vol. 9, p. 984, 2022.
- [14] A. B. Nassif, I. Shahin, M. Bader, A. Hassan, and N. Werghi, "Covid-19 detection systems using deep-learning algorithms based on speech and image data," *Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 4, p. 564, 2022.
- [15] Y. Chang, X. Jing, Z. Ren, and B. W. Schuller, "Covnet: A transfer learning framework for automatic covid-19 detection from crowd-sourced cough sounds," *Frontiers in Digital Health*, vol. 3, 2021.
- [16] M. Aly, K. H. Rahouma, and S. M. Ramzy, "Pay attention to the speech: Covid-19 diagnosis using machine learning and crowdsourced respiratory and speech recordings," *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, vol. 61, no. 5, pp. 3487–3500, 2022.
- [17] B. W. Schuller, A. Batliner, C. Bergler, C. Mascolo, J. Han, I. Lefter, H. Kaya, S. Amiriparian, A. Baird, L. Stappen *et al.*, "The interspeech 2021 computational paralinguistics challenge: Covid-19 cough, covid-19 speech, escalation & primates," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.13468*, 2021.
- [18] G. Fagherazzi, A. Fischer, M. Ismael, and V. Despotovic, "Voice for health: The use of vocal biomarkers from research to clinical practice," *Digital biomarkers*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 78–88, 2021.
- [19] K. K. Lella and A. Pja, "Automatic diagnosis of covid-19 disease using deep convolutional neural network with multi-feature channel from respiratory sound data: cough, voice, and breath," *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 1319–1334, 2022.
- [20] P. Suppakitjanusant, S. Sungkanuparph, T. Wongsinin, S. Virapongsiri, N. Kasemkosin, L. Chailurkit, and B. Ongphiphadhanakul, "Identifying individuals with recent covid-19 through voice classification using deep learning," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1–7, 2021.
- [21] S. Bromuri, A. P. Henkel, D. Iren, and V. Urovi, "Using ai to predict service agent stress from emotion patterns in service interactions," *Journal of Service Management*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 581–611, 2021.
- [22] M. Zakariah, Y. Ajmi Alothaibi, Y. Guo, K. Tran-Trung, M. M. Elahi *et al.*, "An analytical study of speech pathology detection based on mfcc and deep neural networks," *Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine*, vol. 2022, 2022.
- [23] B. Logan, "Mel frequency cepstral coefficients for music modeling," in *In International Symposium on Music Information Retrieval*. Citeseer, 2000.
- [24] S. Hochreiter and J. Schmidhuber, "Long short-term memory," *Neural computation*, vol. 9, no. 8, pp. 1735–1780, 1997.
- [25] C. Cortes and V. Vapnik, "Support-vector networks," *Machine learning*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 273–297, 1995.
- [26] K. O'Shea and R. Nash, "An introduction to convolutional neural networks," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.08458*, 2015.
- [27] W. S. McCulloch and W. Pitts, "A logical calculus of the ideas immanent in nervous activity," *The bulletin of mathematical biophysics*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 115–133, 1943.
- [28] W.-N. Hsu, B. Bolte, Y.-H. H. Tsai, K. Lakhota, R. Salakhutdinov, and A. Mohamed, "Hubert: Self-supervised speech representation learning by masked prediction of hidden units," *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 29, pp. 3451–3460, 2021.
- [29] G. Solana-Lavalle and R. Rosas-Romero, "Analysis of voice as an assisting tool for detection of parkinson's disease and its subsequent clinical interpretation," *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, vol. 66, p. 102415, 2021.
- [30] T. J. Wroge, Y. Özkanca, C. Demiroglu, D. Si, D. C. Atkins, and R. H. Ghomi, "Parkinson's disease diagnosis using machine learning and voice," in *2018 IEEE Signal Processing in Medicine and Biology Symposium (SPMB)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 1–7.
- [31] S. Hamdi, M. Oussalah, A. Moussaoui, and M. Saidi, "Attention-based hybrid cnn-lstm and spectral data augmentation for covid-19 diagnosis from cough sound," *Journal of Intelligent Information Systems*, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 367–389, 2022.
- [32] M. R. Kamble, J. Patino, M. A. Zuluaga, and M. Todisco, "Exploring auditory acoustic features for the diagnosis of covid-19," in *ICASSP 2022-2022 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 566–570.

- [33] N. Sharma, P. Krishnan, R. Kumar, S. Ramoji, S. R. Chetupalli, P. K. Ghosh, S. Ganapathy *et al.*, "Coswara—a database of breathing, cough, and voice sounds for covid-19 diagnosis," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.10548*, 2020.
- [34] M. Huzaifah, "Comparison of time-frequency representations for environmental sound classification using convolutional neural networks," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.07156*, 2017.
- [35] V. S. Nallanthighal, "Respiratory health sensing from speech," Ph.D. dissertation, Amsterdam: LOT, 2022.
- [36] F. A. Gers, J. Schmidhuber, and F. Cummins, "Learning to forget: Continual prediction with lstm," *Neural computation*, vol. 12, no. 10, pp. 2451–2471, 2000.
- [37] V. Panayotov, G. Chen, D. Povey, and S. Khudanpur, "Librispeech: an asr corpus based on public domain audio books," in *2015 IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2015, pp. 5206–5210.
- [38] M. Aly and N. S. Alotaibi, "A novel deep learning model to detect covid-19 based on wavelet features extracted from mel-scale spectrogram of patients' cough and breathing sounds," *Informatics in Medicine Unlocked*, vol. 32, p. 101049, 2022.