

TRYPANOSOMIASIS (SURRA) IN THE CAPTIVE SUMATRAN RHINOCEROS (*Dicerorhinus sumatrensis sumatrensis*) IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA

Aidi Mohamad, DVM,^{1,10} S. Vellayan, DVM,² Robin W. Radcliffe, DVM, Dipl ACZM,^{3,10*} Linda J. Lowenstine, DVM, Dipl ACVP,⁴ Jon Epstein, DVM, MPH,⁵ Simon A. Reid, DVM,⁶ Donald E. Paglia, MD,⁷ Rolfe M. Radcliffe, DVM, Dipl ACVS,⁸ Terri L. Roth, PhD,⁹ Thomas J. Foose, PhD,¹⁰ and Mohamad Khan bin Momin Khan¹¹

¹Sumatran Rhino Conservation Center, Sungai Dusun, Selangor, Malaysia; ²Zoo Negara Malaysia, Ulu Klang, 68000 Ampang, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia; ³Fossil Rim Wildlife Center, 2155 County Road 2008, Glen Rose, Texas 76043 USA; ⁴Vet Med: Pathology, Microbiology and Immunology, 1062 Haring Hall, University of California, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616 USA; ⁵Consortium for Conservation Medicine at Wildlife Trust, Palisades, NY 10964 USA; ⁶Western Australian Biomedical Research Institute, School of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, Murdoch University, South Street, Murdoch, Western Australia 6150; ⁷UCLA Hematology Laboratory, Wilshire & Sawtell Blvds., Los Angeles, CA 90073 USA; ⁸Radcliffe Surgery Consultants, 525 Spencer Road, Ithaca, NY 14850 USA; ⁹Cincinnati Zoo and Botanical Garden, 3400 Vine Street, Cincinnati, OH 45220 USA; ¹⁰International Rhino Foundation, 20 Pen Mar Street, Waynesboro, PA 17268 USA; ¹¹Malaysian Rhino Foundation, Jalan Yap Kwan, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Abstract

Five captive Sumatran rhinoceros (*Dicerorhinus sumatrensis sumatrensis*) housed in a facility in Selangor Malaysia died in a biphasic epidemic that spanned 18 days. Four of the five rhinos had been wild-caught in peninsular Malaysia and translocated into captivity; one was the only offspring of a female that had been pregnant at the time of capture. Clinical signs included initial depression and anorexia followed by rapidly progressing incoordination, muscle tremors, nasal hemorrhage, recumbency and labored breathing, followed by death. Despite broad-spectrum antibiotic and supportive therapy, all five rhinos succumbed. Trypanosomes identified as *Trypanosoma evansi* were detected in blood smears taken just prior to death from the last two animals. Gross pathology was nonspecific; however, histopathologic examination revealed multi-systemic disease compatible with historical reports of surra in other animals. Three animals had intralesional trypanosomes and extravascular hemolysis; three of four animals for which spleen was available had unique and characteristic splenic lesions consisting of marked enlargement of periarteriolar sheaths with lymphoid depletion. Trypanosomes were identified in the brain of one animal in association with endothelial hypertrophy. Immunohistochemistry was performed on tissue samples to further characterize the disease. A herd of buffalo located adjacent to the Sumatran Rhino Conservation Center shared a common fence with the 10-acre reserve where the first animal had been housed for the two weeks immediately prior to its death. This outbreak represents the first report of surra in the Sumatran rhinoceros.

Introduction

The Sumatran rhinoceros (*Dicerorhinus sumatrensis*) is considered the most endangered rhinoceros species, even though the estimated 300 animals outnumber the rarer Javan species (*Rhinoceros sondaicus*). The Sumatran rhino remains the most threatened of the five extant species largely due to poaching for the rhino's horn, compounded by forest degradation. Following the tragic loss of five animals in Peninsular Malaysia, just eight Sumatran rhinos survive in captivity worldwide, four in zoological facilities in the USA and four in sanctuaries in Southeast Asia.

Wild populations increasingly face risk of emerging diseases, especially where domestic animal reservoirs exist near populations of captive or wild species that may not share similar disease ecology. Recent examples of diseases that are infecting new species or crossing environmental barriers include BSE, CWD, West Nile Virus, Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza, Monkey Pox and Ebola Virus, and the list continues to grow. Zoonoses are also becoming more prevalent as more people and their livestock move into new environments.^{7,16,17} Many of these diseases, including surra, are emerging directly or indirectly because of mankind's exploitation of the earth's resources for food, fuel, medicines, and agriculture - problems compounded by the modern trend towards globalization.^{16,17}

Methods

The epidemiology and pathology of an acute epidemic mortality event involving the last captive Sumatran rhinoceros in Peninsular Malaysia was investigated. In addition to extensive necropsy and laboratory testing conducted in Malaysia, partial pathologic tissue sets collected from each animal by the attending veterinarian or the Universiti Putra Malaysia were imported into the USA for further examination. Epidemiologic data were reviewed. This included assessment of chronological and demographic information; local staff interviews; evaluation of scientific reports and laboratory analysis of samples taken during the outbreak and of testing of domestic animals surrounding the reserve; and review of the literature.

Results and Discussion

Five Sumatran rhinoceros (four females and one male) housed in a species conservation facility in Selangor Malaysia died in an epidemic that was a significant setback to an already struggling captive propagation program. Four of the five rhinos had been wild-caught in peninsular Malaysia and translocated into captivity; one was the only offspring of a female that had been pregnant at the time of capture. The biphasic epidemic spanned just eighteen days in October and November of 2003, during the peak of the rainy season.

The first animal died on October 30, within twenty-four hours of being moved from the adjacent forested reserve back to the barn. This death was followed ten days later by the first clinical signs in the male. Despite broad-spectrum treatment that included antibiotics, fluid therapy, anthelmintics, anti-inflammatory medications and other supportive measures, the animal died. Clinical signs included lethargy, depression, anorexia, and weakness that progressed to posterior paresis, labored respirations, recumbency and death, which are compatible with those seen in surra in other species.^{1,6,20} The next day another female was affected with similar signs, and soon succumbed.

The remaining two animals, which became ill about the same time, appeared to be recovering with treatment. However, six days later severe clinical signs recurred and death followed. Blood samples collected during the event showed mild anemia and monocytosis, but an otherwise unremarkable leukocyte count.

An initial diagnosis of trypanosomiasis was made by identification of trypanosomes on thick and thin blood smears at the Universiti of Kebangsaan in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.⁴ The organisms were classified as *Trypanosoma evansi* based on size and morphology. Unfortunately, this diagnosis was not made until after all of the animals had succumbed to the disease. Additional diagnostic tests, including enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA), card agglutination test for trypanosomiasis (CATT), mouse inoculation test (MIT), immunohistochemistry (IHC), and polymerase chain reaction (PCR), have been developed to help improve detection of *T. evansi*, surveillance for infection, and diagnosis of surra.^{11,12,14,15,18,23,24} These methodologies often are used to monitor for recurrent parasitemia post-treatment.²⁴

A unique lesion was observed in three of the four spleens examined microscopically. The splenic white pulp was greatly expanded by histiocytes, with central lymphoid depletion, a pathologic lesion observed in both natural and experimental surra infections and classified as depletion of periarteriolar lymphoid sheaths.³ Anemia, circulating hemosiderophages and marked splenic hemosiderosis were documented, suggesting extravascular hemolytic disease. One animal had evidence of disseminated intravascular coagulation, a condition attributed to trypanosomiasis in humans.² Histopathology revealed presumptive trypanosomes in the brain of one animal, in association with endothelial hypertrophy, and in multiple other organs. Further testing of frozen and formalin-fixed tissues, including reproductive organs, for trypanosomes was facilitated by immunohistochemistry. Infertility has been associated with trypanosome infections, which disrupt spermatogenesis in domestic boars and rams.^{13,19}

The salivarian trypanosomes, such as *T. evansi*, are readily transmitted by tabanid flies and other diptera.^{6,8,22} Tabanids were abundant at the rhino center, with increased numbers prevalent at the time of this epidemic, likely because of the rainy season conditions.⁹ Transmission would likely be efficient in the captive environment because of the concentration of susceptible animals exposed to infected vectors, and the unique nature of trypanosome biology.²² A herd of buffalo located adjacent to the Sumatran Rhino Conservation Center shared a common fence with the 10-acre reserve where the first animal had been housed for the two weeks immediately prior to its death, and may have been a reservoir of infection for local tabanids.

Perissodactylids as a group appear to be highly sensitive to trypanosomiasis, with high mortality reported in domestic horses.^{6,20,21} Trypanosomes have been associated with disease in African black rhinoceros (*Diceros bicornis*), although that species appears relatively resistant to disease, unless stressed by translocation, presumably because of innate resistance.^{5,10} Such tolerance of trypanosome infection would provide an adaptive advantage for a species like the black rhinoceros that has co-evolved with these blood parasites. Since *T. evansi* is a relative newcomer to Southeast Asia,⁶ Sumatran rhinos probably have had little opportunity to adapt to infection with this agent. Hence, surra might be expected to appear as an epidemic in a group of susceptible animals exposed to infection at a common site over a short period.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Audu, P.A., K.A.N. Esievo, G. Mohammed, and O.J. Ajanusi. 1999. Studies of infectivity and pathogenicity of an isolate of *Trypanosoma evansi* in Yankasa sheep. *Vet. Parasit.* 86: 185-90.
2. Barrett-Connor, E., R.J. Ugoretz, and A.I. Braude. 1973. Disseminated intravascular coagulation in trypanosomiasis. *Arch. Intern. Med.* 131: 574-77.
3. Biswas, D., A. Choudhury, and K.K. Misra. 2001. Histopathology of *Trypanosoma (Trypanozoon) evansi* infection in bandicoot rat. I. Visceral organs. *Exper. Parasit.* 99: 148-59.
4. Chan, B.T.E., A. Mohamad, S. Vellayan, M.S. Fatmah, A.R. Rozlida, J. Jeffery, K. Vijaya Jayam, S. Reza, A. Mathew, M. Norhayati, and M.I. Noor Hayati. A preliminary report on trypanosome infection of Sumatran rhinoceros (*Dicerorhinus sumatrensis*) in Malaysia. *J. Trop. Dis.*, in press, 2004
5. Clausen, B. 1981. Survey for trypanosomes in black rhinoceros (*Diceros bicornis*). *J. Wildl. Dis.* 17: 581-6.
6. Dieleman, E.F. 1986. Trypanosomiasis in Indonesia. *The Vet. Quart.* 8: 250-6.
7. Dissanaik, A.S., H.T. Ong, and S.P. Kan. 1974. Trypanosome infections in Orang Aslis (aborigines) in West Malaysia. *Trans. Royal Soc. Trop. Med. Hygiene* 68: 494-5.
8. Hilali, M. and M.M. Fahmy. 1993. Trypanozoon-like epimastigotes in the larvae of *Cephalopina titillator* (Diptera: Oestridae) infesting camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) infected with *Trypanosoma evansi*. *Vet. Parasit.* 45: 327-9.
9. Lohr, K.F., S. Pohlpark, L. Srikitjakarn, P. Thaboran, G. Bettermann and C. Staak. 1985. *Trypanosoma evansi* infection in buffaloes in north-east Thailand. I. Field Investigations. *Trop. Anim. Hlth. Prod.* 17: 121-5.
10. Mihok, S., R.O. Olubayo, and S.K. Moloo. 1992. Trypanosomiasis in the black rhinoceros (*Diceros bicornis* Linnaeus, 1758). *Rev. Sci. Tech. Off. Int. Epiz.* 11: 1169-73.
11. Noyes, H.A., J.R. Stevens, M. Teixeira, J. Phelan, and P. Holz. 1999. A nested PCR for the ssrRNA gene detects *Trypanosoma binneyi* in the platypus and *Trypanosoma* sp. in wombats and kangaroos in Australia. *Int. J. Parasit.* 29: 331-9.
12. OIE Manual. 1996. Surra (*Trypanosoma evansi*). *Off. Int. Epiz. Chapter X.11:* 686-93.
13. Omeke, B.C.O., and G. Igboeli. 2000. Disruption of spermatogenesis in boars sub-clinically infected with *Trypanosoma brucei brucei*. *Anim. Rep. Science* 63: 197-204.
14. Pathak, K.M.L., J.K. Arora, and M. Kapoor. 1993. Camel trypanosomiasis in Rajasthan, India. *Vet. Parasit.* 49: 319-23.
15. Pathak, K.M.L., S. Yadendra, N.V. Meirvenne, and M. Kapoor. 1997. Evaluation of various diagnostic techniques for *Trypanosoma evansi* infections in naturally infected camels. *Vet. Parasit.* 69: 49-54.
16. Payne, R.C., D. Waltner-Toews, D. Djauhari, and T.W. Jones. 1991. *Trypanosoma evansi* infection in swamp buffalo imported into central Java. *Prev. Vet. Med.* 11: 105-14.
17. Reid, S.A., A. Husein, G.W. Hutchinson, and D.B. Copeman. 1999. A possible role for Rusa deer (*Cervus timorensis russa*) and wild pigs in spread of *Trypanosoma evansi* from Indonesia to Papua New Guinea. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz.* 94: 195-7.
18. Reyna-Bello, A., F.A. Garcia, M. Rivera, B. Sanso, and P.M. Aso. 1998. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for detection of anti-*Trypanosoma evansi* equine antibodies. *Vet. Parasit.* 80: 149-57.
19. Sekoni, V.O. 1992. Effect of *Trypanosoma vivax* infection on semen characteristics of Yankasa rams. *Br. Vet. J.* 148: 501-6.

20. Silva, R.A.M.S., N.A.E. Arosemena, H.M. Herrera, C.A. Sahib, and M.S.J. Ferreira. 1995. Outbreak of *Trypanosoma evansi* in horses in Pantanal Mato-grossense, Brazil. *Vet. Parasit.* 60: 167-71.
21. Tuntasuvan, D., W. Jarabrum, N. Viseshakul, K. Mohkaew, S. Borisutsuwan, A. Theeraphan, and N. Kongkanjana. Chemotherapy of surra in horses and mules with diminazene aceturate. *Vet. Parasit.* 110: 227-33.
22. Vickerman, K., L. Tetley, K.A.K. Hendry, and C.M.R. Turner. 1988. Biology of African trypanosomes in the tsetse fly. *Biology of the Cell* 64: 109-19.
23. Wernery, U., R. Zachariah, J.A. Mumford, and T. Luckins. 2001. Preliminary evaluation of diagnostic tests using horses experimentally infected with *Trypanosoma evansi*. *The Vet. J.* 161: 287-300.
24. Wuyts, N., N. Chokesajjawatee, and S. Panyim. 1994. A simplified and highly sensitive detection of *Trypanosoma evansi* by DNA amplification. *SE Asian J. Trop. Med. Public Hlth.* 25: 266-71.