

## AFL-CIO SENATE 2000 VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

**1. EDUCATION/PRIVATE SCHOOL VOUCHERS**—(S. 1134)—The Senate passed legislation that would have undermined public education by providing a generous tax benefit to our nation's wealthiest families to help them pay for private elementary and secondary school expenses through education IRAs. The so-called Affordable Education Act passed 61-37 on March 2, 2000. **Y=W; N=R.** (DEM: 9-35; REP: 52-2)

**2. HEALTH CARE/PATIENTS' BILL OF RIGHTS**—(S. 2549)—Since 1998, working families have called on Congress to pass a strong Patients' Bill of Rights. We support legislation to ensure their rights to have treatment decisions made by doctors and not insurance company bureaucrats, to see specialists when needed, to get emergency room care when and where needed, to appeal health care decisions and to hold managed care companies accountable when they wrongly deny patients care. The House passed such a bill in 1999, but the Senate killed an amendment to the Defense Authorization Bill (S. 2549) that was the same as the House-passed Patients' Bill of Rights. Like the House bill, the Senate amendment also required health plans to provide customers with such options as obstetrical and gynecological care for women and pediatricians as primary care providers for children. The amendment was killed 51-48 on June 8, 2000. **Y=W; N=R.** (DEM: 0-44; REP: 51-4)

**3. WORKER SAFETY/ERGONOMICS**—(H.R. 4577)—In an effort to eliminate the nation's No. 1 workplace health hazard—musculoskeletal injuries caused by poorly designed workplaces, repetitive motions and other ergonomic hazards on the job—workers and their unions long have supported the Occupational Safety and Health Administration's effort to establish an ergonomics standard. Such a workplace ergonomics standard would reduce or prevent the musculoskeletal disorders—such as carpal tunnel syndrome, tendinitis and back injuries—that hit some 1.8 million workers a year. Because their injuries from workplace ergonomic hazards are so severe, more than 600,000 workers a year are forced to take time off from the job. But conservative Republicans and Big Business have opposed such standards for more than a decade. The Senate passed an amendment to the Labor/Health and Human Services/ Education appropriations bill that would prohibit the use of funds in the bill to be used by OSHA to promulgate, issue, implement, administer or enforce any proposed, temporary or final ergonomics standard. The amendment passed 57-41 on June 22, 2000. **Y=W; N=R.** (DEM: 3-40; REP: 54-1)

**4. PRESCRIPTION DRUGS**—(H.R. 4577)—Prescription drug prices are rising at twice the rate of inflation. Most at risk in the drug price free-for-all are seniors, because Medicare does not pay for outpatient prescription drugs. Siding with insurance companies and the pharmaceutical industry, the Senate—in a near party-line vote—rejected an amendment to the Labor/Health and Human Services/Education appropriations bill that would authorize a universal, voluntary and affordable prescription drug benefit in the Medicare program for seniors and the disabled. The amendment failed 44-53 on June 22, 2000. **Y=R; N=W.** (DEM: 42-1; REP: 2-52)

**5. ESTATE TAX REPEAL**—(H.R. 8)—Instead of using the projected federal budget surpluses to strengthen Social Security and Medicare, and to add a prescription drug benefit to Medicare, the Senate passed a bill that would have used \$105 billion of the non-Social Security surpluses over the next 10 years to repeal the estate tax. The bill would have benefited just the wealthiest 2 percent of the taxpayers, who are subject to the tax on very large estates. The AFL-CIO supports tax relief that is targeted to those who deserve it the most—the nation's working families. The bill passed 59–39 on July 14, 2000. **Y=W; N=R.** (DEM: 9–35; REP: 50–4)

**6. TRADE/CHINA TRADE**—(H.R. 4444)— The Senate passed a bill to give the People's Republic of China permanent normal trade relations status. The bill solidifies China's entry into the World Trade Organization and effectively eliminates the U.S. government's ability to impose trade sanctions on China for human rights or workers' rights violations. The bill passed by a vote of 83–15 on Sept. 19, 2000. **Y=W; N=R.** (DEM: 37–7; REP: 46–8)

**7. IMMIGRATION**—(S. 2912)—The Latino and Immigrant Fairness Act of 2000 would have given long-term Central American, Haitian and Eastern European refugees the same opportunity to apply for permanent residence as that already given to some other immigrant groups under similar circumstances. It also would have allowed certain immigrants who have lived, worked and paid taxes in the United States since 1986 to apply for legal permanent residence and would have allowed immigrants who qualify for a "green card" to obtain a visa without leaving the country. During debate on another immigration bill (S. 2045), a motion was made to suspend Senate rules to allow consideration of S. 2912 as an amendment to S. 2045. It was rejected 43–55 on Sept. 27, 2000. **Y=R; N=W.** (DEM: 43–1; REP: 0–54)

**8. IMMIGRATION/H-1B**—(S. 2045)—Claiming a shortage of skilled U.S. workers, many high-tech companies use the H-1B visa program to import foreign workers, usually at lower wages than their U.S. counterparts would earn. The AFL-CIO supports expanded training to improve the skills of U.S. workers and recruiting more women and people of color into the high-tech job market. The AFL-CIO opposed S. 2045 because it increased the number of H-1B visas from 115,000 to 195,000 annually for three years created some program loopholes, and did not improve the design of the underlying program to better protect American workers. It passed the Senate 96–1 on Oct. 3, 2000. **Y=W; N=R.** (DEM: 42–1; REP: 54–0)

Record Vote (Roll) Number	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2						
	3	2	4	4	9	5	5	6						
	3	1	3	4	7	1	7	2	Total Votes 2000		Lifetime Total		2000 Right	Lifetime Right
AFL-CIO Vote #	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8						
Favorable Position	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	R	W	R	W	%	%

**Alabama**

Sessions (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	1	31	0%	<b>3%</b>
Shelby (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	66	69	0%	<b>49%</b>

**Alaska**

Murkowski (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	49	185	0%	<b>21%</b>
Stevens (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	156	236	0%	<b>40%</b>

**Arizona**

Kyl (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	0	51	0%	<b>0%</b>
McCain (R)	A	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	6	19	111	14%	<b>15%</b>

**Arkansas**

Hutchinson (R)	W	W	W	W	A	R	W	W	1	6	2	29	14%	<b>6%</b>
Lincoln (D)	R	R	W	R	W	W	R	W	4	4	12	5	50%	<b>71%</b>

**California**

Boxer (D)	R	R	A	A	R	W	R	W	4	2	62	6	67%	<b>91%</b>
Feinstein (D)	W	R	R	R	W	W	A	A	3	3	55	13	50%	<b>81%</b>

**Colorado**

Allard (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	0	32	0%	<b>0%</b>
Campbell (R)	W	W	W	A	W	R	W	W	1	6	30	36	14%	<b>45%</b>

**Connecticut**

Dodd (D)	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	6	2	213	24	75%	<b>90%</b>
Lieberman (D)	W	R	R	R	R	A	A	A	4	1	87	22	80%	<b>80%</b>

**Delaware**

Biden (D)	W	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	5	3	292	64	63%	<b>82%</b>
Roth (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	75	324	0%	<b>19%</b>

**Florida**

Graham (D)	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	6	2	102	35	75%	<b>74%</b>
Mack (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	10	101	0%	<b>9%</b>

**Georgia**

Cleland (D)	W	R	R	R	W	W	R	W	4	4	21	11	50%	<b>66%</b>
Miller (D)	I	I	I	I	I	W	R	W	1	2	1	2	33%	<b>33%</b>

**Hawaii**

Akaka (D)	R	R	R	R	R	A	R	W	6	1	91	9	86%	<b>91%</b>
Inouye (D)	A	R	A	A	R	W	R	W	3	2	357	42	60%	<b>89%</b>

**Idaho**

Craig (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	8	86	0%	<b>9%</b>
Crapo (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	0	17	0%	<b>0%</b>

**Illinois**

Durbin (D)	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	6	2	29	3	75%	<b>91%</b>
Fitzgerald (R)	W	R	W	R	W	W	W	W	2	6	5	12	25%	<b>29%</b>

**Indiana**

Bayh (D)	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	6	2	14	3	75%	<b>82%</b>
Lugar (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	28	289	0%	<b>9%</b>

**Iowa**

Grassley (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	33	212	0%	<b>13%</b>
Harkin (D)	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	6	2	148	20	75%	<b>88%</b>

**Kansas**

Brownback (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	0	32	0%	<b>0%</b>
Roberts (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	0	32	0%	<b>0%</b>

**Kentucky**

Bunning (R)	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	1	7	2	15	13%	<b>12%</b>
McConnell (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	20	150	0%	<b>12%</b>

**Louisiana**

Breaux (D)	W	R	W	W	W	W	R	W	2	6	95	41	25%	<b>70%</b>
Landrieu (D)	R	R	R	R	W	W	R	W	5	3	20	12	63%	<b>63%</b>

<b>Maine</b>															
	Collins (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	5	27	0%	<b>16%</b>
	Snowe (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	14	37	0%	<b>27%</b>
<b>Maryland</b>															
	Mikulski (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	7	1	121	11	88%	<b>92%</b>
	Sarbanes (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	7	1	303	13	88%	<b>96%</b>
<b>Massachusetts</b>															
	Kennedy (D)	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	A	6	1	384	31	86%	<b>93%</b>
	Kerry (D)	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	6	2	153	18	75%	<b>89%</b>
<b>Michigan</b>															
	Abraham (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	4	47	0%	<b>8%</b>
	Levin (D)	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	6	2	258	24	75%	<b>91%</b>
<b>Minnesota</b>															
	Grams (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	2	49	0%	<b>4%</b>
	Wellstone (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	7	1	88	6	88%	<b>94%</b>
<b>Mississippi</b>															
	Cochran (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	37	238	0%	<b>13%</b>
	Lott (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	10	101	0%	<b>9%</b>
<b>Missouri</b>															
	Ashcroft (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	1	48	0%	<b>2%</b>
	Bond (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	22	107	0%	<b>17%</b>
<b>Montana</b>															
	Baucus (D)	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	6	2	207	72	75%	<b>74%</b>
	Burns (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	11	102	0%	<b>10%</b>
<b>Nebraska</b>															
	Hagel (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	0	32	0%	<b>0%</b>
	Kerrey (D)	W	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	5	3	83	26	63%	<b>76%</b>
<b>Nevada</b>															
	Bryan (D)	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	6	2	87	26	75%	<b>77%</b>
	Reid (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	7	1	118	17	88%	<b>87%</b>
<b>New Hampshire</b>															
	Gregg (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	0	69	0%	<b>0%</b>
	Smith (R)	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	1	7	11	82	13%	<b>12%</b>
<b>New Jersey</b>															
	Lautenberg (D)	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	6	2	177	22	75%	<b>89%</b>
	Torricelli (D)	W	R	R	R	W	W	R	W	4	4	23	8	50%	<b>74%</b>
<b>New Mexico</b>															
	Bingaman (D)	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	6	2	161	38	75%	<b>81%</b>
	Domenici (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	77	297	0%	<b>21%</b>
<b>New York</b>															
	Moynihan (D)	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	6	2	280	34	75%	<b>89%</b>
	Schumer (D)	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	6	2	14	3	75%	<b>82%</b>
<b>North Carolina</b>															
	Edwards (D)	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	6	2	15	2	75%	<b>88%</b>
	Helms (R)	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	1	7	36	318	13%	<b>10%</b>
<b>North Dakota</b>															
	Conrad (D)	R	A	R	R	R	W	R	W	5	2	110	26	71%	<b>81%</b>
	Dorgan (D)	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	6	2	58	10	75%	<b>85%</b>
<b>Ohio</b>															
	DeWine (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	5	46	0%	<b>10%</b>
	Voinovich (R)	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	1	7	4	13	13%	<b>24%</b>
<b>Oklahoma</b>															
	Inhofe (R)	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	1	7	4	48	13%	<b>8%</b>
	Nickles (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	9	235	0%	<b>4%</b>
<b>Oregon</b>															
	Smith (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	1	31	0%	<b>3%</b>
	Wyden (D)	R	R	R	R	W	W	R	W	5	3	29	10	63%	<b>74%</b>
<b>Pennsylvania</b>															
	Santorum (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	7	43	0%	<b>14%</b>
	Specter (R)	W	R	R	W	R	R	W	W	4	4	154	87	50%	<b>64%</b>
<b>Rhode Island</b>															
	Chafee (R)	R	R	W	R	R	W	W	W	4	4	4	5	50%	<b>44%</b>

	Reed (D)	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	6	2	28	3	75%	<b>90%</b>
<b>South Carolina</b>															
	Hollings (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	7	1	251	155	88%	<b>62%</b>
	Thurmond (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	57	431	0%	<b>12%</b>
<b>South Dakota</b>															
	Daschle (D)	R	R	R	R	A	W	R	W	5	2	112	23	71%	<b>83%</b>
	Johnson (D)	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	6	2	26	6	75%	<b>81%</b>
<b>Tennessee</b>															
	Frist (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	0	51	0%	<b>0%</b>
	Thompson (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	2	49	0%	<b>4%</b>
<b>Texas</b>															
	Gramm (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	7	162	0%	<b>4%</b>
	Hutchison (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	1	64	0%	<b>2%</b>
<b>Utah</b>															
	Bennett (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	1	67	0%	<b>1%</b>
	Hatch (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	35	279	0%	<b>11%</b>
<b>Vermont</b>															
	Jeffords (R)	R	W	W	W	R	R	W	W	3	5	42	65	38%	<b>39%</b>
	Leahy (D)	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	6	2	303	52	75%	<b>85%</b>
<b>Virginia</b>															
	Robb (D)	R	R	R	R	W	W	R	W	5	3	81	32	63%	<b>72%</b>
	Warner (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	44	240	0%	<b>15%</b>
<b>Washington</b>															
	Gorton (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	16	96	0%	<b>14%</b>
	Murray (D)	R	R	R	R	W	W	R	W	5	3	59	9	63%	<b>87%</b>
<b>West Virginia</b>															
	Byrd (D)	W	R	R	R	R	R	W	W	5	3	374	113	63%	<b>77%</b>
	Rockefeller (D)	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	6	2	151	21	75%	<b>88%</b>
<b>Wisconsin</b>															
	Feingold (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	7	1	66	4	88%	<b>94%</b>
	Kohl (D)	W	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	5	3	83	30	63%	<b>73%</b>
<b>Wyoming</b>															
	Enzi (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	1	31	0%	<b>3%</b>
	Thomas (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	1	50	0%	<b>2%</b>

## AFL-CIO HOUSE 2000 VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

**1. MINIMUM WAGE**—(H.R. 3846)—As introduced by Rep. Shimkus (R-IL), the bill provided for a \$1 increase in the current \$5.15-an-hour minimum wage over three years. But the House adopted a Democratic amendment to the bill providing the \$1 increase in the minimum wage over two years. The amendment passed by a vote of 246–179 on March 9, 2000. **Y=R; N=W.** (DEM: 203–5; REP: 42–173; IND: 1–1)

**2. MINIMUM WAGE/FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT**—(H.R. 3846)—The House rejected a Democratic motion that would have eliminated the provisions in the minimum wage bill to deny large segments of the computer industry, certain sales employees and funeral directors overtime protections currently provided by the Fair Labor Standards Act. The measure also would have extended the minimum wage to the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands. The motion was rejected 181–243 on March 9, 2000. **Y=R; N=W.** (DEM: 180–28; REP: 0–214; IND: 1–1)

**3. TRADE/CHINA TRADE**—(H.R. 4444)—The House passed a bill to give the People's Republic of China permanent normal trade relations status. The bill solidifies China's entry into the World Trade Organization and effectively eliminates the U.S. government's ability to impose trade sanctions on China for human rights or workers' rights violations. The bill passed by a vote of 237–197 on May 24, 2000. **Y=W; N=R.** (DEM: 73–138; REP: 164–57; IND: 0–2)

**4. ERGONOMICS/WORKER PROTECTIONS/EDUCATION**—(H.R. 4577)—The fiscal year 2001 Labor, Health and Human Services and Education appropriations bill would have cut funding below the previous year's effort level for the principal worker protection programs. This bill also included an extreme legislative rider to prohibit OSHA from moving forward with its ergonomics standard to protect the safety and health of our nation's workers. Such a workplace ergonomics standard will reduce or prevent the musculoskeletal disorders—such as carpal tunnel syndrome, tendinitis and back injuries—that hit some 1.8 million workers a year. Finally, the bill provided no funds for proposals to help reduce class size and repair public school buildings and reduced funding for employment training programs. The bill passed 217–214 on June 14, 2000. **Y=W; N=R.** (DEM: 3–206; REP: 213–7; IND: 1–1)

*\* Note: An amendment was offered by Rep. Traficant to strip the anti-ergonomics standard language from the bill. However, since it was intended only to give cover to members who would ultimately vote for the overall bill, we are not scoring it and using the final passage vote instead.*

**5. HEALTH CARE/PRESCRIPTION DRUGS**—(H.R. 4680)—Prescription drug prices are rising at twice the rate of inflation. Most at risk in the drug price free-for-all are seniors, because Medicare does not pay for outpatient prescription medicines. But siding with insurers and the pharmaceutical industry, the House passed a sham prescription drug plan that would not give seniors a guaranteed drug benefit in Medicare, but instead would give private insurers big subsidies to offer drug-only policies. Working families support legislation for a universal, voluntary and affordable prescription drug benefit in the Medicare program for seniors and

people with disabilities. The House bill passed 217-214 on June 28, 2000. **Y=W; N=R.** (DEM: 5-203; REP: 211-10; IND: 1-1)

**6. COLLECTIVE BARGAINING/RIGHT TO WORK**—(H.R. 1304)—More and more physicians and other health care professionals are finding a voice at work by joining unions to improve both patient care and working conditions. H.R. 1304 would have granted physicians and other health care professionals the same rights as other employees to collectively bargain without being subject to suits under U.S. antitrust law. In an effort to weaken the bill, a right to work amendment was rejected 201-214 on June 30, 2000. **Y=W; N=R.** (DEM: 15-186; REP: 185-27; IND: 1-1)

**7. DEBT RELIEF**—(H.R. 4811)—Many developing countries are unable to implement necessary economic, social and political reforms because they are being forced to shift more and more of their limited resources from health care, education and other vital services to debt repayments. The House passed an amendment to the fiscal year 2001 Foreign Operations appropriations bill that funded the administration's request of \$225 million for foreign debt relief. The amendment passed 216-211 on July 13, 2000. **Y=R; N=W.** (DEM: 189-16; REP: 26-194; IND: 1-1)

**8. PROCUREMENT REFORM**—(H.R. 4871)—Environmental, consumer, civil rights, union and women's organizations, as well as a number of contractors, supported new responsible federal contractor rules proposed by the Clinton-Gore administration. The rules would make clear that a relevant factor in determining whether a federal contractor meets the responsibility test is the contractor's record of complying with the law, including environmental, labor, consumer, employment and other federal laws. An amendment to the fiscal year 2001 Treasury-Postal appropriations bill would have blocked changes to the federal regulations that made clear a business potentially can be denied a federal contract if they have a history of violating those basic federal laws. The amendment passed 228-190 on July 20, 2000. **Y=W; N=R.** (DEM: 24-179; REP: 203-10; IND: 1-1)

**9. ESTATE TAX REPEAL/VETO OVERRIDE**—(H.R. 8)—The House tried to override President Clinton's veto of the irresponsible tax bill that would have used \$105 billion of the non-Social Security surpluses over the next 10 years to repeal the estate tax, which only affects the wealthiest 2 percent of the taxpayers, rather than use these surpluses to strengthen Social Security and Medicare and add a prescription drug benefit to Medicare. The AFL-CIO supports tax relief that is targeted to those who deserve it the most—the nation's working families. The override failed 274-157 (a two-thirds majority of those present and voting is required to override a veto) on Sept. 7, 2000. **Y=W; N=R.** (DEM: 53-155; REP: 220-1; IND: 1-1)

**10. TAX CUT/CONFERENCE REPORT**—(H.R. 2614)—Despite strong bipartisan support, Republican congressional leaders blocked efforts to include the bipartisan Johnson-Rangel school construction bill in this tax bill. Instead, they added three school construction tax mechanisms, all without local prevailing wage protections. The bill's backers claimed it would provide health care coverage to the uninsured through a tax deduction for those who pay at least 50 percent of the cost of their health insurance, but that would be of no value to those without tax

liability and little help to those in the 15 percent bracket. In addition, the Republican tax bill threw billions of dollars at managed health care companies at the expense of Medicare beneficiaries, hospitals, nursing homes and home health care providers who suffered from cuts made to Medicare in 1997. Republican leaders who had long opposed a \$1-an-hour increase in the minimum wage over two years did include the raise in their tax bill, but the dozens of other partisan tax provisions in the bill in effect held the wage hike hostage. The bill passed 237-174 on Oct. 26, 2000. **Y=W; N=R.** (DEM: 33-167; REP 203-6; IND: 1-1)

# 2000 AFL-CIO Final House Voting

<b>Record Vote (Roll) Number:</b>	0	0	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	5						
	4	4	2	7	5	6	9	2	5	6						
	3	4	8	3	7	9	7	3	8	0						
<b>AFL-CIO Vote Number:</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Total Votes 2000	Lifetime Total		2000 Right	Lifetime Right	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0						
<b>Favorable Position:</b>	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	R	W	R	W	%	%

## Alabama

1	Callahan (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	26	157	0%	<b>14%</b>
2	Everett (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	12	68	0%	<b>15%</b>
3	Riley (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	5	31	10%	<b>14%</b>
4	Aderholt (R)	R	W	R	W	W	W	R	W	W	3	7	9	28	30%	<b>24%</b>
5	Cramer (D)	R	W	W	R	R	R	W	W	W	4	6	72	33	40%	<b>69%</b>
6	Bachus, S. (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	1	9	9	72	10%	<b>11%</b>
7	Hilliard (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	76	2	100%	<b>97%</b>

## Alaska

Al	Young, D. (R)	R	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	A	W	2	7	161	210	22%	<b>43%</b>
----	---------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	-----	-----	-----	------------

## Arizona

1	Salmon (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	R	1	9	2	58	10%	<b>3%</b>
2	Pastor (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	90	7	100%	<b>93%</b>
3	Stump (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	R	1	9	20	309	10%	<b>6%</b>
4	Shadegg (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	58	0%	<b>0%</b>
5	Kolbe (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	14	175	0%	<b>7%</b>
6	Hayworth (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	A	W	1	8	2	57	11%	<b>3%</b>

## Arkansas

1	Berry (D)	R	W	W	R	R	R	R	W	W	6	4	29	8	60%	<b>78%</b>
2	Snyder (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	32	5	90%	<b>86%</b>
3	Hutchinson, A. (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	4	32	0%	<b>11%</b>
4	Dickey (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	6	74	0%	<b>8%</b>

## California

1	Thompson, M. (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	W	W	7	3	14	5	70%	<b>74%</b>
2	Heger (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	15	142	0%	<b>10%</b>
3	Ose (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	19	0%	<b>0%</b>
4	Doolittle (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	8	95	0%	<b>8%</b>
5	Matsui (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	253	37	90%	<b>87%</b>
6	Woolsey (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	81	0	100%	<b>100%</b>
7	Miller, George (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	337	30	100%	<b>92%</b>
8	Pelosi (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	147	7	100%	<b>95%</b>
9	Lee (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	26	0	100%	<b>100%</b>
10	Tauscher (D)	R	W	W	R	R	R	R	W	W	5	5	24	13	50%	<b>65%</b>
11	Pombo (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	8	72	10%	<b>10%</b>
12	Lantos (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	236	10	100%	<b>96%</b>
13	Stark (D)	R	R	R	R	R	A	R	R	R	9	0	348	31	100%	<b>92%</b>
14	Eshoo (D)	R	W	W	R	R	R	R	W	R	7	3	67	12	70%	<b>85%</b>
15	Campbell, T. (R)	W	W	W	W	W	R	A	W	A	1	7	20	73	13%	<b>22%</b>

16	Lofgren (D)	R	W	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	8	2	51	9	80%	<b>85%</b>	
17	Farr (D)	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	67	6	90%	<b>92%</b>	
18	Condit (D)	R	W	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	W	6	4	89	33	60%	<b>73%</b>
19	Radanovich (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	57	0%	<b>0%</b>
20	Dooley (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	W	W	W	6	4	61	44	60%	<b>58%</b>
21	Thomas, B. (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	32	249	0%	<b>11%</b>
22	Capps (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	W	W	7	3	21	7	70%	<b>75%</b>
23	Gallegly (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	21	136	0%	<b>13%</b>
24	Sherman (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	33	4	100%	<b>89%</b>
25	McKeon (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	2	79	0%	<b>2%</b>
26	Berman (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	A	R	R	9	0	185	23	100%	<b>89%</b>
27	Rogan (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	1	36	0%	<b>3%</b>
28	Dreier (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	4	248	0%	<b>2%</b>
29	Waxman (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	A	8	1	331	36	89%	<b>90%</b>
30	Becerra (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	71	7	90%	<b>91%</b>
31	Martinez (D)	R	W	W	W	W	A	W	W	W	A	1	7	201	17	13%	<b>92%</b>
32	Dixon (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	272	13	90%	<b>95%</b>
33	Roybal-Allard (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	76	5	100%	<b>94%</b>
34	Napolitano (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	18	1	100%	<b>95%</b>
35	Waters (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	97	2	100%	<b>98%</b>
36	Kuykendall (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	1	18	0%	<b>5%</b>



16	Lofgren (D)	R	W	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	8	2	51	9	80%	<b>85%</b>
17	Farr (D)	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	67	6	90%	<b>92%</b>
18	Condit (D)	R	W	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	6	4	89	33	60%	<b>73%</b>
19	Radanovich (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	57	0%	<b>0%</b>
20	Dooley (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	W	W	6	4	61	44	60%	<b>58%</b>
21	Thomas, B. (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	32	249	0%	<b>11%</b>
22	Capps (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	W	7	3	21	7	70%	<b>75%</b>
23	Gallegly (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	21	136	0%	<b>13%</b>
24	Sherman (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	33	4	100%	<b>89%</b>
25	McKeon (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	2	79	0%	<b>2%</b>
26	Berman (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	A	R	9	0	185	23	100%	<b>89%</b>
27	Rogan (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	1	36	0%	<b>3%</b>
28	Dreier (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	4	248	0%	<b>2%</b>
29	Waxman (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	A	8	1	331	36	89%	<b>90%</b>
30	Becerra (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	71	7	90%	<b>91%</b>
31	Martinez (D)	R	W	W	W	W	A	W	W	W	1	7	201	17	13%	<b>92%</b>
32	Dixon (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	272	13	90%	<b>95%</b>
33	Roybal-Allard (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	76	5	100%	<b>94%</b>
34	Napolitano (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	18	1	100%	<b>95%</b>
35	Waters (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	97	2	100%	<b>98%</b>
36	Kuykendall (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	1	18	0%	<b>5%</b>
37	Millender-McDonald (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	41	3	100%	<b>93%</b>
38	Horn (R)	R	W	R	W	W	R	R	W	W	4	6	33	48	40%	<b>41%</b>
39	Royce (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	8	71	0%	<b>10%</b>
40	Lewis, Jerry (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	38	237	0%	<b>14%</b>
41	Miller, Gary (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	19	0%	<b>0%</b>
42	Baca (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	A	R	9	0	9	0	100%	<b>100%</b>
43	Calvert (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	1	79	0%	<b>1%</b>
44	Bono (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	4	22	0%	<b>15%</b>
45	Rohrabacher (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	16	112	10%	<b>13%</b>
46	Sanchez (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	A	W	8	1	32	4	89%	<b>89%</b>
47	Cox (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	8	118	0%	<b>6%</b>
48	Packard (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	A	0	9	10	205	0%	<b>5%</b>
49	Bilbray (R)	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	9	50	10%	<b>15%</b>
50	Filner (D)	R	R	R	R	A	A	R	R	R	8	0	73	4	100%	<b>95%</b>
51	Cunningham (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	7	96	0%	<b>7%</b>
52	Hunter (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	48	197	10%	<b>20%</b>
<b>Colorado</b>																
1	DeGette (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	34	3	90%	<b>92%</b>
2	Udall, M. (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	9	1	18	1	90%	<b>95%</b>
3	McInnis (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	A	W	0	9	4	75	0%	<b>5%</b>
4	Schaffer (R)	A	A	W	R	R	W	R	W	W	3	5	5	30	38%	<b>14%</b>
5	Hefley (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	18	139	10%	<b>11%</b>
6	Tancredo (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	2	17	10%	<b>11%</b>
<b>Connecticut</b>																
1	Larson (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	9	1	16	3	90%	<b>84%</b>
2	Gejdenson (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	244	10	100%	<b>96%</b>
3	DeLauro (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	101	4	100%	<b>96%</b>
4	Shays (R)	R	W	W	W	W	R	R	W	W	3	7	65	87	30%	<b>43%</b>

	5	Maloney, J. (D)	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	R	W	W	6	4	29	8	60%	<b>78%</b>
	6	Johnson, N. (R)	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	89	129	10%	<b>41%</b>
<b>Delaware</b>																		
	Al	Castle (R)	R	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	2	8	20	60	20%	<b>25%</b>
<b>Florida</b>																		
	1	Scarborough (R)	A	A	A	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	7	5	50	0%	<b>9%</b>
	2	Boyd (D)	W	W	W	R	R	W	W	W	R	W	3	7	23	13	30%	<b>64%</b>
	3	Brown, C. (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	75	4	100%	<b>95%</b>
	4	Fowler (R)	W	W	W	W	W	A	W	W	W	W	0	9	7	70	0%	<b>9%</b>
	5	Thurman, K. (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	70	9	90%	<b>89%</b>
	6	Stearns (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	17	108	10%	<b>14%</b>
	7	Mica (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	7	74	10%	<b>9%</b>
	8	McCollum (R)	A	A	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	A	0	7	19	227	0%	<b>8%</b>
	9	Bilirakis (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	46	170	10%	<b>21%</b>
	10	Young, C.W. (R)	R	W	W	W	W	A	W	W	W	W	1	8	67	350	11%	<b>16%</b>
	11	Davis, J. (D)	R	W	W	R	R	R	R	W	R	R	7	3	27	10	70%	<b>73%</b>
	12	Canady (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	5	76	0%	<b>6%</b>
	13	Miller, D. (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	7	72	0%	<b>9%</b>
	14	Goss (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	12	115	0%	<b>9%</b>
	15	Weldon, D. (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	4	55	10%	<b>7%</b>
	16	Foley (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	6	54	0%	<b>10%</b>
	17	Meek, C. (D)	R	R	R	R	R	A	R	R	R	R	9	0	74	5	100%	<b>94%</b>
	18	Ros-Lehtinen (R)	R	W	R	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	3	7	53	67	30%	<b>44%</b>
	19	Wexler (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	36	1	100%	<b>97%</b>
	20	Deutsch (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	69	10	100%	<b>87%</b>
	21	Diaz-Balart (R)	R	W	R	W	W	R	W	R	W	W	4	6	48	33	40%	<b>59%</b>
	22	Shaw (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	29	224	0%	<b>11%</b>
	23	Hastings, A. (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	75	2	100%	<b>97%</b>
<b>Georgia</b>																		
	1	Kingston (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	6	74	10%	<b>8%</b>
	2	Bishop (D)	R	W	W	R	R	R	R	R	W	W	6	4	62	17	60%	<b>78%</b>
	3	Collins, M. (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	11	70	10%	<b>14%</b>
	4	McKinney (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	81	0	100%	<b>100%</b>
	5	Lewis, John (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	155	2	100%	<b>99%</b>
	6	Isakson (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	1	17	0%	<b>6%</b>
	7	Barr (R)	W	W	R	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	2	8	9	50	20%	<b>15%</b>
	8	Chambliss (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	3	56	0%	<b>5%</b>
	9	Deal (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	14	67	10%	<b>17%</b>
	10	Norwood (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	6	54	10%	<b>10%</b>
	11	Linder (R)	W	W	W	W	W	A	W	W	W	W	0	9	1	79	0%	<b>1%</b>
<b>Hawaii</b>																		
	1	Abercrombie (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	R		9	1	99	3	90%	<b>97%</b>
	2	Mink (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	R		9	1	224	9	90%	<b>96%</b>
<b>Idaho</b>																		
	1	Chenoweth-Hage (R)	W	W	R	W	R	W	A	W	W	A	2	6	9	47	25%	<b>16%</b>
	2	Simpson (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	1	18	0%	<b>5%</b>
<b>Illinois</b>																		
	1	Rush (D)	R	R	R	R	R	A	R	R	R	R	9	0	74	3	100%	<b>96%</b>
	2	Jackson, J. (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	49	0	100%	<b>100%</b>

3	Lipinski (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	9	1	178	33	90%	<b>84%</b>
4	Gutierrez (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	78	0	100%	<b>100%</b>
5	Blagojevich (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	A	8	1	34	2	89%	<b>94%</b>
6	Hyde (R)	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	2	8	58	319	20%	<b>15%</b>
7	Davis, D. (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	37	0	100%	<b>100%</b>
8	Crane (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	18	391	0%	<b>4%</b>
9	Schakowsky (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	19	0	100%	<b>100%</b>
10	Porter (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	1	9	39	223	10%	<b>15%</b>
11	Weller (R)	R	W	W	W	W	R	W	A	W	W	W	2	7	16	43	22%	<b>27%</b>
12	Costello (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	R	9	1	121	12	90%	<b>91%</b>
13	Biggert (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	1	18	0%	<b>5%</b>
14	Hastert (R)	S	S	W	W	W	W	W	S	W	W	W	0	7	12	142	0%	<b>8%</b>
15	Ewing (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	8	93	0%	<b>8%</b>
16	Manzullo (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	81	0%	<b>0%</b>
17	Evans (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	213	6	100%	<b>97%</b>
18	LaHood (R)	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	14	46	10%	<b>23%</b>
19	Phelps (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	R	9	1	17	2	90%	<b>89%</b>
20	Shimkus (R)	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	2	8	10	27	20%	<b>27%</b>
<b>Indiana</b>																		
1	Visclosky (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	173	17	100%	<b>91%</b>
2	McIntosh (R)	W	W	W	W	W	A	A	A	W	A	A	0	6	2	53	0%	<b>4%</b>
3	Roemer (D)	R	W	W	R	R	R	W	A	W	W	W	4	5	70	33	44%	<b>68%</b>
4	Souder (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	8	52	10%	<b>13%</b>
5	Buyer (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	9	71	10%	<b>11%</b>
6	Burton (R)	W	A	R	W	W	W	W	A	W	W	W	1	7	27	183	13%	<b>13%</b>
7	Pease (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	4	33	0%	<b>11%</b>
8	Hostettler (R)	W	W	R	R	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	3	7	10	50	30%	<b>17%</b>
9	Hill, B. (D)	R	W	W	R	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	7	3	14	5	70%	<b>74%</b>
10	Carson (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	34	1	90%	<b>97%</b>
<b>Iowa</b>																		
1	Leach (R)	R	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	2	8	111	223	20%	<b>33%</b>
2	Nussle (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	1	9	10	95	10%	<b>10%</b>
3	Boswell (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	W	W	W	7	3	27	9	70%	<b>75%</b>
4	Ganske (R)	R	W	W	W	R	W	R	W	W	W	W	3	7	12	47	30%	<b>20%</b>
5	Latham (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	1	9	1	58	10%	<b>2%</b>
<b>Kansas</b>																		
1	Moran, Jerry (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	6	31	0%	<b>16%</b>
2	Ryun, J. (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	36	0%	<b>0%</b>
3	Moore (D)	R	W	W	R	R	W	R	R	W	W	W	5	5	12	7	50%	<b>63%</b>
4	Tiahrt (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	2	58	0%	<b>3%</b>
<b>Kentucky</b>																		
1	Whitfield (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	7	53	0%	<b>12%</b>
2	Lewis, R. (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	4	60	0%	<b>6%</b>
3	Northup (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	1	36	0%	<b>3%</b>
4	Lucas, K. (D)	W	W	W	R	R	R	R	W	W	W	W	4	6	9	9	40%	<b>50%</b>
5	Rogers (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	66	188	10%	<b>26%</b>
6	Fletcher (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	19	0%	<b>0%</b>
<b>Louisiana</b>																		
1	Vitter (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	1	16	0%	<b>6%</b>

2	Jefferson (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	A	R	8	1	86	9	89%	<b>91%</b>
3	Tauzin (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	82	167	0%	<b>33%</b>
4	McCrery (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	8	128	0%	<b>6%</b>
5	Cooksey (R)	A	A	W	W	W	W	W	A	W	W	0	7	2	28	0%	<b>7%</b>
6	Baker (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	11	142	0%	<b>7%</b>
7	John (D)	R	W	W	R	R	W	R	W	W	R	5	5	19	17	50%	<b>53%</b>
<b>Maine</b>																	
1	Allen (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	34	3	90%	<b>92%</b>
2	Baldacci (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	56	3	100%	<b>95%</b>
<b>Maryland</b>																	
1	Gilchrest (R)	R	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	2	8	19	83	20%	<b>19%</b>
2	Ehrlich (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	7	53	10%	<b>12%</b>
3	Cardin (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	144	13	90%	<b>92%</b>
4	Wynn (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	78	3	100%	<b>96%</b>
5	Hoyer (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	232	18	90%	<b>93%</b>
6	Bartlett (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	7	73	10%	<b>9%</b>
7	Cummings (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	A	R	R	R	9	0	43	1	100%	<b>98%</b>
8	Morella (R)	R	W	W	R	R	R	R	W	W	W	5	5	86	73	50%	<b>54%</b>
<b>Massachusetts</b>																	
1	Olver (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	97	3	100%	<b>97%</b>
2	Neal (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	114	8	90%	<b>93%</b>
3	McGovern (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	36	1	100%	<b>97%</b>
4	Frank, Barney (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	238	16	100%	<b>94%</b>
5	Meehan (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	69	11	90%	<b>86%</b>
6	Tierney (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	36	1	100%	<b>97%</b>
7	Markey (D)	R	R	R	R	A	A	R	R	R	R	8	0	305	24	100%	<b>93%</b>
8	Capuano (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	19	0	100%	<b>100%</b>
9	Moakley (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	366	21	100%	<b>95%</b>
10	Delahunt (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	A	W	R	8	1	35	1	89%	<b>97%</b>
<b>Michigan</b>																	
1	Stupak (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	78	2	100%	<b>98%</b>
2	Hoekstra (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	3	78	10%	<b>4%</b>
3	Ehlers (R)	R	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	2	8	7	62	20%	<b>10%</b>
4	Camp (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	6	98	0%	<b>6%</b>
5	Barcia (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	W	8	2	71	10	80%	<b>88%</b>
6	Upton (R)	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	32	127	10%	<b>20%</b>
7	Smith, Nick (R)	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	6	73	10%	<b>8%</b>
8	Stabenow (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	9	1	33	4	90%	<b>89%</b>
9	Kildee (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	321	15	100%	<b>96%</b>
10	Bonior (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	314	14	100%	<b>96%</b>
11	Knollenberg (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	2	79	0%	<b>2%</b>
12	Levin, S. (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	206	14	90%	<b>94%</b>
13	Rivers (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	56	4	100%	<b>93%</b>
14	Conyers (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	390	28	100%	<b>93%</b>
15	Kilpatrick (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	36	1	100%	<b>97%</b>
16	Dingell (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	457	36	100%	<b>93%</b>
<b>Minnesota</b>																	
1	Gutknecht (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	2	58	0%	<b>3%</b>
2	Minge (D)	R	W	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	7	3	57	24	70%	<b>70%</b>

3	Ramstad (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	1	9	19	86	10%	18%
4	Vento (D)	A	A	R	A	A	A	A	A	A	I	1	0	302	21	100%	93%
5	Sabo (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	9	1	272	21	90%	93%
6	Luther (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	9	1	52	8	90%	87%
7	Peterson, C. (D)	R	W	R	R	W	R	R	R	W	W	6	4	75	30	60%	71%
8	Oberstar (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	351	24	100%	94%
<b>Mississippi</b>																	
1	Wicker (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	59	0%	0%
2	Thompson, B. (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	A	9	0	71	1	100%	99%
3	Pickering (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	2	35	0%	5%
4	Shows (D)	R	W	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	W	6	4	13	6	60%	68%
5	Taylor, G. (D)	R	W	R	R	R	W	W	W	R	R	6	4	54	63	60%	46%
<b>Missouri</b>																	
1	Clay (D)	R	R	R	R	R	A	A	A	R	R	7	0	379	15	100%	96%
2	Talent (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	3	78	0%	4%
3	Gephardt (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	279	40	100%	87%
4	Skelton (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	8	2	223	99	80%	69%
5	McCarthy, K. (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	51	7	100%	88%
6	Danner (D)	R	R	R	A	R	R	W	R	W	A	6	2	63	16	75%	80%
7	Blunt (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	3	33	0%	8%
8	Emerson (R)	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	1	9	5	32	10%	14%
9	Hulshof (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	2	34	0%	6%
<b>Montana</b>																	
Al	Hill, R. (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	3	34	0%	8%
<b>Nebraska</b>																	
1	Bereuter (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	1	9	53	239	10%	18%
2	Terry (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	19	0%	0%
3	Barrett, B. (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	10	95	0%	10%
<b>Nevada</b>																	
1	Berkley (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	W	8	2	15	4	80%	79%
2	Gibbons (R)	R	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	2	8	8	29	20%	22%
<b>New Hampshire</b>																	
1	Sununu (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	1	9	1	36	10%	3%
2	Bass (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	1	59	0%	2%
<b>New Jersey</b>																	
1	Andrews (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	9	1	87	16	90%	84%
2	LoBiondo (R)	R	W	R	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	3	7	26	34	30%	43%
3	Saxton (R)	R	W	R	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	3	7	59	128	30%	32%
4	Smith, C. (R)	R	W	R	W	W	R	R	R	W	W	5	5	172	83	50%	67%
5	Roukema (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	81	167	0%	33%
6	Pallone (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	117	12	100%	91%
7	Franks, Bob (R)	R	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	A	2	7	21	58	22%	27%
8	Pascrell (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	37	0	100%	100%
9	Rothman (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	36	1	100%	97%
10	Payne (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	A	9	0	118	2	100%	98%
11	Frelinghuysen (R)	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	7	53	10%	12%
12	Holt (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	W	8	2	15	4	80%	79%
13	Menendez (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	79	1	100%	99%
<b>New Mexico</b>																	

1	Wilson (R)	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	3	20	10%	<b>13%</b>
2	Skeen (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	32	223	0%	<b>13%</b>
3	Udall, T. (D)	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	18	1	90%	<b>95%</b>
<b>New York</b>																	
1	Forbes (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	A	R	W	R	8	1	32	26	89%	<b>55%</b>
2	Lazio (R)	R	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	A	2	7	26	53	22%	<b>33%</b>
3	King, P. (R)	R	W	R	W	W	R	W	R	W	W	4	6	33	48	40%	<b>41%</b>
4	McCarthy, C. (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	W	W	7	3	27	8	70%	<b>77%</b>
5	Ackerman (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	200	9	90%	<b>96%</b>
6	Meeks, G. (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	27	2	90%	<b>93%</b>
7	Crowley (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	A	9	0	17	1	100%	<b>94%</b>
8	Nadler (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	80	0	100%	<b>100%</b>
9	Weiner (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	16	3	90%	<b>84%</b>
10	Towns (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	200	7	100%	<b>97%</b>
11	Owens (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	211	3	100%	<b>99%</b>
12	Velazquez (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	81	0	100%	<b>100%</b>
13	Fossella (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	29	0%	<b>0%</b>
14	Maloney, C. (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	75	6	90%	<b>93%</b>
15	Rangel (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	388	22	90%	<b>95%</b>
16	Serrano (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	107	6	90%	<b>95%</b>
17	Engel (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	124	2	100%	<b>98%</b>
18	Lowey (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	121	8	90%	<b>94%</b>
19	Kelly (R)	W	W	W	W	W	R	R	W	W	W	2	8	18	42	20%	<b>30%</b>
20	Gilman (R)	R	W	R	W	W	R	W	R	W	W	4	6	290	108	40%	<b>73%</b>
21	McNulty (D)	R	R	R	R	R	A	A	R	R	R	8	0	109	9	100%	<b>92%</b>
22	Sweeney (R)	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	R	W	W	2	8	7	12	20%	<b>37%</b>
23	Boehlert (R)	R	W	W	W	W	R	R	W	W	W	3	7	145	75	30%	<b>66%</b>
24	McHugh (R)	R	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	2	8	34	47	20%	<b>42%</b>
25	Walsh (R)	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	52	77	10%	<b>40%</b>
26	Hinchey (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	79	1	100%	<b>99%</b>
27	Reynolds (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	1	18	0%	<b>5%</b>
28	Slaughter (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	148	6	100%	<b>96%</b>
29	LaFalce (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	319	53	90%	<b>86%</b>
30	Quinn (R)	R	W	R	W	W	R	W	R	W	W	4	6	43	38	40%	<b>53%</b>
31	Houghton (R)	R	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	2	8	53	102	20%	<b>34%</b>
<b>North Carolina</b>																	
1	Clayton (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	9	1	77	3	90%	<b>96%</b>
2	Etheridge (D)	R	R	W	R	R	W	R	R	W	R	7	3	30	6	70%	<b>83%</b>
3	Jones, W. (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	7	52	10%	<b>12%</b>
4	Price, D. (D)	R	R	W	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	8	2	113	23	80%	<b>83%</b>
5	Burr (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	8	52	10%	<b>13%</b>
6	Coble (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	27	161	10%	<b>14%</b>
7	McIntyre (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	W	W	W	6	4	25	12	60%	<b>68%</b>
8	Hayes (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	4	15	10%	<b>21%</b>
9	Myrick (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	2	56	0%	<b>3%</b>
10	Ballenger (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	14	142	0%	<b>9%</b>
11	Taylor, C. (R)	W	W	R	W	W	A	W	W	W	W	1	8	16	88	11%	<b>15%</b>
12	Watt, M. (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	80	1	100%	<b>99%</b>
<b>North Dakota</b>																	

Ohio	Al Pomeroy (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	67	13	90%	<b>84%</b>
	1 Chabot (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	2	58	0%	<b>3%</b>
	2 Portman (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	1	74	0%	<b>1%</b>
	3 Hall, T. (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	237	47	100%	<b>83%</b>
	4 Oxley (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	18	229	0%	<b>7%</b>
	5 Gillmor (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	21	106	0%	<b>17%</b>
	6 Strickland (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	58	0	100%	<b>100%</b>
	7 Hobson (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	17	87	0%	<b>16%</b>
	8 Boehner (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	2	102	0%	<b>2%</b>
	9 Kaptur (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	198	14	100%	<b>93%</b>
	10 Kucinich (D)	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	9	1	36	1	90%	<b>97%</b>
	11 Jones, S. (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	18	1	100%	<b>95%</b>
	12 Kasich (R)	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	1	9	29	190	10%	<b>13%</b>
	13 Brown, S. (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	78	3	100%	<b>96%</b>
	14 Sawyer (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	143	16	90%	<b>90%</b>
	15 Pryce, D. (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	4	73	0%	<b>5%</b>
	16 Regula (R)	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	1	9	145	261	10%	<b>36%</b>
	17 Traficant (D)	R	W	R	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	4	6	172	16	40%	<b>91%</b>
	18 Ney (R)	R	W	R	W	W	R	W	R	W	W	4	6	22	38	40%	<b>37%</b>
	19 LaTourette (R)	W	W	R	W	W	R	R	W	W	W	3	7	21	39	30%	<b>35%</b>
Oklahoma																	
	1 Largent (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	4	55	0%	<b>7%</b>
	2 Coburn (R)	W	W	R	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	2	8	8	49	20%	<b>14%</b>
	3 Watkins (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	112	161	0%	<b>41%</b>
	4 Watts, J.C. (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	1	58	0%	<b>2%</b>
	5 Istook (R)	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	3	77	10%	<b>4%</b>
	6 Lucas, F. (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	2	64	0%	<b>3%</b>
Oregon																	
	1 Wu (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	R	R	9	1	17	2	90%	<b>89%</b>
	2 Walden (R)	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	R	2	8	4	15	20%	<b>21%</b>
	3 Blumenauer (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	38	4	90%	<b>90%</b>
	4 DeFazio (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	144	12	100%	<b>92%</b>
	5 Hooley (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	W	R	R	8	2	30	7	80%	<b>81%</b>
Pennsylvania																	
	1 Brady, R. (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	A	R	9	0	24	0	100%	<b>100%</b>
	2 Fattah (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	56	3	100%	<b>95%</b>
	3 Borski (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	207	9	100%	<b>96%</b>
	4 Klink (D)	R	R	R	R	R	A	R	R	W	A	7	1	76	3	88%	<b>96%</b>
	5 Peterson, J. (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	A	W	0	9	1	30	0%	<b>3%</b>
	6 Holden (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	R	R	9	1	71	8	90%	<b>90%</b>
	7 Weldon, C. (R)	R	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	2	8	71	78	20%	<b>48%</b>
	8 Greenwood (R)	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	A	W	W	1	8	10	70	11%	<b>13%</b>
	9 Shuster (R)	W	W	W	W	W	A	W	W	W	R	1	8	63	328	11%	<b>16%</b>
	10 Sherwood (R)	R	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	2	8	5	14	20%	<b>26%</b>
	11 Kanjorski (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	175	15	100%	<b>92%</b>
	12 Murtha (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	342	44	100%	<b>89%</b>
	13 Hoeffel (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	17	2	100%	<b>89%</b>
	14 Coyne (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	234	10	100%	<b>96%</b>

15	Toomey (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	1	18	0%	<b>5%</b>
16	Pitts (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	36	0%	<b>0%</b>
17	Gekas (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	29	189	0%	<b>13%</b>
18	Doyle (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	54	5	100%	<b>92%</b>
19	Goodling (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	89	277	10%	<b>24%</b>
20	Mascara (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	58	2	100%	<b>97%</b>
21	English (R)	R	W	W	W	W	R	R	W	W	W	3	7	23	36	30%	<b>39%</b>
<b>Rhode Island</b>																	
1	Kennedy, P. (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	57	0	100%	<b>100%</b>
2	Weygand (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	9	1	36	1	90%	<b>97%</b>
<b>South Carolina</b>																	
1	Sanford (R)	W	W	R	R	R	W	W	W	W	R	4	6	9	51	40%	<b>15%</b>
2	Spence (R)	A	A	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	7	71	345	13%	<b>17%</b>
3	Graham, L. (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	7	52	10%	<b>12%</b>
4	DeMint (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	1	18	0%	<b>5%</b>
5	Spratt (D)	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	W	R	A	7	2	155	60	78%	<b>72%</b>
6	Clyburn (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	77	2	100%	<b>97%</b>
<b>South Dakota</b>																	
Al	Thune (R)	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	3	34	10%	<b>8%</b>
<b>Tennessee</b>																	
1	Jenkins (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	5	32	0%	<b>14%</b>
2	Duncan (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	29	100	10%	<b>22%</b>
3	Wamp (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	9	51	10%	<b>15%</b>
4	Hilleary (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	4	56	0%	<b>7%</b>
5	Clement (D)	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	R	W	R	8	2	101	36	80%	<b>74%</b>
6	Gordon, B. (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	W	8	2	146	40	80%	<b>78%</b>
7	Bryant (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	2	58	0%	<b>3%</b>
8	Tanner (D)	R	R	W	R	R	W	R	W	W	R	6	4	77	52	60%	<b>60%</b>
9	Ford (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	8	2	30	5	80%	<b>86%</b>
<b>Texas</b>																	
1	Sandlin (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	8	2	30	7	80%	<b>81%</b>
2	Turner (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	W	R	R	8	2	26	11	80%	<b>70%</b>
3	Johnson, Sam (R)	W	W	W	W	W	A	W	W	W	A	0	8	5	96	0%	<b>5%</b>
4	Hall, R. (D)	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	77	172	10%	<b>31%</b>
5	Sessions, P. (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	1	35	0%	<b>3%</b>
6	Barton (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	A	W	W	1	8	12	170	11%	<b>7%</b>
7	Archer (R)	W	W	W	W	W	A	W	W	W	R	1	8	18	407	11%	<b>4%</b>
8	Brady, K. (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	1	36	0%	<b>3%</b>
9	Lampson (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	9	1	33	4	90%	<b>89%</b>
10	Doggett (D)	R	W	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	8	2	51	9	80%	<b>85%</b>
11	Edwards, C. (D)	R	R	W	R	R	W	R	R	R	W	7	3	76	28	70%	<b>73%</b>
12	Granger (R)	A	A	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	1	33	0%	<b>3%</b>
13	Thornberry (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	2	58	0%	<b>3%</b>
14	Paul (R)	W	W	R	R	R	R	W	W	W	A	4	5	21	129	44%	<b>14%</b>
15	Hinojosa (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	32	4	90%	<b>89%</b>
16	Reyes (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	34	3	90%	<b>92%</b>
17	Stenholm (D)	W	W	W	R	R	W	R	W	R	R	5	5	74	215	50%	<b>26%</b>
18	Jackson-Lee, S. (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	54	4	90%	<b>93%</b>
19	Combest (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	17	173	0%	<b>9%</b>

20	Gonzalez (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	16	3	90%	<b>84%</b>
21	Smith, Lamar (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	18	136	0%	<b>12%</b>
22	DeLay (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	4	182	0%	<b>2%</b>
23	Bonilla (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	2	78	0%	<b>3%</b>
24	Frost (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	230	47	90%	<b>83%</b>
25	Bentsen (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	W	8	2	51	9	80%	<b>85%</b>
26	Arney (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	3	187	0%	<b>2%</b>
27	Ortiz (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	177	35	90%	<b>83%</b>
28	Rodriguez (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	35	1	100%	<b>97%</b>
29	Green, G. (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	74	4	100%	<b>95%</b>
30	Johnson, E.B. (D)	A	A	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	7	1	70	8	88%	<b>90%</b>
<b>Utah</b>																
1	Hansen (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	9	237	0%	<b>4%</b>
2	Cook (R)	W	W	R	A	A	A	W	W	W	1	6	5	29	14%	<b>15%</b>
3	Cannon (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	3	32	0%	<b>9%</b>
<b>Vermont</b>																
Al	Sanders (I)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	105	0	100%	<b>100%</b>
<b>Virginia</b>																
1	Bateman (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	I	0	9	24	192	0%	<b>11%</b>
2	Pickett (D)	W	R	W	W	R	W	W	R	R	5	5	96	63	50%	<b>60%</b>
3	Scott (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	78	3	100%	<b>96%</b>
4	Sisisky (D)	R	W	R	R	R	W	R	R	W	6	4	145	71	60%	<b>67%</b>
5	Goode (I)	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	W	1	9	9	28	10%	<b>24%</b>
6	Goodlatte (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	5	76	0%	<b>6%</b>
7	Bliley (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	A	0	9	27	226	0%	<b>11%</b>
8	Moran, James (D)	R	W	W	R	R	W	R	W	R	6	4	77	27	60%	<b>74%</b>
9	Boucher (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	W	8	2	179	33	80%	<b>84%</b>
10	Wolf (R)	W	W	R	W	W	W	R	W	W	2	8	47	207	20%	<b>19%</b>
11	Davis, T. (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	11	49	0%	<b>18%</b>
<b>Washington</b>																
1	Inslee (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	W	W	7	3	27	13	70%	<b>68%</b>
2	Metcalf (R)	R	W	R	W	W	W	W	W	A	2	7	20	38	22%	<b>34%</b>
3	Baird (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	W	8	2	15	3	80%	<b>83%</b>
4	Hastings, D. (R)	W	W	W	W	W	A	W	W	W	0	9	0	57	0%	<b>0%</b>
5	Nethercutt (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	1	58	0%	<b>2%</b>
6	Dicks (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	W	R	R	8	2	278	52	80%	<b>84%</b>
7	McDermott (D)	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	1	110	12	90%	<b>90%</b>
8	Dunn (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	1	77	0%	<b>1%</b>
9	Smith, Adam (D)	A	A	W	R	R	R	A	A	W	4	2	26	7	67%	<b>79%</b>
<b>West Virginia</b>																
1	Mollohan (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	9	1	205	12	90%	<b>94%</b>
2	Wise (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	8	2	203	13	80%	<b>94%</b>
3	Rahall (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	9	1	301	27	90%	<b>92%</b>
<b>Wisconsin</b>																
1	Ryan, P. (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	2	17	0%	<b>11%</b>
2	Baldwin (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	18	0	100%	<b>100%</b>
3	Kind, R. (D)	R	W	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	8	2	30	7	80%	<b>81%</b>
4	Kleczyka (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	174	26	100%	<b>87%</b>
5	Barrett, T. (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	76	5	100%	<b>94%</b>

6	Petri (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	62	229	0%	<b>21%</b>
7	Obey (D)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	386	46	100%	<b>89%</b>
8	Green, M. (R)	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	W	1	9	1	18	10%	<b>5%</b>
9	Sensenbrenner (R)	W	W	R	A	W	W	R	W	W	W	2	7	29	260	22%	<b>10%</b>
<b>Wyoming</b>																	
Al	Cubin (R)	W	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	1	9	3	55	10%	<b>5%</b>

R = VOTED RIGHT

W = VOTED WRONG

A = ABSENT, DID NOT VOTE OR MAKE POSITION KNOWN

S = SPEAKER

I = NOT IN OFFICE

