

# Online learning using multiple times weight updating

Charanjeet \* · Anuj Sharma

Received: date / Accepted: date

**Abstract** Online learning makes sequence of decisions with partial data arrival where next movement of data is unknown. In this paper, we have presented a new technique as multiple times weight updating that update the weight iteratively for same instance. The proposed technique analyzed with popular state-of-art algorithms from literature and experimented using established tool. The results indicate that mistake rate reduces to zero or close to zero for various datasets and algorithms. The overhead running cost is not too expensive and achieving mistake rate close to zero further strengthens the proposed technique. The present work includes bound nature of weight updating for single instance and achieves optimal weight value. This proposed work could be extended to big datasets problems to reduce mistake rate in online learning environment. Also, the proposed technique could be helpful to meet real life challenges.

**Keywords** Online Learning · Machine Learning · Multiple Times Weight Updating · Large-Scale Learning

## 1 Introduction

The Machine learning is one of the solutions to the real life problems. Online learning is a sub-field of machine learning. Online learning includes mainly weight upda-

---

(a) This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in arXiv. The final authenticated version is available online at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.00178>

\*corresponding author

---

Charanjeet  
Computer Science & Applications  
Panjab University Chandigarh, INDIA  
E-mail: charan@pu.ac.in, charanjeetpu@gmail.com

Anuj Sharma  
Computer Science & Applications  
Panjab University Chandigarh, INDIA  
E-mail: anujsh@pu.ac.in  
Homepage: <https://sites.google.com/view/anujsharma/>

tion with respect to minimization of loss. The online learning overcome the batch based system limitations in the situations, where training of model with respect to partial data arrival or real time application with unknown next movement of data. We have witnessed efficient algorithms in online learning from year 2000 onwards. These algorithms were regularly experimented with new data sets and it did help to explore new algorithms in online learning. We have presented selected literature in online learning and the most of the techniques discussed in literature have been used with proposed method in experimentation. One of early online learning algorithm was Perceptron [14]. It is inspired by the information processing of neural cells called a neuron. The prediction of the perceptron algorithm based on a linear prediction function that combines a set of weighted vector and the training vector. The Relaxed Online Maximum Margin Algorithm (ROMMA) [9] is an incremental approach based on the maximum margin. ROMMA used the linear threshold function for classification. The maximum margin function can be formulated by minimizing the length of target vector subject to the number of linear constraint. Approximate Large Margin Classification Algorithm (ALMA) [2] is an incremental algorithm, which approximate the maximal  $p - norm$  margin for the set of linear separable data. ALMA works directly with the primal of the maximal margin. Online Gradient Descent (OGD) [18] for online convex functions, motivated from the infinitesimal gradient ascent and it deals with the Euclidean geometry. OGD is more general than expert setting that it can handle an arbitrary sequence of convex functions. The other algorithm as Second Order Perceptron (SOP) [11] used the second order properties of the data for learning the linear threshold function, defined as an interaction between eigenvalues of the correlation matrix of the data and target vector. The performance analysis of SOP remains within the mistake bound model of the online learning. The mistake bound depends on the parameter controlling the sensitivity of the algorithm to the distribution of these eigenvalues. The online Passive Aggressive (PA) [6] follow the margin based online learning. The learning strategy of PA is based on the loss function (Hinge loss). The updation is passive when the loss function value is zero otherwise aggressively update the classifier when the loss is non-zero. PA updates classifier in such a manner that new update classifier should stay as close as to the previous classifier. It fails when the incoming data is non separable. To overcome above limitation there are two variant of PA. PAI and PAII balance the trade-off between the "passiveness" and "aggressiveness" using the positive parameter  $C$  called the aggressive parameter. Online Newton Step (ONS) [1] algorithm, which achieve the logarithmic loss for any arbitrary sequence of strictly convex functions. ONS use the second order information of the loss function and is based upon newton method for offline classification. ONS show the connection between follow-the-leader and Newton Method. It provides a logarithmic regret for higher order derivative. The Confidence-Weighted linear classification (CW) [10] algorithm is defined over the notion of confidence parameter. The less confident parameters are updated more aggressively than more confident ones. The confidence parameter is expressed in the term of Gaussian distribution over training vector. Narrow Adaptive Regularization of Weights (NAROW) [12] allow to design and relative mistake bound for any loss function. The mistake bound for any loss function, allowing to recover and improve the bounds of online classification algorithms. The new online classification algorithm for optimize the general bound called NAROW, which makes use of adaptive and fixed-second order information.

NAROW also provide bound for diagonal matrices. A new algorithm based upon the velocity constraint in an online learning algorithm. In the learning process of Normal Herd (NHERD) [5] regularization of linear velocity term are used to herd the normal distribution. NHERD update is more aggressive for diagonal covariance matrix. Double Updating Online Learning algorithm (DUOL) [13] is other online learning algorithm, when incoming instance is misclassified, it will be added into the pool of support vector and assigned with a weight, which often remain unchanged during the rest of the learning process. DUOL is dynamically tune the weights of the support vector in order to improve the classification performance. This is an online learning technique that perform better in the presence of noisy label data. The Adaptive Regularization of Weight vector (AROW) [7] is variant of confidence weight learning, beside that it holds various desirable properties of online learning algorithms: (1) confidence weighting, (2) large margin training and (3) handle the non-separable data. LIBOL is an open-source library for large-scale online learning algorithms [15] which includes all the state-of-arts algorithms for online classification. SOLAR (Scalable Online Learning Algorithms for Ranking) [4] learning to rank is learn some ranking model from training data using machine learning method, which is a type of information retrieval. This algorithm learn a ranking model from sequence of training data in an online learning fashion. This algorithm tackle the pairwise learning to ranking problem using scaleable online learning approach. Soft Confidence-Weighted Learning (SCW) [3], which is the variant of confidence-weighted (CW) capable to handle non-separable cases, that is the limitation of CW. It is first online learning algorithm that hold the four silent properties: (1) confidence weighting, (2) capable to handle non-separable data, (3) large margin training, (4) adaptive margin. SCW exploits the adaptive margin by assigning different margin to different vector via a probability formulation. Online Bayesian Passive Aggressive (BayesPA) ([16]) framework for Bayesian models with maximum margin posterior regularization. For great flexibility and explorative analysis, BayesPA perform non-parametric Bayesian inference. A survey on online learning algorithms [17], which presents state-of-art algorithms in this research field and their behavior has been discussed recently. It includes categorization of the online learning in three types: (1) Online supervised learning (2) online learning with limited feedback (3) Online unsupervised learning. To the best of our knowledge, we are first to introduce MTWU model updating using multiple iteration for the same data points and our finding proves the efficiency of MTWU. The MTWU applied to all popular online learning algorithms including binary and multiclass environment with benchmark data sets. Our method establish the fact that most of the online learning algorithms reduce mistake rate to very low value. This paper include four section including this section as introduction. The section 2 present preliminaries of online learning and proposed method has been discussed in section 3. The section 4 presents the experimentation using benchmark data sets and future scope of present work.

## 2 Preliminaries

This section include the working of online learning algorithms that handle the data points in the form  $(x_i, y_i)$  where  $y_i$  is the class label of instance  $x_i$ . The online algo-

rithm works in rounds where  $x_i$  and its prediction function is  $h(x_i)$ . The prediction results is class label  $\hat{y}_i$  and the loss function is  $L(y_i, \hat{y}_i)$ . This update the model with prediction rule  $h$  and form problems to minimize the loss as  $\sum_{i=1}^n L(y_i, \hat{y}_i)$ . the Algorithm 1 presents the nature of simple online learning algorithm.

---

**Algorithm 1** Working of Online Learning Algorithm
 

---

```

1: Initialize  $w_i = 0$ 
2: for  $i=1$  to  $n$  do                                     ▷  $n$  is number of data point
3:   Predict  $\hat{y}_i = \langle w_i, x_i \rangle$ 
4:   Compute Loss as  $L(y_i, \hat{y}_i)$ 
5:   if  $L(y_i, \hat{y}_i) > 0$  then
6:      $w_{i+1} = w_i + \langle \text{update rule} \rangle$  ▷ Updating rule is depend on the selected algorithm

```

---

The goal is to minimize the loss value, which is used in predication task in learning method. It takes target value as input and determined the loss i.e difference between target value and the predicated value. Few common types of loss functions are hinge loss and squared error loss

For the "Maximum Margin" classification hinge loss is the most promising function. For the predicted value  $\hat{y}_i$  is defined as:

$$L(y) = \max(0, 1 - y_i \cdot \hat{y}_i) \quad (1)$$

Note that  $\hat{y}_i$  output of the classifier function.

Quadratic loss is also called Mean Square Error (MSE), which is commonly used for regression loss functions. Quadratic loss is the sum of squared difference between the actual output and the predicated output.

$$L(y) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{n} \quad (2)$$

Convergence of logistic loss and hinge loss is similar, but logistic is continues. The continues property of logistic loss may be utilized by the gradient descent method. At any point logistic loss does not assign a zero penalty.

$$L(y) = \log(1 + e^{-y_t \cdot \hat{y}_t}) \quad (3)$$

The update rule values vary with respect different algorithms. For example, few selected update rules are discussed in following paragraph.

The loss function use by PA ([6]) is in equation 1. The updation is passive when  $l = 0$  otherwise aggressively updation come into an action. The closed form updation rules of three variant of PA is

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + \tau_t y_t x_t, \quad \tau_t = \begin{cases} l_t / \|x_t\|^2, & \text{PA} \\ \min(C, l_t / \|x_t\|^2), & \text{PA1} \\ \frac{l_t}{\|x_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2C}}, & \text{PA2} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

The OGD [18] used to solve the online convex optimization problems. The OGD used equation 1 as a loss function and updation rule is:

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + \eta_t y_t x_t \quad (5)$$

OGD use some predefined learning rate ( $\eta_t$ ).

SOP [11] is the incremental variant of whitened perceptron algorithm. The weight updation strategy of SOP is:

$$v_k = v_{k-1} + y_t x_t, X_k = S_t \quad (6)$$

The SOP predication is computed in trial  $t$ , use  $v_{k-1}$  an  $n$ -dimensional weight vector and  $X_{k-1}$  use  $n$ -row matrix, where subscript  $k-1$  indicates the number of times vector  $v$  and the matrix  $X$  have been updated in the first  $t-1$  trials.

ONS [1] is the online variant of the Newton-Raphson method and use the second order properties of the loss function. The updation rule of ONS is:

$$x_t = \Pi_p^{A_{t-1}}(x_{t-1} - \frac{1}{\beta} A_{t-1}^{-1} \nabla_{t-1}) \quad (7)$$

Where  $\nabla_t$  and  $A_t$  are gradient and hessian values. In this algorithm projection is according to the norm defined by the matrix  $A_t$ . CW [10] learning method for linear classification is based upon standard deviation. CW update the weight that is based upon the confidence of the weight vector. The confidence of the weight vector is calculated using the Gaussian distribution and the covariance matrix. The updation rule of CW is:

$$(\mu_{t+1}, \Sigma_{t+1}) = \arg \min D_{KL}(N(\mu, \Sigma) || (\mu_t, \Sigma_t)) \quad (8)$$

$\mu$  is the mean vector and  $\Sigma$  is covariance matrix.  $D_{KL}$  is the KL divergence distance between two distributions. The online algorithms are successfully applied to binary and multiclass data. In literature, all the successful online learning algorithms have been proved with upper bound mistake rate that further prove the strong mathematical foundations behind these techniques.

### 3 The MTWU Step

Our proposed MTWU is applicable to mostly state-of-art algorithms. The MTWU include simple but powerful step as multiple times weight updating of single instance. The algorithm 2 presents the working of MTWU.

---

#### Algorithm 2 MTWU

---

```

1: Initialize  $w_i = 0$ 
2: for  $i=1$  to  $n$  do                                ▷  $n$  is the number of data point
3:   for  $k=1$  to  $m$  do                                  ▷ where  $m=1$  to  $n$ 
4:     Predict  $\hat{y}_i = \langle w_i, x_i \rangle$ 
5:     Compute Loss as  $L(y_i, \hat{y}_i)$ 
6:     if  $L(y_i, \hat{y}_i) > 0$  then
7:        $w_{i+1} = w_i + \langle \text{update rule} \rangle$            ▷ Updating rule depends on the
selected algorithm
```

---

A loop is applied to train the weights for one instance at a time that results in loss minimization and weights are trained optimally. We have noticed less mistake rate at  $m = 2$  and achieve constant mistake rate (zero in some cases) from  $m = 8$

onwards. The updation for mostly cases improve  $m = 2$  onwards where mistake rate appears zero for few data sets. The MTWU do not include any other changes in established algorithms other than introduction of loop. Also, no changes are made to feature vector and predicted class in each iteration of introduced loop. The weights are updated in each iteration subject to the dependent values used in the each algorithm and in single iteration of respective algorithm. The result of MTWU are discussed in next section to prove the efficiency of proposed method. As MTWU used with established online learning techniques, we noticed that algorithm used with MTWU has been discussed in literature thoroughly. This also includes regret bound for respective algorithms. Our MTWU is a step that repeat definite number of times, therefore, it do not interfere with regret bound of used algorithms. We have presented Theorem 1 to establish that for a single instance to achieve optimum value is bounded.

**Theorem 1.** *The weight  $w_i$  at  $i^{th}$  iteration updated multiple times achieve optimum value  $w_i^*$  bounded as:*

$$0 \leq \|w_i^*\| \leq \|w_i^0\| + \sqrt{M} \left( \sum_{j=1}^M \|\Delta w_i^j\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (9)$$

*Proof.* Let  $w_i$  is the  $i^{th}$  data point update for  $n$  data points and  $w_i^k$  is the  $i^{th}$  data point updated  $k$  number of points. Let  $\Delta w_i$  is update rule value for respective  $i^{th}$  data point and  $\Delta w_i^k$  is the update rule value for  $k^{th}$  iteration of  $i^{th}$  data point. A weight update is,

$$w_i = w_{i-1} + \Delta w_i$$

and weight update for  $k^{th}$  iteration at  $i^{th}$  point is,

$$w_i^k = w_{i-1}^k + \Delta w_i^k$$

Let  $w_i^*$  is the optimum weight at  $w_i^k$ , therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} w_i^* &= w_i^{k-1} + \Delta w_i^k \\ &= w_i^{k-2} + \Delta w_i^{k-1} + \Delta w_i^k \\ &= w_i^0 + \Delta w_i^1 + \Delta w_i^2 + \dots + \Delta w_i^k \\ w_i^* - w_i^0 &= \Delta w_i^1 + \Delta w_i^2 + \dots + \Delta w_i^k \end{aligned}$$

using norm and square both sides,

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_i^* - w_i^0\|^2 &= \|\Delta w_i^1 + \Delta w_i^2 + \dots + \Delta w_i^k\|^2 \\ \|w_i^* - w_i^0\|^2 &= \|\Delta w_i^1 \times 1 + \Delta w_i^2 \times 1 + \dots + \Delta w_i^k \times 1\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

using cauchy schwartz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \right)^2 &\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 \right) \\ \|w_i^* - w_i^0\|^2 &\leq \frac{(\|\Delta w_i^1\|^2 + \|\Delta w_i^2\|^2 + \dots + \|\Delta w_i^k\|^2)}{(1^2 + 1^2 + \dots + 1^2)} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^K \|\Delta w_i^j\|^2 \right) \times K, \quad \text{let } M \geq K \\ &\leq M \left( \sum_{j=1}^M \|\Delta w_i^j\|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_i^* - w_i^0\| &\leq \sqrt{M}(\sum_{j=1}^M \|\Delta w_i^j\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \text{using } \|w_i^* - w_i^0\| &\geq \|w_i^*\| - \|w_i^0\| \geq -\|w_i^0\| \\ -\|w_i^0\| &\leq \|w_i^*\| - \|w_i^0\| \leq \sqrt{M}(\sum_{j=1}^M \|\Delta w_i^j\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

adding  $\|w_i^0\|$  above

$$0 \leq \|w_i^*\| \leq \|w_i^0\| + \sqrt{M}(\sum_{j=1}^M \|\Delta w_i^j\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Hence it proves the result.  $\square$

## 4 Experimental results

In this section, we apply MTWU to popular and selected online learning algorithms mentioned in section 1 introduction. The benchmark datasets are used and experiments are conducted for both binary and multiple classes datasets. We have used benchmark tool as Libol ([15]) to prove the effectiveness of our proposed technique MTWU. The Table 1 present names for online learning algorithms as used in tool Libol. The experiments are performed in machine with i7 processor and 8GB ram.

**Table 1:** Online learning algorithms and their used abbreviations

Algorithm Name	Name	References
The Second Order Perceptron (SOP) algorithm	SOP	Cesa-Bianchi et al., [11]
The Confidence-Weighted (CW) learning algorithm	CW	Dredze et al., [10]
Online learning algorithms by improved ellipsoid method	IELLIP	Yang et al., [8]
The Adaptive Regularization of Weight Vectors	AROW	Crammer et al., [7]
New variant of Adaptive Regularization	NAROW	Orabona and Crammer, [12]
The Normal Herding method via Gaussian Herding	NHERD	Crammer and Lee, [5]
The recently proposed Soft Confidence Weighted algorithms	SCW	Wang et al., [3]
the classical online learning algorithm	Perceptron	Rosenblatt, [14]
A New Approximate Maximal Margin Classification Algorithm	ALMA	Gentile, [2]
The relaxed online maximum margin algorithms	ROMMA	Li and Long, [9]
The Online Gradient Descent (OGD) algorithms	OGD	Zinkevich, [18]
the Passive Aggressive (PA) online learning algorithms	PA	Crammer et al., [6]

### 4.1 Binary class datasets

The binary datasets used are svmguide3 and covtype. The svmguide3 includes 1243 data points 21 features. we have used MTWU for  $m = 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32$  for each algorithm. This  $m$  is the variable used for iteration in algorithm 2 at line 3. Table 2 present results for dataset svmguide3. We find that each algorithm in Table 2 achieve mistake rate as zero for some value of  $m$ . The algorithms SOP, SCW, PA2, PA1, OGD, CW, ALMA and IEELIP achieve zero mistake rates

at  $m = 4$  where as algorithm SCW2 at  $m = 8$ . Table 3 present covtype dataset results that achieve mistake rate as zero using the algorithms PA2, PA1, PA, ALMA, aROMMA, and IELLIP at  $m = 2$  where as SOP and OGD at  $m = 8$ .

#### 4.2 Multi class datasets

The used datasets for mutiple classes are mnist, glass and segment. The dataset mnist include 60K data points and 780 feature in each data point for 10 classes. The segment dataset contains 2310 data points and 19 features for 7 classes respectively. The glass dataset include 214 data points and 300 features for 6 classes. Similar to the binary class experiments, MTWU applied with  $m = 1, 2, 4, 8, 16$  and 32. Table 4 presents mnist dataset results that achieve mistake rate as zero at some value of  $m$ . The algorithm M\_PA, M\_PA1, M\_PA2, M\_ROMMA, M\_PerceptronS, M\_PerceptronM, M\_PerceptronU, M\_SCW2 and M\_CW achieves zero mistake at  $m = 4$  where as algorithm M\_OGD achieve mistake rate zero at  $m = 8$ . Table 5 presents glass dataset results where algorithms M\_PA, M\_PA1, M\_PA2, M\_PerceptronS, M\_PerceptronM, M\_PerceptronU, M\_SCW2 and M\_CW achieves zero mistake at  $m = 4$  where as algorithm M\_OGD, M\_ROMMA, M\_aROMMA achieve mistake rate zero at  $m = 8$ . Table 6 presents segment dataset results, the algorithm M\_PA, M\_PA1, M\_PA2, M\_PerceptronS, M\_PerceptronM, M\_PerceptronU, M\_SCW2 and M\_CW achieve zero mistake at  $m = 4$  where as algorithm M\_OGD, M\_ROMMA, M\_aROMMA achieve mistake rate zero at  $m = 8$ .



Table 2: Results for svmguide3 binaryclass dataset

Dataset name: svmguide3 (n=1243,d=21,No. of classes=2) nb of runs (permutations): 20							
Algorithm		m=1	m=2	m=4	m=8	m=16	m=32
SOP	Mistake Rate	0.3008 +/- 0.0098	0.0597 +/- 0.0067	0.0010 +/- 0.0009	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	373.95 +/- 12.15	74.25 +/- 8.35	1.30 +/- 1.13	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	0.0981 +/- 0.0033	0.1198 +/- 0.0065	0.1579 +/- 0.0055	0.2330 +/- 0.0069	0.3820 +/- 0.0065	0.6900 +/- 0.0133
SCW	Mistake Rate	0.2096 +/- 0.0053	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	590.95 +/- 11.89	320.75 +/- 17.55	35.90 +/- 5.12	12.70 +/- 3.20	8.95 +/- 2.87	16.85 +/- 3.42
	Cpu Time	0.0879 +/- 0.0006	0.1028 +/- 0.0010	0.1179 +/- 0.0010	0.1504 +/- 0.0013	0.2135 +/- 0.0012	0.3445 +/- 0.0027
ROMMA	Mistake Rate	0.3359 +/- 0.0146	0.3359 +/- 0.0146	0.3359 +/- 0.0146	0.3359 +/- 0.0146	0.3359 +/- 0.0146	0.3359 +/- 0.0146
	NB of Updates	417.55 +/- 18.13	417.55 +/- 18.13	417.55 +/- 18.13	417.55 +/- 18.13	417.55 +/- 18.13	417.55 +/- 18.13
	Cpu Time	0.0670 +/- 0.0033	0.0764 +/- 0.0052	0.0876 +/- 0.0043	0.1117 +/- 0.0042	0.1562 +/- 0.0052	0.2484 +/- 0.0054
Perceptron	Mistake Rate	0.3304 +/- 0.0101	0.3304 +/- 0.0101	0.3304 +/- 0.0101	0.3304 +/- 0.0101	0.3304 +/- 0.0101	0.3304 +/- 0.0101
	NB of Updates	410.65 +/- 12.51	410.65 +/- 12.51	410.65 +/- 12.51	410.65 +/- 12.51	410.65 +/- 12.51	410.65 +/- 12.51
	Cpu Time	0.0704 +/- 0.0109	0.0685 +/- 0.0027	0.0777 +/- 0.0035	0.0919 +/- 0.0036	0.1181 +/- 0.0039	0.1680 +/- 0.0041
PA2	Mistake Rate	0.2551 +/- 0.0061	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	704.85 +/- 13.59	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	1135.10 +/- 12.29	724.85 +/- 14.57	724.70 +/- 14.65	704.85 +/- 13.59	26.15 +/- 8.65	20.40 +/- 6.37
	Cpu Time	0.0732 +/- 0.0018	0.0749 +/- 0.0013	0.0820 +/- 0.0007	0.0970 +/- 0.0007	0.1167 +/- 0.0025	0.1558 +/- 0.0023
PA1	Mistake Rate	0.2369 +/- 0.0016	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	768.35 +/- 11.78	261.75 +/- 14.56	261.75 +/- 14.56	20.80 +/- 6.78	15.70 +/- 6.26	13.65 +/- 6.25
	Cpu Time	0.0692 +/- 0.0006	0.0730 +/- 0.0033	0.0730 +/- 0.0033	0.0856 +/- 0.0027	0.1041 +/- 0.0010	0.1447 +/- 0.0063
PA	Mistake Rate	0.3241 +/- 0.0086	0.3241 +/- 0.0086	0.3241 +/- 0.0086	0.3241 +/- 0.0086	0.3241 +/- 0.0086	0.3241 +/- 0.0086
	NB of Updates	724.70 +/- 14.65	724.70 +/- 14.65	724.70 +/- 14.65	724.70 +/- 14.65	724.70 +/- 14.65	724.70 +/- 14.65
	Cpu Time	0.0688 +/- 0.0072	0.0747 +/- 0.0040	0.0877 +/- 0.0126	0.1036 +/- 0.0015	0.1450 +/- 0.0053	0.2210 +/- 0.0059
OGD	Mistake Rate	0.2377 +/- 0.0021	0.1253 +/- 0.0061	0.0091 +/- 0.0023	0.0000 +/- 0.0002	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	637.55 +/- 3.20	261.05 +/- 8.57	32.65 +/- 5.55	0.45 +/- 0.60	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	0.0687 +/- 0.0006	0.0730 +/- 0.0015	0.0808 +/- 0.0006	0.0959 +/- 0.0007	0.1266 +/- 0.0011	0.1878 +/- 0.0023
NHERD	Mistake Rate	0.2279 +/- 0.0158	0.2085 +/- 0.0114	0.2032 +/- 0.0074	0.1998 +/- 0.0069	0.1969 +/- 0.0064	0.1949 +/- 0.0061
	NB of Updates	1149.55 +/- 31.88	1153.25 +/- 26.83	1150.80 +/- 24.92	1148.10 +/- 25.39	1150.50 +/- 23.20	1139.05 +/- 25.33
	Cpu Time	0.0548 +/- 0.0013	0.0658 +/- 0.0010	0.0878 +/- 0.0013	0.1409 +/- 0.0057	0.2212 +/- 0.0045	0.3900 +/- 0.0079
NAROW	Mistake Rate	0.3007 +/- 0.0510	0.2870 +/- 0.0509	0.2870 +/- 0.0509	0.2870 +/- 0.0509	0.2870 +/- 0.0509	0.2870 +/- 0.0509
	NB of Updates	1191.00 +/- 19.27	1191.00 +/- 19.27	1191.00 +/- 19.27	1191.00 +/- 19.27	1191.00 +/- 19.27	1191.00 +/- 19.27
	Cpu Time	0.0567 +/- 0.0006	0.0747 +/- 0.0019	0.1029 +/- 0.0011	0.1580 +/- 0.0047	0.2741 +/- 0.0043	0.4932 +/- 0.0091
CW	Mistake Rate	0.2912 +/- 0.0089	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	700.70 +/- 18.28	357.50 +/- 21.76	78.95 +/- 9.65	32.45 +/- 5.41	25.85 +/- 6.34	24.50 +/- 4.86
	Cpu Time	0.0896 +/- 0.0009	0.1055 +/- 0.0039	0.1203 +/- 0.0013	0.1605 +/- 0.0110	0.2231 +/- 0.0034	0.3429 +/- 0.0020
AROW	Mistake Rate	0.2242 +/- 0.0034	0.1973 +/- 0.0058	0.1896 +/- 0.0047	0.1840 +/- 0.0051	0.1813 +/- 0.0056	0.1794 +/- 0.0047
	NB of Updates	1221.05 +/- 6.49	1156.70 +/- 18.70	1149.00 +/- 20.45	1141.80 +/- 20.36	1137.60 +/- 20.93	1133.95 +/- 21.96
	Cpu Time	0.0992 +/- 0.0016	0.1183 +/- 0.0008	0.1569 +/- 0.0013	0.2321 +/- 0.0019	0.3844 +/- 0.0061	0.6730 +/- 0.0068
SCW2	Mistake Rate	0.2241 +/- 0.0148	0.0226 +/- 0.0033	0.0619 +/- 0.0037	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0048 +/- 0.0021
	NB of Updates	817.15 +/- 72.30	562.10 +/- 19.72	0.0619 +/- 0.0037	17.60 +/- 4.81	0.10 +/- 0.31	469.35 +/- 19.03
	Cpu Time	0.0960 +/- 0.0028	0.1084 +/- 0.0031	0.1508 +/- 0.0019	0.1624 +/- 0.0015	0.2251 +/- 0.0012	0.5452 +/- 0.0071
ALMA	Mistake Rate	0.2314 +/- 0.0043	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	594.15 +/- 8.67	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	0.0772 +/- 0.0053	0.0729 +/- 0.0013	0.0787 +/- 0.0012	0.0923 +/- 0.0048	0.1122 +/- 0.0038	0.1538 +/- 0.0008
aROMMA	Mistake Rate	0.3315 +/- 0.0144	0.3315 +/- 0.0144	0.3315 +/- 0.0144	0.3315 +/- 0.0144	0.3315 +/- 0.0144	0.3315 +/- 0.0144
	NB of Updates	1506.90 +/- 27.08	506.90 +/- 27.08	506.90 +/- 27.08	506.90 +/- 27.08	506.90 +/- 27.08	506.90 +/- 27.08
	Cpu Time	0.0721 +/- 0.0126	0.0783 +/- 0.0058	0.0944 +/- 0.0119	0.1194 +/- 0.0119	0.1692 +/- 0.0052	0.2711 +/- 0.0082
IELLIP	Mistake Rate	0.3359 +/- 0.0065	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	417.50 +/- 8.09	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	0.0845 +/- 0.0006	0.0916 +/- 0.0018	0.1074 +/- 0.0011	0.1392 +/- 0.0015	0.1994 +/- 0.0007	0.3204 +/- 0.0017

Table 3: Results for covtype binary class dataset

Dataset name: covtype (n=581012,d=54,No. of classes=2) nb of runs (permutations): 20							
Algorithm		m=1	m=2	m=4	m=8	m=16	m=32
SOP	Mistake Rate	0.3371 +/- 0.0005	0.0563 +/- 0.0003	0.0002 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	195884.50 +/- 301.12	32700.20 +/- 165.14	141.00 +/- 13.10	0.25 +/- 0.72	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	26.7849 +/- 0.3193	41.5118 +/- 2.4081	53.7939 +/- 2.3029	92.0715 +/- 4.9958	147.7129 +/- 8.2466	279.2301 +/- 8.3071
SCW	Mistake Rate	0.2328 +/- 0.0003	0.2227 +/- 0.0002	0.2190 +/- 0.0002	0.2173 +/- 0.0002	0.2165 +/- 0.0002	0.2161 +/- 0.0002
	NB of Updates	240297.70 +/- 2672.49	322288.15 +/- 163.88	308573.60 +/- 205.81	303179.90 +/- 221.76	300591.65 +/- 204.56	299165.85 +/- 213.22
	Cpu Time	24.8498 +/- 1.0065	31.6651 +/- 0.2514	42.7688 +/- 0.3963	69.2404 +/- 3.9498	113.0031 +/- 4.1858	188.5614 +/- 0.6723
ROMMA	Mistake Rate	0.4833 +/- 0.0133	0.4833 +/- 0.0133	0.4833 +/- 0.0133	0.4833 +/- 0.0133	0.4833 +/- 0.0133	0.4833 +/- 0.0133
	NB of Updates	280789.90 +/- 7735.31	280789.90 +/- 7735.31	280789.90 +/- 7735.31	280789.90 +/- 7735.31	280789.90 +/- 7735.31	280789.90 +/- 7735.31
	Cpu Time	85.8472 +/- 6.2899	82.8738 +/- 2.1625	108.3522 +/- 24.9696	133.3594 +/- 3.7537	146.2412 +/- 4.1844	144.3720 +/- 3.5783
Perceptron	Mistake Rate	0.4699 +/- 0.0004	0.4699 +/- 0.0004	0.4699 +/- 0.0004	0.4699 +/- 0.0004	0.4699 +/- 0.0004	0.4699 +/- 0.0004
	NB of Updates	273015.85 +/- 252.03	273015.85 +/- 252.03	273015.85 +/- 252.03	273015.85 +/- 252.03	273015.85 +/- 252.03	273015.85 +/- 252.03
	Cpu Time	29.2189 +/- 0.2345	31.1626 +/- 0.5224	34.6718 +/- 0.3681	53.7110 +/- 4.5016	57.1125 +/- 1.6677	273015.85 +/- 252.03
PA2	Mistake Rate	0.4833 +/- 0.0005	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.4833 +/- 0.0005	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	417415.25 +/- 289.20	417415.25 +/- 289.20	38825.60 +/- 541.45	8546.70 +/- 217.84	417415.25 +/- 289.20	7086.10 +/- 213.04
	Cpu Time	19.7401 +/- 1.3051	21.5478 +/- 0.7875	20.0185 +/- 0.0683	22.3855 +/- 0.1676	40.1595 +/- 1.0989	30.1619 +/- 1.9798
PA1	Mistake Rate	0.4833 +/- 0.0005	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	417415.25 +/- 289.20	165479.85 +/- 482.04	15909.95 +/- 362.64	7080.25 +/- 203.26	6079.00 +/- 191.00	5858.20 +/- 171.80
	Cpu Time	18.3962 +/- 0.1999	20.2592 +/- 0.6342	20.2972 +/- 0.2150	24.0842 +/- 1.1345	27.4099 +/- 1.3382	41.6198 +/- 1.5887
PA	Mistake Rate	0.4833 +/- 0.0005	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.4833 +/- 0.0005
	NB of Updates	417415.25 +/- 289.20	165479.85 +/- 482.04	15909.95 +/- 362.64	7080.25 +/- 203.26	6079.00 +/- 191.00	417415.25 +/- 289.20
	Cpu Time	24.8938 +/- 0.3231	18.5542 +/- 0.0401	20.3547 +/- 0.0467	23.5226 +/- 0.0287	30.2624 +/- 0.1127	64.7397 +/- 0.7773
OGD	Mistake Rate	0.4676 +/- 0.0004	0.0894 +/- 0.0003	0.0008 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	271826.85 +/- 243.17	52014.10 +/- 164.87	485.65 +/- 20.00	0.05 +/- 0.22	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	18.1837 +/- 0.0900	19.1052 +/- 0.6240	21.2498 +/- 0.7872	25.5130 +/- 0.7948	31.5751 +/- 0.0787	47.0398 +/- 0.4020
NHERD	Mistake Rate	0.2846 +/- 0.0148	0.2782 +/- 0.0140	0.2726 +/- 0.0133	0.2679 +/- 0.0126	0.2636 +/- 0.0116	0.2597 +/- 0.0103
	NB of Updates	501082.60 +/- 19966.44	505976.10 +/- 15737.72	510399.05 +/- 12360.74	514404.10 +/- 10132.99	518129.25 +/- 8674.91	521487.25 +/- 7336.42
	Cpu Time	28.4037 +/- 0.5968	37.0307 +/- 0.4370	49.3629 +/- 0.8756	78.1170 +/- 0.9857	137.9415 +/- 6.6318	247.7766 +/- 1.8430
NAROW	Mistake Rate	0.3561 +/- 0.0244	0.3560 +/- 0.0244	0.3560 +/- 0.0244	0.3561 +/- 0.0244	0.3561 +/- 0.0244	0.3561 +/- 0.0244
	NB of Updates	547938.10 +/- 11540.63	547938.10 +/- 11540.63	547938.10 +/- 11540.63	547938.10 +/- 11540.63	547938.10 +/- 11540.63	547938.10 +/- 11540.63
	Cpu Time	30.8912 +/- 0.3900	39.7224 +/- 0.3541	61.5657 +/- 6.1638	110.4803 +/- 2.3765	168.1219 +/- 3.1345	325.0857 +/- 16.2145
CW	Mistake Rate	0.4479 +/- 0.0332	0.4479 +/- 0.033	0.4184 +/- 0.0346	0.4677 +/- 0.0344	0.4626 +/- 0.0453	0.4623 +/- 0.0306
	NB of Updates	169926.95 +/- 117124.46	169926.95 +/- 117124.46	106256.85 +/- 113484.30	84378.20 +/- 117066.68	80808.30 +/- 113258.68	78119.55 +/- 112694.68
	Cpu Time	33.4753 +/- 4.9887	33.6197 +/- 4.0935	40.6747 +/- 4.7547	55.3777 +/- 8.1420	86.5521 +/- 14.1124	151.8714 +/- 26.4770
AROW	Mistake Rate	0.2436 +/- 0.0002	0.2433 +/- 0.0002	0.2432 +/- 0.0002	0.2432 +/- 0.0002	0.2431 +/- 0.0002	0.2431 +/- 0.0002
	NB of Updates	530783.00 +/- 457.05	530667.55 +/- 481.44	530652.60 +/- 468.13	530629.55 +/- 470.26	530628.30 +/- 462.17	530625.95 +/- 478.81
	Cpu Time	28.0119 +/- 0.0925	35.5127 +/- 0.0853	52.5572 +/- 2.0039	86.3058 +/- 6.6525	138.2530 +/- 10.1840	289.4147 +/- 19.6682
SCW2	Mistake Rate	0.2389 +/- 0.0007	0.2378 +/- 0.0002	0.2330 +/- 0.0002	0.2312 +/- 0.0002	0.2295 +/- 0.0002	0.2283 +/- 0.0002
	NB of Updates	458522.00 +/- 2659.43	532590.85 +/- 217.59	529978.80 +/- 206.18	530110.10 +/- 203.86	527576.40 +/- 213.41	524764.75 +/- 217.54
	Cpu Time	33.0266 +/- 1.1646	47.8083 +/- 0.8593	60.5349 +/- 3.5711	99.0459 +/- 5.6878	188.9169 +/- 1.4112	295.2806 +/- 11.2137
ALMA	Mistake Rate	0.4839 +/- 0.0003	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	281153.20 +/- 192.06	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	19.6621 +/- 0.2444	20.9613 +/- 0.2967	20.9787 +/- 0.3217	24.0590 +/- 0.2602	29.8072 +/- 0.7551	46.9257 +/- 3.1696
aROMMA	Mistake Rate	0.4839 +/- 0.0137	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	281167.85 +/- 7943.77	101516.55 +/- 6832.94	7313.35 +/- 3788.01	2756.40 +/- 1394.49	1914.50 +/- 1134.72	1606.50 +/- 1082.88
	Cpu Time	19.7463 +/- 1.4132	19.3363 +/- 0.1313	20.9656 +/- 0.4234	24.4997 +/- 0.1859	33.7338 +/- 2.7316	47.7605 +/- 1.2837
IELLIP	Mistake Rate	0.4827 +/- 0.0005	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	280440.90 +/- 268.31	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	29.4976 +/- 0.3621	31.7600 +/- 0.4450	36.4516 +/- 0.5889	44.2916 +/- 1.4691	64.8225 +/- 4.2496	95.6598 +/- 2.4087

Table 4: Results for mnist multiclass dataset

Database name: mnist (n=60000,d=780,No. of classes=10) nb of runs (updates) : 20							
Algorithm		m=1	m=2	m=4	m=8	m=16	m=32
M_PA	Mistake Rate	0.1445 +/- 0.0007	0.0087 +/- 0.0003	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	23340.50 +/- 105.42	14960.25 +/- 100.96	5179.90 +/- 75.45	2047.00 +/- 57.02	685.00 +/- 28.04	147.40 +/- 12.84
	Cpu Time	3.9079 +/- 0.0976	4.6027 +/- 0.0327	5.8063 +/- 0.0583	8.0101 +/- 0.0999	12.1759 +/- 0.5533	19.0469 +/- 0.0862
M_PA1	Mistake Rate	0.1445 +/- 0.0007	0.0087 +/- 0.0003	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	23340.50 +/- 105.42	14960.25 +/- 100.96	5179.90 +/- 75.45	2047.00 +/- 57.02	685.00 +/- 28.04	147.40 +/- 12.84
	Cpu Time	3.7608 +/- 0.0831	4.4899 +/- 0.0337	5.6725 +/- 0.0421	8.0563 +/- 0.2748	11.6835 +/- 0.0773	19.1395 +/- 0.0907
M_PA2	Mistake Rate	0.1445 +/- 0.0007	0.0087 +/- 0.0003	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	23340.50 +/- 105.42	22704.90 +/- 98.47	7928.90 +/- 86.60	2368.10 +/- 53.01	732.40 +/- 23.10	158.10 +/- 10.24
	Cpu Time	4.3315 +/- 0.0727	4.6526 +/- 0.0538	6.0112 +/- 0.0464	10.8198 +/- 0.9914	14.0190 +/- 1.9090	14.0190 +/- 1.9090
M_LOGD	Mistake Rate	0.1207 +/- 0.0015	0.0655 +/- 0.0016	0.0187 +/- 0.0013	0.0024 +/- 0.0003	0.0001 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	7246.20 +/- 88.40	3932.05 +/- 97.74	1119.75 +/- 79.36	141.40 +/- 18.50	5.75 +/- 1.77	0.05 +/- 0.22
	Cpu Time	3.5169 +/- 0.0414	4.2101 +/- 0.0610	5.5252 +/- 0.2823	7.6126 +/- 0.6102	11.0401 +/- 0.0708	18.9730 +/- 0.0990
M_ROMMA	Mistake Rate	0.1893 +/- 0.0033	0.0410 +/- 0.0013	0.0019 +/- 0.0003	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	11358.50 +/- 200.15	2462.85 +/- 79.01	114.95 +/- 16.94	0.05 +/- 0.22	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	3.9515 +/- 0.0584	4.5683 +/- 0.0434	5.6035 +/- 0.0354	8.0510 +/- 0.7452	11.0940 +/- 0.1235	18.4482 +/- 0.1118
M_PerceptronM	Mistake Rate	0.1538 +/- 0.0010	0.0232 +/- 0.0003	0.0010 +/- 0.0001	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	9226.00 +/- 61.78	1393.15 +/- 20.73	59.25 +/- 5.43	0.80 +/- 0.77	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	3.4645 +/- 0.2610	4.0306 +/- 0.0402	4.9131 +/- 0.0361	6.7701 +/- 0.0197	10.6000 +/- 0.0303	18.1062 +/- 0.0280
M_PerceptronS	Mistake Rate	0.1473 +/- 0.0008	0.0222 +/- 0.0004	0.0012 +/- 0.0001	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	8836.95 +/- 47.71	1332.55 +/- 23.93	70.50 +/- 5.36	1.25 +/- 0.97	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	3.2463 +/- 0.0270	3.7111 +/- 0.0213	4.5197 +/- 0.0402	5.9983 +/- 0.0543	8.7511 +/- 0.0262	14.5107 +/- 0.0312
M_PerceptronU	Mistake Rate	0.1428 +/- 0.0008	0.0287 +/- 0.0005	0.0022 +/- 0.0001	0.0001 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	8569.65 +/- 49.48	1721.85 +/- 29.30	130.35 +/- 7.92	3.50 +/- 1.10	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	3.2187 +/- 0.0145	3.6523 +/- 0.0109	4.4571 +/- 0.0451	6.2330 +/- 0.3464	10.1010 +/- 1.6098	14.0720 +/- 0.0741
M_SCW2	Mistake Rate	0.1300 +/- 0.0010	0.0083 +/- 0.0003	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	15185.10 +/- 89.50	3110.40 +/- 47.77	6.10 +/- 2.29	0.05 +/- 0.22	0.05 +/- 0.22	0.05 +/- 0.22
	Cpu Time	1209.3032 +/- 3273.0507	638.4680 +/- 3.4593	573.3860 +/- 3.0892	402.3738 +/- 1.9610	449.8596 +/- 20.2444	483.6398 +/- 2.6823
M_SCW1	Mistake Rate	0.1902 +/- 0.0040	0.1337 +/- 0.0056	0.1298 +/- 0.0066	0.1301 +/- 0.0067	0.1304 +/- 0.0067	0.1305 +/- 0.0067
	NB of Updates	13900.25 +/- 224.84	8752.30 +/- 329.94	7789.65 +/- 397.70	7810.20 +/- 404.02	7823.05 +/- 404.57	7830.25 +/- 404.16
	Cpu Time	340.8704 +/- 5.1127	3128.8948 +/- 8758.0710	951.4627 +/- 36.5136	1620.4285 +/- 88.5287	3117.9875 +/- 152.6886	6421.7155 +/- 364.9498
M_CW	Mistake Rate	0.1328 +/- 0.0009	0.0081 +/- 0.0003	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	15309.60 +/- 85.36	3090.45 +/- 49.21	3.85 +/- 1.18	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	363.4854 +/- 4.3348	442.6689 +/- 15.7587	421.5143 +/- 2.3571	415.2526 +/- 8.0262	664.9018 +/- 73.7706	630.8751 +/- 5.3968
M_aROMMA	Mistake Rate	0.1893 +/- 0.0033	0.0410 +/- 0.0013	0.0019 +/- 0.0003	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	11360.60 +/- 200.60	6811.80 +/- 144.72	2435.65 +/- 218.52	901.10 +/- 201.43	276.85 +/- 107.88	69.45 +/- 44.28
	Cpu Time	5.1332 +/- 0.1563	7.1778 +/- 0.0635	11.3280 +/- 0.0858	19.5403 +/- 0.1616	36.7845 +/- 0.5950	70.3360 +/- 1.2428
M_AROW	Mistake Rate	0.3783 +/- 0.0477	0.3272 +/- 0.0454	0.3044 +/- 0.0515	0.2971 +/- 0.0616	0.3296 +/- 0.0661	0.3148 +/- 0.0023
	NB of Updates	26633.75 +/- 2087.69	24248.55 +/- 1910.37	23424.70 +/- 2092.56	23416.45 +/- 2865.54	24240.05 +/- 3194.06	23121.50 +/- 383.96
	Cpu Time	967.2876 +/- 106.1994	1559.1950 +/- 128.7375	2063.1689 +/- 177.9005	4890.8882 +/- 562.3463	8203.4645 +/- 1054.7809	19121.6330 +/- 234.8003

Table 5: Results for glass multiclass dataset

Database name: glass (n=214,d=300,No. of classes=6) nb of runs (updates):20							
Algorithm		m=1	m=2	m=4	m=8	m=16	m=32
M_PA	Mistake Rate	0.5832 +/- 0.0326	0.0049 +/- 0.0035	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	189.50 +/- 5.89	127.80 +/- 7.52	26.30 +/- 6.67	3.00 +/- 1.78	0.90 +/- 1.07	0.55 +/- 0.76
	Cpu Time	0.0103 +/- 0.0005	0.0124 +/- 0.0004	0.0154 +/- 0.0003	0.0202 +/- 0.0003	0.0312 +/- 0.0017	0.0510 +/- 0.0011
M_PA1	Mistake Rate	0.5605 +/- 0.0150	0.0049 +/- 0.0035	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	206.75 +/- 2.61	127.80 +/- 7.52	26.30 +/- 6.67	3.00 +/- 1.78	0.90 +/- 1.07	0.55 +/- 0.76
	Cpu Time	0.0107 +/- 0.0004	0.0125 +/- 0.0003	0.0154 +/- 0.0004	0.0204 +/- 0.0003	0.0304 +/- 0.0005	0.0509 +/- 0.0011
M_PA2	Mistake Rate	0.5619 +/- 0.0271	0.0049 +/- 0.0035	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	212.30 +/- 1.38	181.60 +/- 6.92	180.95 +/- 7.61	131.65 +/- 8.65	1.85 +/- 1.14	0.45 +/- 0.51
	Cpu Time	0.0107 +/- 0.0005	0.0129 +/- 0.0006	0.0178 +/- 0.0003	0.0277 +/- 0.0007	0.0385 +/- 0.0008	0.0588 +/- 0.0040
M_OGD	Mistake Rate	0.5533 +/- 0.0313	0.1610 +/- 0.0222	0.0035 +/- 0.0048	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	165.85 +/- 6.58	49.80 +/- 5.31	8.95 +/- 1.09	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	0.0102 +/- 0.0004	0.0112 +/- 0.0004	0.0137 +/- 0.0003	0.0187 +/- 0.0003	0.0293 +/- 0.0006	0.0500 +/- 0.0009
M_ROMMA	Mistake Rate	0.5963 +/- 0.0493	0.0640 +/- 0.0426	0.0002 +/- 0.0010	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	127.60 +/- 10.54	13.70 +/- 9.11	0.05 +/- 0.22	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	0.0108 +/- 0.0030	0.0117 +/- 0.0026	0.0145 +/- 0.0033	0.0198 +/- 0.0030	0.0290 +/- 0.0029	0.0495 +/- 0.0035
M_PerceptronM	Mistake Rate	0.5967 +/- 0.0274	0.0582 +/- 0.0171	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	127.70 +/- 5.86	12.45 +/- 3.66	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	0.0100 +/- 0.0019	0.0110 +/- 0.0022	0.0134 +/- 0.0022	0.0183 +/- 0.0015	0.0295 +/- 0.0039	0.0480 +/- 0.0028
M_PerceptronS	Mistake Rate	0.5780 +/- 0.0317	0.0586 +/- 0.0164	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	123.70 +/- 6.79	12.55 +/- 3.52	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	0.0117 +/- 0.0077	0.0115 +/- 0.0038	0.0132 +/- 0.0037	0.0168 +/- 0.0044	0.0233 +/- 0.0045	0.0365 +/- 0.0039
M_PerceptronU	Mistake Rate	0.5682 +/- 0.0308	0.1269 +/- 0.0246	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	121.60 +/- 6.59	27.15 +/- 5.25	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	0.0101 +/- 0.0026	0.0113 +/- 0.0035	0.0131 +/- 0.0037	0.0166 +/- 0.0038	0.0235 +/- 0.0039	0.0363 +/- 0.0041
M_SCW2	Mistake Rate	0.4832 +/- 0.0251	0.0079 +/- 0.0046	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0002 +/- 0.0010	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	180.80 +/- 7.35	47.50 +/- 5.49	1.55 +/- 1.15	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.65 +/- 0.99	0.10 +/- 0.31
	Cpu Time	0.0146 +/- 0.0004	0.0182 +/- 0.0023	0.0224 +/- 0.0008	0.0306 +/- 0.0005	0.0490 +/- 0.0016	0.0809 +/- 0.0040
M_SCW1	Mistake Rate	0.4722 +/- 0.0231	0.2668 +/- 0.0220	0.1769 +/- 0.0186	0.1203 +/- 0.0176	0.0815 +/- 0.0237	0.0495 +/- 0.0200
	NB of Updates	157.65 +/- 5.44	106.05 +/- 8.39	65.00 +/- 6.77	41.55 +/- 5.66	26.40 +/- 4.97	15.80 +/- 5.42
	Cpu Time	0.0147 +/- 0.0039	0.0193 +/- 0.0054	0.0264 +/- 0.0045	0.0378 +/- 0.0048	0.0603 +/- 0.0045	0.0988 +/- 0.0067
M_CW	Mistake Rate	0.5229 +/- 0.0311	0.0086 +/- 0.0055	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	157.25 +/- 7.43	46.40 +/- 5.29	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	0.0133 +/- 0.0005	0.0159 +/- 0.0003	0.0193 +/- 0.0005	0.0274 +/- 0.0007	0.0442 +/- 0.0017	0.0747 +/- 0.0018
M_AROW	Mistake Rate	0.4918 +/- 0.0251	0.3266 +/- 0.0327	0.2883 +/- 0.0301	0.2612 +/- 0.0160	0.2554 +/- 0.0180	0.2505 +/- 0.0178
	NB of Updates	213.85 +/- 0.37	187.35 +/- 4.23	188.45 +/- 4.33	207.75 +/- 2.38	207.90 +/- 2.49	207.85 +/- 2.46
	Cpu Time	0.0144 +/- 0.0004	0.0192 +/- 0.0004	0.0301 +/- 0.0007	0.0536 +/- 0.0014	0.0971 +/- 0.0018	0.1812 +/- 0.0018
M_aROMMA	Mistake Rate	0.5888 +/- 0.0395	0.0584 +/- 0.0372	0.0002 +/- 0.0010	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	167.05 +/- 10.98	106.60 +/- 9.81	36.00 +/- 8.55	13.20 +/- 8.95	7.35 +/- 5.25	4.60 +/- 3.62
	Cpu Time	0.0115 +/- 0.0030	0.0139 +/- 0.0034	0.0173 +/- 0.0031	0.0250 +/- 0.0048	0.0362 +/- 0.0035	0.0595 +/- 0.0038

Table 6: Results for segment multiclass dataset

Database name: segment (n=2310,d=19,No. of classes=7) nb of runs (updates): 20							
Algorithm		m=1	m=2	m=4	m=8	m=16	m=32
M_PA	Mistake Rate	0.2103 +/- 0.0071	0.0037 +/- 0.0011	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	1372.00 +/- 23.45	805.35 +/- 16.12	258.10 +/- 12.43	90.50 +/- 15.51	36.15 +/- 9.96	12.90 +/- 6.49
	Cpu Time	0.0954 +/- 0.0048	0.1119 +/- 0.0011	0.1438 +/- 0.0053	0.2025 +/- 0.0036	0.3107 +/- 0.0100	0.5276 +/- 0.0103
M_PA1	Mistake Rate	0.1993 +/- 0.0066	0.0037 +/- 0.0011	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	1419.80 +/- 15.88	805.35 +/- 16.12	258.10 +/- 12.43	90.50 +/- 15.51	36.15 +/- 9.96	12.90 +/- 6.49
	Cpu Time	0.0963 +/- 0.0020	0.1129 +/- 0.0012	0.1449 +/- 0.0068	0.2025 +/- 0.0060	0.3190 +/- 0.0092	0.5219 +/- 0.0050
M_PA2	Mistake Rate	0.2034 +/- 0.0074	0.0038 +/- 0.0010	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	1620.50 +/- 17.84	1312.50 +/- 20.10	1309.60 +/- 20.30	443.80 +/- 23.93	66.80 +/- 14.78	21.75 +/- 8.33
	Cpu Time	0.0981 +/- 0.0022	0.1177 +/- 0.0041	0.1658 +/- 0.0057	0.2403 +/- 0.0021	0.3552 +/- 0.0037	0.5766 +/- 0.0118
M_OGD	Mistake Rate	0.1608 +/- 0.0062	0.0384 +/- 0.0054	0.0018 +/- 0.0011	0.0000 +/- 0.0001	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	964.20 +/- 25.42	104.55 +/- 13.95	5.25 +/- 3.18	0.05 +/- 0.22	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	0.0910 +/- 0.0022	0.0989 +/- 0.0015	0.1255 +/- 0.0010	0.1841 +/- 0.0082	0.2869 +/- 0.0025	0.4995 +/- 0.0047
M_ROMMA	Mistake Rate	0.2182 +/- 0.0079	0.0082 +/- 0.0034	0.0001 +/- 0.0002	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	503.95 +/- 18.18	19.00 +/- 7.77	0.25 +/- 0.44	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	0.0881 +/- 0.0075	0.1004 +/- 0.0039	0.1269 +/- 0.0038	0.1811 +/- 0.0056	0.2824 +/- 0.0079	0.5001 +/- 0.0148
M_PerceptronM	Mistake Rate	0.2489 +/- 0.0058	0.0166 +/- 0.0021	0.0000 +/- 0.0001	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	574.90 +/- 13.38	38.45 +/- 4.77	0.05 +/- 0.22	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	0.0848 +/- 0.0027	0.0967 +/- 0.0025	0.1250 +/- 0.0035	0.1760 +/- 0.0033	0.2796 +/- 0.0087	0.4838 +/- 0.0128
M_PerceptronS	Mistake Rate	0.2418 +/- 0.0059	0.0144 +/- 0.0015	0.0000 +/- 0.0001	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	558.45 +/- 13.73	33.25 +/- 3.37	0.05 +/- 0.22	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	0.0844 +/- 0.0047	0.0940 +/- 0.0043	0.1161 +/- 0.0060	0.1511 +/- 0.0078	0.2259 +/- 0.0078	0.3737 +/- 0.0077
M_PerceptronU	Mistake Rate	0.2414 +/- 0.0063	0.0247 +/- 0.0030	0.0000 +/- 0.0001	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	557.60 +/- 14.60	57.15 +/- 6.90	0.05 +/- 0.22	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	0.0807 +/- 0.0007	0.0932 +/- 0.0040	0.1099 +/- 0.0034	0.1494 +/- 0.0051	0.2235 +/- 0.0058	0.3565 +/- 0.0047
M_SCW2	Mistake Rate	0.0915 +/- 0.0041	0.0032 +/- 0.0011	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	578.50 +/- 14.16	116.50 +/- 7.70	0.90 +/- 0.72	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	0.1087 +/- 0.0053	0.1364 +/- 0.0013	0.1789 +/- 0.0051	0.2620 +/- 0.0043	0.4321 +/- 0.0056	0.7751 +/- 0.0058
M_SCW1	Mistake Rate	0.0858 +/- 0.0041	0.0507 +/- 0.0029	0.0376 +/- 0.0031	0.0295 +/- 0.0035	0.0245 +/- 0.0036	0.0224 +/- 0.0042
	NB of Updates	405.95 +/- 13.48	220.75 +/- 9.41	142.75 +/- 8.66	104.45 +/- 8.67	79.50 +/- 8.83	68.25 +/- 10.47
	Cpu Time	0.1064 +/- 0.0056	0.1363 +/- 0.0069	0.1854 +/- 0.0054	0.2873 +/- 0.0082	0.4849 +/- 0.0155	0.8574 +/- 0.0116
M_CW	Mistake Rate	0.1187 +/- 0.0058	0.0042 +/- 0.0016	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	534.30 +/- 13.67	64.75 +/- 6.04	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00	0.00 +/- 0.00
	Cpu Time	0.1102 +/- 0.0047	0.1294 +/- 0.0013	0.1737 +/- 0.0025	0.2655 +/- 0.0105	0.4312 +/- 0.0066	0.7669 +/- 0.0074
M_AROW	Mistake Rate	0.1089 +/- 0.0098	0.0922 +/- 0.0095	0.0867 +/- 0.0083	0.0841 +/- 0.0084	0.0841 +/- 0.0077	0.0949 +/- 0.0069
	NB of Updates	1457.35 +/- 126.00	1548.45 +/- 84.19	1522.75 +/- 73.88	1488.70 +/- 72.76	1466.35 +/- 77.58	1839.15 +/- 42.89
	Cpu Time	0.1302 +/- 0.0045	0.1852 +/- 0.0044	0.2900 +/- 0.0131	0.4922 +/- 0.0121	0.8897 +/- 0.0292	1.9375 +/- 0.0334
M_aROMMA	Mistake Rate	0.1931 +/- 0.0086	0.0088 +/- 0.0029	0.0001 +/- 0.0002	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000	0.0000 +/- 0.0000
	NB of Updates	1155.55 +/- 62.62	647.45 +/- 50.22	226.15 +/- 32.96	86.45 +/- 28.50	33.45 +/- 15.26	13.45 +/- 8.86
	Cpu Time	0.0985 +/- 0.0030	0.1220 +/- 0.0048	0.1575 +/- 0.0095	0.2323 +/- 0.0091	0.3630 +/- 0.0075	0.6277 +/- 0.0101

### 4.3 Comparison

The  $m = 1$  value refer to working of original algorithms. We have updated weights for  $m = 2, 4, 8, 16, 32$  times and noticed that most of the algorithms achieved mistake rate close to zero. The convergence rate of representative algorithm are explained in their respective references. The limitation of MTWU is additional compilation time but we witnessed that zero mistake rate come for most of algorithms at  $m \leq 4$ . This further strengthen the role of MTWU in online learning.

The online learning is real time prediction in data but lack in mistake rate as compare to batch processing. Using MTWU, it could overcome mistake rate challenge. The overburden extra time is very less using MTWU as each instance trained for very few iterations and most of algorithms achieve zero mistake rate for  $m \leq 4$  iterations. This study shows MTWU is an effective technique that has promising results to deliver. In particular, its use to multiple classes is praiseworthy as complexity to classify data increases with multiple classes. We have witnessed the importance of MTWU to both first and second order online learning algorithms. The disadvantage of MTWU is extra cost of running time but achieving zero mistake rate do not discourage the importance of MTWU. From this situation we feel that MTWU will be a useful to all platform of online learning algorithms to meet the real life data challenges.

## 5 Concluding remarks and future directions

In the present work, we have presented a novel approach to minimize mistake rate in online learning methods. Certainly, the state-of-art of the online learning algorithmis that it learn the model in online environments quickly and better regret bound. Also, mistake rate control is equally important. That is the reason why proposed technique MTWU is applicable in online learning to reduce mistake rate. The MTWU technique re-train the weights in online environments and for the single instance at a time. The validity of these technique have been proved-with different state-of-art algorithms. The experimentalresults observe that the proposed technique attains consistent and reliable results in different algorithms and datasets. The present research work examinesthe following imperative outcomes:

- The available work for online learning control mistake rate for single iteration only, but present work further minimize mistake rate with multiple iterations, and using small number of iterations.
- The proposed research represents one of the first attempts in this direction.
- The present study presents a significant analysis of different algorithms and datasets using proposed technique MTWU.
- For justifying the proposed technique, the present work has been verified with more than twelve state-of-art algorithms and five benchmarked datasets including both binary and multi classes datasets.
- The proposed technique is suitable to future algorithms in online learning.
- The MTWU is very useful for reducing mistake rate of classification in large datasets with multiple classes.

- The consistent experimental outcomes presented in the proposed study are without huge preprocessing and it results in less time complexity.
- The time complexity with MTWU for more than one iteration is not too expensive as compared to one iteration, and this strengthens proposed technique.

Although, the online learning with MTWU needs attention of more researchers across the globe and its implementation in real life scenarios requires rigorous experimentation, the present study is a breakthrough for online learning. The future work also comprises the extension of present work to other big datasets and reducing both mistake rate and time cost. The MTWU technique could open scope to new online learning methods in future.

## References

1. S. Kale E. Hazan, A. Agarwal. Logarithmic regret algorithms for online convex optimization. *Machine Learning*, 69 (2-3):169192, 2007.
2. Claudio Gentile. A new approximate maximal margin classification algorithm. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 2:213–242, 2001.
3. Steven C. H. Hoi J. Wang, P. Zhao. Soft confidence-weighted learning. *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology (TIST)*, 8 (1):15, 2016.
4. Yongdong Zhang Steven C. H. Hoi Jialei Wang, Ji Wan. Solar: Scalable online learning algorithms for ranking. *Proceedings of the 53rd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 7th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing*, pp:1692–1701, 2015.
5. D. D. Lee K. Crammer. Learning via gaussian herding. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 23 (NIPS 2010)*, pp:451–459, 2010.
6. J. Keshet S. Shalev-Shwartz Y. Singer K. Crammer, O. Dekel. Online passive-aggressive algorithms. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 7:551585, 2006.
7. M. Dredze K. Crammer, A. Kulesza. Adaptive regularization of weight vectors. *Machine Learning*, pages 1–33, 2009.
8. J. Ye L. Yang, R. Jin. Online learning by ellipsoid method. *Proceedings of 26th Annual International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp:451–459, 2009.
9. Yi Li and Philip M. Long. The relaxed online maximum margin algorithm. *Machine Learning*, 46 (1-3):361387, 2002.
10. F. Pereira M. Dredze, K. Crammer. Confidence-weighted linear classification. *Proceedings of the 25th international conference on Machine learning ACM*, pp:264271, 2008.
11. C. Gentile N. Cesa-Bianchi, A. Conconi. A second-order perceptron algorithm. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 34 (3):640668, 2005.
12. Francesco Orabona and Koby Crammer. New adaptive algorithms for online classification. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 23 (NIPS 2010)*, pp:1840–1848, 2010.
13. Rong Jin Peilin Zhao, Steven C.H. Hoi. Double updating online learning. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 12:1587–1615, 2011.
14. F. Rosenblatt. The perceptron: a probabilistic model for information storage and organization in the brain. *Psychological review*, 65 (6):386, 1958.
15. P. Zha S. C. Hoi, J. Wang. , libol: a library for online learning algorithms. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 15 (1):495499, 2014.

- 
16. Tianlin Shi and Jun Zhu. Online bayesian passive-aggressive learning. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 18 (33):1–39, 2017.
  17. Jing Lu Peilin Zhao Steven C.H. Hoi, Doyen Sahoo. Online learning: A comprehensive survey. *arXiv preprint*, page arXiv:1802.02871, 2018.
  18. Martin Zinkevich. Online convex programming and generalized infinitesimal gradient ascent. *ICML'03 Proceedings of the Twentieth International Conference on International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 928–935, 2003.