AD

AD-E404 041

Technical Report ARMET-TR-17051

# AVOIDING GIMBAL LOCK IN A TRAJECTORY SIMULATION

David Hosier

July 2018



Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.

The citation in this report of the names of commercial firms or commercially available products or services does not constitute official endorsement by or approval of the U.S. Government.

Destroy by any means possible to prevent disclosure of contents or reconstruction of the document. Do not return to the originator.

REPORT DOCUM	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-01-0188				
The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services Directorate for Information operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. <b>PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.</b>					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)		
July 2018	Final	- Fo	June through December 2016		
4. ITTLE AND SOBITILE		58.	5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
AVOIDING GIMBAL LOCK IN A TRAJECTORY SIMULATION			5b. GRANT NUMBER		
			5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHORS			5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
David Hosier		5e.	5e. TASK NUMBER		
		5f. \	5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army ARDEC, METC Fuze & Precision Armaments Technology Directorate RDAR-MEF-A Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
Knowledge & Process Management (RDAR-EIK) Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) Technical Report ARMET-TR-17051		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT A physics-based trajectory simulation can experience the phenomenon of gimbal lock when the flight path angle of the projectile approaches vertical. Methods of gimbal lock avoidance have been developed in the past, but often rely on complicated mathematical constructs. A simple working solution for gimbal lock avoidance is discussed in this report, largely for use in three-degree-of-freedom trajectory simulations, to aid in the maneuverability calculations for guided projectiles.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS Modeling Simulation Projectile	e Munition Mortar	Artillery	Guidance		
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:	17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBEF OF	R 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON David Hosier		
a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAU	AGE SAR	PAGES 17	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) (973) 724-1576		
· · · · ·	· · ·		Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8/98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18		

# CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	1
Analysis	1
Coordinate Systems Rotation Matrices Trajectory Simulations Gimbal Lock Test Case Discussion	1 2 4 4 5 7
Conclusions	7
References	9
Distribution List	11

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express his gratitude to Dr. Thomas Recchia and Yin Chen for their patience and insightfulness in the discussions that led to this report.

#### INTRODUCTION

A trajectory simulation can encounter gimbal lock under specific flight conditions, leading to incomplete or incorrect trajectory information. The background topics of coordinate systems and rotation matrices will be discussed in this report, and a method of gimbal lock avoidance will be introduced.

#### ANALYSIS

#### **Coordinate Systems**

A coordinate system is a concept that describes dimensional space. In three-dimensional (3D) space, a coordinate system has three axes: x, y, and z. It is often helpful if these axes follow the right-hand rule, which is a common mnemonic, stating that using a person's right hand, the right thumb points along the z-axis, and the curl of the fingers represents a motion from the x-axis to the y-axis. This can be represented mathematically with the vector cross product:

$$\vec{x} = \vec{y} \times \vec{z} \tag{1}$$

$$\vec{y} = \vec{z} \times \vec{x} \tag{2}$$

$$\vec{z} = \vec{x} \times \vec{y} \tag{3}$$

A common coordinate system reference can be defined by combining two of the four cardinal directions with either "up" or "down," as in north (x-axis), up (y-axis), and east (z-axis). In fact, there are 24 ways of defining a coordinate system in this manner, eight of the most common of which are shown in table 1. For clarity, it is often desirable to choose a coordinate system where the yaw angle, when viewed from above, follows the positive clockwise sense of a compass. Other times, it can be more intuitive to have a pitch angle which, when viewed from the side, is positive in the upward direction. No matter which coordinate system is chosen, as long as the conventions are followed properly, the math works out the same.

X-axis	Y-axis	Z-axis	Abbreviation	Pitch	Yaw
North	Up	East	NUE	Up	Counterclockwise
North	West	Up	NWU	Down	Counterclockwise
North	Down	West	NDW	Down	Clockwise
North	East	Down	NED	Up	Clockwise
East	Up	South	EUS	Up	Counterclockwise
East	North	Up	ENU	Down	Counterclockwise
East	Down	West	EDW	Down	Clockwise
East	South	Down	ESD	Up	Clockwise

Table 1 Coordinate system options

A vector in a 3D coordinate system can be described by angular rotations performed in a specific order about the three coordinate system axes. It is often useful in aerospace applications to refer to these rotation angles (or Euler angles) as roll, pitch, and yaw. The roll axis goes from the back to the front of an aircraft, pitch goes from side-to-side, and yaw goes from top to bottom. The

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

positive direction for each axis may be reversed in some cases according to the right-hand rule. In certain coordinate system representations, pitch is about the y-axis and yaw is about the z-axis. In other coordinate systems, this relationship is reversed.

For the NUE coordinate system, pitch is about the z-axis, and yaw is about the y-axis. For a vector [x, y, z] in NUE, the rotation angles about each of the axes are defined by equations 4, 5, and 6. Note that the angle about the pitch axis is defined differently than the other angles due to the order in which the rotation matrices are multiplied together.

$$\theta_x = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{z}{y}\right) \tag{4}$$

$$\theta_{y} = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{-z}{x}\right) \tag{5}$$

$$\theta_z = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2 + z^2}} \right) \tag{6}$$

#### **Rotation Matrices**

Rotating a vector from one coordinate system to a different coordinate system is done via a rotation matrix. To rotate a 3D vector from one coordinate system to another, a series of three rotation matrices are multiplied in a specific order to create one final rotation matrix. The order of these rotations, according to a common convention used in many aerospace applications, is first yaw, then pitch, and lastly roll. The individual rotation matrices about the three coordinate system axes (not necessarily roll, pitch, and yaw) are:

$$R_{\chi} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \cos\theta_{\chi} & -\sin\theta_{\chi}\\ 0 & \sin\theta_{\chi} & \cos\theta_{\chi} \end{bmatrix}$$
(7)

$$R_{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_{y} & 0 & \sin \theta_{y} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_{y} & 0 & \cos \theta_{y} \end{bmatrix}$$
(8)

$$R_{z} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_{z} & -\sin \theta_{z} & 0\\ \sin \theta_{z} & \cos \theta_{z} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(9)

A slightly different, but mathematically identical, way to create the individual rotation matrices is by using trigonometric definitions in place of trigonometric functions. For the NUE coordinate system, equations 10, 11, and 12 are listed. Note that these equations differ depending on the coordinate system; therefore, this method is somewhat suboptimal.

$$R_{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{y}{\sqrt{y^{2} + z^{2}}} & -\frac{z}{\sqrt{y^{2} + z^{2}}} \\ 0 & \frac{z}{\sqrt{y^{2} + z^{2}}} & \frac{y}{\sqrt{y^{2} + z^{2}}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(10)

$$R_{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^{2} + z^{2}}} & 0 & -\frac{z}{\sqrt{x^{2} + z^{2}}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{z}{\sqrt{x^{2} + z^{2}}} & 0 & \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^{2} + z^{2}}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)

$$R_{z} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{x^{2} + z^{2}}}{\sqrt{x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2}}} & -\frac{y}{\sqrt{x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2}}} & 0\\ \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2}}} & \frac{\sqrt{x^{2} + z^{2}}}{\sqrt{x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2}}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(12)

Another method of calculating the total rotation matrix is with a direction cosine matrix. This method requires additional information, namely a reference vector with constant orientation, such as gravity ( $\vec{g}$ ). For the NUE coordinate system, the cross product between the vector [x, y, z] and gravity is calculated to identify the side-to-side axis. A second cross product identifies the down-to-up axis. A final concatenation operation combines these axes into a matrix:

$$\hat{\iota} = \frac{[x, y, z]}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}}$$
(13)

$$\vec{g} = [0, -9.8, 0] \tag{14}$$

$$\hat{g} = \frac{\vec{g}}{|\vec{g}|} \tag{15}$$

$$\hat{k} = \hat{g} \times \hat{\iota} \tag{16}$$

$$\hat{j} = \hat{k} \times \hat{i} \tag{17}$$

$$R_{total} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{i} \\ \hat{j} \\ \hat{k} \end{bmatrix}^T$$
(18)

A final, slightly less intuitive, method to rotate a vector in 3D space is by using quaternions. Each single-axis rotation is defined by a quaternion based on the angle about that axis. Quaternions

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

use their own unique functions for operations such as multiplication and inversion, so to simplify things, the Matlab code for a three-axis rotation in NUE is shown in equation 22.

$$Q_x = \left[\cos\frac{\theta_x}{2}, \sin\frac{\theta_x}{2}, 0, 0\right]$$
(19)

$$Q_y = \left[\cos\frac{\theta_y}{2}, 0, \sin\frac{\theta_y}{2}, 0\right]$$
(20)

$$Q_z = \left[\cos\frac{\theta_z}{2}, 0, 0, \sin\frac{\theta_z}{2}\right]$$
(21)

quatrotate (quatinv (quatmultiply (quatmultiply  $(Q_x, Q_z), Q_y)$ ), [x, y, z]) (22)

#### **Trajectory Simulations**

A trajectory simulation is a physics-based mathematical model that propagates an object's physical state forward in time. The coordinate system defines the directions in which the equations of motion operate. For a three-degree-of-freedom (3-DOF) point mass trajectory simulation, the force of gravity acts in the earth frame while the forces of drag and lift operate in the body frame. The total force can be calculated in either the earth frame or the body frame as follows, noting that the "T" superscript refers to the matrix transpose:

$$F_{earth} = R_{roll}R_{pitch}R_{yaw}(F_{drag} + F_{lift}) + F_{gravity}$$
(23)

$$F_{body} = F_{drag} + F_{lift} + (R_{roll}R_{pitch}R_{yaw})^T F_{gravity}$$
(24)

A six-degree-of-freedom (6-DOF) trajectory simulation uses the same coordinate system convention with the addition of rotational moments that act about a center of gravity. One major difference between a 6-DOF and a 3-DOF is that a 6-DOF obtains Euler angles from the angular rates that are calculated at each time step while a 3-DOF obtains Euler angles from the velocity vector, with the assumption that the projectile body axis will always be aligned to the velocity vector. This assumption allows the 3-DOF to calculate a rotation matrix directly from the velocity vector, which is a helpful simplification of the physics but one that can cause the problem discussed in the next section.

#### Gimbal Lock

An issue that can arise during the formation of a rotation matrix is called gimbal lock (ref. 1), referring to the loss of one degree of freedom in a three-axis gimbal when two gimbals rotate about the same axis. This issue occurs mainly in 3-DOFs when the flight path angle approaches ±90 deg in the presence of a force in the body frame normal to the velocity vector, such as a lift force. In simple terms, the projectile is unable to determine which direction is up and which direction is to the side because of a discontinuity in the mathematical functions that make up any of the variations of rotation matrix. Put another way, when the projectile is pointed down, which way is up? Each formulation of rotation matrix mentioned previously experiences gimbal lock.

There are at least three commonly-suggested solutions to the problem of gimbal lock in a trajectory simulation. One is to use quaternions. This solution works well in a 6-DOF, but quaternions are unable to solve gimbal lock in a 3-DOF because the quaternion rotation matrix is formed using Euler angles, which is where the discontinuity arises in the first place (ref. 2).

A second method is to use a series of rules when setting up the rotation matrix. For example, the angle about the y-axis can be defined differently depending on whether the x-value of the velocity vector is positive or negative. The problem with this method is that there is a tendency for a rule to solve the problem in one test case while exacerbating the problem in a different test case. Plus, one rule tends to lead to another rule, which leads to another rule, etc. The complication of this method makes it largely untenable.

A third method is to switch coordinate systems at or near the trigonometric discontinuities. For example, the simulation can use the NUE coordinate system until the angle about the z-axis approaches -90, then switch to down-north-east. This method has been shown to work in a 6-DOF (ref. 3), but the author was unable to achieve a working solution in a 3-DOF.

Finally, the actual working method of avoiding gimbal lock is to form a direction cosine matrix using a position pointing vector instead of gravity. The pointing vector is simply the projectile's current position subtracted from a target's position. For scenarios that apply a lift force in a constant direction, the target should be a position in space in the direction of desired travel, preferably at a distance that is unattainably far away in order to avoid causing the velocity vector to be coincident with the position pointing vector. Equations 25 through 30 are nearly identical to the gravity-based direction cosine method, with some slight changes to the order of operations.

$$\hat{\iota} = \frac{[x, y, z]}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}}$$
(25)

$$\vec{r} = \vec{r}_{target} - \vec{r}_{projectile} \tag{26}$$

$$\vec{k} = \hat{\iota} \times \vec{r} \tag{27}$$

$$\hat{k} = \frac{\vec{k}}{|\vec{k}|} \tag{28}$$

$$\hat{j} = \hat{k} \times \hat{\iota} \tag{29}$$

$$R_{total} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{i} \\ \hat{j} \\ \hat{k} \end{bmatrix}^T$$
(30)

### **Test Case**

A simple test case was set up to demonstrate the concept. An object with a mass of 10 kg and a diameter of 0.1 m, with an initial speed of 250 m/s at a flight path angle of 80 deg and a roll angle of zero, was subjected to a constant gravitational acceleration of 9.8 m/s<sup>2</sup> in air at a constant density of 1.225 kg/m<sup>3</sup>. The object had a drag coefficient of 0.3 and a lift coefficient of 0.9, and the lift force was applied in the downward direction starting at 20 sec in flight. A very simple trajectory simulation was run to calculate the ground impact point of the object. One simulation was run with a standard rotation matrix, and a second simulation was run using the direction cosine matrix based on a target position. Figure 1 shows the position of the object, specifically as it approaches vertical and

#### Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

either experiences gimbal lock or avoids it. Figure 2 shows the flight path angle of the object as it either gets locked into the angular discontinuity around -90 deg or simply passes through it.



Figure 1 Height versus range of two trajectory calculation methods showing the appearance and the avoidance of gimbal lock



Figure 2

Flight path angle versus time showing one trajectory approaching and getting locked at -90 deg while another trajectory avoids it

### Discussion

A key application of this technology is in the early development of highly-maneuverable projectiles. It is often desireable, in light of cost and time constraints, to quantify a projectile's maneuverability in a realistic simulation environment without the complication and potential aerodynamic instability of a 6-DOF. The use of a 3-DOF reduces the amount of aerodynamic information required up front, and the method of gimbal lock avoidance discussed in this report can produce useful trajectory estimates for projectiles whose maneuverability enables the reversal of forward velocity.

### CONCLUSIONS

An investigation into coordinate systems and rotation matrices yielded some elucidation as to the source of gimbal lock in trajectory simulations. A variety of solutions were attempted, finally settling on the direction cosine matrix formed with a target position vector. Its effectiveness was demonstrated with a simple three-degree-of-freedom trajectory simulation.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Hoag, D., "Consideration of Apollo IMU Gimbal Lock," MIT Instrumentation Laboratory, Cambridge, MA, April 1963.
- 2. Cohn, H., "The Gimbal Lock Shows up in my Quaternions," MathOverflow, May 3, 2012, available http://mathoverflow.net/questions/95902/the-gimbal-lock-shows-up-in-my-quaternions, accessed February 15, 2017.
- 3. Barnett, B., "Trajectory Equations for a Six-Degree-of-Freedom Missile," Feltman Research Laboratories (U.S. Army ARDEC), Picatinny Arsenal, NJ, May 1962.

### **DISTRIBUTION LIST**

U.S. Army ARDEC ATTN: RDAR-EIK RDAR-MEF-A, J. Grau W. Toledo E. Vazquez S. Chung T. Recchia Y. Chen D. Hosier (3) RDAR-MEF-P, C. Stout Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000

Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) ATTN: Accessions Division 8725 John J. Kingman Road, Ste. 0944 Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6218

#### REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF ARDEC REPORTS

INICAL REPORT	SPECIAL REPORT		
ORANDUM REPORT	ARMAMENT GRADUATE SCHOOL REPORT		
SOURCE	led EMD; PM funded Production/ESIP; Other (please identify)]		
bal Lock in a Trajectory Simulation			
	Project		
ier	·		
ct Engineer	Report number/Date received (to be completed by LCSD)		
94	RDAR-MEF-A		
Building	Author's Office Symbol		
for editing. Use Distribution Statement A <u>K</u> checked on the continuation of this	B, C, D, E, or I <sup>±</sup> for the reason		
<ol> <li>If Statement A is selected, ti Information Service (NTIS) f whose distribution is not limit</li> </ol>	he report will be released to the National Technical or sale to the general public. Only unclassified reports ted or controlled in any way are released to NTIS.		
<ol> <li>If Statement B, C, D, E, or F Technical Information Cente conditions indicated in the st</li> </ol>	is selected, the report will be released to the Defense r (DTIC) which will limit distribution according to the latement.		
The distribution list for this report h	as been reviewed for accuracy and completeness. John C. Grau Division Chief (Date)		
	er		

This report is approved for publication.

.

A 4		1 1
John C. Gr	au	ibilio
<b>Division Chief</b>	(mar	0726110
	•	Chairey
Andrew Psko	wski	anin
		1/0/1/
RDAR-CIS		(Date)

LCSD 49 (1 Sept 16) Supersedes SMCAR Form 49, 20 Dec 06

4

.