

Wireless Multi-Agent Generative AI: From Connected Intelligence to Collective Intelligence

Hang Zou, Qiyang Zhao, Lina Bariah, Mehdi Bennis, and Mérouane Debbah.

Abstract—The convergence of generative large language models (LLMs), edge networks, and multi-agent systems represents a groundbreaking synergy that holds immense promise for future wireless generations, harnessing the power of collective intelligence and paving the way for self-governed networks where intelligent decision-making happens right at the edge. This article puts the stepping-stone for incorporating multi-agent generative artificial intelligence (AI) in wireless networks, and sets the scene for realizing on-device LLMs, where multi-agent LLMs are collaboratively planning and solving tasks to achieve a number of network goals. We further investigate the profound limitations of cloud-based LLMs, and explore multi-agent LLMs from a game theoretic perspective, where agents collaboratively solve tasks in competitive environments. Moreover, we establish the underpinnings for the architecture design of wireless multi-agent generative AI systems at the network level and the agent level, and we identify the wireless technologies that are envisioned to play a key role in enabling on-device LLM. To demonstrate the promising potentials of wireless multi-agent generative AI networks, we highlight the benefits that can be achieved when implementing wireless generative agents in intent-based networking, and we provide a case study to showcase how on-device LLMs can contribute to solving network intents in a collaborative fashion. We finally shed lights on potential challenges and sketch a research roadmap towards realizing the vision of wireless collective intelligence.

I. INTRODUCTION

Generative artificial intelligence (AI) has evolved as a powerful branch of AI, endowing machines with algorithms that enable them to create original content, such as images, text, and human-like conversations. In particular, Large Language Models (LLMs), a particular model of generative AI that are trained on a massive unlabeled textual dataset, have shown impressive capabilities in many applications, such as question answering, language understanding, reading comprehension, code generation, mathematical and common sense reasoning [1]. As a promising example of LLMs, the recent release of ChatGPT, based on the generative pre-trained transformers (GPT) with 175B parameters (GPT-3) and 1 trillion parameters (GPT-4), has showcased remarkable capabilities of large-scale LLMs in content generation tasks. ChatGPT plugins allows LLMs to access up-to-date information, execute tasks, while interacting with the real-world. More recently, the open-source Falcon [2] has outperformed GPT-3 with only 40B parameters, 75% training cost, and 20% inference compute budget; Similar results were achieved by LLaMA with 65B parameters [3]. On the other hand, PaLM-2 model has excelled at advanced reasoning tasks including code generation and maths [4]. Such outstanding results of various LLMs has paved the way for integrating LLM in different domains, such as robotics,

telecom, and healthcare [1]. The maturity of LLMs constitutes a stepping stone towards realizing the vision of artificial general intelligence (AGI), which is a broader concept than AI, that encompasses highly autonomous systems of machines that possess general intelligence and cognition capabilities that are comparable to humans. Within this context, LLMs will play an essential role in AGI-based systems through their abilities to perform complex tasks on multi-modal data across many domains, with only a few examples [5].

The remarkable capabilities of LLMs are owed to the Transformer architecture, rooted in the self-attention mechanism [6]. Leveraging multi-head attention, Transformers capture long-range dependencies in parallel by attending a word to all previous words, or to all other words in the text. With pre-training on huge unlabeled corpus, generative models can learn universal knowledge representation, and can be further tuned to narrow their scopes into a particular domain. Tuning generative LLMs on specific tasks or domains can be done via 1) fine-tuning by adapting pre-trained weights to task-dependent loss or domain-specific dataset; 2) prompt-tuning by applying task description or instruction with a few shot examples to help LLMs to understand the task; 3) instruct-tuning by reinforcement learning (RL) to ground LLM into real-world context.

Accordingly, LLMs running on wireless devices should understand domain specific knowledge and interact effectively with the environment to perform specific tasks. Grounding LLMs in a real-world context with modular and causal knowledge is crucial to produce scalable and lightweight on-device LLMs. Such knowledge should be transferred in wireless networks and encoded into on-device LLMs, to perform logical decisions [7]. This includes planning, that breaks down high-level goals into low-level tasks and reasoning, that deconstructs complex problems into priors and beliefs. Grounding, planning, and reasoning allow on-device LLMs to act as autonomous agents. Wireless networks represent a promising field for the deployment of generative multi-agent system, where multiple on-device LLMs plan and solve tasks in a collaborative manner. Specifically, multi-agent planning is essential to decompose a complex task that requires multi-modality sensors and multi-task executors across different wireless devices. Moreover, since on-device LLM encodes specific knowledge due to resource constraints, collective intelligence from multiple LLMs is essential to effectively complete complex tasks. To achieve this, generative multi-agent games can be applied with LLMs to solve advanced multi-task reasoning problems [8]. Furthermore, LLMs can be used with multi-agent RL to learn optimal collaborative goal-

oriented behaviours.

A. Related work

The release of ChatGPT has led to a fast growing research in autonomous and generative agents. Several recently emerged frameworks expand on ChatGPT from autonomous task planning and reasoning, to multi-agent generative systems. As an example, BabyAGI [9] is a task-driven autonomous agent built on LLMs, that can generate, execute and prioritize tasks in real-time. Similarly, Auto-GPT [10] is an AI agent that attempts to achieve a goal specified in natural language. It chains together LLM "thoughts" in an infinite loop of reasoning, and planning the next action. AgentGPT is a user interface powered by the idea of BabyAGI and AutoGPT. Another line of work represented by HuggingGPT in [11] developed a collaborative system utilizing multiple AI models to complete a task. In HuggingGPT, LLMs use language as an interface to connect numerous AI models in Hugging Face for solving complicated tasks. In this framework, LLMs act as a controller to manage and organize cooperation of expert AI models, which pushes the boundary of LLMs to applications requiring domain specific knowledge.

Furthermore, several frameworks on multi-agent LLM have been developed. A role-playing communicative agent framework called CAMEL has been developed in [12], in which an agent receives an idea to implement from a human, then creates an AI assistant and an AI user agent. The AI user assigns tasks for AI assistant to execute, and plans new tasks according to the results. The two agents collaboratively communicate by chatting with each other to solve the specified task. Moreover, a generative agent framework in a "west world" simulation has been developed in [13]. It is a sandbox of multiple AI agents that can interact with each other and simulate human behavior. The agent can observe the environment, plan a sequence of actions to execute, create high-level reflections of observation in a memory stream and formulate long-term plans. The agents communicate in full natural language using LLMs, to collaboratively complete a planned task.

The state-of-the art (SOTA) of generative agents explores multiple LLMs collaboratively planing and solving tasks. However, most works are executed in the cloud or in simulation environments, where the cost of communication, computing, and storage is ignored. In wireless generative agent networks, LLM models and their inter-agent communication requires a new system design and optimization, which is the goal of this paper.

B. Contributions

Motivated by the above discussion, in this article we establish the initial foundation for integrating the generative agents paradigm in wireless networks. In particular, we lay the first building block toward realizing collective intelligence in 6G, where we articulate the collaborative role of multiple on-device LLMs in solving Telecom tasks, and we put a future-oriented perspective on the architecture of multi-agent LLM-enabled wireless network. We further provide insights into the technologies that have manifested themselves as enablers for

multi-agent LLMs in wireless networks, focusing on: 1) multi-agent LLM planning and reasoning to break down high-level goals into low-level tasks; 2) multi-agent LLM games and RL to learn the optimal collaborative behaviours from competing actors to achieve a goal; 3) semantic communication that transfers knowledge in the network for system 2-type¹ on-device LLMs. With the aim to demonstrate its promising potential, we shed lights on the anticipated applications of generative agents in wireless networks, and we present a case study for showcasing a scenario where reasoning in multi-agent LLM is required to achieve a network-level energy saving goal and guaranteeing users' transmission rates. Finally, we explore future research directions for the successful realization of collective intelligence through on-device LLM.

II. FROM CLOUD LLM TO MASSIVE ON-DEVICE LLMs

A. Recent advances in cloud-based LLMs

From an architectural perspective, the evolution of LLMs can be classified as encoder-only (BERT-like), decoder-only (GPT-like), and encoder-decoder (T5). The decoder-only GPT merely utilizes the auto-regressive (AR) transformer with multiple stacked transformer decoder layers, equipped with masked self-attention. This mechanism enables GPT-like LLMs to attend all previous tokens to predict the subsequent one. GPT-4 (with plugs-in and web browsing) was reported to successfully solve the top 10% of various academic and professional exams with improved reasoning capability. On the other hand, a number of lightweight LLMs have been released, such as LLaMA and Falcon. These models have fewer parameters (Falcon-1B) and a smaller number of pre-trained tokens (1T), yielding the same performance as larger models [2].

The encoder-only BERT is pre-trained on masked language modeling (MLM) or next sentence prediction (NSP) tasks. Specifically, multiple tokens from a sequence are masked to force the model to acquire bidirectional contextual information during the pre-training process. The non-AR nature of MLM allows faster and paralleled computing by dynamically unfolding the masked tokens of all positions. Enhanced versions of BERT can be achieved by optimizing the hyper-parameters and the pre-training tasks, i.e., RoBERTa and ALBERT, respectively. On the other hand, the encoder-decoder architecture, such as T5, converts diverse tasks that involve generating sequences or text into a text-to-text framework for pre-training. However, this architecture is computationally expensive to train, and has limited contextual understanding and reasoning.

Following the success of text-based LLMs, multi-modal LLMs have emerged to proliferate the potential use-cases of LLMs. Among others, DALL-E is a zero-shot text to image generative model [14], with 2 pre-training stages. During the first stage, a discrete variational autoencoder (VAE) is trained to compress image into grid of tokens. While in the second stage, the image tokens are concatenated with text tokens, in order to train an AR transformer to model the joint distribution

¹System 2-type model refers to human-like cognition, based on reasoning and logical deduction, for solving complex problems and making calculated decisions.

TABLE I: Comparison of typical LLMs

Model	Parameters	Train data	Train energy	Inference FLOPs	Architecture	Modality	Pretrain Tasks
GPT-3	175B	300B tokens	1287MWh	7.4×10^{14}	Decoder	Text	MLM (AR)
GPT-4	170T	10T tokens	7500MWh	—	Decoder	Text, Image	MLM (AR)
Falcon	1B - 40B	1T tokens	≈ 960 MWh	$\approx 1.48 \times 10^{14}$	Decoder	Text	MLM (AR)
LLaMA	7B–65B	1.4T tokens	449MWh	—	Decoder	Text	MLM (AR)
PaLM-2	340B	3.6T tokens	—	—	Decoder	Text	MLM (AR)
T5	220M–11B	1T tokens	86MWh	—	Encoder-Decoder	Text	Text-to-Text generation
BERT	110M, 335M	250B tokens	1.536KWh	3.4×10^{11}	Encoder	Text	MLM, NSP
RoBERTa	110M, 335M	2T tokens	≈ 12.3 KWh	3.4×10^{12}	Encoder	Text	MLM, NSP
DALL-E	12B	2.5B pairs	—	—	dVAE, Decoder	Text, Image	MLM (AR)
DALL-E2	3.5B	6.5B pairs	—	—	Encoder CLIP AR / Diffusion Prior	Text, Image	Text-to-Image diffusive generation

over the text and image tokens. With an enhanced approach, DALL-E2 utilizes a CLIP similarity matrix to train a text encoder and an image encoder. The text embedding is then passed through a diffusion or AR models prior to produce an image embedding and a diffusion decoder to generate images [15]. A comparison of common LLMs are given in Table I.

B. On-device LLMs Challenges

Despite their promising capabilities, building intelligent wireless networks that are empowered by generative agents is extremely challenging using current LLMs. This is due to their huge parameter sizes, which limits their deployment at the edge. In particular, it was demonstrated that, in few-shot scenarios, models’ memory requirements dramatically increase as the number of parameters increase. For example, it takes roughly 86 GB of GPU memory for Falcon-40B to infer. In addition to that, LLMs have long inference time when solving sophisticated tasks (planning and reasoning), which significantly increases the communication latency. Finally, updating or knowledge synchronization from central LLMs to on-device LLMs could be energy-consuming through conventional techniques, such as federated learning.

With the aim to realize lightweight LLMs, neural network compression techniques such as weight sharing, pruning, knowledge distillation, low-rank decomposition, and quantization can be applied. Within the context of compression, it should be highlighted that, since LLMs are few-shot learners rather than task-specific models, compression techniques should preserve LLM’s transferring abilities. In a different context, QLoRA is a high-precision quantization technique, that can be used to quantize an LLM to 4-bit, then adds a small set of learnable low-rank adapters, which are tuned through back-propagating gradients using the quantized weights. This significantly reduces the GPU memory and latency in fine-tuning and inference. Although compression and quantization can reduce the model size and computation requirements, due to the non-modular nature of LLMs’ knowledge, such approach can yield degraded performance in specific tasks.

III. MULTI-AGENT COLLECTIVE INTELLIGENCE VIA GENERATIVE LLM

A. Multi-Agent LLM Network Architecture

Deploying generative AI in wireless networks requires the realization of collective intelligence from multiple on-device

LLMs, that can interact with the environment, plan actionable tasks from a goal, and solve the tasks collaboratively by exchanging knowledge. Our aim in this section is to set the groundwork for integrating generative agents into future wireless networks, in which a wireless generative agent leverages LLMs to perform a close-loop task planning, execution, and optimization. As illustrated in Fig. 1, this process can be achieved through multiple steps. First, the agent deconstructs higher-level intents or goals, and plan sequences of lower-level tasks over time. Afterwards, it perceives the environment, performs decisions and takes actions accordingly, and then optimizes the decision policy from the rewards. According to the results, the agent creates new tasks until the intent’s goal is achieved, and then generates a final response.

In order to realize collective intelligence, the earlier mentioned procedure can be performed through multiple wireless generative agents, in which the agents exchange knowledge through the network, to collaboratively plan tasks, take actions and optimize policies, based on the architecture shown in Fig. 2. Note that knowledge is an abstracted representation of the data, which can be encoded into LLMs for performing specific tasks. From the network perspective, intents from humans or machines are provided to generative agents through different wireless terminals. These terminals create prompts to an on-device LLM to complete each step, as shown in Fig. 1. The tasks are planned collaboratively among multiple generative agents, to best leverage the knowledge of different LLMs, and the capabilities of different devices. The on-device LLM can obtain domain specific knowledge from the cloud-based LLM, or from other on-device LLMs when the planned task is received from other agents. From the device perspective, a wireless generative agent has a perceiver (sensor) to observe the environment, and an actor (controller) to execute the decisions. The on-device LLM extracts semantic information from the observed raw data in multi-modalities (text, image, sound), and stores it in a memory stream for planning new tasks in the future. Accordingly, to perform a particular task, the relevant semantic information is retrieved for taking an action. After completing its planned actions from the received higher-level tasks, the agent can further create lower-level tasks and send it to other agents to complete the goal.




Fig. 1: Close-loop task planning, execution, optimization in wireless generative agents.




Fig. 2: Wireless generative agent network and device architecture.

B. Multi-Agent LLM Technologies

1) *Planning and Reasoning*: A high-level goal for wireless agents usually contains complex tasks or problems, and therefore, planning is an essential step for the generative agents to create actionable tasks over time to achieve a specific goal. The currently available LLMs have limited capabilities in solving problems with complex reasoning and multi-step planning. This can be a limiting factor in the deployment of wireless generative agents in networks with ultra-high reliability requirement. Therefore, system 2-type LLMs can endow wireless generative agents with reasoning capabilities. Recently, many works have shown the reasoning abilities of LLMs through prompting engineering. For instance, chain-of-thought prompting, which prompts LLMs with a sequence of short sentences serving as intermediate reasoning steps to solve problems, have demonstrated an outstanding performance on a wide range of reasoning tasks. Thereafter, self-consistency strategy is proposed to replace the greedy decoding of chain-of-thought prompting. Furthermore, tree of thoughts is another method which maintains a tree for each immediate step of thought so that LLMs can deliberately evaluate multiple paths and adjust decisions as needed for optimal outcomes. As an alternative to prompts optimization which can be regarded as an outcome-supervision, one possible solution is to supervise along the reasoning process. This requires LLMs to properly model and encode a domain specific knowledge for solving different tasks. Furthermore, multi-agent planning can reduce the cost of inference or fine-tuning, by distributing the task between multiple LLM devices with domain specific knowledge.

2) *Multi-agent LLM Games and RL*: Current LLMs are trained to generate contents which are helpful, reliable, and harmless to the users. These attributes can be achieved only if one-to-one iteration between a human and an agent is consid-

ered. However, in the presence of multiple generative agents, it is yet debatable whether these features can be realized. For instance, egocentric agents, which are extensively serving their corresponding users, can hinder the normal operation of other agents or be unresponsive to some collaborative tasks. On the contrary, selfless agents which are instructed to be helpful can be vulnerable to malicious attacks. Hence, it is essential to strike a balance between selfishness and selflessness when designing generative agents. Within this context, game theory constitutes a suitable tool to model multi-agent LLMs and analyze their behaviour. Initial attempts to understand the interactions between multiple LLMs (i.e. *LLM games*) are presented in [8].

Multi-agent RL (MARL) is another approach to observe the optimal collaborative behavior in a multi-agent system, which can also be applied to LLMs. In particular, it is essential for the wireless agents to interact with the environment, where RL tunes the actions generated by LLMs with environment rewards, in order to ground LLMs into a real-world context. MARL-enabled LLMs can further model the interaction between the wireless agents, using LLM's knowledge, to learn the optimal collaboration policy and communication protocols among distributed LLM agents. In doing so, communication costs can be reduced as agents converge towards optimal decision policies.

3) *Semantic Information and Communication*: The interaction between the multiple wireless generative agents in collaborative systems will result in a huge amount of information to be generated, exchanged, and perceived. Shannon communication rooted in the level A of Weaver's 3-level communications solely aims at transmitting symbols accurately and efficiently, while ignoring the conveyed meaning of the transmitted messages. In contrast, in semantic communication, the transmitter sends only useful/relevant information to the receiver, in

order to solve a given downstream task. This paradigm is possible if the goal/objective of the communication is known at both sides. Recalling that wireless generative agents aim to collaboratively solve a specific problem, semantic communication can transfer task specific knowledge for LLMs on targeted devices. Furthermore, agents can communicate based on their abstracted state information, to improve multi-agent cooperation with efficient protocols.

Similarly, semantic information can enable LLMs to integrate multi-modal raw data in a common concept space. In doing so, the data exchanged and stored among wireless devices can be largely reduced. Moreover, LLMs can exploit abstracted "thoughts" from the perceived data by modelling it using semantic information on a topological space. Hence, LLMs can perform effectively in short-term actions with lower-order information, and in long-term plans with higher-order abstractions. This also enables LLMs to encode domain or task specific knowledge, and thereby, to reduce the model size and computing costs, making it suitable for resource constrained devices.

IV. APPLICATIONS OF MULTI-AGENT LLM NETWORKS

A. Intent-driven Network Automation

With the vision to enable network automation in future wireless networks, it is envisioned that 6G will support intent-based networking, which aligns the network operation with particular intents and objectives. Although intent-driven networks are defined in 3GPP and IETF, their functionalities and their flexible deployment are still limited. On the one hand, intent translation and orchestration relies heavily on services models and policies, which limits its capabilities in handling new scenarios. On the other hand, intent driven networking has not been applied yet in general networks and user devices, including radio access networks.

LLMs can potential extend the concept of intent driven networks to a general purpose self-designed communication network. The Open AI's ChatGPT-4 has recently been equipped with a number of plugins, allowing an autonomous agent to execute the commands generated by GPT and produce outputs in natural languages. Inspired by this, intent driven networking can utilize LLMs to break down higher-level intents, (e.g., reducing the network energy consumption by 5%) into a sequence of lower level tasks (e.g., tuning transmit power, channel measurement, etc.), and instruct related executors to take actions (i.e. gNB). The results are then prompted to the LLM, which will add subsequent tasks, if necessary, until the intent's goals are achieved. In a multi-agent LLM network, each network device will leverage LLMs to analyze the perceived information, including the observations from the environment and actions taken by other agents. Once the agent plans and prioritizes a set of sub-tasks, it takes actions on local tasks, which can be accomplished by the local actor. After that, it utilizes LLMs to generate a protocol language including the remaining and newly created sub-tasks, and sends to other agents through the wireless network. Each agent conducts such loops and communicates with others until the added tasks are completed and the goal is achieved.

B. Case Study: Wireless Energy Saving

The vision of generative agent-enabled intent-driven networking requires LLMs to deconstruct a wireless network goal into sub-tasks or sub-problems and solve them via multi-agent cooperation. One important step in this process is the efficiency of multiple LLM instances in formulating and solving problems pertinent to wireless networks. In this section, we consider a scenario with multiple mobile users playing a game to reduce the total power consumption. Our aim is to evaluate the capability of on-device LLMs in solving a wireless communication problem, and show their potentials in enabling an end-to-end autonomous network.

In our setup, we assume a network with $K = 4$ users transmitting in a shared spectrum. Each user has a channel gain of $g = (1.21, 2.01, 0.58, 0.13)$, bandwidth $b = 15\text{kHz}$, and noise $n = 1\text{dB}$. The game starts with an initial transmit power $p = (2, 4, 5, 6)\text{W}$. The base station has the goal of "reducing network energy consumption by $\Delta p = 0.85\text{W}$ " while maintaining individual transmission rates above $r = (3.50, 15.80, 4.40, 1.00)\text{Kbps}$, respectively. We create independent LLM instances for each user. The above environment information is given to each LLM instance in the beginning of the game. Moreover, the users compete for power savings goal under mutual interference. Note that when implemented in a real wireless network, these parameters are measured by on-device perceivers and provided to on-device LLMs. We generate our results using the GPT-4 model.

The prompts we created for LLMs to play this game are shown in Fig. 3. In the beginning, a prompt consisting of general description, game rules, goal of the base station, and the individual goal of each users is passed to each LLM instance. In each round, the LLM instances are requested to choose a new power level p_i , given the actions of all users in the previous round, through a prompt. The transmission powers chosen by each agent from GPT-4 in each round are illustrated in Fig. 4. The obtained results are compared with the minimum theoretical power required for the transmission rate r . It can be seen that LLM-enabled agents managed to achieve the power saving target Δp at round 2, with 3 users allocated the theoretical minimum power to keep their transmission rate at above r (except user 1). This can be further noticed in Fig. 5 which shows the users' rate difference to r , where user 1's rate can be further reduced, and hence, the transmission energy can be reduced. Furthermore, users 1 and 4 violate the goal of minimum transmission rate in round 3. The simulation results in Fig. 5 also show that current GPT-4 experiences some difficulties in maintaining multiple goals when the number of agents increases.

This use-case demonstrates that an LLM (GPT-4) can perform mathematical reasoning in a wireless communication-based problem, in order to achieve a global power saving and individual transmission rates targets. The takeovers of this study are: 1) LLMs have the potential to analyze a radio environment, formulate and solve a radio network problem to achieve a network operation goal; 2) Multi-agent LLMs can achieve a cooperative goal while keeping their own KPIs; 3) Training a wireless domain-specific LLM is essential




Fig. 3: Playing repeated games in an example of power allocation on mobile users to minimize network power consumption.

for LLMs to understand effectively the wireless network goals (without mathematical prompts), to achieve a fully autonomous intent-driven network.




Fig. 4: Users' transmission power given by LLMs, and power to maintain a minimum transmission rate v.s. round for reducing total power by 5% at least.




Fig. 5: The difference of transmission rate w.r.t. minimum rate v.s. round for total power reduction by at least 5%.

V. CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

A. TelecomLLM with domain knowledge

Building a TelecomLLM with domain-specific knowledge is a foundation to enable wireless generative agents. Current

GPT-4 can only provide general responses to a wireless network goal described in natural language. To operate an autonomous network, the LLM should give instructions to specific network functions or entities. This requires LLMs to be trained on Telecom domain corpus, such as standard and product specifications. Moreover, grounding and calibrating on-device LLM to real-time wireless environment is important for wireless agents to make optimal decisions on specific wireless tasks. Furthermore, tuning TelecomLLM with emerging wireless knowledge is crucial to keep the model updated with new wireless standards and features, in order to support efficient network upgrade.

B. Wireless LLM Hallucination

Model hallucination phenomenon refers to the event when the generative model produce content that is nonsensical or untruthful in relation to certain sources. In wireless networks, this can be a result of continuous channel variations and network dynamicity. In particular, model hallucination can be experienced when the generative agent is not capable of capturing the variations of a rapidly evolving network, leading to inaccurate representation of the wireless environment. Furthermore, generative agents may hallucinate due to the impact of inter-agent interference and the lack of relevant on-board data. Hence, efficient hallucination avoidance schemes are needed to ensure trustworthy agent behaviours in different network functionalities.

C. Self-replicating Wireless Generative Agents

The concept of self-replication within the context of generative agents indicates their capability of creating copies of themselves, including their knowledge and experiences, to communicate effectively with other agents. While still being a speculative and a hypothetical concept, it represents a promising skill that enables such agents to cope with the growing nature of wireless networks, and achieve autonomously scalable wireless networks. Also, replicated agents can adapt their models to their own wireless environments and tasks. Knowledge driven wireless generative agents and inter-agent communications are key enabling technologies for self-replicating agents. For example, modularized LLMs have the potential to provide flexible knowledge inheritance for different generative agents, with reduced training and communication overhead. This calls for a thorough study in explainable LLM which can encode knowledge on-demand. It is worthy to emphasize

that, although self-replicating generative agents are aimed for improved wireless networks performance, reliable wireless communication is essential for ensuring accurate knowledge transfer, and therefore, to prevent replication errors and agents mutations.

D. Resource management for on-device LLMs

The inherent limitations on the available resources in wireless networks, particularly IoT systems, represent a performance bottleneck in the efficient realization of generative multi-agent systems in future wireless networks. While being a promising technology, due to the diverse capabilities of wireless nodes, on-device LLM requires a sophisticated balance between computing and energy resources, memory constraints, inference reliability, as well as user's experience and demands. Accordingly, it is essential to develop optimization and resource-management approaches that can be tailored to a certain use-case, through assessing the resource utilization performance of each agent, and its impact on the CPU, memory, and energy efficiency, while meeting particular requirements imposed by the communication scenario.

E. Multi-Agent Convergence

Multi-agent convergence in generative systems can be particularly challenging in wireless networks, due to the dynamic nature of the latter, and therefore, the continuous need for agents' models adaptation to cope up with the evolving network conditions. Such a challenge is particularly pronounced when a massive number of agents are involved in achieving different network goals. Failing to converge might result in suboptimal solutions, which will then necessitate a high level of coordination among the multiple agents, contradicting the goal of achieving self-governance and automation among the agents. Accordingly, this opens up a new horizon for exploring collective approaches to allow the agents to achieve a consensus in a real-time manner, regardless of the network dynamicity condition.

F. Multi-modal efficient on-device LLMs

Generative agents employed in wireless networks are anticipated to handle multi-modal data, such as text, images, locations, and radio signals. Meanwhile, multi-modal on-device LLM is constrained by communication and computing resources. This raises significant challenges in the model design, where current multi-modal LLMs encoding raw data are too large to be deployed on mobile device. Knowledge based LLM that encodes multi-modal data from a concept space can potentially reduce the model size and inference cost, by learning a minimal structure of data. Research efforts should be devoted to build effective concept space for multi-modal data.

and key enabling technologies of on-device LLMs, focusing on LLM planning and reasoning to solve complex tasks; multi-agent LLM games and RL-based LLMs to achieve goals in competition scenarios; and semantic information and communication to connect LLMs with knowledge for effective short-term and long-term task planning. Moreover, we discussed potential applications of multi-agent LLMs in 6G, including intent-driven network automation. We further demonstrated a use case where multi-agent LLMs can play a game to achieve goals of network energy saving and user transmission rate. Finally, we discussed potential research opportunities of multi-agent LLM network such as system 2 ML with strong reasoning, human-agent interaction and collaboration. This paper initiates a new framework for future wireless network design to empower collective intelligence from large scale wireless devices, opening up new research opportunities of generative AI in wireless networks.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Yenduri *et al.*, "Generative pre-trained transformer: A comprehensive review on enabling technologies, potential applications, emerging challenges, and future directions," 2023.
- [2] Falcon LLM. <https://falconllm.tii.ae>.
- [3] H. Touvron *et al.*, "LLaMA: Open and efficient foundation language models," 2023.
- [4] R. Anil *et al.*, "PaLM 2 technical report," 2023.
- [5] S. Bubeck *et al.*, "Sparks of artificial general intelligence: Early experiments with GPT-4," 2023.
- [6] A. Vaswani *et al.*, "Attention is all you need," 2017.
- [7] C. Chaccour *et al.*, "Less data, more knowledge: Building next generation semantic communication networks," 2022.
- [8] E. Akata *et al.*, "Playing repeated games with large language models," 2023.
- [9] Y. Nakajima, "Task-driven autonomous agent utilizing GPT-4, Pinecone, and LangChain for diverse applications," 2023.
- [10] Auto-GPT: An autonomous GPT-4 experiment. <https://github.com/Significant-Gravitas/Auto-GPT>.
- [11] Y. Shen *et al.*, "Hugginggpt: Solving AI tasks with ChatGPT and its friends in HuggingFace," 2023.
- [12] G. Li *et al.*, "CAMEL: Communicative agents for "mind" exploration of large scale language model society," 2023.
- [13] J. S. Park *et al.*, "Generative agents: Interactive simulacra of human behavior," 2023.
- [14] A. Ramesh *et al.*, "Zero-shot text-to-image generation," 2021.
- [15] A. Ramesh, P. Dhariwal *et al.*, "Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents," 2022.

BIOGRAPHIES

Hang Zou (hang.zou@tii.ae) is a Researcher at Technology Innovation Institute, UAE.

Qiyang Zhao (qiyang.zhao@tii.ae) is a Lead Researcher at Technology Innovation Institute, UAE.

Lina Bariah (lina.bariah@tii.ae) is a Senior Researcher at Technology Innovation Institute, UAE.

Mehdi Bennis (mehdi.bennis@oulu.fi) is a Professor at University of Oulu, Finland.

Mérouane Debbah (merouane.debbah@ku.ac.ae) is a Professor at Khalifa University, UAE.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we introduced the concept of multi-agent generative AI network, which exploits the collective intelligence from on-device LLMs. We identified the challenges